# chapter 5 Performance Analysis

2021/6/27

# Regret Analysis

- A player chooses an action  $\theta^{(t)} \in K$  every t period, where K is a feasible set of actions.
- ullet The cost function  $f^{(t)}$  determines the cost  $f^{(t)}(\theta^{(t)})$  for action  $\theta^{(t)}$ .
- The player decides his action based on the strategy.

# Regret Analysis

- How does the player choose an action which minimizes a total cost  $\sum f^{(t)}(\theta^{(t)})$ ?
- Can the cost function be minimized even if it is not unknown?
- We introduce a regret about the strategy.

### definition (Regret)

The difference between the total cost of an action based on a strategy A and the total cost of the optimal strategy  $\theta^*$  is defined as the regret Regret(A) of strategy A.

$$Regret(A) = \sum_{t=1}^T f^{(t)}(\theta^{(t)}) - \sum_{t=1}^T f^{(t)}(\theta^*)$$

# Regret Analysis

### Regret analysis in online learning

- Let action be the parameter of the online learner  $\pmb{\theta}^{(t)} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  given the training data  $(\pmb{x}^{(t)}, y^{(t)})$ .
- Let the cost function be a loss function  $f^{(t)} = (\boldsymbol{x}^{(t)}, y^{(t)}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ .
- In this case, the optimal strategy is the strategy that chooses the action that minimizes the cost function for all training data.

### Follow the Leader

 At first, We consider strategy for choosing action that minimizes the total cost to date.

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in K} \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} f^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

• This strategy is called Follow the Leader (FTL).

### Follow the Leader

- However, there are cases where FTL doesn't work.
- $\bullet$  Consider action  $\theta \in [-1,1]$  and cost function  $f^{(t)}(\theta) = (1/2)(-1)^t \theta.$
- In this case, the action goes back and forth between -1 and 1 except for the first, as  $\theta^{(1)}=0, \theta^{(2)}=-1, \theta^{(2)}=1,....$
- The cost function is 1/2 except for the first, as  $f^{(1)}(\theta^{(1)})=0, f^{(2)}(\theta^{(2)})=1/2, f^{(3)}(\theta^{(3)})=1/2,....$

### Follow the Leader

- On the other hand, The optimal strategy is  $\theta=0$  and the total cost of it is 0.
- Therefore, FTL regret in this case doesn't approach 0.
- We have to expand FTL.

• Regularized Follow the Leader (RFTL)

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} = \operatorname*{arg\ min}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in K} \eta \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} f^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + R(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- Let  $R(\theta)$  be convex regularization function. Let  $\eta \geq 0$  be parameter that determines the degree of regularization.
- When choosing the first action, the cost function is not presented, so action is determined only by the regularization term.

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(1)} = \operatorname*{arg\ min}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in K} R(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

• We introduce lemma and definitions to derive RFTL regret.

#### lemma

For any vector  $\mathbf{u} \in K$ , the following holds.

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{u}) \leq \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)}) + \frac{1}{\eta} \left\{ R(\boldsymbol{u}) - R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(1)}) \right\} \tag{1}$$

chapter 5 Performance Analysis

### Proof.

For simplicity, let us assume that  ${\pmb f}^{(0)}=\frac{1}{\eta}R({\pmb \theta})$  and the algorithm starts at t=0.

$$\sum_{t=0}^{T} \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \frac{1}{\eta} R(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

In this time, the lemma can be expressed as following.

$$\sum_{t=0}^{T} \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{u}) \leq \sum_{t=0}^{T} \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)})$$

### Proof.

At t=0, by definition,  $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(1)}=\arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}R(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  and  $\boldsymbol{f}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(1)})\leq \boldsymbol{f}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{u})$  holds. therefore,

$$\boldsymbol{f}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}) - \boldsymbol{f}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{u}) \leq \boldsymbol{f}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}) - \boldsymbol{f}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(1)})$$



### Proof.

At t>0, assume that lemma holds for t=T. In this time,

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(T+2)} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sum_{t=0}^{T+1} \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$
 (2)

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(T+1)} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$
 (3)



### Proof.

Using equation (2) and (3),

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{t=0}^{T+1} \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{u}) \\ &\leq \sum_{t=0}^{T+1} \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}) - \sum_{t=0}^{T+1} \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(T+2)}) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{T} (\boldsymbol{f}^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}) - \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(T+2)})) + \boldsymbol{f}^{(T+1)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(T+1)}) - \boldsymbol{f}^{(T+1)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(T+2)}) \\ &\leq \sum_{t=0}^{T} (\boldsymbol{f}^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}) - \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(T+1)})) + \boldsymbol{f}^{(T+1)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(T+1)}) - \boldsymbol{f}^{(T+1)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(T+2)}) \\ &- \sum_{t=0}^{T+1} \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}) - \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)}) \end{split}$$

### definition (norm based on positive semi-definite matrix)

We define  $\|x\|_A = \sqrt{x^T A x}$  as the norm of vector x based on positive semi-definite matrix A.

We also define  $\|x\|_{A^{-1}} = \|x\|_A^*$  as a dual norm.

 In this time, from generalized Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the following holds.

$$oldsymbol{x}^Toldsymbol{y} \leq \|oldsymbol{x}\|_{oldsymbol{A}}\|oldsymbol{y}\|_{oldsymbol{A}}^*$$

### definition (norm of cost function)

A norm of cost function measured by the regularization function is difined as

$$\lambda = \max_{t, \pmb{\theta} \in K} \pmb{f}^{(t)T} \{ \nabla^2 R(\pmb{\theta}) \}^{-1} \pmb{f}^{(t)}$$

### definition (diameter of feasible area)

A diameter measured by the regularization function is defined as

$$D = \max_{\pmb{\theta} \in K} R(\pmb{\theta}) - R(\pmb{\theta}^{(1)})$$

### theorem (regret of RFTL)

RFTL achives the following regret for any vector  $\mathbf{u} \in K$ .

$$Regret(A) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{u}) \leq 2\sqrt{2\lambda DT}$$

### Proof.

At first, we define  $\Phi$  as following.

$$\Phi^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \eta \sum_{i=1}^{t} f^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + R(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

By Taylor expansion of  $\Phi^{(t)}$  around  $\pmb{\theta}^{(t+1)}$  and using intermediate value theorem, we can show following.

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}) &= \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)}) + (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)})^T \nabla \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)}\|_{\boldsymbol{z}^{(t)}}^2 \\ &\geq \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)}) + \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)}\|_{\boldsymbol{z}^{(t)}}^2 \end{split}$$

### Proof.

Here, from intermediate value theorem,  $\mathbf{z}^{(t)} \in [\mathbf{\theta}^{(t+1)}, \mathbf{\theta}^{(t)}]$ This inequality holds because  $\mathbf{\theta}^{(t)}$  achieves the minimum value of  $\Phi^{(t)}$ . By transforming the equation, we can get following.

$$\begin{split} \| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)} \|_{\boldsymbol{z}^{(t)}}^2 &\leq 2(\Phi^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}) - \Phi^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)})) \\ &= 2(\Phi^{(t-1)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}) - \Phi^{(t-1)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)})) + 2\eta \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)}) \\ &\leq 2\eta \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)}) \end{split}$$

#### Proof.

Also, using generalized Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the following holds.

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)}) &\leq \|\boldsymbol{f}^{(t)}\|_{\boldsymbol{z}}^* \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)}\|_{\boldsymbol{z}} \\ &\leq \|\boldsymbol{f}^{(t)}\|_{\boldsymbol{z}}^* \sqrt{2\eta \boldsymbol{f}^{(t)T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)})} \end{split}$$

furthermore,

$$\boldsymbol{f}^{(t)T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)}) \le 2\eta \|\boldsymbol{f}^{(t)}\|_{\boldsymbol{z}}^{*2} \le 2\eta\lambda \tag{4}$$



### Proof.

Using (1) and (4), adding up to T and letting  $\eta$  be  $\eta = \sqrt{\frac{D}{2\lambda T}}$  which minimizes this equation, we can get

$$Regret(A) \leq min_{\eta} \left[ 2\eta \lambda T + \frac{1}{\eta} \{ R(\pmb{u}) - R(\pmb{\theta}^{(t)}) \} \right] \leq 2\sqrt{2D\lambda T}$$



### cf. Hazan, Agarwal and Kale (2007)

- a player chooses a point (action) from a set in Euclidean space denoted  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- ullet We assume that the set K is non-empty, bounded, closed and convex.
- ullet We denote the number of iterations by T which is unknown to the player.

- At iteration t, the player chooses  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_t \in K$ .
- $\bullet$  After committing to this choice, a convex cost function  $f_t:K\mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is revealed.

- Consider a player using an algorithm for online game playing (strategy) A.
- At iteration t, the algorithm takes as input the history of cost function  $f_1,...,f_{t-1}$  and produces a feasible point  $A(\{f_1,...,f_{t-1}\})$  in the domain K
- $\bullet$  When there is no ambiguity, we simply denote  $\pmb{\theta}_t = A(\{f_1,...,f_{t-1}\}).$

ullet The regret of the online player using algorithm A at time T is defined to be the total cost minus the cost of the best single decision, where the best is chosen with the benefit of hindsight.

$$Regret(A, \{f_1, ..., f_T\}) = E\left\{\sum_{t=1}^T f_t(\pmb{\theta}_t)\right\} - \min_{\pmb{\theta} \in K} \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(\pmb{\theta}).$$

 We are usually interested in an upper bound on the worst case guaranteed regret, denoted

$$Regret_T(A) = \sup_{\{f_1,...,f_T\}} \{Regret(A,\{f_1,...,f_T\})\}.$$

#### definition

We say that the cost functions have gradients upper bounded by a number G if the following holds:

$$\sup_{\pmb{\theta} \in K, t \in \{1, \dots, T\}} \|\nabla f_t(\pmb{\theta})\|_2 \leq G.$$

#### definition

We say that the Hessian of all cost functions is lower bounded by a number  $\alpha > 0$ , if the following holds:

$$\forall \boldsymbol{\theta} \in K, t \in \{f_1, ..., f_T\} : \nabla^2 f_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \succeq \alpha \boldsymbol{I}_n.$$

 $\alpha$  is a lower bound on eigenvalues of all the Hessians of the constraints at all points in the domain. Such function is called  $\alpha$ -strong convex.

### algorithm (Online Gradient Descent)

Inputs: convex set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , step sizes  $\eta_1, \eta_2, ... \geq 0$ , inital  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_1 \in K$ .

- In iteration 1, use  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_1 \in K$ .
- In iteration t > 1, use

$$\label{eq:total_total_total} \pmb{\theta}_t = \pi_K(\pmb{\theta}_{t-1} - \eta_{t-1} \nabla f_{t-1}(\pmb{\theta}_{t-1})).$$

Here,  $\pi_K$  denotes the projection onto nearest point in K,  $\pi_K(\boldsymbol{y}) = \operatorname*{arg\ min}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in K} \|\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2.$ 

#### definition

For a given positive semi-definite matrix A, a generalized projection of  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  onto the convex set K is defined as

$$\pi_K^{\pmb{A}}(\pmb{y}) = \underset{\pmb{\theta} \in K}{\arg \min} (\pmb{\theta} - \pmb{y})^{\top} \pmb{A} (\pmb{\theta} - \pmb{y}).$$

Thus, the Euclidean projection can be seen to be a generalized projection with  ${\pmb A}={\pmb I}_n.$ 

#### lemma

Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a convex set,  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{z} = \pi_K^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{y})$  be the generalized projection of  $\mathbf{y}$  onto K according to positive semi-definite matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ . Then for any point  $\mathbf{a} \in K$  it holds that

$$(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{a})^{\top} \boldsymbol{A} (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{a}) \ge (\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{a})^{\top} \boldsymbol{A} (\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{a}).$$

#### Proof.

By definition of generalized projections, the point z minimizes the function  $f(\theta) = (\theta - y)^{\top} A(\theta - y)$  over the convex set. It is a well known fact in optimization that for the optimum z the following holds.

$$\forall \boldsymbol{a} \in K : \nabla f(\boldsymbol{z})^{\top} (\boldsymbol{a} - \boldsymbol{z}) \geq 0$$

which implies

$$2(\pmb{z} - \pmb{y})^{\top} \pmb{A} (\pmb{a} - \pmb{z}) \geq 0 \Rightarrow 2 \pmb{a}^{\top} \pmb{A} (\pmb{z}^{\pmb{y}}) \geq 2 \pmb{z}^{\top} \pmb{A} (\pmb{z}^{\pmb{y}}).$$



#### Proof.

Now by simple calculation:

$$\begin{split} (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{a})^\top \boldsymbol{A} (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{a}) - (\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{a})^\top \boldsymbol{A} (\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{a}) &= \boldsymbol{y}^\top \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{z}^\top \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{z} + 2 \boldsymbol{a}^\top \boldsymbol{A} (\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{y}) \\ &\geq \boldsymbol{y}^\top \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{z}^\top \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{z} + 2 \boldsymbol{z}^\top \boldsymbol{A} (\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{y}) \\ &= \boldsymbol{y}^\top \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{y} - 2 \boldsymbol{z}^\top \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{y} + \boldsymbol{z}^\top \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{z} \\ &= (\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{y})^\top \boldsymbol{A} (\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{y}) \geq 0. \end{split}$$



#### theorem

Online Gradient Descent with step sizes  $\eta_t=\frac{1}{\alpha t}$  achives the following guarantee, for all  $T\geq 1$ 

$$Regret_T(OGD) \le \frac{G^2}{2\alpha}(1 + \log T).$$

### Proof.

Let  ${\pmb{\theta}}^* = \arg\min \sum_{t=1}^T f_t({\pmb{\theta}}).$  Recall the difinition of regret

$$Regret_T(OGD) = \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(\pmb{\theta}_t) - \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(\pmb{\theta}^*).$$

Define  $\nabla_t = \nabla f_t(\pmb{\theta}_t)$ . By using the Taylor series approximation, we have, for some point  $\xi_t$  on the line segment joining  $\pmb{\theta}_t$  to  $\pmb{\theta}^*$ ,

$$\begin{split} f_t(\pmb{\theta}^*) &= f_t(\pmb{\theta}_t) + \nabla_t^\top (\pmb{\theta}^* - \pmb{\theta}_t) + \frac{1}{2} (\pmb{\theta}^* - \pmb{\theta}_t)^\top \nabla^2 f_t(\xi_t) (\pmb{\theta}^* - \pmb{\theta}_t) \\ &\geq f_t(\pmb{\theta}_t) + \nabla_t^\top (\pmb{\theta}^* - \pmb{\theta}_t) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \| \pmb{\theta}^* - \pmb{\theta}_t \|^2. \end{split}$$

### Proof.

The inequality follows from  $\alpha$ -strong convexity. Thus, we have

$$2\{f_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}_t) - f_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)\} \le 2\nabla^{\top}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_t - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) - \alpha\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \boldsymbol{\theta}_t\|^2.$$
 (5)

Using the update rule for  $oldsymbol{ heta}_{t+1}$  and lemma, we get

$$\begin{split} \| \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \|^2 &= \| \pi (\boldsymbol{\theta}_t - \eta_t \nabla_t) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \|^2 \\ &\leq \| \boldsymbol{\theta}_t - \eta_t \nabla_t - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \|^2. \end{split}$$



Proof.

Hence,

$$\begin{split} &\|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|^2 \leq \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_t - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|^2 + \eta_t^2 \|\nabla_t\|^2 - 2\eta_t \nabla_t^\top (\boldsymbol{\theta}_t - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*), \\ &2\nabla_t^\top (\boldsymbol{\theta}_t - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \leq \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}_t - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|^2 - \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|^2}{\eta_t} + \eta_t G^2. \end{split} \tag{6}$$



### Proof.

Sum up (6) from t = 1 to T and using (5), we have:

$$\begin{split} 2\sum_{t=1}^T f_t(\pmb{\theta}_t) - f_t(\pmb{\theta}^*) &\leq \sum_{t=1}^T \|\pmb{\theta}_t - \pmb{\theta}^*\|^2 \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} + \frac{1}{\eta_t} - \alpha\right) + G^2 \sum_{t=1}^T \eta_t \\ &= G^2 \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{\alpha t} \\ &\leq \frac{G^2}{\alpha} (1 + \log T). \end{split}$$

