# Heuristic Analysis

#### Introduction:

Three custom heuristics are implemented and analyze. The first method is a focused on maximizing the ratio between possible moves of self-player and those of opponent player. The last two methods are mutations of the "improved\_score" heuristic, with different goals to achieve. Following is detailed description of the three methods.

#### Custom Heuristic 1:

```
custom_score_1 = float(self_move/(opponent_move+1))
```

The goal of this heuristic is to maximize the ratio between possible moves of self\_player vs possible moves of opponent player. A constant +1 is added to the denominator to avoid division by zero, also to give a little more weights to the opponent players move.

## Custom Heuristic 2:

```
custom_score_2 = float(self_move - 5*opponent_move)
```

This is a mutation of the improved\_score heuristic, and the most important change here is to give opponent's possible moves more weight to encourage self\_players to minimize opponent's move in an earlier stage.

## Custom Heuristic 3:

```
custom_score_3 = float(5*self_move - opponent_move)
```

This is also a mutation of the improved\_score heuristic, and the most noticeable modification here is to give self\_player more weights. The goal of this method is not to minimize opponent's move but rather play defensively and passively.

### **Experiments:**

Following are the experiment results. 3 set of different configs are used for the experiment.

# Results:

10 matches & 150 milliseconds

**************************************										
Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom		AB_Custom_2 Won   Lost		AB_Cus	_	
1	Random	Won   8	Lost 2	Won 10	Lost 0	10	Lost 0	10	Lost 0	
2	MM Open	7	3	6	4	7	3	8	2	
3	MM Center	7	3	9	1	10	9	9	1	
4	MM Improved	7	3	8	2	8	2	9	1	
5	AB Open	3	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	
6	AB Center	6	4	5	5	6	4	6	4	
7	AB_Improved	5	5	4	6	6	4	4	6	
	Win Rate:	61.4%		67.1%		74.3%		72	.9%	

20 matches & 150 milliseconds

		alle alle alle de				4					
**************											
Playing Matches											
Match #	Match # Opponent		AB_Improved		AB_Custom		AB_Custom_2		AB_Custom_3		
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost		
1	Random	19	1	20	0	18	2	19	1		
2	MM_Open	13	7	16	4	15	5	16	4		
3	MM_Center	19	1	17	3	17	3	17	3		
4	MM_Improved	12	8	15	5	15	5	16	4		
5	AB_Open	10	10	9	11	13	7	12	8		
6	AB_Center	10	10	14	6	10	10	15	5		
7	AB_Improved	12	8	12	8	10	10	6	14		
	Win Rate:	67.9%		73.6%		70.0%		72.1%			

10 matches & 50 milliseconds

Playing Matches											
Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom		AB_Custom_2		AB_Custom_3			
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost		
1	Random	8	2	10	0	8	2	9	1		
2	MM_Open	8	2	8	2	8	2	6	4		
3	MM_Center	8	2	9	1	8	2	9	1		
4	MM Improved	7	3	9	1	7	3	8	2		
5	AB_Open	3	7	6	4	6	4	6	4		
6	AB Center	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	3		
7	AB_Improved	6	4	5	5	6	4	5	5		
	Win Rate:	64.3%		74.3%		68.6%		71.4%			

# Recommendation:

I would recommend AB\_Custom\_3 heuristic among all the four heuristics tested. First of all, since the all 3 custom heuristics are variants of AB\_Improved, they are almost equally computationally expensive. Second, based on the result of 3 different sets of test configs, AB\_Custom\_3 has a winning rate consistently higher than 70%, even with only 50 milliseconds of search time limit. Third, Ab\_Custom\_3 has the most consistent performance against all opponent amongs the three customed models.