### Chapter 19 Outline

#### **Databases Recovery**

- 1. Purpose of Database Recovery
- 2. Types of Failure
- 3. Transaction Log
- 4. Data Updates
- 5. Data Caching
- 6. Transaction Roll-back (Undo) and Roll-Forward
- 7. Checkpointing
- 8. Recovery schemes
- 9. ARIES Recovery Scheme
- 10. Recovery in Multidatabase System

#### 1 Purpose of Database Recovery

- To bring the database into the last consistent state, which existed prior to the failure.
- To preserve transaction properties (Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation and Durability).

#### Example:

• If the system crashes before a fund transfer transaction completes its execution, then either one or both accounts may have incorrect value. Thus, the database must be restored to the state before the transaction modified any of the accounts.

#### 2 Types of Failure

- The database may become unavailable for use due to
  - Transaction failure: Transactions may fail because of incorrect input, deadlock, incorrect synchronization.
  - System failure: System may fail because of addressing error, application error, operating system fault, RAM failure, etc.
  - Media failure: Disk head crash, power disruption, etc.

#### 3 Transaction Log

- For recovery from any type of failure data values prior to modification (BFIM - BeFore Image) and the new value after modification (AFIM – AFter Image) are required.
- These values and other information is stored in a sequential file called Transaction log. A sample log is given below. Back P and Next P point to the previous and next log records of the same transaction.

T ID	Back P	Next P	Operation	Data item	BFIM	AFIM
T1	0	1	Begin			
T1	1	4	Write	X	X = 100	X = 200
T2	0	8	Begin			
T1	2	5	W	Y	Y = 50	Y = 100
T1	4	7	R	M	M = 200	M = 200
T3	0	9	R	N	N = 400	N = 400
T1	5	nil	End			

#### 4 Data Update

- Immediate Update: As soon as a data item is modified in cache, the disk copy is updated.
- Deferred Update: All modified data items in the cache is written either after a transaction ends its execution or after a fixed number of transactions have completed their execution.
- Shadow update: The modified version of a data item does not overwrite its disk copy but is written at a separate disk location.
- In-place update: The disk version of the data item is overwritten by the cache version.

#### 5 Data Caching

- Data items to be modified are first stored into database cache by the Cache Manager (CM) and after modification they are flushed (written) to the disk.
- The flushing is controlled by Modified and Pin-Unpin bits.
  - Pin-Unpin: Instructs the operating system not to flush the data item.
  - Modified: Indicates the AFIM of the data item.

- 6 Transaction Roll-back (Undo) and Roll-Forward (Redo)
  - To maintain atomicity, a transaction's operations are redone or undone.
    - Undo: Restore all BFIMs on to disk (Remove all AFIMs).
    - Redo: Restore all AFIMs on to disk.
  - Database recovery is achieved either by performing only Undos or only Redos or by a combination of the two. These operations are recorded in the log as they happen.

(a)

<i>T</i> <sub>1</sub>
read_item(A)
read_item( <i>D</i> )
write_item(D)

$T_2$
read_item( <i>B</i> )
write_item( <i>B</i> )
read_item( <i>D</i> )
write_item(D)

<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>
read_item(C)
write_item(B)
read_item(A)
write_item(A)

#### Figure 19.1

Illustrating cascading rollback (a process that never occurs in strict or cascadeless schedules).

(a) The read and write operations of three transactions.

(b) System log at point of crash. (c) Operations before the crash.

	Α	В	С	D
	30	15	40	20
[start_transaction, $T_3$ ]				
[read_item, $T_3$ , $C$ ]				
[write_item, 73, B, 15, 12]		12		
[start_transaction, $T_2$ ]				
[read_item, $T_2$ , $B$ ]				
[write_item, T2, B, 12, 18]		18		
[start_transaction, $T_1$ ]				
[read_item, $T_1$ , $A$ ]				
[read_item, $T_1$ , $D$ ]				
[write_item, T <sub>1</sub> , D, 20, 25]				25
[read_item, $T_2$ , $D$ ]				
[write_item, T2, D, 25, 26]				26
[read_item, $T_3$ , $A$ ]				
	[read_item, $T_3$ , $C$ ]  [write_item, $T_3$ , $B$ ,15,12]  [start_transaction, $T_2$ ]  [read_item, $T_2$ , $B$ ]  [write_item, $T_2$ , $B$ ,12,18]  [start_transaction, $T_1$ ]  [read_item, $T_1$ , $A$ ]  [read_item, $T_1$ , $D$ ]  [write_item, $T_1$ , $D$ ,20,25]  [read_item, $T_2$ , $D$ ]  [write_item, $T_2$ , $D$ ,25,26]	[start_transaction, $T_3$ ]  [read_item, $T_3$ , $C$ ]  [write_item, $T_3$ , $B$ ,15,12]  [start_transaction, $T_2$ ]  [read_item, $T_2$ , $B$ ]  [write_item, $T_2$ , $B$ ,12,18]  [start_transaction, $T_1$ ]  [read_item, $T_1$ , $A$ ]  [read_item, $T_1$ , $D$ ]  [write_item, $T_1$ , $D$ ]  [write_item, $T_2$ , $D$ ]  [write_item, $T_2$ , $D$ ]		$[start\_transaction, T_3]$ $[read\_item, T_3, C]$ $[write\_item, T_3, B, 15, 12]$ $[start\_transaction, T_2]$ $[read\_item, T_2, B]$ $[write\_item, T_2, B, 12, 18]$ $[start\_transaction, T_1]$ $[read\_item, T_1, A]$ $[read\_item, T_1, D]$ $[write\_item, T_1, D, 20, 25]$ $[read\_item, T_2, D]$ $[write\_item, T_2, D, 25, 26]$

System crash

Illustrating cascading rollback (a process that never occurs in strict or cascadeless schedules).

(a) The read and write operations of three transactions.

(b) System log at point of crash. (c) Operations before the crash.

Figure 19.1

<sup>\*</sup> *T*<sub>3</sub> is rolled back because it did not reach its commit point.

<sup>\*\*</sup>  $T_2$  is rolled back because it reads the value of item B written by  $T_3$ .


# **Roll-back**: One execution of T1, T2 and T3 as recorded in the log.

Figure 19.1

Illustrating cascading rollback (a process that never occurs in strict or cascadeless schedules).

(a) The read and write operations of three transactions.

(b) System log at point of crash. (c) Operations before the crash.



#### Write-Ahead Logging

- When in-place update (immediate or deferred) is used then log is necessary for recovery and it must be available to recovery manager. This is achieved by Write-Ahead Logging (WAL) protocol. WAL states that
  - For Undo: Before a data item's AFIM is flushed to the database disk (overwriting the BFIM) its BFIM must be written to the log and the log must be saved on a stable store (log disk).
  - For Redo: Before a transaction executes its commit operation, all its AFIMs must be written to the log and the log must be saved on a stable store.

#### 7 Checkpointing

- Time to time (randomly or under some criteria) the database flushes its buffer to database disk to minimize the task of recovery. The following steps defines a checkpoint operation:
  - 1. Suspend execution of transactions temporarily.
  - Force write modified buffer data to disk.
  - 3. Write a [checkpoint] record to the log, save the log to disk.
  - Resume normal transaction execution.
- During recovery redo or undo is required to transactions appearing after [checkpoint] record.

#### Steal/No-Steal and Force/No-Force


- Possible ways for flushing database cache to database disk:
  - Steal: Cache can be flushed before transaction commits.
  - No-Steal: Cache cannot be flushed before transaction commit.
  - 3. Force: Cache is immediately flushed (forced) to disk.
  - 4. No-Force: Cache is deferred until transaction commits
- These give rise to four different ways for handling recovery:
  - Steal/No-Force (Undo/Redo)
  - Steal/Force (Undo/No-redo)
  - No-Steal/No-Force (Redo/No-undo)
  - No-Steal/Force (No-undo/No-redo)

#### 8 Recovery Scheme

- Deferred Update (No Undo/Redo)
  - The data update goes as follows:
  - A set of transactions records their updates in the log.
  - At commit point under WAL scheme these updates are saved on database disk.
  - After reboot from a failure the log is used to redo all the transactions affected by this failure. No undo is required because no AFIM is flushed to the disk before a transaction commits.

- Deferred Update in a single-user system
   There is no concurrent data sharing in a single user system. The data update goes as follows:
  - A set of transactions records their updates in the log.
  - At commit point under WAL scheme these updates are saved on database disk.
- After reboot from a failure the log is used to redo all the transactions affected by this failure. No undo is required because no AFIM is flushed to the disk before a transaction commits.





<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>
read_item( <i>B</i> )
write_item( <i>B</i> )
read_item( <i>D</i> )
write_item(D)

(b)

[start_transaction, $T_1$ ]
[write_item, $T_1$ , $D$ ,20]
[commit, T <sub>1</sub> ]
[start_transaction, $T_2$ ]
[write_item, T2, B, 10]
[write_item, $T_2$ , $D$ ,25]

- System crash


#### Figure 19.2

An example of recovery using deferred update in a single-user environment. (a) The READ and WRITE operations of two transactions. (b) The system log at the point of crash.

The [write\_item,...] operations of  $T_1$  are redone.  $T_2$  log entries are ignored by the recovery process.

#### Deferred Update with concurrent users

This environment requires some concurrency control mechanism to guarantee isolation property of transactions. In a system recovery transactions which were recorded in the log after the last checkpoint were redone. The recovery manager may scan some of the transactions recorded before the checkpoint to get the AFIMs.



(a)

<i>T</i> <sub>1</sub>
read_item(A)
read_item( <i>D</i> )
write_item(D)

<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>
read_item( <i>B</i> )
write_item( <i>B</i> )
read_item( <i>D</i> )
write_item(D)

<i>T</i> <sub>3</sub>
read_item(A)
write_item(A)
read_item(C)
write_item(C)

<b>T</b> <sub>4</sub>
read_item(B)
write_item( <i>B</i> )
read_item(A)
write_item(A)

(b)	[start_transaction, T <sub>1</sub> ]	
	[write_item, T <sub>1</sub> , D, 20]	
	[commit, T <sub>1</sub> ]	
	[checkpoint]	
	[start_transaction, T <sub>4</sub> ]	
	[write_item, <i>T</i> <sub>4</sub> , <i>B</i> , 15]	
	[write_item, T <sub>4</sub> , A, 20]	
	[commit, T <sub>4</sub> ]	
	[start_transaction, $T_2$ ]	
	[write_item, <i>T</i> <sub>2</sub> , <i>B</i> , 12]	
	[start_transaction, T <sub>3</sub> ]	
	[write_item, T <sub>3</sub> , A, 30]	
	[write_item, T2, D, 25]	•

 $T_2$  and  $T_3$  are ignored because they did not reach their commit points.

 $T_4$  is redone because its commit point is after the last system checkpoint.

System crash

#### Figure 19.4

An example of recovery using deferred update with concurrent transactions.

(a) The READ and WRITE operations of four transactions. (b) System log at the point of crash.

#### Deferred Update with concurrent users

- Two tables are required for implementing this protocol:
  - Active table: All active transactions are entered in this table.
  - Commit table: Transactions to be committed are entered in this table.
- During recovery, all transactions of the commit table are redone and all transactions of active tables are ignored since none of their AFIMs reached the database. It is possible that a commit table transaction may be redone twice but this does not create any inconsistency because of a redone is "idempotent", that is, one redone for an AFIM is equivalent to multiple redone for the same AFIM.

#### Recovery Techniques Based on Immediate Update

- Undo/No-redo Algorithm
  - In this algorithm AFIMs of a transaction are flushed to the database disk under WAL before it commits.
  - For this reason the recovery manager undoes all transactions during recovery.
  - No transaction is redone.
  - It is possible that a transaction might have completed execution and ready to commit but this transaction is also undone.

#### Recovery Techniques Based on Immediate Update


- Undo/Redo Algorithm (Single-user environment)
  - Recovery schemes of this category apply undo and also redo for recovery.
  - In a single-user environment no concurrency control is required but a log is maintained under WAL.
  - Note that at any time there will be one transaction in the system and it will be either in the commit table or in the active table.
  - The recovery manager performs:
    - Undo of a transaction if it is in the active table.
    - Redo of a transaction if it is in the commit table.

#### Recovery Techniques Based on Immediate Update

- Undo/Redo Algorithm (Concurrent execution)
- Recovery schemes of this category applies undo and also redo to recover the database from failure.
- In concurrent execution environment a concurrency control is required and log is maintained under WAL.
- Commit table records transactions to be committed and active table records active transactions. To minimize the work of the recovery manager checkpointing is used.
- The recovery performs:
  - Undo of a transaction if it is in the active table.
  - Redo of a transaction if it is in the commit table.

#### **Shadow Paging**

The AFIM does not overwrite its BFIM but recorded at another place on the disk. Thus, at any time a data item has AFIM and BFIM (Shadow copy of the data item) at two different places on the disk.




X and Y: Shadow copies of data items

X' and Y': Current copies of data items

#### **Shadow Paging**

- To manage access of data items by concurrent transactions two directories (current and shadow) are used.
  - The directory arrangement is illustrated below. Here a page is a data item.



#### The ARIES Recovery Algorithm

- The ARIES Recovery Algorithm is based on:
  - WAL (Write Ahead Logging)
  - Repeating history during redo:
    - ARIES will retrace all actions of the database system prior to the crash to reconstruct the database state when the crash occurred.
  - Logging changes during undo:
    - It will prevent ARIES from repeating the completed undo operations if a failure occurs during recovery, which causes a restart of the recovery process.

- The ARIES recovery algorithm consists of three steps:
  - Analysis: step identifies the dirty (updated) pages in the buffer and the set of transactions active at the time of crash. The appropriate point in the log where redo is to start is also determined.
  - 2. Redo: necessary redo operations are applied.
  - Undo: log is scanned backwards and the operations of transactions active at the time of crash are undone in reverse order.

- The Log and Log Sequence Number (LSN)
  - A log record is written for:
    - (a) data update
    - (b) transaction commit
    - (c) transaction abort
    - (d) undo
    - (e) transaction end
  - In the case of undo a compensating log record is written.

- The Log and Log Sequence Number (LSN) (contd.)
  - A unique LSN is associated with every log record.
    - LSN increases monotonically and indicates the disk address of the log record it is associated with.
    - In addition, each data page stores the LSN of the latest log record corresponding to a change for that page.
  - A log record stores
    - (a) the previous LSN of that transaction
    - (b) the transaction ID
    - (c) the type of log record.

- The Log and Log Sequence Number (LSN) (contd.)
- A log record stores:
  - Previous LSN of that transaction: It links the log record of each transaction. It is like a back pointer points to the previous record of the same transaction
  - 2. Transaction ID
  - 3. Type of log record
- For a write operation the following additional information is logged:
  - 1. Page ID for the page that includes the item
  - 2. Length of the updated item
  - 3. Its offset from the beginning of the page
  - 4. BFIM of the item
  - 5. AFIM of the item

- The Transaction table and the Dirty Page table
  - For efficient recovery following tables are also stored in the log during checkpointing:
    - Transaction table: Contains an entry for each active transaction, with information such as transaction ID, transaction status and the LSN of the most recent log record for the transaction.
    - Dirty Page table: Contains an entry for each dirty page in the buffer, which includes the page ID and the LSN corresponding to the earliest update to that page.

- Checkpointing
  - A checkpointing does the following:
    - Writes a begin\_checkpoint record in the log
    - Writes an end\_checkpoint record in the log. With this record the contents of transaction table and dirty page table are appended to the end of the log.
    - Writes the LSN of the begin\_checkpoint record to a special file. This special file is accessed during recovery to locate the last checkpoint information.
  - To reduce the cost of checkpointing and allow the system to continue to execute transactions, ARIES uses "fuzzy checkpointing".

- The following steps are performed for recovery
  - Analysis phase: Start at the begin\_checkpoint record and proceed to the end\_checkpoint record. Access transaction table and dirty page table are appended to the end of the log. Note that during this phase some other log records may be written to the log and transaction table may be modified. The analysis phase compiles the set of redo and undo to be performed and ends.
  - Redo phase: Starts from the point in the log up to where all dirty pages have been flushed, and move forward to the end of the log. Any change that appears in the dirty page table is redone.
  - Undo phase: Starts from the end of the log and proceeds backward while performing appropriate undo. For each undo it writes a compensating record in the log.
- The recovery completes at the end of undo phase.

(a)

Lsn	Last_lsn	Tran_id	Туре	Page_id	Other_information
1	0	$T_1$	update	С	•••
2	0	$T_2$	update	В	
3	1	$T_1$	commit		• • •
4	begin checkpoint				
5	end checkpoint				
6	0	<i>T</i> <sub>3</sub>	update	Α	
7	2	$T_2$	update	С	
8	7	$T_2$	commit		

#### TRANSACTION TABLE

(b)

Transaction_id	Last_lsn	Status
$T_1$	3	commit
$T_2$	2	in progress

#### **DIRTY PAGE TABLE**

Page_id	Lsn
С	1
В	2

#### TRANSACTION TABLE

(c)

Transaction_id	Last_lsn	Status
$T_1$	3	commit
$T_2$	8	commit
<i>T</i> <sub>3</sub>	6	in progress

#### **DIRTY PAGE TABLE**

Page_id	Lsn
С	1
В	2
Α	6

#### Figure 19.6

An example of recovery in ARIES. (a) The log at point of crash. (b) The Transaction and Dirty Page Tables at time of checkpoint. (c) The Transaction and Dirty Page Tables after the analysis phase.

#### 10 Recovery in multidatabase system

- A multidatabase system is a special distributed database system where one node may be running relational database system under UNIX, another may be running object-oriented system under Windows and so on.
- A transaction may run in a distributed fashion at multiple nodes.
- In this execution scenario the transaction commits only when all these multiple nodes agree to commit individually the part of the transaction they were executing.
- This commit scheme is referred to as "two-phase commit" (2PC).
  - If any one of these nodes fails or cannot commit the part of the transaction, then the transaction is aborted.
- Each node recovers the transaction under its own recovery protocol.

## Summary

- Databases Recovery
  - Types of Failure
  - Transaction Log
  - Data Updates
  - Data Caching
  - Transaction Roll-back (Undo) and Roll-Forward
  - Checkpointing
  - Recovery schemes
    - ARIES Recovery Scheme
    - Recovery in Multidatabase System