



M7 (b) - Inheritance

Jin L.C. Guo

Image source https://cdn.pixabay.com/photo/2015/01/11/21/30/cats-596782_1280.jpg


Objective

- Common problems/considerations of inheritance
- Liskov Substitution Principle

Abstract Class vs Interface




Abstract Class vs Interface



Abstract Class vs Interface

represents
a property




can fly

flying

defined in
certain capacities


Abstract Class vs Interface



Abstract Class vs Interface



if not much shared code → can make this interface



Activity from last class:

- Using inheritance to design a class representing an unmodifiable list of Card that is constructed through a card array.
- What methods do you need to override?
- How to override them?


```

public class CardList extends AbstractList<Card>
{
    private final Card[] aCards;

    CardList(Card[] pCards)
    {
        assert pCards != null;
        aCards = pCards;
    }

    @Override
    public Card get(int index)
    {
        assert index >= 0 && index < size();
        return aCards[index];
    }

    @Override
    public int size()
    {
        return aCards.length;
    }
}

```

```

public static void main(String[] pArgs)
{
    Card[] cards = new Card[2];
    cards[0] = new Card(Rank.ACE, Suit.CLUBS);
    cards[1] = new Card(Rank.FIVE, Suit.DIAMONDS);
    CardList cardList = new CardList(cards);

    System.out.println(cardList.contains(cards[1]));

    for (Iterator<Card> iter = cardList.iterator();
         iter.hasNext(); )
    {
        Card element = iter.next();
        System.out.println(element);
    }
}

```

Unmodifiable list?

```
public class CardList extends AbstractList<Card>
{
    private final Card[] aCards;

    CardList(Card[] pCards)
    {
        assert pCards != null;
        aCards = pCards;
    }

    @Override
    public Card get(int index)        <- Returns the element at the specified position in this list.
    {
        assert index >= 0 && index < size();
        return aCards[index];
    }

    @Override
    public int size()                 <- Returns the number of elements in this list.
    {
        return aCards.length;
    }
}
```

abstract List < >

Method Summary

All Methods	Instance Methods	Abstract Methods	Concrete Methods
Modifier and Type	Method and Description		
boolean	add(E e) Appends the specified element to the end of this list (optional operation).		
void	add(int index, E element) Inserts the specified element at the specified position in this list (optional operation).		
boolean	addAll(int index, Collection<? extends E> c) Inserts all of the elements in the specified collection into this list at the specified position (optional operation).		
void	clear() Removes all of the elements from this list (optional operation).		
boolean	equals(Object o) Compares the specified object with this list for equality.		
abstract E	get(int index) Returns the element at the specified position in this list.		
int	hashCode() Returns the hash code value for this list.		
int	indexOf(Object o) Returns the index of the first occurrence of the specified element in this list, or -1 if this list does not contain the element.		
Iterator<E>	iterator() Returns an iterator over the elements in this list in proper sequence.		
int	lastIndexOf(Object o) Returns the index of the last occurrence of the specified element in this list, or -1 if this list does not contain the element.		
ListIterator<E>	listIterator() Returns a list iterator over the elements in this list (in proper sequence).		
ListIterator<E>	listIterator(int index) Returns a list iterator over the elements in this list (in proper sequence), starting at the specified position in the list.		
E	remove(int index) Removes the element at the specified position in this list (optional operation).		
protected void	removeRange(int fromIndex, int toIndex) Removes from this list all of the elements whose index is between fromIndex, inclusive, and toIndex, exclusive.		

```

public class CardList extends AbstractList<Card>
{
    private final Card[] aCards;

    CardList(Card[] pCards)
    {
        assert pCards != null;
        aCards = pCards;
    }

    @Override
    public Card get(int index)
    {
        assert index >= 0 && index < size();
        return aCards[index];
    }

    @Override
    public int size()
    {
        return aCards.length;
    }
}

```

```

public static void main(String[] pArgs)
{
    Card[] cards = new Card[2];
    cards[0] = new Card(Rank.ACE, Suit.CLUBS);
    cards[1] = new Card(Rank.FIVE, Suit.DIAMONDS);
    CardList cardList = new CardList(cards);

    System.out.println(cardList.contains(cards[1]));

    for (Iterator<Card> iter = cardList.iterator();
         iter.hasNext(); )
    {
        Card element = iter.next();
        System.out.println(element);
    }

    cardList.set(0,
        new Card(Rank.ACE, Suit.CLUBS));
}

```

↳ gives no compile time errors, but causes exception

```
public abstract class AbstractList<E> extends AbstractCollection<E> implements List<E>
{
    ... ..

    public E set(int index, E element) {
        throw new UnsupportedOperationException();
    }
    ... ..
}
```

↓ need to override if you want it to be modifiable

java.util.AbstractList

This class provides a skeletal implementation of the [List](#) interface to minimize the effort required to implement this interface backed by a "random access" data store (such as an array).

To implement an unmodifiable list, the programmer needs only to extend this class and provide implementations for the [get\(int\)](#) and [size\(\)](#) methods.

To implement a modifiable list, the programmer must additionally override the [set\(int, E\)](#) method (which otherwise throws an UnsupportedOperationException). If the list is variable-size the programmer must additionally override the [add\(int, E\)](#) and [remove\(int\)](#) methods.

override mutators

... ..

developer



Abby

```
public class CardList extends AbstractList<Card>
{
    private final Card[] aCards;

    @Override
    public Card get(int pIndex)
    {
        assert pIndex >= 0 && pIndex < size();
        return aCards[pIndex];
    }

    public List<Card> getRange(int pStartIndex, int
    pEndIndex)
    {
        assert pStartIndex >= 0 && pEndIndex < size();
        List<Card> cards = new ArrayList<>();
        for (int i = pStartIndex; i <= pEndIndex; i++)
        {
            cards.add(aCards[i]);
        }
        return cards;
    }
}
```

Extend CardList to count list element access

```
public class AccessCountCardList extends CardList
{
    private int count =0;

    AccessCountCardList(Card[] pCards)
    {
        super(pCards);
    }

    @Override
    public Card get(int pIndex)
    {
        assert pIndex>=0 && pIndex<size();
        Card card = super.get(pIndex);
        count ++;
        return card;
    }
}
```



Pat

client

Extend CardList to count member access

```
public class AccessCountCardList extends CardList
{
    ... ..
    @Override
    public List<Card> getRange(int pStartIndex, int pEndIndex)
    {
        assert pStartIndex >= 0 && pEndIndex < size();
        List<Card> cards = super.getRange(pStartIndex, pEndIndex);
        count += cards.size();
        return cards;
    }

    public void printAccessCount()
    {
        System.out.printf("Total Access Count: %d", count);
    }
}
```



Pat

get increases count
getRange(...) increases count

How many Card get accessed?

2

```
public static void main(String[] pArgs)
{
    Card[] cards = new Card[3];
    cards[0] = new Card(Rank.ACE, Suit.CLUBS);
    cards[1] = new Card(Rank.FIVE, Suit.DIAMONDS);
    cards[2] = new Card(Rank.EIGHT, Suit.HEARTS);
    AccessCountCardList cardList =
        new AccessCountCardList(cards);

    for (Card card: cardList.getRange(0, 1))
    {
        System.out.println(card);
    }

    cardList.printAccessCount();
}
```



Abby

CardList gets refactored...

```
public class CardList extends AbstractList<Card>
{
    private final Card[] aCards;
    .....
    @Override
    public List<Card> getRange(int pStartIndex, int pEndIndex)
    {
        assert pStartIndex >= 0 && pEndIndex < size();
        List<Card> cards = new ArrayList<>();
        for (int i = pStartIndex; i <= pEndIndex; i++)
        {
            cards.add(get(i)); ← aCards[i]
        }
        return cards;
    }
}
```

```

public static void main(String[] pArgs)
{
    Card[] cards = new Card[3];
    cards[0] = new Card(Rank.ACE, Suit.CLUBS);
    cards[1] = new Card(Rank.FIVE, Suit.DIAMONDS);
    cards[2] = new Card(Rank.EIGHT, Suit.HEARTS);
    AccessCountCardList cardList =
        new AccessCountCardList(cards);

    for (Card card: cardList.getRange(0, 1))
    {
        System.out.println(card);
    }

    cardList.printAccessCount();
}


```

How many Card get accessed?

4


Demo in IntelliJ

```
AccessCountCardList cardList =  
    new AccessCountCardList(cards);  
cardList.getRange(0, 1))
```



Demo in IntelliJ

```
public class CardList extends AbstractList<Card>
{
    private final Card[] aCards;
    .....
    @Override
    public List<Card> getRange(int pStartIndex, int pEndIndex)
    {
        assert pStartIndex >= 0 && pEndIndex < size();
        List<Card> cards = new ArrayList<>();
        for (int i = pStartIndex; i <= pEndIndex; i++)
        {
            cards.add(get(i));
        }
        return cards;
    }
}
```



Inheritance violates encapsulation

- A subclass depends on the implementation details of its superclass for its proper function.

addAll

```
public boolean addAll(int index,  
                     Collection<? extends E> c)
```

Inserts all of the elements in the specified collection into this list at the specified position (optional operation). Shifts the element currently at that position (if any) and any subsequent elements to the right (increases their indices). The new elements will appear in this list in the order that they are returned by the specified collection's iterator. The behavior of this operation is undefined if the specified collection is modified while the operation is in progress. (Note that this will occur if the specified collection is this list, and it's nonempty.)

Specified by:

`addAll` in interface `List<E>`

Implementation Requirements:

This implementation gets an iterator over the specified collection and iterates over it, inserting the elements obtained from the iterator into this list at the appropriate position, one at a time, using `add(int, E)`. Many implementations will override this method for efficiency.

Note that this implementation throws an `UnsupportedOperationException` unless `add(int, E)` is overridden.

Parameters:

`index` - index at which to insert the first element from the specified collection

`c` - collection containing elements to be added to this list

Returns:

true if this list changed as a result of the call

Throws:

`UnsupportedOperationException` - if the `addAll` operation is not supported by this list

`ClassCastException` - if the class of an element of the specified collection prevents it from being added to this list

`NullPointerException` - if the specified collection contains one or more null elements and this list does not permit null elements, or if the specified collection is null

`IllegalArgumentException` - if some property of an element of the specified collection prevents it from being added to this list

`IndexOutOfBoundsException` - if the index is out of range (`index < 0` || `index > size()`)

Activity 1: Fix ideas?

```
public class AccessCountCardList extends CardList
{
    @Override
    public Card get(int pIndex)
    {
        assert pIndex >= 0 && pIndex < size();
        Card card = super.get(pIndex);
        count++;
        return card;
    }
    @Override
    public List<Card> getRange(int pStartIndex, int pEndIndex)
    {
        assert pStartIndex >= 0 && pEndIndex < size();
        List<Card> cards = super.getRange(pStartIndex, pEndIndex);
        count += cards.size(); ← remove ①
        return cards;
    }
}
```

② decorator pattern

```
public class DelegatedAccessCountCardList extends AbstractList<Card>
{
```

```
    private CardList aCardList;
    private int count = 0;
```

use composition instead
of inheritance

```
.....
```

```
@Override
```

```
public Card get(int pIndex)
{
```

```
    assert pIndex >= 0 && pIndex < size();
    Card card = aCardList.get(pIndex);
    count++;
    return card;
```

↑ delegate

```
}
```

```
public List<Card> getRange(int pStartIndex, int pEndIndex)
{
```

```
    assert pStartIndex >= 0 && pEndIndex < size();
    List<Card> cards = aCardList.getRange(pStartIndex, pEndIndex);
    count += cards.size();
    return cards;
```

↑ delegate

```
}
```

does not allow
child class

final

```
public class CardList extends AbstractList<Card>
{
    private final Card[] aCards;

    @Override
    public Card get(int pIndex)
    {
        assert pIndex >= 0 && pIndex < size();
        return aCards[pIndex];
    }
    public List<Card> getRange(int pStartIndex, int
    pEndIndex)
    {
        assert pStartIndex >= 0 && pEndIndex < size();
        List<Card> cards = new ArrayList<>();
        for (int i = pStartIndex; i <= pEndIndex; i++)
        {
            cards.add(aCards[i]);
        }
        return cards;
    }
}
```

Change inheritance to composition



- Delegate duties using interface
- Decoupled implementation between two classes

Objective

- Common problems/considerations of inheritance
- Liskov Substitution Principle

Liskov Substitution Principle

Inheritance

code reuse, subtype



benefit

causes complications

- If S is a subtype of T, then objects of type T may be replaced with objects of type S without altering any of the desirable properties of the program.

*S is **substitutable** of T*




Barbara Liskov, Computer Scientist at MIT

Proper use of subtyping


- Override methods in subtype

- ✗ • Cannot have stricter preconditions
- ✗ • Cannot have less strict postconditions
- ✗ • Cannot take more specific types as parameters
- ✗ • Cannot make the method less accessible (e.g. public -> protected)
- ✗ • Cannot throw new exceptions
- ✗ • Cannot have a less specific return type



Cannot surprise the client

*code should work essentially
the same*



Rectangle Class

```
public class Rectangle
{
    protected int aWidth;
    protected int aHeight;

    public void setSize(int pWidth, int pHeight)
    {
        aWidth = pWidth;
        aHeight = pHeight;
    }

    public int getArea()
    {
        return aWidth*aHeight;
    }
}
```

Every square is a rectangle, so...

How to design the setSize for Square?

- Option1:

```
public class Square extends Rectangle  
{
```

```
    @Override
```

```
    public void setSize(int pWidth, int pHeight)
```

```
{
```

```
    assert pWidth == pHeight; ← precondition
```

```
    aWidth = pWidth;
```

```
    aHeight = pHeight;
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

requires 2 parameters
but a square
only really
needs 1

} use 2 fields

! uses stricter preconditions = bad

↳ violates liskov principle

How to design the setSize for Square?

- Option2:

```
public class Square extends Rectangle
{
    public void setSize(int pEdgeLength) {
        aWidth = pEdgeLength;
        aHeight = pEdgeLength;
    }

    @Override
    public void setSize(int pWidth, int pHeight)
    {
        throw new UnsupportedOperationException("Invalid operation
            for Square.");
    }
}
```

} overloads

} void
super
method

! throws a new exception
↳ violates liskov

no good options

Square and Rectangle are not related !

- If square is a subtype of rectangle

Client will be surprised when they find out that width and height cannot be changed independently




- If rectangle is a subtype of square

Client will be surprised when they find out that width and height are not equal



both methods violate Liskov principle

Square and Rectangle are not related



*need to make completely
separate*

```
public class Deck extends CardSource
{
    protected final CardStack aCard = new CardStack();
    public Card draw(){ return aCard.pop();}
    public boolean isEmpty() { return aCard.isEmpty();}
}
```

```
public class DrawBestDeck extends Deck
{
```

```
@Override
```

```
public Card draw()
{
```

```
    Card card1 = aCard.pop();
```

```
    Card card2 = aCard.pop();
```

```
    if (card1.compareTo(card2)>0)
    {
```

```
        aCard.push(card2);
```

```
        return card1;
```

```
    }
```

```
    aCard.push(card1);
```

```
    return card2;
```

```
    }
```

```
}
```

Violation of Liskov Substitution Principle

Precondition becomes stricter

↳ need to have 2 cards in deck

pop two, choose best,

push reject

Quiz (Please submit the answer on MyCourses):

- Given the **Student** class, and **UndergradCourse** is a true subtype of **Course**. Which of the methods in **UndergradStudent** violates the Liskov Substitution Principle and why?

```
public class Student{  
    public Course recommend(Course pCourseID);}
```

```
public class UndergradStudent extends Student {
```

1. `public Course recommend(UndergradCourse pCourseID);`
2. `public UndergradCourse recommend(Course pCourseID);`
3. `public UndergradCourse recommend(Object pCourseID);`
4. `public Course recommend(Course pCourseID) throw
 SomeCheckedException;`

Summary

- Consider using composition rather than inheritance when using “foreign” classes.
- Reason if a true subtype relationship exist; ?
“If it looks like a duck and quacks like a duck but it needs batteries, you probably have the wrong abstraction.”
- Document self-use of overridable methods when designing classes to be inherited. Write subclasses to test;
- Prohibit subclassing when it's not safe. “final” class, or restrict accessibility;
- Refactoring.