Software Requirements Specification for SFWRENG 4G06 - Capstone Design Process: subtitle describing software

Team 17, DomainX

Awurama Nyarko Haniye Hamidizadeh Fei Xie Ghena Hatoum October 8, 2025

Table 1: Revision History

Date	Developer(s)	Change
October 6, 2025	Fei Xie	First Draft of Sections: Cost to Ideas for Solution
Date2	Name(s)	Description of changes
	•••	

Contents

1	Pur	rpose of the Project	vi
	1.1	User Business	vi
	1.2	Goals of the Project	vi
2	Sta	keholders	vi
	2.1	Client	vi
	2.2	Customer	vi
	2.3	Other Stakeholders	vi
	2.4	Hands-On Users of the Project	vi
	2.5	Personas	vi
	2.6	Priorities Assigned to Users	vi
	2.7		vii
	2.8	Maintenance Users and Service Technicians	vii
3	Ma	ndated Constraints	vii
	3.1	Solution Constraints	vii
	3.2	Implementation Environment of the Current System	vii
	3.3	Partner or Collaborative Applications	vii
	3.4	Off-the-Shelf Software	vii
	3.5	Anticipated Workplace Environment	vii
	3.6	Schedule Constraints	vii
	3.7	Budget Constraints	vii
	3.8	Enterprise Constraints	⁄iii
4	Nar	ming Conventions and Terminology v	iii
	4.1	Glossary of All Terms, Including Acronyms, Used by Stake-	
		holders involved in the Project	⁄iii
5	Rel	evant Facts And Assumptions v	iii
	5.1	Relevant Facts	/iii
	5.2	Business Rules	
	5.3	Assumptions	
6	The	e Scope of the Work	iii
	6.1	The Current Situation	/iii
	6.2	The Context of the Work	
	6.3		

	6.4	Specifying a Business Use Case (BUC)	ix
7	Bus	iness Data Model and Data Dictionary	ix
	7.1	Business Data Model	ix
	7.2	Data Dictionary	ix
8	The	Scope of the Product	ix
	8.1	Product Boundary	ix
	8.2	Product Use Case Table	ix
	8.3	Individual Product Use Cases (PUC's)	ix
9	Fun	ctional Requirements	ix
	9.1	Functional Requirements	ix
10	Loo	k and Feel Requirements	x
	10.1	Appearance Requirements	X
	10.2	Style Requirements	X
11	Usa	bility and Humanity Requirements	x
	11.1	Ease of Use Requirements	X
	11.2	Personalization and Internationalization Requirements	X
	11.3	Learning Requirements	X
	11.4	Understandability and Politeness Requirements	X
	11.5	Accessibility Requirements	X
12	Per	formance Requirements	x
	12.1	Speed and Latency Requirements	X
		Safety-Critical Requirements	
		Precision or Accuracy Requirements	xi
		Robustness or Fault-Tolerance Requirements	xi
	12.5	Capacity Requirements	xi
	12.6	Scalability or Extensibility Requirements	xi
	12.7	Longevity Requirements	xi
13	Оре	erational and Environmental Requirements	xi
	13.1	Expected Physical Environment	xi
		Wider Environment Requirements	xi
		Requirements for Interfacing with Adjacent Systems	xii
	13.4	Productization Requirements	xii

	13.5 Release Requirements	. xii
14	Maintainability and Support Requirements	xii
	14.1 Maintenance Requirements	
	14.2 Supportability Requirements	
	14.3 Adaptability Requirements	. X11
15	Security Requirements	xii
	15.1 Access Requirements	
	15.2 Integrity Requirements	
	15.3 Privacy Requirements	. xiii
	15.4 Audit Requirements	
	15.5 Immunity Requirements	. xiii
16	Cultural Requirements	xiii
	16.1 Cultural Requirements	. xiii
17	Compliance Requirements	xiii
	17.1 Legal Requirements	
	17.2 Standards Compliance Requirements	. xiii
18	Open Issues	xiii
19	Off-the-Shelf Solutions	$\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}$
	19.1 Ready-Made Products	. XV
	19.2 Reusable Components	. XV
	19.3 Products That Can Be Copied	. XV
ഹ	New Problems	:::
4 0	20.1 Effects on the Current Environment	xviii
	20.2 Effects on the Installed Systems	
	20.3 Potential User Problems	
	20.4 Limitations in the Anticipated Implementation Environment	
	That May Inhibit the New Product	
	20.5 Follow-Up Problems	
21	Tasks	$\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$
	21.1 Project Planning	
	21.2 Planning of the Development Phases	. xxi

22	Costs xxi
23	User Documentation and Training xxii
	23.1 User Documentation Requirements xxii
	23.1.1 User Manual
	23.1.2 Release Manual
	23.2 Training Requirements
24	Waiting Room xxiii
25	Ideas for Solution xxiii

1 Purpose of the Project

1.1 User Business

Insert your content here.

1.2 Goals of the Project

Insert your content here.

2 Stakeholders

2.1 Client

Insert your content here.

2.2 Customer

Insert your content here.

2.3 Other Stakeholders

Insert your content here.

2.4 Hands-On Users of the Project

Insert your content here.

2.5 Personas

Insert your content here.

2.6 Priorities Assigned to Users

2.7 User Participation

Insert your content here.

2.8 Maintenance Users and Service Technicians

Insert your content here.

3 Mandated Constraints

3.1 Solution Constraints

Insert your content here.

3.2 Implementation Environment of the Current System

Insert your content here.

3.3 Partner or Collaborative Applications

Insert your content here.

3.4 Off-the-Shelf Software

Insert your content here.

3.5 Anticipated Workplace Environment

Insert your content here.

3.6 Schedule Constraints

Insert your content here.

3.7 Budget Constraints

3.8 Enterprise Constraints

Insert your content here.

4 Naming Conventions and Terminology

4.1 Glossary of All Terms, Including Acronyms, Used by Stakeholders involved in the Project

Insert your content here.

5 Relevant Facts And Assumptions

5.1 Relevant Facts

Insert your content here.

5.2 Business Rules

Insert your content here.

5.3 Assumptions

Insert your content here.

6 The Scope of the Work

6.1 The Current Situation

Insert your content here.

6.2 The Context of the Work

6.3 Work Partitioning

Insert your content here.

6.4 Specifying a Business Use Case (BUC)

Insert your content here.

7 Business Data Model and Data Dictionary

7.1 Business Data Model

Insert your content here.

7.2 Data Dictionary

Insert your content here.

8 The Scope of the Product

8.1 Product Boundary

Insert your content here.

8.2 Product Use Case Table

Insert your content here.

8.3 Individual Product Use Cases (PUC's)

Insert your content here.

9 Functional Requirements

9.1 Functional Requirements

10 Look and Feel Requirements

10.1 Appearance Requirements

Insert your content here.

10.2 Style Requirements

Insert your content here.

11 Usability and Humanity Requirements

11.1 Ease of Use Requirements

Insert your content here.

11.2 Personalization and Internationalization Requirements

Insert your content here.

11.3 Learning Requirements

Insert your content here.

11.4 Understandability and Politeness Requirements

Insert your content here.

11.5 Accessibility Requirements

Insert your content here.

12 Performance Requirements

12.1 Speed and Latency Requirements

12.2 Safety-Critical Requirements

Insert your content here.

12.3 Precision or Accuracy Requirements

Insert your content here.

12.4 Robustness or Fault-Tolerance Requirements

Insert your content here.

12.5 Capacity Requirements

Insert your content here.

12.6 Scalability or Extensibility Requirements

Insert your content here.

12.7 Longevity Requirements

Insert your content here.

13 Operational and Environmental Requirements

13.1 Expected Physical Environment

Insert your content here.

13.2 Wider Environment Requirements

13.3 Requirements for Interfacing with Adjacent Systems

Insert your content here.

13.4 Productization Requirements

Insert your content here.

13.5 Release Requirements

Insert your content here.

14 Maintainability and Support Requirements

14.1 Maintenance Requirements

Insert your content here.

14.2 Supportability Requirements

Insert your content here.

14.3 Adaptability Requirements

Insert your content here.

15 Security Requirements

15.1 Access Requirements

Insert your content here.

15.2 Integrity Requirements

15.3 Privacy Requirements

Insert your content here.

15.4 Audit Requirements

Insert your content here.

15.5 Immunity Requirements

Insert your content here.

16 Cultural Requirements

16.1 Cultural Requirements

Insert your content here.

17 Compliance Requirements

17.1 Legal Requirements

Insert your content here.

17.2 Standards Compliance Requirements

Insert your content here.

18 Open Issues

The following unresolved items could materially affect the design, deployment, or operation of the NNL Assessment Tool. The issues in Table 2 will be tracked until closure to reduce delivery risk and surprises in later phases.

Table 2: Open issues to track and resolve

Issue #	Summary	2: Open issue Cross-	Stakeholde		Status
	· ·	Reference		Re- quired	
OI-01	Finalize hosting environ- ment for the tool (e.g., internal server or university- managed cloud).	Operational and Envi- ronmental Require- ments	CAS Supervisor, Infrastructure Team	Confirm approved infras- tructure with IT	Pending
OI-02	Confirm the final list of neural network libraries to include in the tool's database (based on domain- expert review).	Scope of the Work	Research Subteam, Domain Expert	Schedule and com- plete review with domain expert	Pending
OI-03	Select user inter- face (UI) frame- work and visual- ization library (e.g., Re- act with Chart.js vs. D3).	Functional Require- ments	Developmen Team	tEvaluate options and record decision	In progress
OI-04	Decide Excel in- tegration	Functional Require- ments	Research Subteam, Develop-	Define use cases and confirm	Pending

19 Off-the-Shelf Solutions

This section identifies existing tools, software, and components that could be leveraged to reduce development time and cost for the Neural Network Libraries (NNL) Assessment Tool. It outlines reusable libraries and products that can be legally copied or adapted to accelerate development and ensure maintainability.

The goal is to reuse proven, reliable components and avoid reinventing existing functionality, thereby optimizing development effort and leveraging established best practices.

19.1 Ready-Made Products

Several existing platforms provide partial functionality aligned with the tool's goals, particularly in data visualization, analytics, and automation. However, none fully satisfy the requirement for automated data gathering, Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) analysis, and integrated visualization.

These products may serve as inspiration or integration points (e.g., Excel import/export) but cannot replace the custom automation and analysis required by the NNL Assessment Tool.

19.2 Reusable Components

The following open-source libraries and frameworks will be incorporated to support data collection, analysis, and visualization.

Reusing these components ensures consistency, leverages reliability, and minimizes custom development effort.

19.3 Products That Can Be Copied

Some open-source or academic research dashboards share functional similarities with the NNL Assessment Tool and may inform design or architecture.

Adaptation saves design time and provides validated frameworks for implementation while maintaining legal compliance.

Considerations:

- Licensing for third-party libraries must be reviewed before adoption.
- Integration testing is required to confirm compatibility.

Table 3: Ready-Made Products Relevant to the NNL Assessment Tool

Product	Description	Relevance to Project	Limitations
Microsoft Excel	Spreadsheet with storage, formulas, and charts.	Used as baseline for current manual process.	Lacks automation, scalability, and centralized access.
Google Sheets	Cloud-based collaborative spreadsheet.	Enables multi- user editing and sharing.	Limited automation, manual data import.
Power BI / Tableau	Advanced an- alytics and visualization tools.	Suitable for dashboards and comparative graphs.	Licensing cost, limited AHP customization.
SurveyMonkey / Google Forms	Online data collection tools.	Useful for gathering expert feedback.	No direct database or analytics integration.

Table 4: Reusable Components

Component	Purpose	Source	Justification
Python Pandas	Data manipulation and analysis.	Open-source	Handles tabular data and transformations efficiently.
Requests (Python)	Retrieve data from GitHub / PyPI APIs.	Open-source	Automates collection of repository metrics.
Matplotlib / Plotly / Chart.js	Visualization libraries.	Open-source	Create interactive and exportable graphs for dashboards.
AHPy / ahpy	Analytic Hierarchy Process implementation.	Open-source	Automates pairwise comparison scoring.
Flask	Backend web framework.	Open-source	Manages API integration and data handling.
React	Frontend user interface framework.	Open-source	Enables responsive dashboards and visualization.
MySQL / SQLite	Database systems.	Open-source	Store collected data and evaluation results.

 Long-term maintenance and documentation quality will influence selection.

Each reused or adapted product will be documented with:

- Name and source
- Functionality
- Integration plan
- Licensing details
- Selection status

20 New Problems

This section identifies potential conflicts, risks, or issues that may arise as a result of implementing the NNL Assessment Tool within McMaster University's research environment. The purpose is to anticipate and document any negative effects or dependencies introduced by the new system.

20.1 Effects on the Current Environment

The new tool will operate within McMaster University's research infrastructure and will rely on institutional hosting (e.g., internal servers). It may introduce additional server load and require IT resources for maintenance.

Motivation: To ensure the new tool integrates smoothly without disrupting existing research tools, storage systems, or academic workflows.

Examples:

- Increased data storage requirements may conflict with current quotas.
- Additional IT workload for managing hosting or user accounts.

Considerations:

• Coordination with the IT infrastructure team is required to confirm compatibility with university servers.

• Ensure the tool does not negatively affect access to existing research applications or networks.

Form: Documented assessment of integration impact with existing systems, supported by infrastructure review.

20.2 Effects on the Installed Systems

The new tool will interface with existing systems such as Excel, university authentication systems (SSO), and potentially university-hosted databases.

Motivation: Identify dependencies between the tool and existing platforms, ensuring stable coexistence and avoiding version conflicts.

Considerations:

- Compatibility with current Microsoft Excel versions.
- Security compliance when connecting to McMaster's SSO.
- Avoid introducing vulnerabilities or version mismatches.

Form: Integration map specifying systems affected, their current versions, and compatibility requirements.

20.3 Potential User Problems

Potential user challenges include onboarding, usability learning curves, and confusion regarding data visualization or interpretation.

Motivation: Ensure researchers and users can adopt the system efficiently without frustration or misinterpretation of outputs.

Considerations:

- Provide user documentation and tutorials.
- Offer training sessions or quick-start guides.
- Establish a support contact for reporting issues.

20.4 Limitations in the Anticipated Implementation Environment That May Inhibit the New Product

Possible constraints include limited hosting capacity, restricted access to certain cloud features, and dependence on McMaster's infrastructure approval.

Motivation: Identify environmental limitations that could delay deployment or reduce tool performance.

Examples:

- Hosting quotas may limit database scaling.
- University IT policies may restrict certain libraries or APIs.
- Limited access to high-performance computing resources.

Considerations: Execute a full review to confirm infrastructure readiness.

20.5 Follow-Up Problems

Potential long-term issues include sustaining the tool after project completion and keeping data updated as new Neural Network Libraries emerge.

Motivation: Ensure the system remains relevant and operational beyond the capstone timeline.

Considerations:

- Define ownership and maintenance responsibilities after project handover.
- Plan for version updates, new library integrations, and user feedback loops.
- Ensure continuity when original developers graduate.

21 Tasks

21.1 Project Planning

The Neural Network Libraries (NNL) Assessment Tool will be delivered using a hybrid development approach that combines Agile iterations with struc-

tured milestone-based deliverables aligned with the McMaster Software Capstone schedule.

The lifecycle is divided into major phases: Requirements, Design, Implementation, Testing, and Deployment, each building toward a functional and hosted tool that supports the research team in evaluating neural network libraries.

This approach ensures iterative feedback from supervisors and domain experts after each milestone, enabling continuous refinement. Development will be managed through GitHub for version control, VS Code for coding, and LaTeX for documentation.

The tool will be hosted on McMaster's internal infrastructure or an approved equivalent, with key non-functional activities such as user onboarding, data migration, and training planned in the later stages.

21.2 Planning of the Development Phases

Each phase contributes to the development of a usable and reliable product. Feedback loops will be incorporated at each stage to ensure alignment with stakeholder expectations, compliance with requirements, and delivery of a secure, maintainable, and user-friendly system.

22 Costs

There is no development cost for this project, due to the nature of this being a capstone project.

The costs of hosting the required services, such as the database and the web application will depend on McMaster University's existing infrastructure. However, estimating using a common cloud provider such as Amazon Web Services (AWS).

Using the Relational Database Service (RDS) for database and the Elastic Cloud Computing (EC2) service for hosting. Assuming around a maximum usage of 20 Hours/Month, the total cost of hosting is 11.63 CAD per month, as shown in Table 8.

23 User Documentation and Training

23.1 User Documentation Requirements

23.1.1 User Manual

The user manual will highlight the key features of the product, and provide additional details for installing, setup and usage that wasn't previously covered in the project's README.

The maintenance of this document will be the responsibility of the development team. Changes to the product's features, such as adding new features or altering existing features must be reflected in the user manual upon release of the update.

23.1.2 Release Manual

The release manual will cover the release process required for future releases of the product, found in the Development Plan. It should include the whole CI/CD lifecycle, including team standards, release labelling, and more.

The maintenance of this document will be the responsibility of the development team. Changes to the CI/CD process, either from the development team or the broader McMaster University infrastructure team should be reflected before the next release.

23.2 Training Requirements

- 1. Users should be able to use the tool and utilize key features immediately after following the tutorial
- 2. Users should be able to find key features without consulting additional documentation 95% of the time.

The responsibility of the training will first fall towards the development team of the tool. They must ensure the provided in-tool tutorial is up-to-date and sufficient to help a user understand all key features.

Additional training will be provided to the supervisor, hosted by the development team. Subsequent training will be the responsibility of the supervisor,

if needed to train future users of the tool, in the format that the supervisor chooses.

24 Waiting Room

1. Comparing across Domains

The user should be able to compare two (or more) completed domain analysis against each other.

2. Versioning of Domains

Users can revist and update completed domains, adding new data or editing existing ones. Allowing users to view the evolution of the state of best practice for the domain.

25 Ideas for Solution

The following is the development team's idea for the user interface of the tool. Figure 1 shows the initial concept, drawing inspiration from Octave Online, the cloud IDE for Matlab.

The main section of the tool will be where the data is displayed and gathered for each domain. With the sections that can be automatically gathered differentiated using a different colour, such as the gray shown in Figure 1. The left sidebar will contain all the domains, with indications on whether it's completed or not. As well as providing a filter to quickly search for a specific domain.

Table 5: Products That Can Be Copied or Adapted

Product	Description	Adaptation Potential
Software Assessment Dashboards	Tools that analyze open- source metrics.	Their structure can guide data collection and dashboard design.
University Research Repos- itories	Academic dashboards for research analytics.	Useful for UI and data categorization strategies.



Figure 1: DomainX UI, inspired by Octave Online

Appendix — Reflection

The purpose of reflection questions is to give you a chance to assess your own learning and that of your group as a whole, and to find ways to improve in the future. Reflection is an important part of the learning process. Reflection is also an essential component of a successful software development process.

Reflections are most interesting and useful when they're honest, even if the stories they tell are imperfect. You will be marked based on your depth of thought and analysis, and not based on the content of the reflections themselves. Thus, for full marks we encourage you to answer openly and honestly and to avoid simply writing "what you think the evaluator wants to hear."

Please answer the following questions. Some questions can be answered on the team level, but where appropriate, each team member should write their own response:

- 1. What went well while writing this deliverable?
- 2. What pain points did you experience during this deliverable, and how did you resolve them?
- 3. How many of your requirements were inspired by speaking to your client(s) or their proxies (e.g. your peers, stakeholders, potential users)?
- 4. Which of the courses you have taken, or are currently taking, will help your team to be successful with your capstone project.
- 5. What knowledge and skills will the team collectively need to acquire to successfully complete this capstone project? Examples of possible knowledge to acquire include domain specific knowledge from the domain of your application, or software engineering knowledge, mechatronics knowledge or computer science knowledge. Skills may be related to technology, or writing, or presentation, or team management, etc. You should look to identify at least one item for each team member.
- 6. For each of the knowledge areas and skills identified in the previous question, what are at least two approaches to acquiring the knowledge or mastering the skill? Of the identified approaches, which will each team member pursue, and why did they make this choice?

Table 6: Project Planning Phases

Phase	Desd kip tiAutivities
Initiation	Defin@ocument review, team formation, feasibility assessment problem, scope, and objectives
Requirements	Gatherakeholder interviews, drafting of SRS and Hazard Analysis and formalize system require-
Design	ments Establishockups, data flow diagrams, schema design sys- tem ar- chi- tec- ture and in- ter-
Implementation	faces BuildDevelop modules, integrate APIs, implement Excel import/exportage tool function- al- xxvi ity
Validation & Verification	Test Unit and integration testing, review sessions, issue resolution and eval-

ate

Table 7: Development Phase Plan

Phase Name	Ben Cit erational Date to User	OpeFatingional Requirements Com- po- nents		
Requirements & Analysis	Clari W iesek 1–6 system ob- jec- tives and con-	GitHRequirements documentation La- TeX		
Design	straints Define eek 10–16 ar- chi- tec- ture and in-	UMIUI and backend design tools, wire-framing soft-ware		
Implementation	ter- faces Deliwersek 16–19 core prod- uct func- tion- al-	IDE, Tool modules, automation scripts databases, APIs		
Testing & Validation	ity EnsuWeek 17–22 product meets all crite- xxvii	Test Verification of features suites, CI/CD		
Deployment	ria Prov Mes k 22–26 ac- ces-	Host Hogsted application platform,		

 doc -

u-

b

si-

ble

Name	Configuration	Estimated Usage	Total Cost
RDS for MySQL	Two vCPU and 8GB of Memory	20 Hours/Month	9.76 CAD/Month
EC2	t4g.large Instance	20 Hours/Month	1.87 USD/Month

Table 8: Price estimates and total costs for two AWS services.