

Module Guide for SFWRENG 4G06 - Capstone Design Process

Team 17, DomainX

Awurama Nyarko
Haniye Hamidizadeh
Fei Xie
Ghena Hatoum

November 11, 2025

1 Revision History

Table 1: Revision History

Date	Developer(s)	Change
Nov 3, 2025	Fei	Rev -1

2 Reference Material

This section records information for easy reference.

2.1 Abbreviations and Acronyms

symbol	description
AC	Anticipated Change
DAG	Directed Acyclic Graph
M	Module
MG	Module Guide
OS	Operating System
R	Requirement
SC	Scientific Computing
SRS	Software Requirements Specification
SFWRENG 4G06 - Capstone Design Process	Software Engineering Capstone Project
UC	Unlikely Change
AHP	Analytical Hierarchy Process
BWM	Best-Worst Method
SSB	Skew-Symmetric Bilinear
Domain	Research Software Domain
Packages	Software Packages
API	Application Programming Interface
ADT	Abstract Data Type
POC	Proof of Concept

Contents

1	Revision History	i
2	Reference Material	ii
2.1	Abbreviations and Acronyms	ii
3	Introduction	1
4	Anticipated and Unlikely Changes	2
4.1	Anticipated Changes	2
4.2	Unlikely Changes	2
5	Module Hierarchy	3
6	Connection Between Requirements and Design	4
7	Module Decomposition	4
7.1	Hardware Hiding Modules (M1)	5
7.1.1	Browser Module (M2)	5
7.2	Behaviour-Hiding Module	5
7.2.1	Application UI Module (M3)	5
7.2.2	Data Edit Module (M4)	6
7.2.3	User Authentication Module (M5)	6
7.2.4	User Role Access Module (M6)	6
7.2.5	User Page Module (M7)	6
7.2.6	Automated Metrics Module (M8)	7
7.2.7	Domains Page Module (M9)	7
7.2.8	Comparison Module (M16)	7
7.2.9	Configuration Module (M19)	7
7.3	Software Decision Module	8
7.3.1	System API Gateway Module (M10)	8
7.3.2	Ranking Algorithm Module (M11)	8
7.3.3	Graphing Module (M12)	8
7.3.4	File Import Module (M13)	9
7.3.5	File Export Module (M14)	9
7.3.6	Repository API Module (M15)	9
7.3.7	Database Persistence Module (M17)	9
7.3.8	Logging Module (M18)	10
8	Traceability Matrix	10
9	Use Hierarchy Between Modules	14

10 User Interfaces	16
11 Design of Communication Protocols	19
12 Timeline	19

List of Tables

1	Revision History	i
2	Module Hierarchy	4
3	Trace Between Functional Requirements and Modules	10
4	Trace Between Non-Functional Requirements and Modules	13
5	Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules	14

List of Figures

1	Use hierarchy among modules	15
2	Main domain summary view page	16
3	Main domain data view page	16
4	Domain editing	17
5	Domain management (collaborator role)	17
6	User management (super admin role)	18
7	Main user information page (viewer role)	18
8	Domain X Database Schema	21

3 Introduction

Decomposing a system into modules is a commonly accepted approach to developing software. A module is a work assignment for a programmer or programming team (Parnas et al., 1984). We advocate a decomposition based on the principle of information hiding (Parnas, 1972). This principle supports design for change, because the “secrets” that each module hides represent likely future changes. Design for change is valuable in SC, where modifications are frequent, especially during initial development as the solution space is explored.

Our design follows the rules laid out by Parnas et al. (1984), as follows:

- System details that are likely to change independently should be the secrets of separate modules.
- Each data structure is implemented in only one module.
- Any other program that requires information stored in a module’s data structures must obtain it by calling access programs belonging to that module.

After completing the first stage of the design, the Software Requirements Specification (SRS), the Module Guide (MG) is developed (Parnas et al., 1984). The MG specifies the modular structure of the system and is intended to allow both designers and maintainers to easily identify the parts of the software. The potential readers of this document are as follows:

- New project members: This document can be a guide for a new project member to easily understand the overall structure and quickly find the relevant modules they are searching for.
- Maintainers: The hierarchical structure of the module guide improves the maintainers’ understanding when they need to make changes to the system. It is important for a maintainer to update the relevant sections of the document after changes have been made.
- Designers: Once the module guide has been written, it can be used to check for consistency, feasibility, and flexibility. Designers can verify the system in various ways, such as consistency among modules, feasibility of the decomposition, and flexibility of the design.

The rest of the document is organized as follows. Section 4 lists the anticipated and unlikely changes of the software requirements. Section 5 summarizes the module decomposition that was constructed according to the likely changes. Section 6 specifies the connections between the software requirements and the modules. Section 7 gives a detailed description of the modules. Section 8 includes two traceability matrices. One checks the completeness of the design against the requirements provided in the SRS. The other shows the relation between anticipated changes and the modules. Section 9 describes the use relation between modules.

4 Anticipated and Unlikely Changes

This section lists possible changes to the system. According to the likeliness of the change, the possible changes are classified into two categories. Anticipated changes are listed in Section 4.1, and unlikely changes are listed in Section 4.2.

4.1 Anticipated Changes

Anticipated changes are the source of the information that is to be hidden inside the modules. Ideally, changing one of the anticipated changes will only require changing the one module that hides the associated decision. The approach adapted here is called design for change.

AC1: The metrics used to assess a domain's state of practice may be updated (e.g. Addition of new metrics, such as code coverage percentage)

AC2: The system should support extension to user authentication (e.g. Using two-factor authentication on top of username and password)

AC3: The ranking algorithm used within a domain for packages may be changed (e.g. Alternatives to AHP, such as BWM, SSB, etc)

AC4: The comparison algorithms used between domains may be changed

AC5: The user access roles available might be expanded (e.g. Admin, User, Contributor)

AC6: The APIs used to extract repository metrics

AC7: The visualization libraries used to display data

AC8: Additional export and import file formatting may be added (e.g. CSV, Excel)

4.2 Unlikely Changes

The module design should be as general as possible. However, a general system is more complex. Sometimes this complexity is not necessary. Fixing some design decisions at the system architecture stage can simplify the software design. If these decision should later need to be changed, then many parts of the design will potentially need to be modified. Hence, it is not intended that these decisions will be changed.

UC1: The schema structure of our domain and metrics systems are designed for long-term use and allows for the addition of new metric types

UC2: The platform of the tool will remain as a web application

UC3: The development stack of our web application will remain the same ([React](#), [Django](#))

UC4: Input types of existing metrics, as outlined in the methodology paper ([Smith et al. \(October 2021\)](#))

UC5: Tool owner, Dr. Spencer Smith, is not expected to change for the duration of the tool's lifespan

5 Module Hierarchy

This section provides an overview of the module design. Modules are summarized in a hierarchy decomposed by secrets in Table 2. The modules listed below, which are leaves in the hierarchy tree, are the modules that will actually be implemented.

M1: Hardware Hiding Module

M2: Browser Module

M3: Application UI Module Module

M4: Data Edit Module

M5: User Authentication Module

M6: User Role Access Module

M7: User Page Module

M8: Automated Metrics

M9: Domains Page Module

M10: System API Gateway Module

M11: Ranking Algorithm Module

M12: Graphing Module

M13: File Import Module

M14: File Export Module

M15: Repository API Module

M16: Comparison Module

M17: Database Persistence Module

M18: Logging Module

M19: Configuration Module

Level 1	Level 2
Hardware-Hiding Module	Browser Module
	Domains Page Module
	Application UI Module
	Data Edit Module
Behaviour-Hiding Module	User Authentication Module
	User Role Access Module
	User Page Module
	Automated Metrics Module
	Comparison Module
	Configuration Module
Software Decision Module	System API Gateway Module
	Ranking Algorithm Module
	Graphing Module
	File Import Module
	File Export Module
	Repository API Module
	Database Persistence Module
	Logging Module

Table 2: Module Hierarchy

6 Connection Between Requirements and Design

The design of the system is intended to satisfy the requirements developed in the SRS. In this stage, the system is decomposed into modules. The connection between requirements and modules is listed in Table 4.

7 Module Decomposition

Modules are decomposed according to the principle of “information hiding” proposed by [Parnas et al. \(1984\)](#). The *Secrets* field in a module decomposition is a brief statement of the design decision hidden by the module. The *Services* field specifies *what* the module will do without documenting *how* to do it. For each module, a suggestion for the implementing software is given under the *Implemented By* title. If the entry is *OS*, this means that the module is provided by the operating system or by standard programming language libraries. *SFWRENG 4G06 - Capstone Design Process* means the module will be implemented by the

SFWRENG 4G06 - Capstone Design Process software.

Only the leaf modules in the hierarchy have to be implemented. If a dash (-) is shown, this means that the module is not a leaf and will not have to be implemented.

7.1 Hardware Hiding Modules (M1)

Secrets: The data structure and algorithm used to implement the virtual hardware.

Services: Serves as a virtual hardware used by the rest of the system. This module provides the interface between the hardware and the software. So, the system can use it to display outputs or to accept inputs.

Implemented By: OS

7.1.1 Browser Module (M2)

Secrets: The data structure and algorithm used to implement the browser, which is outside of the scope of the project.

Services: The browser allows all users of the product to view and retrieve the project through the internet, and displays the contents for use.

Implemented By: Web browser

7.2 Behaviour-Hiding Module

Secrets: The contents of the required behaviours.

Services: Includes programs that provide externally visible behaviour of the system as specified in the software requirements specification (SRS) documents. This module serves as a communication layer between the hardware-hiding module and the software decision module. The programs in this module will need to change if there are changes in the SRS.

Implemented By: -

7.2.1 Application UI Module (M3)

Secrets: The data structures and algorithms to display all visuals.

Services: Displays and renders the interactive user interface elements.

Implemented By: [React](#)

Type of Module: ADT

7.2.2 Data Edit Module (M4)

Secrets: The data structures and algorithms to edit domain data.

Services: Handles and validates user inputs of domain/package/metric data.

Implemented By: DomainX

Type of Module: ADT

7.2.3 User Authentication Module (M5)

Secrets: The data structures and algorithms used to securely store, validate and manage user credentials.

Services: Provides user registration, login, and session management services.

Implemented By: DomainX

Type of Module: Library

7.2.4 User Role Access Module (M6)

Secrets: The data structures and algorithms used to store and validate users access level within the system.

Services: Provides user role and capabilities related to the role.

Implemented By: DomainX

Type of Module: ADT

7.2.5 User Page Module (M7)

Secrets: The algorithms and components used to display the interactive user settings page.

Services: Displays user settings where user can update their settings and view information related to their account profile.

Implemented By: DomainX

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.2.6 Automated Metrics Module (M8)

Secrets: The data structures and algorithms used for adding automatable metrics.

Services: Handles the automated data entry into system using the Repository Api Module M15.

Implemented By: DomainX

Type of Module: Library

7.2.7 Domains Page Module (M9)

Secrets: The algorithms and components used to display domain management data.

Services: Displays available domains and the domain contents, including it's corresponding packages, metrics, description.

Implemented By: DomainX

Type of Module: ADT

7.2.8 Comparison Module (M16)

Secrets: The data structures and algorithm that store the package comparison methods.

Services: Defines available comparison methods based on user request.

Implemented By: DomainX

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.2.9 Configuration Module (M19)

Secrets: The data structures and algorithm that stores each individual user.

Services: Using the user authentication and provides interface to retrieve and update user information.

Implemented By: DomainX

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.3 Software Decision Module

Secrets: The design decision based on mathematical theorems, physical facts, or programming considerations. The secrets of this module are *not* described in the SRS.

Services: Includes data structure and algorithms used in the system that do not provide direct interaction with the user.

Implemented By: –

7.3.1 System API Gateway Module (M10)

Secrets: The backend apis that services the flow of business logic.

Services: Manages application state and serves as the central communication hub for the system.

Implemented By: [Django](#)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.3.2 Ranking Algorithm Module (M11)

Secrets: AHP ranking algorithm used for package comparison.

Services: Computes comparative rankings using configurable methods and outputs the result.

Implemented By: [AHPy](#)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.3.3 Graphing Module (M12)

Secrets: The data structures and algorithms used for graphing data.

Services: Takes metric data as input and outputs requested graphs.

Implemented By: [Matplotlib](#)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.3.4 File Import Module (M13)

Secrets: The data structures and algorithms used to import data of varying formats (e.g. Excel, CSV).

Services: Facilitates the process of processing a inputted file into data for use by the rest of the system.

Implemented By: [pandas](#)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.3.5 File Export Module (M14)

Secrets: The data structures and algorithms used to export data in varying formats (e.g. Excel, CSV).

Services: Facilitates the process of transforming system data into an exportable format (e.g. Excel, CSV).

Implemented By: [pandas](#)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.3.6 Repository API Module (M15)

Secrets: API endpoints, tokens, and rate limit strategies.

Services: Fetches metrics and metadata from external repositories (e.g., GitHub, GitLab).

Implemented By: [Github API](#)

Type of Module: Library

7.3.7 Database Persistence Module (M17)

Secrets: The algorithms used for interacting with the database.

Services: Provides database methods related to updating and querying the stored data and the connection to the database itself. The expected database design is shown in Figure 8

Implemented By: [MySQL](#)

Type of Module: Library

7.3.8 Logging Module (M18)

Secrets: The algorithms and parameters used for logging all interactions that happens with the system.

Services: Provides logging capabilities and logs user actions and system actions, provides a way to retrieve logged details.

Implemented By: [Logging \(Python\)](#)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

8 Traceability Matrix

This section shows two traceability matrices: between the modules and the requirements and between the modules and the anticipated changes.

Req.	Modules
FR1	M7
FR2	M5 , M7
FR3	M5
FR4	M19
FR5	M9 , M6
FR6	M9
FR7	M4 , M17 , M14
FR8	M4
FR9	M13
FR10	M8 , M15
FR11	M9
FR12	M11 , M16
FR13	M12
FR14	M14
FR15	M12 , M14

Table 3: Trace Between Functional Requirements and Modules

Req.	Modules
LF-AR1	M3
LF-AR2	M2, M10
LF-AR3	M3, M12, M10
LF-AR4	M3, M9
LF-AR5	M3
LF-AR6	M3
LF-SR1	M3
LF-SR2	M12
LF-SR3	M3,
UH-EU1	M3
UH-EU2	M3
UH-EU3	M3
UH-LR1	M3
UH-LR2	M3, M9
UH-UP1	M3, M9
UH-UP2	M3, M9
UH-UP3	M3
UH-AR1	M3
UH-AR2	M3
PR-SL1	M16
PR-SC1	M5
PR-SC2	M5, M19
PR-SC3	M6, M4
PR-PA1	M8
PR-RFT1	M10
PR-RFT2	M17
PR-RFT3	M17
PR-CR1	M10
PR-CR2	M17
PR-CR3	M10, M17
PR-SE1	M3, M10, M17
PR-SE2	M5, M6
PR-LR1	M10, M17

Req.	Modules (continued)
PR-LR2	M17
OE-EPE1	M10
OE-EPE2	M1, M2
OE-EPE3	M1
OE-WE1	M2, M10, M15
OE-WE2	M1
OE-WE3	M2
OE-IA1	M15
OE-IA2	M17
OE-IA3	M12
OE-IA4	M10, M15
OE-PR1	Not Relevant
OE-PR2	Not Relevant
OE-PR3	Not Relevant
OE-PR4	M14, M12
OE-RR1	Not Relevant
OE-RR2	Not Relevant
OE-RR3	Not Relevant
OE-RR4	Not Relevant
MS-MR1	Not Relevant
MS-MR2	Not Relevant
MS-MR3	Not Relevant
MS-MR4	Not Relevant
MS-MR5	Not Relevant
MS-MR6	Not Relevant
MS-MR7	M18, M4
MS-MR8	M3, M10, M15, M17
MS-SR1	Not Relevant
MS-SR2	Not Relevant
MS-SR3	M18
MS-SR4	Not Relevant
MS-AR1	M10
MS-AR2	M17
MS-AR3	M15

Req.	Modules (continued)
MS-AR4	M1, M2, M10
MS-AR5	M10, M3, M17
SR-AC1	M6, M9
SR-AC2	M6
SR-AC3	M19, M6
SR-AC4	M6
SR-AC5	M3
SR-AC6	M15, M17
SR-INT1	M4
SR-INT2	M17
SR-INT3	M4, M17
SR-INT4	M3, M4, M8, M17
SR-INT5	M17, M18
SR-INT6	M4
SR-INT7	M14, M10, M3
SR-P1	M17, M5, M19
SR-P2	M17, M5, M19
SR-AU1	M17, M18
SR-AU2	M18
SR-AU3	M18, M6
SR-IM1	M17
SR-IM2	M3
SR-IM3	M15
SR-IM3	Not Relevant
CU-CR1	M3
CU-CR2	M3
CU-CR3	M3
CU-CR4	Not Relevant
CR-LR1	Not Relevant
CR-LR2	Not Relevant
CR-LR3	Not Relevant

Table 4: Trace Between Non-Functional Requirements and Modules

AC	Modules
AC1	M4, M17
AC2	M5
AC3	M11, M16
AC4	M16
AC5	M6
AC6	M15
AC7	M12
AC8	M14, M13

Table 5: Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules

9 Use Hierarchy Between Modules

In this section, the uses hierarchy between modules is provided. Parnas (1978) said of two programs A and B that A *uses* B if correct execution of B may be necessary for A to complete the task described in its specification. That is, A *uses* B if there exist situations in which the correct functioning of A depends upon the availability of a correct implementation of B. Figure 1 illustrates the use relation between the modules. It can be seen that the graph is a directed acyclic graph (DAG). Each level of the hierarchy offers a testable and usable subset of the system, and modules in the higher level of the hierarchy are essentially simpler because they use modules from the lower levels.




Figure 1: Use hierarchy among modules

10 User Interfaces




Figure 2: Main domain summary view page

Figure 2 shows the main page users of any role can see. This page allows the user to filter published domains, compare domains, and view domain details. The summary view of the domain allows users to quickly view the graphs associated with the data.




Figure 3: Main domain data view page

Figure 3 shows the secondary source view of a domain. This allows users to see the underlying metric data used to display the graphs from the summary view.

Currently Editing

Exit	Save	Domain					Save
Automation Tool		Name	Vrl	Metric 1	Metric 2	Metric 3	Metric
Selected Packages		~	~				
• P1		~	~				
• P2		~	~				
• P3		~	~				
Metric							
• M3							
• M4							
Notification							
<input type="checkbox"/> Email: ~							
<input type="checkbox"/> Phone: xxx-1111							
Start							

Figure 4: Domain editing

Figure 4 shows the domain editing view accessible for collaborator roles and above (admin, super admin). This page allows user to enter metric data manually and triggering the automation tool to input data automatically for the selected packages and metrics. Automatable metrics and data are indicated with a different colour.

Back	Domain X		Description
Information	Version: 1.0		
Preference			Domain Expert: Sam Max xxx@gmail.com
Your Domains			Process
Notifications			• Domain Expert meeting
			• Initial List
			• ~
Request Role			

Figure 5: Domain management (collaborator role)

Figure 5 shows the domain management page that a collaborator can see. This page allows them to quickly glance information about the domains they are working on, and the current process of the domains. As well as the option to publish domains.

↔ Back		Name	Role	Domain Edit Privilege	Email
Information	Edit	~~~~	Super Admin	✖	x x x @gmail.com
Preference	Edit	~~~~	Contributor	Domain X Domain Y	x x x @gmail.com
Your Domains	Edit	~~~~	Viewer		x x x @gmail.com
Notifications	Edit	~~~~	Admin	✖	x x x @gmail.com
Admin					
API Keys					

Figure 6: User management (super admin role)

Figure 6 shows the user management page, this page is visible for admin and super admins. Allows admins to edit the role access level of current users, user information, and sending invites to directly invite users.


↔ Back	 <p>John Doe</p> <p>Role: Contributor</p> <p>Change</p> <p>Language: English</p> <p>Email: x x x @email.com</p> <p>Password: * * * *</p> <p>Edit Information</p>
Information	
Preference	
Your Domains	
Notifications	
Request Role	

Figure 7: Main user information page (viewer role)

Figure 7 shows the main user information page that any users can see. This page allows users to set their basic information. Additional side columns are added based on the role access level.

11 Design of Communication Protocols

N/A

12 Timeline

The following is the timeline for development of the modules, building up from the POC. Issues related to the timeline will be created and tracked through [Github Issues](#) and the associated [project board](#).

Week	Dates	Assigned To	Deliverables (Module Focus)
1	Jan 5 – Jan 11	Haniye	Implement User Authentication Module (M5) for secure login, registration, token management, and password encryption.
		Ghena	Implement User Role Access Module (M6) with Admin/Analyst/Viewer roles and middleware for route protection.
		Fei	Setup Logging Module (M18)
		Fei	Setup testing framework
		Awurama	Build User Page (M7)
2	Jan 12 – Jan 18	Haniye	Extend backend to support Database Persistence (M17) : ORM models, migrations, CRUD operations.
		Ghena	Expand System API Gateway (M10) to integrate Auth & Repo APIs, include error-handling layer.
		Awurama	Expand user page with configurable functionalities (M19) based on user role
3	Jan 19 – Jan 25	Awurama	Build Application UI Module (M3) with global navigation, sidebar, responsive design, and state handling.
		Fei	Implement Domains Page Module (M9) to display domains and metrics with integrated backend data.
		Ghena	Finalize Repository API Module (M15) : error handling, caching, and rate-limit retries.
		Fei	Build File Export Module (M14) to export domain data in CSV/XLSX formats.
4	Jan 26 – Feb 1	Awurama	Develop File Import Module (M13) to upload, validate, and parse CSV/XLSX files.

Week	Dates	Assigned To	Deliverables (Module Focus)
		Haniye	Implement Automated Metrics Module (M8) with background job scheduler and automatic metric retrieval.
5	Feb 2 – Feb 8	Awurama + Fei	Implement Data Edit Module (M4) with React validation, inline feedback, and API integration.
		Ghena	Implement Ranking Algorithm Module (M11) using AHP/BWM/SSB methods with test coverage.
		Haniye	Develop Graphing Module (M12) for data visualizations using Matplotlib .
6	Feb 9 – Feb 15	Ghena + Haniye	Build Comparison Module (M16) for cross-domain and in-domain analysis, visualization, and reporting.
		Awurama + Fei	Conduct accessibility and usability testing.
9-11	Mar 2 – Mar 23	Awurama + Fei	Conduct full end-to-end testing; finalize documentation and update traceability links.
		All Developers	Code freeze and integration testing; bug fixes, performance optimization, and final release demo.

References

- David L. Parnas. On the criteria to be used in decomposing systems into modules. *Comm. ACM*, 15(2):1053–1058, December 1972.
- David L. Parnas. Designing software for ease of extension and contraction. In *ICSE '78: Proceedings of the 3rd international conference on Software engineering*, pages 264–277, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 1978. IEEE Press. ISBN none.
- D.L. Parnas, P.C. Clement, and D. M. Weiss. The modular structure of complex systems. In *International Conference on Software Engineering*, pages 408–419, 1984.
- Spencer Smith, Jacques Carette, Peter Michalski, Ao Dong, and Olu Owojaiye. Methodology for assessing the state of the practice for domain x. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.11575v1*, abs/2110.11575:1–15, October 2021. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.11575>.

Appendix A: Database Schema




Figure 8: Domain X Database Schema