

# Chapter 10 - Regression Analysis with Time Series Data

Thalles Quinaglia Liduares

23/03/2022

## Exercise 10.10

Upload packages

```
library(wooldridge)
library(lmreg)
library(car)
```

Upload database

```
data<-wooldridge::intdef
attach(data)
```

**Consider the model estimated in (10.15); use the data in INTDEF.RAW.**

**(i) Find the correlation between `inf` and `def` over this sample period and comment.**

```
round(cor(inf, def),3)
```

```
## [1] 0.097
```

The correlation between `inf` and `def` is equal to 9.7% over this sample period.

**(ii) Add a single lag of `inf` and `def` to the equation and report the results in the usual form.**

```
lm1<-lm(i3~inf+def+inf_1+def_1)
summary(lm1)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = i3 ~ inf + def + inf_1 + def_1)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -4.3082 -0.8513  0.3243  1.0437  2.7108
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)   1.6115     0.4008   4.021 0.000196 ***
## inf           0.3426     0.1254   2.732 0.008671 **
## def          -0.1897     0.2213  -0.857 0.395471
## inf_1         0.3820     0.1336   2.859 0.006175 **
## def_1         0.5693     0.1968   2.893 0.005637 **
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 1.66 on 50 degrees of freedom
## (1 observation deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared:  0.685, Adjusted R-squared:  0.6598
## F-statistic: 27.18 on 4 and 50 DF, p-value: 5.193e-12
```

The estimated equation is expressed as follows

$$\hat{i}3 = 1.61 + 0.34inf_t - 0.18def_t + 0.38inf_{t-1} + 0.56def_{t-1}$$

**(iii) Compare the estimated LRP for the effect of inflation with that in equation (10.15). Are they vastly different?**

In progress..

**(iv) Are the two lags in the model jointly significant at the 5% level?**

```
linearHypothesis(lm1, c("inf_1=0","def_1=0"))
```

```
## Linear hypothesis test
##
## Hypothesis:
## inf_1 = 0
## def_1 = 0
##
## Model 1: restricted model
## Model 2: i3 ~ inf + def + inf_1 + def_1
##
##   Res.Df    RSS Df Sum of Sq    F    Pr(>F)
## 1      52 166.48
## 2      50 137.72  2    28.756 5.2199 0.008733 **
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

The null hypothesis is rejected at the 1% significance level. Hence, there's evidence that this two lagged variables influence the T-3 bill rate.