

Tree-Based Method *

we divide the predictor space (set of possible values x_1, \dots, x_p) into J distinct and non overlapping regions R_1, R_2, \dots, R_J . For every observation that falls into R_j , we make the same prediction, which is the mean of the response values for the training observations in R_j . we can give any shape but use high-dimensional rectangle to minimize $\sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{i: x_i \in R_j} (y_i - \hat{\mu}_{R_j})^2$. In order to perform recursive binary splitting, we consider all predictors x_1, \dots, x_p and all possible values of the cutpoint s for each of the predictors, and then choose the predictor and cutpoint such that the resulting tree has the lowest ASS. $\{x | x_j < s\}$ and $\{x | x_j > s\}$. Now we repeat by splitting one of the identified regions, and so forth till no region contains more than S observations.

- we can build a large tree and then prune back.
 - Use recursive binary splitting to grow a large tree on the training data, stopping only when each terminal node has fewer than some minimum number of observations.
 - Apply cost complexity pruning to the large tree in order to obtain a sequence of best subtree, as a function of α .
 - Use k -fold cross validation to choose α . That is, divide the training observation into k folds: For each $h = 1, \dots, k$:
 - Repeat Step 1 and 2 on all but the h th fold of the training data.
 - Evaluate the mean squared prediction error on the data in the left-out k th fold, as a function of α . Average the results for each value of α and pick α to minimize the average error.
 - Return the subtree from Step 2 that corresponds to the chosen value of α .

ITI
$$\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{i: x_i \in R_m} (y_i - \hat{\mu}_{R_m})^2 + 4 |IT|$$

ITI is the number of terminal node.

for prediction classification we use the most commonly used occurring class. But we can't use ASS, but classification error rate $E = 1 - \max_h (\hat{P}_{mh})$ (often not sensitive enough)

- Gini index $G = \sum_{h=1}^K \hat{P}_{mh} (1 - \hat{P}_{mh})$: measure of total variance, is small if \hat{P}_{mh} close to 0 or 1. (node purity)
- Entropy: $D = - \sum_{h=1}^K \hat{P}_{mh} \log \hat{P}_{mh}$

Linear regression of the form $f(x) = \beta_0 + \sum_j x_j \beta_j$ but tree of the form $f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M c_m \cdot 1(x \in R_m)$. Here c is there is a highly non-linear and complex relationship between the features and the response, tree are better.

Ensemble method (Bagging, Random forests, Boosting, Bayesian Additive Regression Trees) use weak learners to build better model.

Bootstrap aggregation, or bagging is a general-purpose procedure for reducing the variance of a statistical learning method. we generate B different bootstrapped training data sets. we then train our method on the b th bootstrapped training set in order to get $\hat{f}_b(x)$, and finally average all predictions.

we grow deep trees but do not prune them \rightarrow they have high variance but bias. Average the B trees reduces the variance.
$$\hat{f}_{bag}(x) = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^B \hat{f}_b(x)$$

one can show that on average, each bagged tree makes use of around two-thirds of the observations. The remaining one-third of the observations not used to fit a given bagged tree are referred to as the out-of-bag observations. In order to obtain a single prediction for the i th observations, we can average (or majority) them. We can then compute a OOB MSE or classification error rate. It is a correct estimate of the test error for bagged model.

In the case of bagging regression trees, we can record the total amount that the ASS is decreased due to split over a given predictor, averaged over all B trees, large value = important predictor for classification

Random forest provides an improvement over bagged trees by way of a small tweak that de-correlates the trees. As in bagging, we build a number of decision trees on bootstrapped training samples. But when building these decision trees, each time a split is considered, a random sample of m predictors is chosen as split candidates from the full set of p predictors. The split is allowed to use only one of those m predictors. $m = \sqrt{p}$, small m when we have a large number of candidate predictors.

Boosting trees are grown sequentially: each tree is grown using information from previously grown trees. Boosting does not involve bootstrap sampling: instead each tree is fit on a modified version of the original dataset.

- Use CV to select B .
 - λ is learning rate - small λ may require large B .
 - the number d of split controls the complexity
1. Set $\hat{f}(x) = 0$ and $n_i = y_i$ for all i in the training set.
 2. For $b = 1, 2, \dots, B$ repeat:
 - (a) Fit a tree $\hat{g}(b)$ with d splits ($d+1$ terminal nodes) to the training data (x, n)
 - (b) Update \hat{f} by adding in a shrunk version of the new tree

$$\hat{f}(x) \leftarrow \hat{f}(x) + \lambda \hat{g}(b, x)$$
 - (c) update residual

$$n_i \leftarrow n_i - \lambda \hat{g}(b, x_i)$$
 3. output the boosted model

$$\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{b=1}^B \lambda \hat{g}(b, x)$$

Bayesian Additive regression Trees is related to both approaches: each tree is constructed in a random manner (bagging, rf) and each tree tries to capture signal not yet accounted for by the current model (boosting). $\hat{g}_k^b(x)$ represents the prediction at x for the k^{th} regression tree used in the b iteration.

1. Let $\hat{g}_1^b(x) = \dots = \hat{g}_k^b(x) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m y_i$
2. compute $\hat{g}^1(x) = \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{g}_k^1(x) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m y_i$
3. For $b = 2, \dots, B$
 - (a) for $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$
 - i. for $i = 1, \dots, m$ compute the current partial residue

$$r_i = y_i - \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \hat{g}_k^b(x_i) - \sum_{k=b}^K \hat{g}_k^{b-1}(x_i)$$
 - ii. fit a new tree, $\hat{g}_k^b(x)$, to r_i , by randomly permuting the k^{th} tree from the previous iteration $\hat{g}_k^{b-1}(x)$. Permutation that impose fit are favored
 - (b) compute $\hat{g}^b(x) = \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{g}_k^b(x)$
 - (c) compute the mean after L bootstrapped samples

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{B-L} \sum_{b=L+1}^B \hat{f}^b(x)$$

bagging → tree tends to be similar → can get caught in local optima

random forest → more thorough exploration of model space relative to bagging

In RFM, we use only the original data, and we grow tree successively. However each tree is perturbed in order to avoid local optima and achieve a more thorough exploration of space. we try to improve the fit to the current partial residual by slightly modifying the tree structure. This guards against overfitting since it limits how "deep" we fit the data in each iteration