## **Project 1 - Group Phase**

David Klausner, Elias Mindlberger, Peter Fischer, Florian Hitzler, Thomas Herndler

obiems solved
✓ Newton Method
Newton Method with Hessian Modification
Standard Newton Comparison
☑ linear CG
Linear CG Method on Hilbert Matrix Problem
Comparison of Linear CG with SD on Hilbert Matrix Problem
✓ nonlinear CG methods
✓ PR-Methods
☑ QN methods
☑ BFGS
☑ SR1
SR1 with Trust Region Line Search (Bonus)
☑ Derivative approximation
☑ Gradient Approximation
Hessian Approximation
☑ Outperforming Newton's Method with Quasi-Newton (Bonus)

## 1. Newton Method

## 1.1 With Hessian Modification

```
Rosenbrock, p=(1.2,1.2)
Minimum point: [1.00000001 1.00000001]
Minimum value: 7.128493336170037e-17
Number of iterations: 12
Gradient: [ 1.94437006e-07 -9.00770569e-08]
Distance to solution: 1.556722369824841e-08
Rosenbrock, p=(-1.2,1)
Minimum value: 5.522678593789617e-17
Number of iterations: 25
Minimum point: [0.99999999 0.99999999]
Gradient: [ 1.45994471e-07 -7.92831134e-08]
Distance to solution: 1.4411304689250775e-08
Rosenbrock, p=(0.2,0.8)
Minimum point: [1.00000003 1.00000006]
Minimum value: 5.522678593789617e-17
Number of iterations: 25
Gradient: [ 1.45994471e-07 -7.92831134e-08]
Distance to solution: 1.4411304689250775e-08
f2, p=(-0.2, 1.2)
Minimum point: [-1.48277537e-09 1.00000001e+00]
Minimum value: 4.771095333221083e-16
Number of iterations: 11
Gradient: [-4.32695230e-07 4.85495402e-08]
Distance to solution: 6.607898262226106e-09
f2, p=(3.8,0.1)
Minimum point: [4.00000000e+00 2.84305733e-11]
Minimum value: 3.1940247516021064e-18
Number of iterations: 12
Gradient: [1.11987086e-09 1.40946236e-07]
Distance to solution: 2.126209448519987e-09
f2, p=(1.9,0.6)
Minimum point: [4.0000000e+00 2.9912427e-11]
Minimum value: 3.3168565981356098e-18
Number of iterations: 14
Gradient: [1.08141052e-09 1.47905292e-07]
Distance to solution: 2.0433899159401905e-09
```

## 1.1 Standard Newton

Rosenbrock, p=(1.2,1.2)

Minimum point: [1.00000001 1.00000001] Minimum value: 7.128493336170037e-17

Number of iterations: 12

Norm of gradient: 2.1428864992956312e-07 Distance to solution: 1.556722369824841e-08

Rosenbrock, p=(-1.2,1)

Minimum value: 6.211761725434095e-16

Number of iterations: 24

Norm of gradient: 5.712667521938612e-07 Distance to solution: 4.791897643096395e-08

Rosenbrock, p=(0.2,0.8)

Minimum point: [0.99999999 0.99999999] Minimum value: 6.540915293266381e-17

Number of iterations: 18

Norm of gradient: 1.8078557548117722e-07 Distance to solution: 1.5684109617692892e-08

f2, p=(-0.2, 1.2)

No convergence after 10001 iterations. Minimum point: [-0.18727432 0.56730425]

Minimum value: 2.612825050148156

Number of iterations: 10001

Gradient norm: [-19.04040857 2.13277802] Distance to solution: 0.4714841255137952

f2, p=(3.8,0.1)

No convergence after 10001 iterations.

Minimum value: 2.576003804856032

Number of iterations: 10001

Gradient norm: [-0.10654103 11.32333084] Distance to solution: 1.2966050653251622

f2, p=(1.9,0.6)

Minimum point: [0.43685073 0.10921268]

Minimum value: 2.784867103719925

Number of iterations: 12

Gradient norm: [1.01302332e-07 2.29186784e-07]

Distance to solution: 0.9921394044367395

Standard Newton seems to have problems with the second function.

## 2. Linear CG

## 2.1. Linear CG on Hilbert Matrix Problem

```
Task 1
A = Hilbert, b = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
Minimum found after 6 iterations: [ 4.99999999
 -119.99999999
  630.00000001
-1119.99999999
  630.00000001]
Minimum value: [1. 1. 1. 1.]
||grad f(x)||: 8.86699613185672e-09
Difference to true minimum: 8.86699613185672e-09
Task 2
A = Hilbert, b = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
Minimum found after 19 iterations: [-8.00000407e+00
 5.04000069e+02
 -7.56000003e+03
 4.61999980e+04
-1.38599992e+05
 2.16215989e+05
-1.68167993e+05
 5.14799982e+041
Minimum value: [1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.]
||grad f(x)||: 6.1338178330774e-09
Difference to true minimum: 6.1338178330774e-09
Task 3
A = Hilbert, b = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
n = 12
Minimum found after 38 iterations: [-9.60921534e+00
 8.15407288e+02
 -1.64966586e+04
 1.35510701e+05
 -5.36481917e+05
 1.02540008e+06
 -6.42578530e+05
 -6.57591399e+05
 8.04244405e+05
 6.63073081e+05
 -1.24128067e+06
 4.65506943e+051
Minimum value: [1.00000022 1.00000001 0.99999993 0.99999996 0.99999982
1.00000014
0.99999956 1.00000016 1.00000011 0.99999962 1.00000014 0.999999831
||grad f(x)||: 2.510237094806557e-06
Difference to true minimum: 2.510237094806557e-06
```

```
Task 4
A = Hilbert, b = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
n = 20
Minimum found after 75 iterations: [-1.09772145e+01
 1.05100540e+03
 -2.39569833e+04
 2.20428394e+05
 -9.65350725e+05
 1.99010296e+06
 -1.25269794e+06
 -1.34346789e+06
 8.83230941e+05
 1.68795446e+06
  3.88209251e+05
 -1.30552011e+06
 -1.71054295e+06
 -5.28240865e+05
 1.20868164e+06
 2.00288792e+06
 9.44586177e+05
 -1.43405011e+06
 -2.65094980e+06
 1.88785488e+061
Minimum value: [0.99999962 0.99999975 0.99999962 1.00000003 0.99999993
1.0000016
0.99999958 0.99999983 1.00000005 0.9999999 0.99999974 0.99999982
1.00000006 1.0000002 1.00000013 0.99999992 0.99999998 0.99999992
1.0000001 0.99999969]
||grad f(x)||: 4.303230123701973e-06
Difference to true minimum: 4.303230123701973e-06
```

```
Task 5
A = Hilbert, b = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
Minimum found after 157 iterations: [-1.25690103e+01
 1.38807765e+03
 -3.67103190e+04
  3.96178550e+05
 -2.07992111e+06
  5.43183168e+06
 -5.71546372e+06
 -1.60082168e+06
  4.84350708e+06
  3.47462393e+06
 -1.96769217e+06
 -4.97771597e+06
 -3.39492975e+06
  7.57630205e+05
  4.23389237e+06
  4.94829781e+06
  2.77358781e+06
 -9.01660176e+05
 -4.14632007e+06
 -5.41788639e+06
 -4.14123260e+06
 -8.49740334e+05
  3.05420641e+06
 5.79980072e+06
 5.88114162e+06
 2.71283527e+06
 -2.77612654e+06
 -7.65879613e+06
 -6.66512556e+06
 8.02155563e+06]
Minimum value: [0.99999978 0.999999974 0.999999991 1.00000007 0.999999975
0.99999987
 0.99999983 1.00000014 1.00000026 1.00000015 1.00000001 0.99999995
 0.99999993 0.9999999 0.99999984 0.99999978 0.99999976 0.9999998
 0.99999989 1. 1.0000001 1.00000015 1.00000015 1.00000011
 1.00000006 1.00000001 0.99999998 0.99999996 0.99999987 0.9999996 ]
||grad f(x)||: 4.897558151781639e-06
Difference to true minimum: 4.897558151781639e-06
```

# 2.2. Comparison of Linear CG and SD (exact line search) on Hilbert Matrix Problem

The linear Conjugate Gradient (CG) Method outperformed the Steepest Descent (SD) Method (with exact line search) in every single task. While CG found a viable solution that satisfies the stopping criterion (error < 1e-6) in at most 157 iterations for n=30, SD was not able to find such a solution within the iteration limit (= 1000000) for any problem. Despite this result, the SD method using exact line search performed better than SD using backtracking, finding lower minimum values in the same number of iterations.

```
Task 1
Q = Hilbert, b = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
f(x) = (1/2) * x.T * Q * x - b.T * x
Minimum found after 1000000 iterations: [[ 4.97192388]
 [-119.46958456]
   627.699244451
 [-1116.51276222]
   628.28978481]]
Minimum value: [[-12.49996603]]
||grad f(x)||: 1.7143967334756586e-05
Difference to true minimum: 3.3966622993375495e-05
Task 2
Q = Hilbert, b = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
f(x) = (1/2) * x.T * Q * x - b.T * x
n = 8
Minimum found after 1000000 iterations: [[ -3.69087764]
[ 107.56008761]
 [ -633.82616144]
 [ 980.229172691
 [ 407.66746495]
 [-1008.33287566]
[-1139.3839629 ]
 [ 1331.35421876]]
Minimum value: [[-21.55600514]]
||grad f(x)||: 0.0008437910262655218
Difference to true minimum value: 10.443994902756572
Task 3
Q = Hilbert, b = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
f(x) = (1/2) * x.T * Q * x - b.T * x
n = 12
Minimum found after 1000000 iterations: [[-9.40784457e-01]
 [-5.03178437e+00]
 [ 2.06156614e+02]
 [-6.97318280e+02]
 [ 1.63314105e+02]
 [ 7.93919499e+02]
 [ 5.61899795e+02]
 [-2.08133893e+02]
 [-9.31697146e+02]
 [-1.08890493e+03]
 [-3.18299594e+02]
[ 1.58612821e+031]
Minimum value: [[-32.16293912]]
||grad f(x)||: 0.001773012356061572
Difference to true minimum value: 38.591761719860074
```

```
Task 4
Q = Hilbert, b = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
f(x) = (1/2) * x.T * Q * x - b.T * x
n = 20
Minimum found after 1000000 iterations: [[ 2.85444964]
[-50.20871857]
[ 111.01519004]
[ 240.98486693]
 [-349.80370273]
 [-507.99750389]
 [ -189.29975215]
 [ 277.16690671]
 [ 616.87325736]
   704.44474259]
 [ 536.8374806 ]
 [ 184.08900408]
 [ -251.15328954]
 [ -660.0897437 ]
 [ -942.67611454]
[-1014.6702833]
 [ -809.86687065]
 [ -279.63966939]
[ 608.8581274 ]
[ 1875.02812606]]
Minimum value: [[-53.36989093]]
||grad f(x)||: 0.0022578964531068963
Difference to true minimum value: 483.5436644318868
```

```
Task 5
Q = Hilbert, b = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
f(x) = (1/2) * x.T * Q * x - b.T * x
n = 30
Minimum found after 1000000 iterations: [[ -3.08783708]
 [ 81.39045573]
 [-412.26412902]
 [ 415.50335848]
[ 449.71713684]
    -8.94122185]
 [-433.51999413]
 [ -594.39443178]
 [-489.48732162]
 [-214.38955722]
 [ 119.50332383]
 [ 422.024213081
 [ 635.01042936]
 [ 730.64079148]
 [ 705.15260252]
 [ 571.76553225]
 [ 354.42298098]
 [ 82.8521705 ]
 [ -211.05762338]
 [ -495.75235607]
 [ -741.62662085]
 [ -921.97812704]
 [-1013.49068336]
 [ -996.39232687]
 [ -854.39873114]
 [-574.52213403]
 [-146.80275436]
 [ 435.99786136]
 [ 1178.71501107]
[ 2084.09327436]]
Minimum value: [[-80.5692617]]
||grad f(x)||: 0.0026423621145396114
Difference to true minimum value: 1337.9328586180054
```

## 3. Nonlinear CG

#### 3.1. FR Method

```
Rosenbrock function with point (1.2, 1.2)
Number of iterations: 55
Solution: [1.
                  1.000000011
Gradient norm: 7.247654104065839e-07
Distance to solution: 8.401592678920707e-09
Rosenbrock function with point (-1.2, 1)
Number of iterations: 81
Solution: [0.99999971 0.99999943]
Gradient norm: 7.755663862962493e-07
Distance to solution: 6.418836722987867e-07
Rosenbrock function with point (0.2, 0.8)
Number of iterations: 60
Solution: [0.99999951 0.99999902]
Gradient norm: 4.383115345597357e-07
Distance to solution: 1.0956967102349871e-06
f(x) = 150 * (x1*x2) ** 2 + (0.5 * x1 + 2 * 2* x2 - 2) ** 2 with point (-0.2,
1.2)
Number of iterations: 69
Solution: [-1.86622072e-09 1.00000003e+00]
Gradient norm: 5.551829844773979e-07
Distance to solution: 3.093070567403244e-08
f(x) = 150 * (x1*x2) ** 2 + (0.5 * x1 + 2 * 2* x2 - 2) ** 2 with point (3.8,
0.1)
Number of iterations: 54
Solution: [ 4.00000139e+00 -6.32857415e-10]
Gradient norm: 7.413876391102972e-07
Distance to solution: 1.3919047105872326e-06
f(x) = 150 * (x1*x2) ** 2 + (0.5 * x1 + 2 * 2* x2 - 2) ** 2 with point (1.9,
0.6)
Number of iterations: 54
Solution: [ 4.00000139e+00 -6.32857415e-101
Gradient norm: 7.413876391102972e-07
Distance to solution: 1.3919047105872326e-06
```

```
USING APPROXIMATED GRADIENT
Rosenbrock function with point (1.2, 1.2)
Number of iterations: 125
Solution: [0.99999932 0.99999863]
Gradient norm: 7.317133571918452e-07
Distance to solution: 1.5333275791379874e-06
Rosenbrock function with point (0.2, 0.8)
Number of iterations: 73
Solution: [1.00000027 1.00000054]
Gradient norm: 6.35768839301528e-07
Distance to solution: 6.00902420318811e-07
f(x) = 150 * (x1*x2) ** 2 + (0.5 * x1 + 2 * 2* x2 - 2) ** 2 with point (-0.2,
1.2)
Number of iterations:
Solution: [-1.86608762e-09 1.00000003e+00]
Gradient norm: 5.551372698180946e-07
Distance to solution: 3.092492259036113e-08
f(x) = 150 * (x1*x2) ** 2 + (0.5 * x1 + 2 * 2* x2 - 2) ** 2 with point (3.8,
0.1
Number of iterations: 60
Solution: [ 4.00000062e+00 -3.40565480e-10]
Gradient norm: 5.09214033778555e-07
Distance to solution: 6.156406664975145e-07
f(x) = 150 * (x1*x2) ** 2 + (0.5 * x1 + 2 * 2* x2 - 2) ** 2 with point (1.9,
0.6)
Number of iterations: 96
Solution: [3.99999859e+00 6.95565649e-10]
Gradient norm: 8.754173566703669e-07
Distance to solution: 1.4125871826125498e-06
```

#### 3.2. PR Method

```
Rosenbrock function with point (1.2, 1.2)
Number of iterations: 43
Solution: [1.00000089 1.00000178]
Gradient norm: 7.962424681971362e-07
Distance to solution: 1.990730248813831e-06
Rosenbrock function with point (-1.2, 1)
Number of iterations: 99
Solution: [0.99999934 0.99999868]
Gradient norm: 9.194286008418815e-07
Distance to solution: 1.471766841120434e-06
Rosenbrock function with point (0.2, 0.8)
Number of iterations: 34
Solution: [1.00000057 1.00000115]
Gradient norm: 5.160249338583101e-07
Distance to solution: 1.2805534504152312e-06
f(x) = 150 * (x1*x2) ** 2 + (0.5 * x1 + 2 * 2* x2 - 2) ** 2 with point (-0.2,
1.2)
Number of iterations: 34
Solution: [-1.83774290e-09 1.00000005e+00]
Gradient norm: 6.02509491241137e-07
Distance to solution: 5.034582541958129e-08
f(x) = 150 * (x1*x2) ** 2 + (0.5 * x1 + 2 * 2* x2 - 2) ** 2 with point (3.8,
0.1)
Number of iterations: 55
Solution: [3.99999999e+00 1.31446928e-10]
Gradient norm: 6.181319202996985e-07
Distance to solution: 6.937855283616512e-09
f(x) = 150 * (x1*x2) ** 2 + (0.5 * x1 + 2 * 2* x2 - 2) ** 2 with point (1.9,
0.6)
Number of iterations: 67
Solution: [ 3.99999984e+00 -2.72239578e-11]
Gradient norm: 4.4799919712483173e-07
Distance to solution: 1.5516404933263727e-07
```

#### USING APPROXIMATED GRADIENT

```
Rosenbrock function with point (1.2, 1.2)
Number of iterations: 35
Solution: [1.00000047 1.00000094]
Gradient norm: 4.292772878050737e-07
Distance to solution: 1.0461858903387703e-06
Rosenbrock function with point (0.2, 0.8)
Number of iterations: 45
Solution: [1.00000082 1.00000164]
Gradient norm: 7.361712124829501e-07
Distance to solution: 1.831176573977433e-06
f(x) = 150 * (x1*x2) ** 2 + (0.5 * x1 + 2 * 2* x2 - 2) ** 2 with point (-0.2,
1.2)
Number of iterations:
Solution: [-1.83774290e-09 1.00000005e+00]
Gradient norm: 6.025094945900538e-07
Distance to solution: 5.034582608542679e-08
f(x) = 150 * (x1*x2) ** 2 + (0.5 * x1 + 2 * 2* x2 - 2) ** 2 with point (3.8,
0.1
Number of iterations: 63
Solution: [3.99999957e+00 1.41326081e-11]
Gradient norm: 8.178183271552984e-07
Distance to solution: 4.2859946370862456e-07
f(x) = 150 * (x1*x2) ** 2 + (0.5 * x1 + 2 * 2* x2 - 2) ** 2 with point (1.9,
0.6)
Number of iterations: 62
Solution: [3.99999918e+00 2.90934519e-10]
Gradient norm: 4.6920735309974764e-07
Distance to solution: 8.158430090658931e-07
```

## 4. QN Methods

## 4.1 BFGS Implementation

```
Rosenbrock, p=(1.2,1.2)
Minimum point: [0.99999999 0.99999999]
Minimum value: 6.52104404048973e-17
Number of iterations: 21
Gradient: [ 1.99706922e-07 -1.05948361e-07]
Distance to solution: 1.4104415287228555e-08
Rosenbrock, p=(-1.2,1)
Minimum point: [1.
                           1.00000011
Minimum value: 3.272100358852412e-16
Number of iterations: 41
Gradient: [-6.92159081e-07 3.50551099e-07]
Distance to solution: 1.1592955835943192e-08
Rosenbrock, p=(0.2,0.8)
Minimum value: 4.513782224198393e-16
Number of iterations: 26
Gradient: [ 5.66220911e-07 -2.98243386e-07]
Distance to solution: 3.5178356428346117e-08
f2, p=(-0.2, 1.2)
Minimum point: [-3.14603913e-09 9.99999999e-01]
Minimum value: 1.4948922651354254e-15
Number of iterations: 15
Gradient: [-9.47014538e-07 -1.28112051e-08]
Distance to solution: 3.2498630668176033e-09
f2, p=(3.8,0.1)
Minimum point: [4.00000000e+00 5.46399999e-11]
Minimum value: 1.0914566549156722e-17
Number of iterations: 12
Gradient: [-1.93630978e-09 2.54526760e-07]
Distance to solution: 4.091544252942489e-09
f2, p=(1.9,0.6)
Minimum point: [ 4.00000009e+00 -2.11925343e-10]
Minimum value: 2.109680589551455e-15
Number of iterations: 26
Gradient: [ 4.47424960e-08 -8.38271709e-07]
Distance to solution: 9.033294191924726e-08
```

## 4.2 SR1 (Standard Line Search) Implementation

```
Rosenbrock, p=(1.2,1.2)
No convergence after 10001 iterations.
Minimum point: [1.00005422 1.00010893]
Minimum value: 2.9636956892425516e-09
Number of iterations: 10001
Gradient: [ 1.32482596e-04 -1.17606729e-05]
Distance to solution: 0.00012167391422476956
Approximate solution is sufficiently close
Rosenbrock, p=(-1.2,1)
No convergence after 10001 iterations.
Minimum point: [1.00019406 1.00038996]
Minimum value: 3.798314950619329e-08
Number of iterations: 10001
Gradient: [ 4.85721956e-04 -4.78188522e-05]
Distance to solution: 0.00043557921732176395
Approximate solution is sufficiently close
Rosenbrock, p=(0.2,0.8)
No convergence after 10001 iterations.
Minimum point: [0.99994404 0.99988752]
Minimum value: 3.163097336858441e-09
Number of iterations: 10001
Gradient: [-1.56715457e-04 2.20835948e-05]
Distance to solution: 0.00012563372146944026
Approximate solution is sufficiently close
f2, p=(-0.2, 1.2)
Minimum point: [-3.22502332e-09 1.00000004e+00]
Minimum value: 8.812968323364963e-15
Number of iterations: 262
Gradient: [-8.82343402e-07 3.40654711e-07]
Distance to solution: 4.350778661540301e-08
f2, p=(3.8,0.1)
Minimum point: [3.97585717e+00 4.06187129e-06]
Minimum value: 0.00014556215708295145
Number of iterations: 10001
Gradient: [-0.01204041 0.03043719]
Distance to solution: 0.02414283370365608
Approximate solution is sufficiently close
f2, p=(1.9,0.6)
No convergence after 10001 iterations.
Minimum point: [3.88083739e+00 2.16242984e-05]
Minimum value: 0.0035458366626300373
Number of iterations: 10001
Gradient: [-0.05940689 0.16323327]
Distance to solution: 0.11916261377073409
```

Approximate solution is sufficiently close

## 4.2 SR1 (Trust Region) Implementation

Rosenbrock, p=(1.2,1.2)Minimum point: [0.99999905 0.9999981] Minimum value: 8.969903467325634e-13 Number of iterations: 8913 Gradient norm: 9.99701924401977e-07 Distance to solution: 2.1192969901940435e-06 Rosenbrock, p=(-1.2,1)Minimum point: [0.99999905 0.9999981 ] Minimum value: 8.983410640802916e-13 Number of iterations: 9612 Gradient norm: 9.984625292112995e-07 Distance to solution: 2.1208943892216378e-06 Rosenbrock, p=(0.2,0.8)Minimum point: [0.99999924 0.99999848] Minimum value: 5.729736394101963e-13 Number of iterations: 2050 Gradient norm: 6.772409923059122e-07 Distance to solution: 1.693944970289191e-06 f2, p=(-0.2, 1.2)Minimum point: [-1.44547318e-09 1.00000010e+00] Minimum value: 4.330613839908077e-14 Number of iterations: 183 Gradient norm: 8.59705282055386e-07 Distance to solution: 1.0404485052346189e-07 f2, p=(3.8,0.1)Minimum point: [3.99999432e+00 1.75182364e-09] Minimum value: 8.052412526024316e-12 Number of iterations: 49999 Gradient norm: 4.082862640663144e-06 Distance to solution: 5.679766054520579e-06 f2, p=(1.9,0.6)Minimum point: [3.99997520e+00 1.29903258e-08] Minimum value: 1.5354038001770454e-10 Number of iterations: 49999 Gradient norm: 1.784240370768631e-05 Distance to solution: 2.4801541548745696e-05

## 5. Derivative Approximation

### 5.1. Rosenbrock Function

```
______
Point: 1.2 1.2
Exact Calculation:
f grad(x) [110.80003 -48.000015]
f hessian(x)
 [[1250.00011826 -480.00001907]
 [-480.00001907 200.
Numerical approximation
f grad approximated(x) [115.04401 -47.769135]
f hessian approximated(x)
[[1238.0177 -475.39447]
[-475.39447 198.08067]]
Error gradient: 4.2502484
Error hessian: 13.772593018295234
______
Point: -1.2 1.0
Exact Calculation:
f grad(x) [-210.80006 -88.00002]
f hessian(x)
 [[1330.00013733 480.00001907]
 [ 480.00001907 200.
Numerical approximation
f grad approximated(x) [-214.56303 -87.576744]
f hessian approximated(x)
[[1317.2229 475.39276]
[ 475.39276 198.0808 ]]
Error gradient: 3.7867014
Error hessian: 14.470479611000002
______
Point: 0.2 0.8
Exact Calculation:
f grad(x) [-63.2 152.]
f hessian(x)
[[-270.00000334 -80.00000119]
[ -80.00000119 200.
Numerical approximation
f grad approximated(x) [-62.487984 151.26889 ]
f hessian approximated(x)
[[-270.75983 -79.728386]
[ -79.728386 198.08095 ]]
Error gradient: 1.0205339
Error hessian: 2.099438554028578
______
```

## 5.2. $f(x) = 150(x1x2)^2+(0.5x1+2x2-2)^2$

```
______
Point: -0.2 1.2
Exact Calculation:
f grad(x) [-86.100006 15.600001]
f hessian(x)
 [[ 432.50003433 -142.00000787]
 [-142.00000787 20.00000036]]
Numerical approximation
f grad approximated(x) [-86.2438 15.524965]
f hessian approximated(x)
[[-4.6298023e+04 -6.9687241e+01]
[-6.9687241e+01 1.9808064e+01]]
Error gradient: 0.16219223
Error hessian: 46730.63537189417
______
Point: 3.8 0.1
Exact Calculation:
f grad(x) [ 11.5 433.6]
f hessian(x)
 [[3.50000009e+00 2.30000001e+02]
[2.30000001e+02 4.33999989e+03]]
Numerical approximation
f grad approximated(x) [ 11.444685 433.64346 ]
f hessian approximated(x)
[[3.46641111e+00 1.15453156e+02]
[1.15453156e+02 4.34086865e+03]]
Error gradient: 0.070343904
Error hessian: 161.99603477108425
______
Point: 1.9 0.6
Exact Calculation:
f grad(x) [205.35002 650.4
f hessian(x)
[[ 108.50000858 686.0000186 ]
[ 686.0000186 1090.99997282]]
Numerical approximation
f grad approximated(x) [204.36227 647.2716 ]
f hessian approximated(x)
[[ 107.45875 340.6987 ]
 [ 340.6987 1080.5299 ]]
Error gradient: 3.2806468
Error hessian: 488.44314696188826
```

## 6. Outperforming the NM with a QN

Choosing the starting point for f2 different is enough. Starting from p=(2.3,0.1) yields

Newton method: 13 iterations

Newton method: [ 4.00000001e+00 -8.23255193e-11]

Newton method: 2.452726727631941e-17

BFGS: 12 iterations

BFGS: [4.00000000e+00 1.06653815e-10]

BFGS: 2.7361209018016954e-17

#### and for p=(3.2, 0.1)

Newton method: 12 iterations

Newton method: [ 4.00000001e+00 -4.90935734e-11]

Newton method: 1.237713334780343e-17

BFGS: 11 iterations

BFGS: [ 4.00000001e+00 -1.62146738e-10]

BFGS: 1.0459004863303137e-16