a) 1x-c/2 Take 2 1/2 Then there are i) $n_x = n_c$; r_x , $r_c < \frac{1}{2}$ ic) nx = nc; rx c2, rx >2 (or vise versa) ny= nei v, r, > 2 14) nx = ne+1, (x < 2, re > 2 n= n-1, 1x>2, r22 Case I; IX-cled & 1/2 = |(n+r,)-(n+re)|=|r,-re| <) 1f(x) - f(c) = 1 - rc / < 0, so take 2= min [2, 4] Case I 1 | x-cl= | (x-r) + 2 = = 1f(x)-f(c) = |rx-(1-rc)|= |rx+rc-1| = 11x-10+20-115 11x-10+120-11<0+120-1 50 take 2= min { 2, E-128-11} ("incerned 2 could) 1x-c1=11x-re1 AD Case III If(x)= f(c) = |(1-rx)-(1-rc)|= |re-rx|=|rx-rc| So take D= min { 2; 2} Case IV 1x-c1= 1(nc+x+1) - (nc+re) = 1 (x-re+1) <) [f(x)-f(c)] = | 1x - (1-1c) = (x + 1c-1) = | 1x - re + 1 + 2re - 2 | 2 | 1x-12+1 + 2 | re-1 | < 2+2 | re-1 So take D= min { 12, 2 + 2 | 1-11}

Case I |x-c| = | (nc+1,-1) - (nc+1) = | 1x-1 - 1 | 2 0 (f(x)-f(c))= (1-rx)-r=+r-rx+1=|rx-re-1) So take d=min { 2, 123 In total, take 2= min {2, E, E-12-11, E-12-21) tes, f is UC, hecause ry, ry one bounded on (0,1). Hence, from the result in part a, tole 0 = 2-12(1)-21 = 4.

t by t (n+r) = (1-r) 2" + r2" = 2 [(1-1) + 21] = 2 [[+ 1] Not UC for sure a) Take 1x-c1<1 => 3 cases Case I : nx = n . |x-c| = | (x - r) < 0 < 1 | f(x) - f(c) | = |2"[rx+1] - 2"[rx+1] = 2" | rx-re | <20 => Take D= min {1, 2 ? 1 nx= nc+1 = |x-c|= |nc+1+1 x - (nc+1c) = |1x-1c+1 |2 H(x)-f(c) = 12 nc+1 [(x+1] - 2 nc (ve+1) = 2 nc (2((x+1)-(x+1)) = 2 nc | 2rx + 2 - rc +1 | = 2 nc | rx - rc + rx + 3 | = 218 / (rx-rx) + (rx-rc) + rc +3 /= 2 12 /2 (rx-re) + (2+3) € 2° [12(rx-re) | + | re+3|] e 2° [20 + | r2+3|] D= min 5 E - 1 rc +3/ 13 CAM III nx=1c-1. |x-cl= |nc-1+1x- (nc+re)|= |re-re-1/2) |f(x)-f(c)|= |2c-1[(x+1)-2c[(c+1)] = 2c[(x+1)-(c+1)] - 22 [1 5x + 2 - 2 - 1] = 22 - 1 [(x - r.) + (1-r.)] < 22 - 1 [2+(-r.)] \$ 2 nc-1[0+11-rel] => 0=nu[1, 22-1-11-rel] So take do min { 1, 2n, 2non - 10+3 2 20-1 - 1 - 1 b) Not UC, since I depends on no which is unbounded,

-

-

3) fig: R-> R are UC. Prove fog is UC
Let 5 >0 There ID is of it

Let &>o. Then Jd, > st. Yx, y & IR

if |x-y|<d, men dg(x)-g(y)| 2 2,

Similarly, For st tx, y ER, if IX-y/122 then If(x)-f(y)/2 Ez

Also, $\exists \partial_3$ st. $\forall x,y \in \mathbb{R}$, if $|x-y| < \partial_3$ we have $|g(x) - g(y)| < \partial_2$

Then, Since $|g(x)-g(y)| + \partial_x$ is have that $|f_{05}(x)-f_{05}(y)| = |f(g(x))-f(g(y))| < \epsilon_x$

in Not So sure about this one ...

Lead of the second of the seco

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) & 0 < x \le 1 \\ h(x) & 1 \le x < 2 \end{cases}$$

 $g = 10 \cup 10 \Rightarrow 30 > 0 \text{ st. } \forall \epsilon > 0, \forall x, y \in [0, 1]$ $|x-y| \ge 0, \Rightarrow |g(x) - g(y)| \ge 2/2$

his UC \Rightarrow $\exists \partial_2 > 0$ st $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\forall x, y \in [1,2]$ $|x-y| \land \partial_2 \Rightarrow |h(x) - h(y)| < \epsilon_{/2}$

If $x, y \in [0, 1]$ or $x, y \in [1, 2)$ we are done, since then f(x) = g(x) or f(x) = h(x).

Take 2= min [1, 2, D,]

If x e [0,1] and y c [1,2], then we have

z | t(x) - t(i) | + | t(h) - t(i) || t(x) - t(h) | = | t(x) + t(h) - t(h) + t(h) |

= 1g(x)-g(1) (+ 1k(s)-h(1))

because f(1) = g(1) = h(1) by definition. Now, because 1x-11<1<2 and 1y-11<1<2we have that $1g(x)-g(1)1<\frac{6}{2}$ and $1h(x)-h(1)1<\frac{6}{2}$ so $1g(x)-g(1)1+1h(x)-h(1)1<\frac{6}{2}+\frac{6}{2}+\frac{6}{2}=\frac{6}{2}$