

HOW TO WRITE BETTER TEST CASES

Refs: Presentation by by Dianne L.
Runnels, CQA, CSTE

How to Write Better Test Cases

- ❑ Test cases and software quality
- ❑ Anatomy of a test case
- ❑ Improving testability
- ❑ Improving productivity
- ❑ The seven most common mistakes
- ❑ Case study

Test cases and software quality

- ☐ Test cases are valuable assets
- ☐ What is the risk of bad cases?
- ☐ How do you lower costs by improving quality?
- ☐ Is quality subjective?
- ☐ How can quality be measured?

What is a test Case ?

- **Definition:** A test that (ideally) executes a single well defined test objective (*Testing Computer Software – Kaner, Faulk, Nguyen*)
- **Definition:** A specific set of test data and associated procedures developed for a particular objective (*IEEE 729-1983*)

Why write test case?

- ☐ Accountability
- ☐ Reproducibility
- ☐ Tracking
- ☐ Automation
- ☐ To find bugs
- ☐ To verify that tests are being executed correctly
- ☐ For compliance
- ☐ To measure test coverage

What is a good test case?

- ❑ Accurate - tests what it's designed to test
- ❑ Economical - no unnecessary steps
- ❑ Repeatable, reusable - keeps on going
- ❑ Traceable - to a requirement
- ❑ Appropriate - for test environment, testers
- ❑ Self standing - independent of the writer
- ❑ Self cleaning - picks up after itself

Test Case Criteria

- An excellent test case satisfies the following criteria:
 - Reasonable probability of catching error
 - Neither too simple nor too complex
 - Not redundant with other tests
 - Best of its breed
 - Makes program failures obvious

TEST CASE CRITERIA

How is a good test ?

- ☐ Refers and maintains tight control over specific test data
- ☐ Has detailed enough Test Design Steps.
- ☐ Is aware of the Tester's experience
- ☐ Has clear criteria for Pass or Fail

TEST CASE CRITERIA

A Not-so-Good Test ?

- ☐ Leaves it up to the user to find test data
- ☐ Gives very high level instructions that leave too much room for “artistic interpretation”
- ☐ Does not consider the Tester’s experience
- ☐ Leaves out follow-up verification steps which make it difficult to determine Pass or Fail criteria.

Anatomy of a test case

- ☐ Statement of purpose, what is being tested
- ☐ Method, how it will be tested
- ☐ Setup, environment, data
- ☐ Steps - actions and expected results, implied in a
- ☐ table or matrix
- ☐ Proofs, files, printouts, reports, screen grabs (optional)

Test Case Essentials

Things usually to include in a test case:

- ☐ Tracking Information
- ☐ **Test Case ID**
- ☐ **Test Description**
 - **Purpose/Objective/Title**
 - **Methods, how it will be tested**
 - **Environments**
 - **Procedures/Steps**
 - **Script**
- ☐ Parameters/Data
 - Input
 - Output
 - Default
- ☐ Call to system (printer, system clock, available RAM, APIs)
- ☐ **Expected Result**
- ☐ ***Observed Result***
- ☐ ***Pass/Fail/Blocked/Skipped***
- ☐ ***Bug ID***
- ☐ Notes/Comments
- ☐ Environment

TEST CASE ESSENTIALS

Test case objective

- The most important part of a test case is the 1-line title describing the objective of the test
- That 1-line title can be called
 - Test Title
 - Test Name
 - Test Case
 - Test Objective
 - Test Goal/Purpose

TEST CASE ESSENTIALS

Test case objective

- It is most important because
 - It gives the reader a description and idea of the test
 - A good test name makes review easier
 - Easier to pass to another person
 - Easier to pass to automation team
 - In many cases, may be the only part of a test case documented

Test Case Objective Syntax

Action + Function + Operating Condition

In which:

- Function may be function, feature, validation point
- Operating Condition may be data
- Action:
 - ☐ Verify
 - ☐ Test
 - ☐ Validate
 - ☐ Prove
 - ☐ Execute
 - ☐ Print
 - ☐ Calculate
 - ☐ Run
 - ☐ ...any action verb

Test Case Examples

| Action | Function | Operating Condition |
|--------|---------------|------------------------------------|
| Run | annual report | from standard data (file location) |
| Run | annual report | on Day 1 of fiscal year |
| Run | annual report | from empty spreadsheet |
| Run | annual report | on last day of fiscal year |

Validation Points

Tests need validation points

- ☐ This is the expected result.
- ☐ Write it.
- ☐ Define clearly state: what behavior, result or point you are attempting to validate.

Types of test cases

- ❑ "A rose by any other name smells as sweet"
- ❑ Step-by-step or word/action instructions
- ❑ Table, matrix
- ❑ Script for record/playback or performance test
- ❑ All need the same structure (anatomy)

Test Case Template

| TC ID | Description | Steps | Expected Result | Observed result | Status |
|-------|---------------------------|---|--|--|---------------|
| TC001 | <i>/*Test objective*/</i> | <i>/*Very clear and specific steps*/</i> <u>Pre-condition:</u> <u>Steps:</u> 1. Action 1 2. Action 2 | <i>/*you need to pre determine what your program is supposed to do*/</i> | <i>/* write down the result that you get when excecute the test case*/</i> | Passed/Failed |

Step-by-Step

| Step | Action | Result |
|------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | Enter new name and address. Press <OK>. | Displays screen 008 new name details. |
| 2 | Fill all blanks with natural data. Make screen grab. Press <OK>. | Displays screen 005 maintenance. |
| 3 | Click on <Inquiry> button. | Displays screen 009 inquiry details. |
| 4 | Enter name from screen grab. Press <OK>. | Displays screen 010 record detail. |
| 5 | Compare record detail with screen grab. | All details match exactly. |

Data Matrix

| Date | Hired After 1/96 | 401K | Life Insurance | Payment Computation |
|----------|---------------------|------|-------------------|------------------------|
| 10/25/99 | Y | 1 | 3 | \$24.50 |
| 1/4/98 | Y | 3 | 1 | \$34.00 |
| 3/6/96 | N | 2 | 5 | \$48.00 |
| 8/15/96 | Y | 2 | 5 | \$86.25 |
| 8/15/96 | N | 2 | 5 | \$105.00 |

Automated script

```
# Open the Fax Form
```

```
    menu_select_item ("File;Fax Order...");
```

```
    set_window("Fax Order");
```

```
# Retrieve the Fax Order information and compare it to data from  
the main window
```

```
edit_get_text("Arrival:", text);
```

```
if(main_data["arr_time"] != text)
```

```
{
```

```
    failure_msg = arrival_fr_mismatch;
```

```
    result = FAIL;
```

Improving testability - language

- ❑ Testability = easy to test
- ❑ Use active case, do this, do that
- ❑ System displays this, does that
- ❑ Simple, conversational language
- ❑ Exact, consistent names of fields, not generic
- ❑ Don't explain Windows basics

Improving testability - length

- ❑ 10-15 steps or less, unless user cannot save work
- ❑ Uniform time to test
- ❑ Wide range of testers
- ❑ Pros and cons of cumulative cases
- ❑ Order of cases follows business scenarios

Improving productivity

- ☐ with templates
- ☐ Prevents blank page panic
- ☐ Assists the disorganized
- ☐ Builds in standards
- ☐ Prints spiffy looking tests
- ☐ Assists testers to find information

Improving productivity-with clones

- ❑ "Save As" - the sweetest command
- ❑ Start seeing variables
- ❑ Use "Replace" and proofread
- ❑ Use stored text, macros
- ❑ Don't forget to plagiarize, piggyback

Improving productivity with test management software

- ❑ Single best investment to improve productivity
- ❑ More than templates on steroids
- ❑ Makes outlining and writing easier
- ❑ Allows cloning (copying) of steps, cases, sets
- ❑ Easy to add, move, delete cases and steps
- ❑ Automatically renumbers

The seven most common mistakes

- ❑ Making cases too long
- ❑ Incomplete, incorrect, or incoherent setup
- ❑ Leaving out a step
- ❑ Naming fields that changed or no longer exist
- ❑ Unclear whether tester or system does action
- ❑ Unclear what is a pass or failure result
- ❑ Failure to clean up

Sudden project changes

- ❑ Requirements changes - be informed, build in variables, make deals
- ❑ Schedule changes - impact of delay, quick shifts, skinny dipping
- ❑ Staff changes - software knowledge first, requirements, prototypes, test cases

Protecting assets

- ☐ Maintain test cases
- ☐ Configuration management standards
- ☐ Be sure to include data files
- ☐ Keep your own index
- ☐ Leverage them for product knowledge

Test case checklist

Quality Attributes

- o Accurate - tests what the description says it will test.
- o Economical - has only the steps needed for its purpose
- o Repeatable, self standing - same results no matter who tests it.
- o Appropriate - for both immediate and future testers
- o Traceable - to a requirement
- o Self cleaning - returns the test environment to clean state

Structure and testability

- o Has a name and number
- o Has a stated purpose that includes what requirement is being tested
- o Has a description of the method of testing
- o Specifies setup information - environment, data, prerequisite tests, security access
- o Has actions and expected results
- o States if any proofs, such as reports or screen grabs, need to be saved
- o Leaves the testing environment clean
- o Uses active case language
- o Does not exceed 15 steps
- o Matrix does not take longer than 20 minutes to test
- o Automated script is commented with purpose, inputs, expected results
- o Setup offers alternative to prerequisite tests, if possible
- o Is in correct business scenario order with other tests

Configuration management

- o Employs naming and numbering conventions
- o Saved in specified formats, file types
- o Is versioned to match software under test
- o Includes test objects needed by the case, such as databases
- o Stored as read
- o Stored with controlled access
- o Stored where network backup operates
- o Archived off-site