



**KTH Computer Science
and Communication**

Test-oriented runtime verification

Using a test-like specification syntax for runtime verification

ADAM RENBERG

Master's Thesis at CSC
Supervisor Valtech: TITLE? Erland Ranvinge
Supervisor CSC: TITLE Narges Khakpour
Examiner: TITLE Johan Håstad

TRITA xxx yyyy-nn

DRAFT

Abstract

This is a skeleton for KTH theses. More documentation regarding the KTH thesis class file can be found in the package documentation.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Mauris purus. Fusce tempor. Nulla facilisi. Sed at turpis. Phasellus eu ipsum. Nam porttitor laoreet nulla. Phasellus massa massa, auctor rutrum, vehicula ut, porttitor a, massa. Pellentesque fringilla. Duis nibh risus, venenatis ac, tempor sed, vestibulum at, tellus. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos.

Keywords: Runtime Verification, Unit Testing

Referat

"Test-orienterad runtime-verifiering"

Denna fil ger ett avhandlingsskelett. Mer information om L^AT_EX-mallen finns i dokumentationen till paketet.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Mauris purus. Fusce tempor. Nulla facilisi. Sed at turpis. Phasellus eu ipsum. Nam porttitor laoreet nulla. Phasellus massa massa, auctor rutrum, vehicula ut, porttitor a, massa. Pellentesque fringilla. Duis nibh risus, venenatis ac, tempor sed, vestibulum at, tellus. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos.

Keywords (Sökord? Nyckelord?):

Preface

This is a master thesis / exjobb in Computer Science at the Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), Stockholm. The work was done at Valtech Sweden, an IT Consultancy. It was supervised by Erland Ranvinge (Valtech) and Dr. (TODO: check) Narges Khakpour (CSC KTH).

Thanks to people. TODO:

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Background	3
2.1	Proving Correctness	3
2.1.1	Formal Verification	3
2.1.2	Model Checking	3
2.1.3	Testing	3
2.2	Runtime Verification	3
2.2.1	Writing Specifications	3
2.2.2	Transforming Specifications and Instrumenting Code	3
2.2.3	Online v. Offline	4
3	Test-Oriented Runtime Verification	5
3.1	Testing Frameworks	5
3.2	Comparing Testing Frameworks, Languages and Environments	5
3.3	pyrv	5
3.3.1	General	5
3.3.2	Syntax?	5
3.3.3	Correctness	5
3.4	Conclusions	5
4	Discussion	7
	Appendices	7
A	RDF	9
	Bibliography	11

Chapter 1

Introduction

This is the introduction.

Some temporary citations: [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15]

Purpose: Test-like syntax of runtime verification specifications.

What will this report discuss? What problems? Why is this interesting?

What will this report **not** discuss?

Perhaps: Discuss the sectioning of this report.

DRAFT

Chapter 2

Background

Previous work, in correctness and RV.

2.1 Proving Correctness

2.1.1 Formal Verification

Best result. Tedious. Often impossible.

2.1.2 Model Checking

Nice, simpler than formal verification. Can yield impossibly large state spaces.

2.1.3 Testing

Not formal - doesn't prove anything except for the specified test cases.

Manual. Automatic test-generation?

TDD. BDD.

2.2 Runtime Verification

The idea: Lightweight formal verification. Execution trace. Speed? Monitoring.

2.2.1 Writing Specifications

LTL. TLTL. EAGLE?

High-level, abstract. Easier than the implementation.

2.2.2 Transforming Specifications and Instrumenting Code

Büchi Automata. AspectJ.

2.2.3 Online v. Offline

DRAFT

Chapter 3

Test-Oriented Runtime Verification

What have I done, and why (again)?

3.1 Testing Frameworks

How do they work? What are their syntaxes?

3.2 Comparing Testing Frameworks, Languages and Environments

Why this testing framework as starting point? Why this language?

3.3 pyrv

3.3.1 General

3.3.2 Syntax?

3.3.3 Correctness

3.4 Conclusions

It is all awwwesomee!

DRAFT

Chapter 4

Discussion

What do we see in the future? How can this be extended, continued?

Results (un)expected? Larger context.

Some speculation? Recommendations?

DRAFT

Appendix A

RDF

And here is a figure

Figure A.1. Several statements describing the same resource.

that we refer to here: A.1

DRAFT

Bibliography

- [1] C. A. R. Hoare, “An axiomatic basis for computer programming,” *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 12, pp. 576–580, 583, October 1969.
- [2] R. W. Floyd, “Assigning meanings to programs,” *Proceedings of Symposium on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 19, pp. 19–32, 1967.
- [3] A. Pnueli, “The temporal logic of programs,” *Proceedings of the 18th IEEE Symposium on the Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS-77)*, pp. 46–57, 1977.
- [4] M. Leucker and C. Schallhart, “A brief account of runtime verification,” *The Journal of Logic and Algebraic Programming*, vol. 78, no. 5, pp. 293–303, 2009.
- [5] A. Bauer, M. Leucker, and C. Schallhart, “Monitoring of real-time properties,” in *In Proceedings of the 26th Conference on Foundations of Software Technology and Theoretical Computer Science (FSTTCS), volume 4337 of LNCS*, pp. 260–272, Springer, 2006.
- [6] A. Bauer, M. Leucker, and C. Schallhart, “The good, the bad, and the ugly, but how ugly is ugly?,” 2008.
- [7] N. Delgado, A. Q. Gates, and S. Roach, “A taxonomy and catalog of runtime software-fault monitoring tools,” *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 30, pp. 859–872, December 2004.
- [8] B. Meyer, “Applying “design by contract”,” *Computer (IEEE)*, vol. 25, pp. 40–51, October 1992.
- [9] D. S. Rosenblum, “A practical approach to programming with assertions,” *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 21, pp. 19–31, January 1995.
- [10] D. Bartetzko, C. Fischer, M. Möller, and H. Wehrheim, “Jass – java with assertions,” *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 103 – 117, 2001. RV’2001, Runtime Verification (in connection with CAV ’01).
- [11] E. Bodden, “A lightweight LTL runtime verification tool for Java,” in *Companion to the 19th Annual ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Object-Oriented*

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Programming, Systems, Languages, and Applications, OOPSLA 2004, October 24-28, 2004, Vancouver, BC, Canada*, pp. 306–307, ACM, 2004. ACM Student Research Competition.
- [12] E. Bodden, “Efficient and Expressive Runtime Verification for Java,” in *Grand Finals of the ACM Student Research Competition 2005*, March 2005.
 - [13] K. Beck, “Simple smalltalk testing: With patterns.” <http://www.xprogramming.com/testfram.htm>, Retrieved on 2012-07-03.
 - [14] M. Fowler, “Xunit.” <http://www.martinfowler.com/bliki/Xunit.html>, Retrieved on 2012-07-03.
 - [15] M. Matusiak, “Strategies for aspect oriented programming in python,” May 2009.