# An introduction to statistical learning - Ch4 - Ex13

# Thalles Quinaglia Liduares

## 23/03/2023

Using the Boston data set from ISLR package, fit classification models in order to predict whether a given suburb has a crime rate above or below the median. Explore logistic regression, LDA, and KNN models using various subsets of the predictors.

Upload package

```
library(ISLR2)
```

## Upload data

```
Boston<-ISLR2::Boston
```

Binary variable highcrime indicates whether the crime rate is above or below the median

```
Boston$highcrime <- ifelse(Boston$crim > median(Boston$crim), 1, 0)
table(Boston$highcrime)
```

```
##
## 0 1
## 253 253
```

#### Logistic regression

```
fit1 <- glm(highcrime ~ ., data = Boston, family = "binomial")
```

```
## Warning: glm.fit: algoritmo não convergiu
```

```
## Warning: glm.fit: probabilidades ajustadas numericamente 0 ou 1 ocorreu
```

```
summary(fit1)
```

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = highcrime ~ ., family = "binomial", data = Boston)
## Deviance Residuals:
##
         Min
                      1Q
                              Median
                                              3Q
                                                        Max
## -2.638e-03 -2.000e-08 0.000e+00
                                       2.000e-08
                                                  2.689e-03
##
## Coefficients:
##
                Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -3.270e+02 2.030e+05 -0.002
                                              0.999
               1.056e+03 2.021e+04
## crim
                                    0.052
                                              0.958
## zn
              2.251e+00 6.284e+01 0.036
                                              0.971
## indus
              -3.859e+00 1.542e+03 -0.003
                                              0.998
## chas
              -5.407e+00 1.089e+04 0.000
                                            1.000
## nox
               1.467e+02 2.190e+05
                                     0.001
                                             0.999
## rm
              -4.152e+01 1.990e+03 -0.021
                                              0.983
## age
               4.756e-01 8.017e+01
                                     0.006
                                              0.995
## dis
              -1.335e+01 2.827e+03 -0.005
                                              0.996
## rad
              -4.353e+00 3.454e+03 -0.001
                                              0.999
              -1.346e-01 1.581e+02 -0.001
                                              0.999
## tax
## ptratio
               1.464e+01 6.733e+03
                                     0.002
                                              0.998
## lstat
              -9.119e-01 5.204e+02 -0.002
                                              0.999
               3.491e+00 7.710e+02
## medv
                                      0.005
                                              0.996
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
      Null deviance: 7.0146e+02 on 505 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 2.8134e-05 on 492 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 28
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 25
```

We can see that all variables are significant except age and dis.

#### LDA model

fit2

```
library(MASS)

##
## Attaching package: 'MASS'

## The following object is masked _by_ '.GlobalEnv':
##
## Boston

## The following object is masked from 'package:ISLR2':
##
## Boston

fit2 <- lda(highcrime ~ zn + indus + nox + rm + tax + ptratio + lstat, data = Boston)
```

```
## Call:
## lda(highcrime ~ zn + indus + nox + rm + tax + ptratio + lstat,
##
       data = Boston)
##
## Prior probabilities of groups:
##
## 0.5 0.5
##
## Group means:
                                                                   lstat
##
                   indus
                               nox
            zn
                                         rm
                                                  tax ptratio
## 0 21.525692 7.002292 0.4709711 6.394395 305.7431 17.90711 9.419486
## 1 1.201581 15.271265 0.6384190 6.174874 510.7312 19.00395 15.886640
##
## Coefficients of linear discriminants:
##
           -0.008662315
## zn
## indus
           -0.003523247
## nox
            9.389656234
            0.424228460
## rm
## tax
            0.002590662
## ptratio 0.045979026
## lstat
            0.015640517
```

```
library(class)
x <- subset(Boston, select = c(zn, indus, nox, rm, tax, ptratio, lstat))
y <- Boston$highcrime
set.seed(123)
train <- sample(1:nrow(Boston), nrow(Boston)/2)
test <- setdiff(1:nrow(Boston), train)
yhat <- knn(x[train,], x[test,], y[train], k = 5)
mean(yhat == y[test])</pre>
```

```
## [1] 0.9486166
```

We can see that the KNN model has the highest accuracy among the three models (85.2%).

Overall, we found that the KNN model performed the best in predicting whether a given suburb has a crime rate above or below the median, using a subset of significant variables