

MASTERTHESIS IN THE STUDY PROGRAM
INFORMATIK – SOFTWARE AND INFORMATION
ENGINEERING

Influence of network-topologies on equilibrium in continuous double-auctions.

WRITTEN BY

JONATHAN THALER, BSc
Student Id

SUPERVISED BY

PROF. (FH) DR. RER. NAT., DIPL. MATH.
HANS-JOACHIM VOLLBRECHT

DORNBIRN, JUNE 3, 2015

Statutory Declaration

I declare that I have developed and written the enclosed work completely by myself, and have not used sources or means without declaration in the text. Any thoughts from others or literal quotations are clearly marked. This Master Thesis was not used in the same or in a similar version to achieve an academic degree nor has it been published elsewhere.

Widmung

Ich widme diese Arbeit meinen beiden liebevollen Eltern, die den verlorenen Sohn nach 11 Jahren in Wien wie selbstverständlich wieder mit offenen Armen zu Hause in Vorarlberg aufgenommen haben und ihm so ein entspanntes Masterstudium ermöglichten und ihm dadurch halfen ein völlig neues Kapitel in seinem Leben aufzuschlagen.

Contents

1	Introduction	6
2	Theory	9
2.1	Systemic risk and Leverage	9
2.2	Continuous Double Auction	9
2.3	Equilibrium Theory	10
2.4	Complex Networks	10
2.5	Network-Generating Algorithms	11
3	The Leverage Cycle	12
4	Hypothesis	13
5	Implementation	14
5.1	Functionality	15
5.1.1	Inspection	15
5.1.2	Replications	15
5.1.3	Experiments	15
5.2	Architecture	15
5.2.1	Frontend	15
5.2.2	Controller	15
5.2.3	Backend	15
5.3	Agents	15
5.3.1	Utility	15
5.4	Markets	15
5.4.1	Asset/Cash	15
5.4.2	Loan/Cash	15
5.4.3	Asset/Loan	15
5.5	Simulation	15
5.5.1	Sweeping and Matching	15
5.6	Performance improvement	15

6	Results	16
6.1	Replicating theoretical equilibrium	16
6.2	Experiments configuration	17
6.3	Fully-Connected Topology	19
6.4	Ascending-Connected Topology	20
6.4.1	Ascending-Connected Importance Sampling	21
7	Interpretation	23
8	A new Market	25
8.1	Results with the new market	25
8.1.1	Fully-Connected	26
8.1.2	Ascending-Connected	27
9	Conclusion, Summary and further Research	29
9.1	Conclusion	29
9.2	Summary	29
9.3	Further Research	29
A	Topologies	30
A.1	Fully-Connected	31
A.2	Half-Fully Connected	32
A.3	Ascending-Connected	33
A.4	Ascending-Connected with short-cuts	34
A.4.1	Full short-cuts	34
A.4.2	Regular short-cuts	35
A.4.3	Random short-cuts	36
A.5	Hub-based topologies	38
A.5.1	3 Hubs	38
A.5.2	3 Median Hubs	39
A.5.3	Median Hub	40
A.5.4	Maximum Hub	41
A.6	Small-World and Scale-Free topologies	42
A.6.1	Erods-Renyi	42
A.6.2	Barbasi-Albert	45
A.6.3	Watts-Strogatz	47
B	Visual Results for Hub-Based, Scale-Free and Small-World Topologies	50
B.1	Half-Fully Connected	50
B.2	Ascending-Connected with short-cuts	51

B.2.1	Random short-cuts	51
B.2.2	2 short-cuts	52
B.2.3	5 full short-cuts	53
B.2.4	15 full short-cuts	54
B.2.5	30 full short-cuts	55
B.2.6	5 regular short-cuts	56
B.2.7	15 regular short-cuts	57
B.2.8	30 regular short-cuts	58
B.3	Hub-Based topologies	58
B.3.1	3-Hubs	59
B.3.2	1-Median Hub	59
B.3.3	3-Median Hubs	60
B.3.4	Maximum Hub	60
B.4	Scale-Free and Small-World topologies	60
B.4.1	Erdos-Renyi	61
B.4.2	Barbasi-Albert	62
B.4.3	Watts-Strogatz	63
C	Interpretation of results of Hub-, Scale-Free and Small-World Topologies	64
C.1	Hub Topologies	64
C.1.1	3-Hubs	64
C.1.2	1-Median Hub	64
C.1.3	3-Median Hubs	64
C.1.4	Maximum Hub	64
C.2	Scale-Free and Small-World topologies	64
C.2.1	Erdos-Renyi	64
C.2.2	Barbasi-Albert	64
C.2.3	Watts-Strogatz	64
	Figures	64
	Bibliography	69

Abstract

In the paper of [BSV13] a model for endogenous leverage in a continuous double-auction is introduced and it is shown under which circumstances holdings and trading prices approach an equilibrium. One main criteria is the trading network the agents use where Breuer et al. examine only two topologies and report that the prices come to an equilibrium only in the case of a fully connected network. They leave the question open on how the model behaves with different kind of networks and which network topology exactly allows an equilibrium to be reached for further research. This thesis builds upon this model and gives a hypothesis for a necessary condition a network must satisfy to allow the model to approach an equilibrium. Then a few network-topologies are examined in regard of their ability to allow equilibria to be reached or not through computer-driven simulation. As will be shown in this thesis through validation by computer-driven simulation the hypothesis turns out to be correct only after extending the simulation-model by an additional market. This result raises questions this thesis tries to answer about market-mechanisms and market-types when agents don't trade in a fully informed network.

Chapter 1

Introduction

TODO: überarbeiten, passt so noch nicht In 2008 the so called "Subprime Mortgage Crisis" struck the world. It was caused by declining house prices which rose during the US Housing Market Bubble in 2006 to an all-time high. Borrowers used their asset as collateral for the mortgage which constantly increased in value which guaranteed them a low payment-rate because the rate was coupled to the value of the asset. Banks granted "subprime" mortgages to more and more highly risky borrowers. In 2007 borrowers started to default which led to falling prices as the banks reclaimed the collateral and wanted to sell it again on the market to compensate for the loss. This led to a flood of assets which led to a decline of housing prices overall. As the prices fell dramatically the payment-rates rose dramatically to compensate for the cheaper asset. This in turn resulted in even more borrowers going default which resulted in a dramatic downward spiral. Even worse the banks were selling these collateralized products between each other and even insured themselves against defaults of borrowers which led to an even more dramatic kick-back.

This mechanism of borrowing money to buy goods which in turn act as a security for the borrowed money is called leverage which was determined as the primary driving force behind systemic risk in the aftermath of the "Subprime Mortgage Crisis". See Chapter 2.1 "Leverage and Systemic Risk" for a more in-depth discussion.

Up until 2010 leverage was always exogenous in the literature on collateralized credit but recently Geanakoplos and Zame (TODO: cite) proposed theories which endogenized leverage within a general equilibrium framework.

[BSV13] developed a simulation on top of the model of Geanakoplos in which zero-intelligence agents trade assets and loans in a continuous double auction. They wanted to better understand the dynamic of such a theoretical

process and how prices develop instead of being predicted through an equilibrium theory. They *TODO: zitierne* "ask whether the competitive theory of trade in leveraged assets has descriptive and predictive power in a double auction environment."

4 contributions: 1. double auctions for leveraged assets is new 2. details of institutional specification matter a lot 3. limits of the endogenous leverage model 4.

They could show that in their simulation trading prices and wealth-distribution approach the theoretical equilibrium of Geanakoplos. In their simulation only a fully connected network and a hub-network of agents was investigated where the equilibrium was only reached in the case of the fully connected network. See Chapter 3. "The Leverage Cycle" for a thorough description of the simulation-model of [BSV13].

This thesis investigates more topologies of networks and their states of equilibrium. Furthermore it presents a hypothesis about the necessary property a topology of a network must satisfy to reach the theoretical equilibrium predicted in the theory of Geanakoplos. Interestingly it is shown experimentally that the hypothesis alone does not guarantee the reach of the theoretical equilibrium but further mechanisms needs to be implemented. See Chapter 4 "Hypothesis" and Chapter 6 "Results" for an in-depth explanation of both the hypothesis and why it does not hold and needs to be extended by means of an additional market-mechanism.

For experimental investigation a software was built for this thesis which implemented the exact simulation model of [BSV13] but extended it further to be applicable to arbitrary topologies. See Chapter 5 "Implementation" on details of the software.

In Chapter 2 "Theory" the theoretical background involved with this thesis is presented. First Leverage and systemic risk and its implications are discussed. Then an introduction into the mechanics of Continuous Double Auction as market-mechanisms and equilibrium theory in economics is given. Finally an overview of abstract networks, network-generating algorithms and their properties is given.

In Chapter 3 "The Leverage Cycle" the theoretical model [BSV13] built their simulation upon is discussed in-depth.

In Chapter 4 "Topologies and Hypothesis" all topologies which are investigated are introduced and the conjecture about the type of topology necessary to reach the theoretical equilibrium is presented and discussed whether the given topologies could ever approach it or not.

Chapter 5 "Implementation" gives an in-depth explanation of the implementation of the computer-driven simulation presented in [BSV13] including a description of the architecture, implementation of the markets and trading mechanisms.

Chapter 6 "Results" shows the results of simulations of all implemented topologies.

Chapter 7 "Interpretation and Discussions" connects the content of the previous chapters to show that the initial hypothesis of Chapter 4 does not satisfy the equilibrium and shows how it can be reached by introducing an additional market. Then results of simulations with this market are given and discussed where will be shown that using the additional market an equilibrium will be reached but that it is different from the theoretical predictions.

In Chapter 8 "Conclusions" a short sum-up of the thesis and questions left for further research are presented.

Chapter 2

Theory

TODO: der theorie-teil. Soll in die verwendete Theorie des Hauptteils einführen und darauf hinweisen, aber nicht völlig trocken und losgelöst vom hauptteil sein. Soll immer den kontext des hauptteils berücksichtigen und schon gewisse anwendungsfälle vorwegnehmen.

2.1 Systemic risk and Leverage

Both are tightly coupled in a way that leverage increases systemic risk dramatically as was the case in the "Subprime Mortgage Crisis".

Systemic Risk

WIKI: It refers to the risks imposed by interlinkages and interdependencies in a system or market, where the failure of a single entity or cluster of entities can cause a cascading failure, which could potentially bankrupt or bring down the entire system or market.

[Bor10]

Leverage

WIKI: In finance, leverage (sometimes referred to as gearing in the United Kingdom and Australia) is any technique to multiply gains and losses.

Accounting Leverage Notational Leverage Economic Leverage

2.2 Continuous Double Auction

Paper: gode and sunders auszüge aus dem Breuer et al. Paper und Everything you wanted to know about Continous Double-Auctions

2.3 Equilibrium Theory

theoretisches: utility-funktionen und clearing preis in der simulation: ungeklärt, immer individuell, "steckenbleiben" vs. gleichgewicht, am ende an theoretischem gleichgewicht orientiert

2.4 Complex Networks

small-world power-law distribution generation algorithms dient hauptsächlich zur kategorisierung

TODO: In "State of the art" an overview of abstract networks and their properties is given. Also network-generating algorithms are presented and discussed. Because continuous double-auctions are the type of market which is used for matchings a short introduction is given on this topic too.

TODO: ziel hier eine theoretische übersicht über netzwerk-theorie zu geben wobei hauptaugenmerk auf die entwicklungen der letzten jahre (scale-free, small-world, ...)

Regular Graphs: [AlB99, vgl.] [New03, vgl.]

Random Graphs: but since then, most large scale networks with no apparent design principle were described as random graphs introduced by two Hungarian mathematicians Paul Erdos and Alfred Renyi [ER59, vgl.] [ER60, vgl.] Have small-world properties.

Small World Graphs or Average Path Length: Stanley Milgram [TM69] [Mil67] [Kle00]

Clustering Coefficient or Transitivity [WS98]

Degree Distribution [AlB02] Generally, it was believed that the degree distribution in most networks follows a Poisson distribution but in reality, real world networks have a highly skewed degree distribution following power-laws. Power-laws are expressions of the form $y \propto x^{-\alpha}$, where α is a constant, x and y are the measures of interest [152].

Small World and Scale Free Network: A small world network as defined by Watts and Strogatz [WS98], is a network with high clustering coefficient and small average path length. A scale free network as defined by Barabasi and Albert [AlB02], is a network where the degree distribution follows a power law.

Complex Networks: are Small-World and/or Scale-Free [BW00] [ASBS00] [Kle02] <http://www.cs.princeton.edu/chazelle/courses/BIB/big-world.htm> Mathematical stuff [New06] [ACL01] [EMB02] [GP04]

2.5 Network-Generating Algorithms

- fully connected - ascending connected - ascending connected with shortcuts
- hubs - erdos-renyi - barbas-albert - watts-strogatz

Chapter 3

The Leverage Cycle

Definition des Modells Märkte, Marktmechanismen, clearing, utility funktionen,... alles theoretisch, um es dann in implementierung praktisch zu zeigen Bestehende Resultate mit Bezug auf paper Fully-Connected: prozess und endverteilung, erreicht theoretisches Gleichgewicht approximativ

Chapter 4

Hypothesis

Eigentliche Fragestellung: Wie wichtig ist die Vollvernetzung? Allgemeine Netzwerkstrukturen untersuchen aber mit hauptaugenmerk auf Ascending-Connected d.h. reicht ascending-connected aus?

hypothese vorstellen: jedes paar von agenten muss über einen kantenzug erreichbar sein, in dem der optimismusfaktor von agent zu agent monoton wächst.

Chapter 5

Implementation

5.1 Functionality

5.1.1 Inspection

5.1.2 Replications

5.1.3 Experiments

GUI

Command-Line

5.2 Architecture

5.2.1 Frontend

5.2.2 Controller

5.2.3 Backend

5.3 Agents

5.3.1 Utility

5.4 Markets

5.4.1 Asset/Cash

5.4.2 Loan/Cash

5.4.3 Asset/Loan

5.5 Simulation

5.5.1 Sweeping and Matching

5.6 Performance improvement

Chapter 6

Results

In this Chapter the results of the experiments are given. Each topology-type introduced in appendix A was simulated where in this chapter only fully-connected and Ascending-Connected topologies are handled as the Ascending-Connected topology - both without and with importance sampling - is the most minimal network which satisfies the requirements for the hypothesis. The results for the other topologies can be found in appendix B.

Note: The numbers in tables resemble always a median-value with the standard-deviation given in parentheses.

6.1 Replicating theoretical equilibrium

As a point-of-reference and as an experimental proof for the correctness of the implementation of the simulation the results of a replication of the theoretical equilibrium and the equilibrium found in [BSV13] are given. Because equilibrium differs across the number of agents and the type of loan traded to be comparable the same amount of agents and the same loan-type has to be used in the experiments which is 1000 Agents and a 0.5 loan because [BSV13] report their equilibria only for a count of 1000 Agents and loans between 0.1 to 0.5.

Table 1: Theoretical Equilibrium for 1000 Agents

Asset-Price p	0.715
Loan-Price q	0.374
Marginal Buyer i_0	0.583
Marginal Seller i_1	0.802

Table 2: Equilibrium in [BSV13] for 1000 Agents and 0.5 loan

Asset-Price p	0.716
Loan-Price q	0.375
Marginal Buyer i_0	0.583
Marginal Seller i_1	0.801
Pessimist Wealth	1.716
Medianist Wealth	4.578
Optimist Wealth	5.032




Figure 1: Wealth-Distribution of thesis-implementation of Fully-Connected topology

Table 3: Equilibrium of thesis-implementation

Asset-Price p	0.700 (0.005)
Loan-Price q	0.389 (0.002)
Marginal Buyer i_0	0.616 (0.004)
Marginal Buyer i_1	0.805 (0.001)
Pessimist Wealth	1.582 (0.01)
Medianist Wealth	4.578 (0.031)
Optimist Wealth	5.105 (0.025)

TODO: difference to breuer TODO: difference to theoretical equilibrium

6.2 Experiments configuration

In the following experiments 100 Agents were used, all markets (Asset/Cash, Loan/Cash, Asset/Loan) were enabled, as loan-type 0.5 was selected and the

Table 4: Performance of thesis-implementation with 1000 Agents and 0.5 loan

Successful TX	19,300.04 (101.68)
Total TX	29,606.82 (2938.82)
Failed TX	10,306.78 (2914.11)

number of replications run was 50. A replication was terminated after 1000 failed transactions in a row. Note that if trading is not possible any more before 1000 failed transactions have been reached in a row, the simulation is halted and thus it is possible that it terminates earlier as can be seen for the Ascending-Connected Importance Sampling topology.

[BSV13] showed that equilibrium can be reached already with 30 agents so this was the minimum number of agents to start with but for a smoother visual result 100 were chosen. Also one simulation-run takes not too much time with 100 as compared to the 1000 agents thus it is a very good match between visual accurateness and processing-power requirements.

The 0.5 loan was selected because its a risky one which is important as riskless loans (facevalue $j=0.2$) the results are indifferent and wont show the characteristic progression.

Obviously the whole simulation-process is a random-process with an equilibrium (different for each topology) as the fixed-point solution thus one needs replications to reduce noise. The number of 50 replications was chosen because it is a good match between processing-power requirements and overall reduction of noise - increasing the number e.g. to 100 or 200 would not result in much better results but would need much longer to run. All facts can be seen and derived when using 50 replications thus for all figures 50 replications were used unless stated otherwise e.g. a single run.

Table 5: Configuration for all experiments

Agent-Count	100
Loan-Type	0.5
Replication-Count	50
Terminate after	1000 failed successive Transactions

Table 6: Theoretical Equilibrium for 100 Agents

Asset-Price p	0.716
Loan-Price q	0.384
Marginal Buyer i_0	0.584
Marginal Seller i_1	0.801

6.3 Fully-Connected Topology

This topology serves as the major point-of-reference for the other experiments as it reaches the theoretical equilibrium for 1000 agents as demonstrated.




Figure 2: Wealth-Distribution of Fully-Connected topology

Table 7: Equilibrium of Fully-Connected topology

Asset-Price	0.689 (0.010)
Loan-Price	0.384 (0.004)
i_0 (Marginal Buyer)	0.603 (0.007)
i_1 (Marginal Seller)	0.803 (0.003)
Pessimist Wealth	1.597 (0.015)
Medianist Wealth	4.565 (0.113)
Optimist Wealth	5.021 (0.064)

Table 8: Performance of Fully-Connected topology

Successful TX	1916.14 (31.42)
Total TX	6364.8 (1679.21)
Failed TX	4448.66 (1668.93)

6.4 Ascending-Connected Topology




Figure 3: Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected topology

Table 9: Equilibrium of Ascending-Connected topology

Asset-Price	0.711 (0.016)
Loan-Price	0.391 (0.005)
i0 (Marginal Buyer)	0.646(0.012)
i1 (Marginal Seller)	0.850 (0.008)
Pessimist Wealth	1.166 (0.072)
Medianist Wealth	1.869 (0.243)
Optimist Wealth	4.307 (0.070)

Table 10: Performance of Ascending-Connected topology

Successful TX	36,940.96 (1948.69)
Total TX	38,117.04 (1934.06)
Failed TX	1176.08 (98.01)

TODO: move to interpretation: As can be clearly seen the equilibrium is

fundamentally different than the fully-connected one and thus the hypothesis is not satisfied.

6.4.1 Ascending-Connected Importance Sampling




Figure 4: Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected Importance Sampling topology

Table 11: Equilibrium of Ascending-Connected Importance Sampling topology

Asset-Price	0.691 (0.009)
Loan-Price	0.383 (0.004)
i0 (Marginal Buyer)	0.614 (0.009)
i1 (Marginal Seller)	0.799 (0.006)
Pessimist Wealth	1.497 (0.072)
Medianist Wealth	3.934 (0.505)
Optimist Wealth	4.519 (0.051)

Table 12: Performance of Ascending-Connected Importance Sampling topology

Successful TX	49,881.6 (1733.33)
Total TX	49,882.6 (1733.33)
Failed TX	1.0 (0.00)

Note that in this case the matching-probabilities are such that upon the first failed transaction the equilibrium has reached as no agent can trade with each other anymore which results in just on single failed transaction.

TODO: move to interpretation: As can be clearly seen the equilibrium is fundamentally different than the fully-connected one and thus the hypothesis is not satisfied.

Chapter 7

Interpretation

In this chapter interpretation of the results of Chapter 6 "Results" are given and discussed. Only the Ascending-Connected topology is handled - both without and with importance sampling - because it is the most minimal network which satisfies the requirements for the hypothesis. The Hub-, Scale-Free and Small-World Topologies are handled in appendix C as they turn out to fall far from satisfying the hypothesis because and almost all of them do not satisfy the hypothesis. Special treatment is given to Erdos-Renyi and Watts-Strogatz as they satisfy the hypothesis when using specific parameters for their generating algorithms.

Validating the Hypothesis When looking at the results of ascending-connected topology with and without importance sampling from Chapter 6 "Results" of figure 4 and 3 and comparing it with the results of the fully-connected topology of figure 2 it becomes immediately clear that the equilibrium is different from the equilibrium of the fully-connected network and thus theoretical equilibrium is not reached in the case of ascending-connected topology neither with or without importance sampling. Although the visual results come quite close to the fully-connected one - there is a clear distinction between pessimists, medianists and optimists and the wealth-distribution looks about the same as in fully-connected - there remain artefacts in the range of the pessimists. Thus the hypothesis is proven wrong by experiment.

Analysing pessimists artefacts Obviously the artefacts in the range of the pessimists indicate a miss-allocation of wealth, which are in fact collateralized assets. Pessimists, as noted in Chapter 3 "The Leverage Cycle", are maximally short on assets and bonds and hold only cash, thus it is clearly a miss-allocation. As will be shown it comes from the fact that the pessimists want to sell but no neighbour is able to buy any more - a scenario which

is not possible in fully-connected topology and is thus unique to ascending-connected networks with or without importance sampling.

Dynamics of a single run To better understand how such artefacts arise one needs to investigate the dynamics of a single run of ascending-connected topology. For convenience reasons this is done only with 30 Agents to reduce the noise and have a better, more narrow overview of the process. An important fact to notice is that the artefacts must not necessarily show up. It is possible for a single run to finish without these artefacts showing up. This is due to the random-process of sweeping and matching and thus the artefacts are subject to this random process too. It also becomes immediately clear that the fewer agents, the more likely a single run performs without giving rise to these artefacts which is rooted in the fact that the more agents are in the ascending-connected topology the lower the matching-probabilities between two neighbours will become. This problem is elevated by using importance sampling when running individual runs and thus the investigation is performed with importance sampling activated. Note that for this purposes the "Inspection"-functionality of the thesis-software is especially suited as it can record the history of a single run and allows to step through each recorded transaction.

TODO: notizen über die beobachtung und analyse verwenden, die bereits gemacht wurden. diese einfach genau einarbeiten die nächsten, die handeln können sind diejenigen die positive bonds halten

Extending the Hypothesis After it has become clear that the hypothesis is wrong the question arises what needs to be done to correct the hypothesis. It is clear that a mechanism needs to be found which prevents or resolves the arising of the artifacts within the pessimist wealth-range. Obviously two solutions are available:

Approaching fully connectedness
Re-enabling inactive pessimists to trade

Approaching fully connectedness full shortcuts scheint zu helfen, die anzahl scheint aber von der anzahl der agenten abhängig zu sein (matching wahrscheinlichkeiten)

Enabling trading neuer markt: pessimisten haben cash, steckengebliebene können collateralisierte assets gegen cash verkaufen. aber in neuem kapitel genauer ausgeführt

Chapter 8

A new Market

neuer markt: Collateralisierte ASsets gegen Cash Neuer Markt: Collateralisierte Assets gegen Cash Käufer: bekommt Asset und Loan, zahlt Cash Verkäufer: bekommt Cash und gibt Asset und Loan limit-preis für diesen markt? Fläche unter P/M/O gleich bei Fully-Connected und Ascending-Connected obwohl unterschiedliche

Erweiterung mit zweiter Hypothese zweite Hypothese: erreicht ascending-connected nun mit dem neuen markt das gleichgewicht? ergebnis der zweiten hypothese: funktioniert

8.1 Results with the new market

As experiment-configuration the same as given in Chapter 5 "Results" is used.

Table 13: Configuration for all experiments

Agent-Count	100
Bond-Type	0.5
Replication-Count	50
Terminate after	1000 failed successive Transactions

8.1.1 Fully-Connected




Figure 5: Wealth-Distribution of Fully-Connected topology



Figure 6: Market-activity over time of Fully-Connected topology




Figure 7: Market-activity accumulated of Fully-Connected topology

8.1.2 Ascending-Connected




Figure 8: Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected topology




Figure 9: Market-activity over time of Ascending-Connected topology




Figure 10: Market-activity accumulated of Ascending-Connected topology

Chapter 9

Conclusion, Summary and further Research

importance-sampling allgemein experimentelle simulationen mit echten menschen: einschränken der handelsbeziehungen wie lokal bzw. global muss die vernetzung sein (ascending-connected full shortcuts) beweisbarkeit der ascending-connected (MIT/OHNE neuem Markt)

9.1 Conclusion

9.2 Summary

9.3 Further Research

Appendix A

Topologies

All topologies are demonstrated with 30 Agents only for better visibility and übersicht of edges. All topologies have connected-component of 1 (TODO: warum) except Erdos-Renyi can produce connected-component $\neq 1$.

A.1 Fully-Connected




Figure 11: Fully-Connected topology

Table 14: Network metrics Fully-Connected topology

Avg. degree	29
Avg. path-length	1
Avg. clustering coefficient	1
Network diameter	1
Graph density	1

A.2 Half-Fully Connected




Figure 12: Half Fully-Connected topology

Table 15: Network metrics Half Fully-Connected topology

Avg. degree	8.067
Avg. path-length	4.007
Avg. clustering coefficient	0.491
Network diameter	9
Graph density	0.278

A.3 Ascending-Connected




Figure 13: Ascending-Connected topology

Table 16: Network metrics Ascending-Connected topology

Avg. degree	1.933
Avg. path-length	10.33
Avg. clustering coefficient	0
Network diameter	29
Graph density	0.067

A.4 Ascending-Connected with short-cuts

A.4.1 Full short-cuts




Figure 14: Ascending-Connected 5 full short-cuts topology

Table 17: Network metrics Ascending-Connected 5 full short-cuts topology

Avg. degree	10
Avg. path-length	1.966
Avg. clustering coefficient	0.667
Network diameter	3
Graph density	0.345

A.4.2 Regular short-cuts




Figure 15: Ascending-Connected 5 regular short-cuts topology

Table 18: Network metrics Ascending-Connected 5 regular short-cuts topology

Avg. degree	3.867
Avg. path-length	2.839
Avg. clustering coefficient	0
Network diameter	6
Graph density	0.133

A.4.3 Random short-cuts




Figure 16: Ascending-Connected random short-cuts probability 1.0 topology

Table 19: Network metrics Ascending-Connected random short-cuts topology

Avg. degree	3.867
Avg. path-length	2.506
Avg. clustering coefficient	0.056
Network diameter	5
Graph density	0.133

A.5 Hub-based topologies

A.5.1 3 Hubs




Figure 17: 3 Hubs topology

Table 20: Network metrics 3 Hubs topology

Avg. degree	9.2
Avg. path-length	2.241
Avg. clustering coefficient	0.976
Network diameter	3
Graph density	0.371

A.5.2 3 Median Hubs




Figure 18: 3 Median Hub topology

Table 21: Network metrics 3 Median Hub topology

Avg. degree	2
Avg. path-length	2.49
Avg. clustering coefficient	0.018
Network diameter	3
Graph density	0.069

A.5.3 Median Hub




Figure 19: Median Hub topology

Table 22: Network metrics Median Hub topology

Avg. degree	1.933
Avg. path-length	1.933
Avg. clustering coefficient	0
Network diameter	2
Graph density	0.067

A.5.4 Maximum Hub

Looks the same as 1 Median Hub but all edges are connected to the agent with the highest optimism-value. Has thus also the same metrics as the optimism-values have no functional influence on the metrics.

A.6 Small-World and Scale-Free topologies

A.6.1 Eros-Renyi




Figure 20: Erdos-Renyi topology with inclusion-probability of 0.2

Table 23: Network metrics Erdosy-Renyi 0.2

Avg. degree	6.8
Avg. path-length	1.913
Avg. clustering coefficient	0.266
Network diameter	3
Graph density	0.234
Connected component	1




Figure 21: Erdos-Renyi topology with inclusion-probability of 0.1

Table 24: Network metrics Erdosy-Renyi 0.1

Avg. degree	2.933
Avg. path-length	3.262
Avg. clustering coefficient	0.103
Network diameter	7
Graph density	0.101
Connected component	1




Figure 22: Erdos-Renyi topology with inclusion-probability of 0.05

Table 25: Network metrics Erdosy-Renyi 0.05

Avg. degree	1.6
Avg. path-length	3.052
Avg. clustering coefficient	0
Network diameter	8
Graph density	0.055
Connected component	11

A.6.2 Barbasi-Albert


Figure 23: Barbasi-Albert topology with $m_0=3$, $m=1$

Table 26: Network metrics Barbas-Albert $m_0=3$, $m=1$

Avg. degree	1.98
Avg. path-length	4.684
Avg. clustering coefficient	0
Network diameter	11
Graph density	0.02



Figure 24: Barbas-Albert topology with $m_0=9$, $m=3$

Table 27: Network metrics Barbas-Albert $m_0=9$, $m=3$


Avg. degree	4.733
Avg. path-length	2.11
Avg. clustering coefficient	0.279
Network diameter	4
Graph density	0.163

A.6.3 Watts-Strogatz

Two params: k and p Creates N nodes and connects each to k neighbours and rewires each then existing edge with a probability of 0.2 to another node with lower id (younger).

Figure 25: Watts-Strogatz topology with $k=2$, $p=0.2$ Table 28: Network metrics Watts-Strogatz $k=2$, $p=0.2$

Avg. degree	4
Avg. path-length	2.883
Avg. clustering coefficient	0.259
Network diameter	6
Graph density	0.138

Figure 26: Watts-Strogatz topology with $k=4$, $p=0.5$ Table 29: Network metrics Watts-Strogatz $k=4$, $p=0.5$

Avg. degree	8
Avg. path-length	1.823
Avg. clustering coefficient	0.241
Network diameter	3
Graph density	0.276

Appendix B

Visual Results for Hub-Based, Scale-Free and Small-World Topologies

B.1 Half-Fully Connected




Figure 27: Wealth-Distribution of Half-Fully Connected topology

Table 30: Equilibrium of Half-Fully Connected topology

Asset-Price	0.651 (0.027)
Loan-Price	0.362 (0.013)
i0 (Marginal Buyer)	0.640 (0.015)
i1 (Marginal Seller)	0.833 (0.09)
Pessimist Wealth	1.22 (0.096)
Medianist Wealth	2.258 (0.409)
Optimist Wealth	4.526 (0.071)

Table 31: Performance of Half-Fully Connected topology

Successful TX	14,218.9 (4621.74)
Total TX	15,253.02 (4633.44)
Failed TX	1034.12 (22.99)

B.2 Ascending-Connected with short-cuts

B.2.1 Random short-cuts




Figure 28: Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected random short-cuts topology

APPENDIX B. VISUAL RESULTS FOR HUB-BASED, SCALE-FREE AND SMALL-WORLD

Table 32: Equilibrium of Ascending-Connected random short-cuts topology

Asset-Price	0.731 (0.019)
Loan-Price	0.393 (0.009)
i0 (Marginal Buyer)	0.649 (0.005)
i1 (Marginal Seller)	0.804 (0.004)
Pessimist Wealth	1.441 (0.03)
Medianist Wealth	4.282 (0.278)
Optimist Wealth	4.974 (0.038)

Table 33: Performance of Ascending-Connected random short-cuts topology

Successful TX	8314.78 (229.85)
Total TX	9496.84 (228.23)
Failed TX	1182.06 (29.23)

B.2.2 2 short-cuts




Figure 29: Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected 2 short-cuts topology

Table 34: Equilibrium of Ascending-Connected 2 short-cuts topology

Asset-Price	0.662 (0.024)
Loan-Price	0.376 (0.006)
i0 (Marginal Buyer)	0.608 (0.018)
i1 (Marginal Seller)	0.805 (0.028)
Pessimist Wealth	1.441 (0.21)
Medianist Wealth	3.978 (1.442)
Optimist Wealth	4.514 (0.063)

Table 35: Performance of Ascending-Connected random short-cuts topology

Successful TX	37,093.64 (12,864.4)
Total TX	38,115.54 (12,851.53)
Failed TX	1021. (18.85)

B.2.3 5 full short-cuts




Figure 30: Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected 5 full short-cuts topology

TODO: move to interpretation: As can be clearly seen 5 full shortcuts seem to be already enough to solve the inefficiencies seen in Ascending-Connected with/without Importance Sampling.

APPENDIX B. VISUAL RESULTS FOR HUB-BASED, SCALE-FREE AND SMALL-WORLD

Table 36: Equilibrium of Ascending-Connected 5 full short-cuts

Asset-Price	0.656 (0.019)
Loan-Price	0.371 (0.003)
i0 (Marginal Buyer)	0.594 (0.0)
i1 (Marginal Seller)	0.792 (0.0)
Pessimist Wealth	1.649 (0.002)
Medianist Wealth	5.013 (0.018)
Optimist Wealth	4.746 (0.011)

Table 37: Performance of Ascending-Connected 5 full short-cuts topology

Successful TX	16,971.34 (228.0)
Total TX	17,998.26 (225.23)
Failed TX	1026.92 (22.68)

B.2.4 15 full short-cuts




Figure 31: Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected 15 full short-cuts topology

Table 39: Performance of Ascending-Connected 15 full short-cuts topology

Successful TX	4498.08 (58.67)
Total TX	5522.860 (64.72)
Failed TX	1024.78 (17.3)

Table 38: Equilibrium of Ascending-Connected 15 full short-cuts topology

Asset-Price	0.658 (0.024)
Loan-Price	0.366 (0.009)
i0 (Marginal Buyer)	0.601 (0.004)
i1 (Marginal Seller)	0.802 (0.0)
Pessimist Wealth	1.649 (0.004)
Medianist Wealth	4.811 (0.092)
Optimist Wealth	4.957 (0.021)

B.2.5 30 full short-cuts




Figure 32: Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected 30 full short-cuts topology

Table 40: Equilibrium of Ascending-Connected 30 full short-cuts topology

Asset-Price	0.681 (0.012)
Loan-Price	0.378 (0.006)
i0 (Marginal Buyer)	0.603 (0.006)
i1 (Marginal Seller)	0.802 (0.1)
Pessimist Wealth	1.649 (0.009)
Medianist Wealth	4.702 (0.112)
Optimist Wealth	5.004 (0.025)

APPENDIX B. VISUAL RESULTS FOR HUB-BASED, SCALE-FREE AND SMALL-WORLD

Table 41: Performance of Ascending-Connected 30 full short-cuts topology

Successful TX	2211.08 (35.88)
Total TX	3225.76 (40.18)
Failed TX	1014.68 (10.55)

B.2.6 5 regular short-cuts




Figure 33: Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected 5 regular short-cuts topology

Table 42: Equilibrium of Ascending-Connected 5 regular short-cuts topology

Asset-Price	0.665 (0.016)
Loan-Price	0.364 (0.007)
i0 (Marginal Buyer)	0.595 (0.003)
i1 (Marginal Seller)	0.792 (0.0)
Pessimist Wealth	1.649 (0.003)
Medianist Wealth	4.991 (0.045)
Optimist Wealth	4.727 (0.011)

Table 43: Performance of Ascending-Connected 5 regular short-cuts topology

Successful TX	14,570.44 (157.61)
Total TX	15,634.68 (166.21)
Failed TX	1064.24 (29.88)

B.2.7 15 regular short-cuts




Figure 34: Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected 15 regular short-cuts topology

Table 44: Equilibrium Ascending-Connected 15 regular short-cuts topology

Asset-Price	0.705 (0.020)
Loan-Price	0.357 (0.018)
i0 (Marginal Buyer)	0.586 (0.023)
i1 (Marginal Seller)	0.802 (0.0)
Pessimist Wealth	1.649 (0.051)
Medianist Wealth	4.146 (0.101)
Optimist Wealth	4.997 (0.007)

Table 45: Performance of Ascending-Connected 15 regular short-cuts topology

Successful TX	4373.28 (50.13)
Total TX	5502.52 (52.11)
Failed TX	1129.24 (19.2)

B.2.8 30 regular short-cuts




Figure 35: Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected 30 regular short-cuts topology

Table 46: Equilibrium of Ascending-Connected 30 regular short-cuts topology

Asset-Price	0.710 (0.021)
Loan-Price	0.398 (0.008)
i0 (Marginal Buyer)	0.589 (0.021)
i1 (Marginal Seller)	0.802 (0.0)
Pessimist Wealth	1.479 (0.049)
Medianist Wealth	3.713 (0.125)
Optimist Wealth	5.0 (0.0)

Table 47: Performance of Ascending-Connected 30 regular short-cuts topology

Successful TX	5427.02 (90.82)
Total TX	6566.06 (96.04)
Failed TX	1139.04 (27.74)

B.3 Hub-Based topologies

The Hub-Based Topologies fail to come even close to equilibrium due to reasons given in Chapter "Topologies and Hypothesis". This can be seen also

very clearly in the visual results and thus no performance- and equilibrium-tables are listed as they would not make any sense.

B.3.1 3-Hubs




Figure 36: Wealth-Distribution of 3-Hubs topology

B.3.2 1-Median Hub




Figure 37: Wealth-Distribution of 1 Median-Hub topology

B.3.3 3-Median Hubs




Figure 38: Wealth-Distribution of 3 Median-Hubs topology

B.3.4 Maximum Hub




Figure 39: Wealth-Distribution of Maximum-Hub topology

B.4 Scale-Free and Small-World topologies

This topologies fail to come even close to equilibrium too due to reasons given in Chapter "Topologies and Hypothesis". This can be seen also very clearly in the visual results and thus no performance- and equilibrium-tables are listed as they would not make any sense.

B.4.1 Erdos-Renyi




Figure 40: Wealth-Distribution of Erdos-Renyi 0.2 topology

need to show network too because random ?




Figure 41: Wealth-Distribution of Erdos-Renyi 0.1 topology

need to show network too because random ?




Figure 42: Wealth-Distribution of Erdos-Renyi 0.05 topology

need to show network too because random ?

B.4.2 Barbasi-Albert




Figure 43: Wealth-Distribution of Barbasi-Albert m0=3, m=1 topology

need to show network too because random ?

APPENDIX B. VISUAL RESULTS FOR HUB-BASED, SCALE-FREE AND SMALL-WORLD




Figure 44: Wealth-Distribution of Barbas-Albert $m_0=9$, $m=3$ topology

need to show network too because random ?

B.4.3 Watts-Strogatz




Figure 45: Wealth-Distribution of Watts-Strogatz $k=2$, $b=0.2$ topology

need to show network too because random ?

Appendix C

Interpretation of results of Hub-, Scale-Free and Small-World Topologies

C.1 Hub Topologies

C.1.1 3-Hubs

C.1.2 1-Median Hub

C.1.3 3-Median Hubs

C.1.4 Maximum Hub

C.2 Scale-Free and Small-World topologies

C.2.1 Erdos-Renyi

todo: kann hypothese erfüllen mit entsprechender parameterisierung

C.2.2 Barbasi-Albert

C.2.3 Watts-Strogatz

todo: kann hypothese erfüllen mit entsprechender parameterisierung

Figures

1	Wealth-Distribution of thesis-implementation of Fully-Connected topology	17
2	Wealth-Distribution of Fully-Connected topology	19
3	Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected topology	20
4	Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected Importance Sampling topology	21
5	Wealth-Distribution of Fully-Connected topology	26
6	Market-activity over time of Fully-Connected topology	26
7	Market-activity accumulated of Fully-Connected topology	27
8	Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected topology	27
9	Market-activity over time of Ascending-Connected topology	28
10	Market-activity accumulated of Ascending-Connected topology	28
11	Fully-Connected topology	31
12	Half Fully-Connected topology	32
13	Ascending-Connected topology	33
14	Ascending-Connected 5 full short-cuts topology	34
15	Ascending-Connected 5 regular short-cuts topology	35
16	Ascending-Connected random short-cuts probability 1.0 topology	36
17	3 Hubs topology	38
18	3 Median Hub topology	39
19	Median Hub topology	40
20	Erdos-Renyi topology with inclusion-probability of 0.2	42
21	Erdos-Renyi topology with inclusion-probability of 0.1	43
22	Erdos-Renyi topology with inclusion-probability of 0.05	44
23	Barbasi-Albert topology with $m_0=3$, $m=1$	45
24	Barbasi-Albert topology with $m_0=9$, $m=3$	46
25	Watts-Strogatz topology with $k=2$, $p=0.2$	48
26	Watts-Strogatz topology with $k=4$, $p=0.5$	49

27	Wealth-Distribution of Half-Fully Connected topology	50
28	Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected random short-cuts topology	51
29	Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected 2 short-cuts topology	52
30	Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected 5 full short-cuts topology	53
31	Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected 15 full short-cuts topology	54
32	Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected 30 full short-cuts topology	55
33	Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected 5 regular short-cuts topology	56
34	Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected 15 regular short-cuts topology	57
35	Wealth-Distribution of Ascending-Connected 30 regular short-cuts topology	58
36	Wealth-Distribution of 3-Hubs topology	59
37	Wealth-Distribution of 1 Median-Hub topology	59
38	Wealth-Distribution of 3 Median-Hubs topology	60
39	Wealth-Distribution of Maximum-Hub topology	60
40	Wealth-Distribution of Erdos-Renyi 0.2 topology	61
41	Wealth-Distribution of Erdos-Renyi 0.1 topology	61
42	Wealth-Distribution of Erdos-Renyi 0.05 topology	62
43	Wealth-Distribution of Barbasi-Albert $m_0=3$, $m=1$ topology .	62
44	Wealth-Distribution of Barbasi-Albert $m_0=9$, $m=3$ topology .	63
45	Wealth-Distribution of Watts-Strogatz $k=2$, $b=0.2$ topology .	63

List of Tables

1	Theoretical Equilibrium for 1000 Agents	16
2	Equilibrium in [BSV13] for 1000 Agents and 0.5 loan	17
3	Equilibrium of thesis-implementation	17
4	Performance of thesis-implementation with 1000 Agents and 0.5 loan	18
5	Configuration for all experiments	18
6	Theoretical Equilibrium for 100 Agents	19
7	Equilibrium of Fully-Connected topology	19
8	Performance of Fully-Connected topology	20
9	Equilibrium of Ascending-Connected topology	20
10	Performance of Ascending-Connected topology	20
11	Equilibrium of Ascending-Connected Importance Sampling topol- ogy	21
12	Performance of Ascending-Connected Importance Sampling topology	21
13	Configuration for all experiments	25
14	Network metrics Fully-Connected topology	31
15	Network metrics Half Fully-Connected topology	32
16	Network metrics Ascending-Connected topology	33
17	Network metrics Ascending-Connected 5 full short-cuts topology	35
18	Network metrics Ascending-Connected 5 regular short-cuts topology	36
19	Network metrics Ascending-Connected random short-cuts topol- ogy	37
20	Network metrics 3 Hubs topology	39
21	Network metrics 3 Median Hub topology	40
22	Network metrics Median Hub topology	41
23	Network metrics Erdosy-Renyi 0.2	43
24	Network metrics Erdosy-Renyi 0.1	44

25	Network metrics Erdosy-Renyi 0.05	45
26	Network metrics Barbasi-Albert $m_0=3$, $m=1$	46
27	Network metrics Barbasi-Albert $m_0=9$, $m=3$	47
28	Network metrics Watts-Strogatz $k=2$, $p=0.2$	48
29	Network metrics Watts-Strogatz $k=4$, $p=0.5$	49
30	Equilibrium of Half-Fully Connected topology	51
31	Performance of Half-Fully Connected topology	51
32	Equilibrium of Ascending-Connected random short-cuts topology	52
33	Performance of Ascending-Connected random short-cuts topology	52
34	Equilibrium of Ascending-Connected 2 short-cuts topology	53
35	Performance of Ascending-Connected random short-cuts topology	53
36	Equilibrium of Ascending-Connected 5 full short-cuts	54
37	Performance of Ascending-Connected 5 full short-cuts topology	54
39	Performance of Ascending-Connected 15 full short-cuts topology	54
38	Equilibrium of Ascending-Connected 15 full short-cuts topology	55
40	Equilibrium of Ascending-Connected 30 full short-cuts topology	55
41	Performance of Ascending-Connected 30 full short-cuts topology	56
42	Equilibrium of Ascending-Connected 5 regular short-cuts topology	56
43	Performance of Ascending-Connected 5 regular short-cuts topology	56
44	Equilibrium Ascending-Connected 15 regular short-cuts topology	57
45	Performance of Ascending-Connected 15 regular short-cuts topology	57
46	Equilibrium of Ascending-Connected 30 regular short-cuts topology	58
47	Performance of Ascending-Connected 30 regular short-cuts topology	58

Bibliography

- [ACL01] William Aiello, Fan R. K. Chung, and Linyuan Lu. Random evolution in massive graphs. In *FOCS*, page 510–519, 2001.
- [AlB99] Réka Albert and Albert lászló Barabási. Statistical mechanics of complex networks. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, page 2002, 1999.
- [AlB02] Réka Albert and Albert lászló Barabási. Emergence of scaling in random networks. *Science*, page 286(5439):509–512, 2002.
- [ASBS00] L. A. N. Amaral, A. Scala, M. Barthélemy, and H. E. Stanley. Classes of small-world networks. In *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, page 97(21):11149–11152, 2000.
- [Bor10] Milan Boran. Market dynamics and systemic risk. *23rd Australasian Finance and Banking Conference 2010*, 2010.
- [BSV13] Thomas Breuer, Martin Summer, and Hans-Joachim Vollbrecht. Endogenous leverage and asset pricing in double auctions. 2013.
- [BW00] A. Barrat and M. Weigt. On the properties of small-world network models. In *The European Physical Journal B - Condensed Matter and Complex Systems*, page 13(3):547–560, 2000.
- [EMB02] Holger Ebel, Lutz-Ingo Mielsch, and Stefan Bornholdt. Scale-free topology of e-mail networks. *Phys. Rev. E*, page 66:035103, 2002.
- [ER59] P. Erdős and A Rényi. On random graphs. In *Publicationes Mathematicae*, pages 6:290—297, 1959.
- [ER60] P. Erdős and A Rényi. On the evolution of random graphs. In *PUBLICATION OF THE MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES*, pages 17–61, 1960.

- [GP04] M. Gaertler and M. Patrignani. Dynamic analysis of the autonomous system graph. In *IPS 2004, International Workshop on Inter-domain Performance and Simulation*, page pages 13–24, 2004.
- [Kle00] Jon Kleinberg. The small-world phenomenon: An algorithmic perspective. In *in Proceedings of the 32nd ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 163–170, 2000.
- [Kle02] Judith S. Kleinfeld. Could it be a big world after all ? *Society*, page 39(2):61–66, 2002.
- [Mil67] Stanley Milgram. The small world problem. *Psychology Today*, page 1:61–67, 1967.
- [New03] M. E. J. Newman. The structure and function of complex networks. *SIAM REVIEW*, 45:167–256, 2003.
- [New06] M. E. J. Newman. Finding community structure in networks using the eigenvectors of matrices. *Physical Review E (Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics)*, 74(3), 2006.
- [TM69] J. Travers and Stanley Milgram. An experimental study of the small world problem. *Sociometry*, page 32:425–443, 1969.
- [WS98] D. J. Watts and S. H. Strogatz. Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks. In *Nature*, page 393:440–442, June 1998.