


# Translating an ER schema into the relational model

# ER-Relational Translation

- ① • Database design is first done using the entity-relationship model (or other semantic models such as UML)
- ② • An ER schema must then be translated into relations
- This is a relatively straightforward process that can be automated.

# Entity Sets to Relations



**Companies(name, address, empl)**

→ PostgreSQL:

```
CREATE TABLE Companies
(name VARCHAR(30),
 addr VARCHAR(50),
 empl INTEGER,
 PRIMARY KEY (name))
```

```
CREATE TABLE Companies
(name VARCHAR(30) PRIMARY KEY,
 addr VARCHAR(50),
 empl INTEGER)
```

↑ for single  
attribute  
primary  
key


→ DB2:

```
CREATE TABLE Companies
(name VARCHAR(30) NOT NULL,
 addr VARCHAR(50),
 empl INTEGER,
 PRIMARY KEY (name))
```

<u>name</u>	addr	empl
BiggestEngCompanyEver	Eng. Av., H3X...	25,000
BiggestConstCommpanyEver	Constr. St. H4E...	47,000
NoNameCompany	Whatever St., ...	200

# Many-many Relationship Sets

- A many-to-many relationship set is **ALWAYS** translated as an individual table.
- Attributes of the table are
  - Keys for each participating entity set (as foreign keys)
    - This set of attributes forms the key for the relation
  - All descriptive attributes



**Companies(cname,addr,empl)**

**Parties(pname, status)**

**Sponsorship(cname,pname,tamount)**

cname references Companies

pname references Parties

*need both to be unique key*

*build an extra table*

# Example Tables

## Companies

<u>cname</u>	addr	empl
BiggestEngCompanyEver	Eng. Av., H3X...	25,000
NoNameCompany	Whatever St., ...	200
...		

## Parties


<u>pname</u>	status
CAQ	governing
Liberals	opposition
...	

## Sponsorship

<u>cname</u>	<u>pname</u>	tamount
BiggestEngCompanyEver	Liberals	250,000
BiggestEngCompanyEver	CAQ	25,000
NoNameCompany	CAQ	50,000
...		

*pname + cname builds primary key together*

# Many-many Relationship Sets



```

CREATE TABLE Companies
(cname VARCHAR(30),
 addr VARCHAR(50),
 empl INTEGER,
 PRIMARY KEY (cname))

```

```

CREATE TABLE Parties
(pname VARCHAR(20),
 status VARCHAR(10),
 PRIMARY KEY (pname))

```

**Sponsorship(cname,pname,tamount)**

```

CREATE TABLE Sponsorship

```

```

(cname VARCHAR(30),
 pname VARCHAR(20),
 tamount INTEGER,

```

**PRIMARY KEY (cname,pname),** ←

**FOREIGN KEY (cname)**


**REFERENCES Companies,**

**FOREIGN KEY (pname)**

**REFERENCES Parties)**

# Relationships Sets with Key Constraints

- **Alternative I:** map relationship set to table
  - Many-one from entity set E1 to entity set E2: key of E1
    - i.e., key of entity-set with the key constraint is the key for the new relationship table (**mname** is now the key)
  - One-one: key of either entity set
  - Separate tables for entity sets (**Members** and **Parties**)



**Members(mname,riding)**

**Parties(pname,status)**

**Membership(mname,pname)**

```
CREATE TABLE Membership
(mname VARCHAR(30) ,
pname VARCHAR(20) ,
PRIMARY KEY (mname) ,
FOREIGN KEY (mname)
REFERENCES Members,
FOREIGN KEY (pname)
REFERENCES Parties)
```

*mname alone is unique (member can belong to at most one)*

# Example Tables

## Members

<u>mname</u>	riding
François Legault	L'Assomption
Geneviève Guilbault	Louis-Hébert
Gabriel Nadeau-Dubois	Gouin
...	

## Parties

<u>pname</u>	status
CAQ	governing
Quebec solidaire	other
...	

## Membership


<u>mname</u>	pname
François Legault	CAQ
Geneviève Guilbault	CAQ
Gabriel Nadeau-Dubois	Quebec solidaire
...	

A member  
can only  
be in  
one row



# Relationships Sets with Key Constraints

- **Alternative II:** include relationship set in table of the entity set with the key constraint
  - Possible because there is *at most* one relationship per entity
  - Not useful if many entities do not have a relationship (wasted space, many not filled values)



**Members(mname,riding,pname)**

**Parties(pname,status)**

```
CREATE TABLE Member
(mname VARCHAR(30) ,
riding VARCHAR(30) ,
pname VARCHAR(20) ,
PRIMARY KEY (mname) ,
FOREIGN KEY (pname)
REFERENCES Parties)
```

# Example Tables

## Members


<u>mname</u>	riding	pname
François Legault	L'Assomption	CAQ
Geneviève Guilbault	Louis-Hébert	CAQ
Gabriel Nadeau-Dubois	Gouin	Quebec solidaire
...		

## Parties

<u>pname</u>	status
CAQ	governing
Quebec solidaire	other
...	

# Key and Participation Constraints

- Include relationship set in table of the entity set with the key constraint



**Members(mname,riding,pname)**

**Parties(pname,status)**


```
CREATE TABLE Member
(mname VARCHAR(30) ,
riding VARCHAR(30) ,
pname VARCHAR(20) NOT NULL ,
PRIMARY KEY (mname) ,
FOREIGN KEY (pname)
REFERENCES Parties)
```

# Participation Constraints

- Can usually not be reflected
- Only exception on previous slide
  - If there is a key constraint and a participation constraint

# Renaming

In the case the keys of the participating entity sets have the same names we must rename attributes accordingly



- Companies(name, addr, empl)
- SpinOff(spinoffcompany, parentcompany)

*Primary key*

CREATE TABLE SpinOff

(**spinoffcompany** VARCHAR(30) PRIMARY KEY,

**parentcompany** VARCHAR(30),

FOREIGN KEY (spinoffcompany)  
REFERENCES Companies (name),

FOREIGN KEY (parentcompany)  
REFERENCES Companies (name))

*ref  
same  
table*

Renaming can also occur for foreign keys, etc.

Otherwise, all other translation rules apply

# Examples

## Companies

<u>name</u>	addr	empl
BiggestEngCompanyEver	Eng. Av., H3X...	25,000
BiggestConstCommpanyEver	Constr. St. H4E...	47,000
NoNameCompany	Whatever St., ...	200
...		


## Spinoffs

<u>spinoffcompany</u>	parentcompany
NoNameCompany	BiggestConstCompanyEver
...	

- Alternative?

# Translating Weak Entity Sets

- Weak entity set and identifying relationship set are translated into a single table



- `HealthInsurance(id, amount)`
- `Dependant(id, FirstName, DOB)`

`CREATE TABLE Dependant`

`(id INT,`  
`FirstName VARCHAR(30),`  
`DOB DATE,`

`PRIMARY KEY (id, FirstName),`

`FOREIGN KEY (id)`

`REFERENCES HealthInsurance)`

*dependant  
points  
into  
the parent*

# Examples

## HealthInsurance

<u>id</u>	amount
12345	100,000
12346	500,000
...	

## Players

<u>Id</u>	<u>FirstName</u>	DOB
12345	Anna	2010-10-11
12345	Kim	2012-08-15
12346	Wenbo	2018-02-03
...		




# Translating ISA Hierarchies

## General Approach: distribute information among relations

Relation of superclass stores the general attributes and defines key

Relations of subclasses have key of superclass and addit. attributes



- **Companies**(name, addr, empl)
- **Sole**(name, owner)
- **Partnership**(name)
- **Corporation**(name, level)

```
CREATE TABLE Companies
(name VARCHAR(30) PRIMARY KEY,
addr VARCHAR(50),
empl INTEGER)
```

```
CREATE TABLE Corporation
(name VARCHAR(30) PRIMARY KEY,
level VARCHAR(20),
FOREIGN KEY (name)
REFERENCES Companies)
```

*both*

## Contrast:

- E/R: sub-entity sets do NOT have primary key attribute (that would be redundant)
- Relational: sub-tables have primary key attribute which represents a reference to the parent table
  - That's not redundant: it's the encoding of the ISA symbol!

# Examples

## Companies

<u>name</u>	addr	empl
BiggestEngCompanyEver	Eng. Av., H3X...	25,000
BiggestConstCompanyEver	Constr. St. H4E...	47,000
NoNameCompany	Whatever St., ...	200
...		

## Corporation

<u>name</u>	level
BiggestEngCompanyEver	large
...	

need the  
foreign  
key  
to exist  
first

## Sole

<u>name</u>	owner
NoNameCompany	Bugs Bunny
...	

insert first  
into companies  
then corp/sole

Overlapping/disjoint ?

Covering / non-covering ? *unenforceable*

# Translating ISA Hierarchies (contd.)

- Object-oriented approach:
  - Sub-classes have all attributes;
  - if an entity is in a sub-class it does not appear in the super-class relation;
  - No relation for superclass if covering
    - Companies(name, addr, empl)
    - Corporation(name,addr,empl,level)
    - Sole(name, addr, empl, owner)
    - Partnership(name,addr,empl)

## Companies

<u>name</u>	addr	empl
BiggestConstCompanyEver	Constr. St. H4E...	47,000
...		

## Corporation

<u>name</u>	addr	empl	level
BiggestEngCompanyEver	Eng. Av., H3X...	25,000	large
...			

## Sole

<u>name</u>	addr	empl	owner
NoNameCompany	Whatever st.	200	Bugs Bunny
...			

# Object-oriented

## Pro/Contra:

- + A query asking for all information about Corporations (name, addr, empl, level) only has to scan through one table.
- A Query wanting the names of all companies has to read all four tables
- Overlapping sub entity sets => undesired redundancy

- **Companies(name, addr, empl)**
- **Corporation(name,addr,empl,level)**
- **Sole(name, addr, empl, owner)**
- **Partnership(name,addr,empl)**

# Translating ISA Hierarchies (contd.)

- Last Alternative: one big relation

- Create only one relation for the root entity set with all attributes found anywhere in its network of subclasses.
- Put NULL in attributes not relevant to a given entity

**Companies(name,addr,empl,owner,level)**

<u>name</u>	addr	empl	level	owner
BiggestEngCompanyEver	Eng. Av., H3X...	25,000	large	NULL
BiggestConstCompanyEver	Constr. St. H4E...	47,000	NULL	NULL
NoNameCompany	Whatever St., ...	200	NULL	Bugs B.
...				

*for corporations*  
*for sole*

# One Big relation

Pro/Contra:

- + All information in one big table; never need to join information from several tables
- Lot's of possible NULL values
- If a sub-class has a relationship set, with another entity set we cannot enforce that only the tuples of that subclass can have relationships in that relationship set.
- Might be hard by looking at a tuple to know which subclass(es) it belongs to as attributes might be NULL despite the fact that the tuple belongs to a subclass