Section 8 HO

- A. An experiment was conducted to compare the effectiveness of 4 techniques for teaching spelling to 1st graders using a 'spelling pattern' approach. The students in a classroom are randomly assigned to the 4 techniques, and then a spelling test was administered to all students at the end of the experiment. In the first 2 techniques, students are praised for their **ability**; in one technique, the words are presented by a **teacher** or teacher's aide, and in the other the words are presented by a **computer**. In the other 2 techniques, students are praised for their **effort**; again, in one technique, the words are presented by a **teacher** or teacher's aide, and in the other the words are presented by a **computer**.
 - 1. Using the reported scores below, **test the null hypothesis** that the techniques are equally effective.

Technique 1 (Ability/Teacher): 2, 0, 5, 7

Technique 2 (Ability/Computer): 0, 1, 3, 6, 5

Technique 3 (Effort/Teacher): 9, 6, 5, 2, 4, 5

Technique 4 (Effort/Computer): 1, 4, 6, 7, 8

[Ans. See QHW-7, p. 3, for the detailed solution to this type of problem.

Analysis of Variance Table

Response: Score

Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)

technique 3 19.567 6.5222 0.9265 0.4505

Residuals 16 112.633 7.0396

We retain the null because p = .45 > .05.]

2. Without doing any more formal statistical tests, discuss the impact on spelling score of (a) the method of reinforcement (praising effort rather than ability), and (b) the method of delivery (teacher versus computer). [Ans. Compute the group means and compare them. Which means are larger? The effort groups seem to do better ...]

- B. Five different treatments were tried, one treatment on each of 5 different groups of patients who have the same symptoms. The improvement of each patient was measured. Here are the sample size, mean and s.d., i.e., n_j , \bar{x}_j , and s_j for the 5 groups: (10, 2, .6), (15, 3, .7), (14, 4, .8), (8, 3, .6), and (12, 3, .8). Use a 1-way ANOVA and $\alpha = 0.05$ to **test** the null hypothesis that there are no differences in μ_i among the 5 treatments.
 - 1. The 5 treatments differ in the *dosage* of a certain medicine given to patients. The levels are 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 units, respectively. Without doing any other formal test, describe the effect of *dosage* on patient health. [Ans. The control group (dosage = 0) does worst (mean = 2.0); a *dosage* of 2 units seems to be best (mean = 4.0), etc.]

C. Professor Marvel has just perfected a new pruning technique designed to increase the yield of apple trees. She designs an experiment to compare yield from her new technique (x₁) with that of no pruning (x₂) and 2 standard pruning techniques (x₃ & x₄). She samples 10 trees in each condition and records their yield. A summary of her data is provided below. (From Quiz8 '01)

$$\sum x_1 = 1895$$
, $\sum x_2 = 901$, $\sum x_3 = 1322$, $\sum x_4 = 1375$

$$\sum x_1^2 = 361359$$
, $\sum x_2^2 = 86115$, $\sum x_3^2 = 179372$, $\sum x_4^2 = 194679$

1. Assuming ANOVA is appropriate, complete the ANOVA summary table below for this dataset. Fill in values for a, b, c, d, e, f, & g. (35)

Source	SS	df	MS	F
Between	49787	b	e	g
Within	a	c	f	
Total	67199	d		

2. Use the information presented in the table to **test Ho**: $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4$. (15)

3. Without doing any other formal test, describe the effect of pruning technique on yield. [Ans. The control group (group 2) does worst (mean = 90.1); the new group (group 1) seems to be best (mean = 189.5), etc.]