## Chapter 4 - Inference

Thalles Quinaglia Liduares

18/03/2022

## Exercise 4.7

Upload packages

```
library(lmreg)
library(dplyr)
library(wooldridge)
```

## Upload database

```
data<-wooldridge::twoyear
str(data)</pre>
```

```
## 'data.frame':
                6763 obs. of 23 variables:
## $ female : int 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 ...
  $ phsrank : int 65 97 44 34 80 59 81 50 8 56 ...
## $ BA
          : int 0000001001...
## $ AA
           : int 0000000000...
## $ black : int 0000000101...
  $ hispanic: int 000100000...
##
         : num 19 93 96 119 132 156 163 188 199 200 ...
  $ id
## $ exper
           : int 161 119 81 39 141 165 127 161 138 64 ...
## $ jc
          : num 0000.2670 ...
## $ univ
           : num 0 7.03 0 0 0 ...
## $ lwage : num 1.93 2.8 1.63 2.22 1.64 ...
## $ stotal : num -0.442 0 -1.357 -0.19 0 ...
## $ smcity : int 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 ...
## $ medcity : int 0000000000 ...
## $ submed : int 00000000000...
  $ lgcity : int 0000000100 ...
## $ sublg
          : int 1010000000...
  $ vlgcity : int 00000000000...
## $ subvlg : int 0000000000...
           : int 1010000000...
## $ ne
## $ nc
           : int 0100001000...
## $ south
           : int 0000110101...
  $ totcoll : num  0 7.033 0 0.267 0 ...
  - attr(*, "time.stamp")= chr "25 Jun 2011 23:03"
```

Refer to the example used in Section 4.4. You will use the data set TWOYEAR.RAW.

(i) The variable pharank is the person's high school percentile. (A higher number is better. For example, 90 means you are ranked better than 90 percent of your graduating class.) Find the smallest, largest, and average pharank in the sample.

```
summary(data$phsrank)
```

```
## Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
## 0.00 44.00 50.00 56.16 76.00 99.00
```

The smallest, largest and average value of phsrank are 0, 99.0 and 56.16 respectively.

(ii) Add phsrank to equation (4.26) and report the OLS estimates in the usual form. Is phsrank statistically significant? How much is 10 percentage points of high school rank worth in terms of wage?

```
lm1<-lm(lwage~jc+totcoll+exper+phsrank, data)
summary(lm1)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = lwage ~ jc + totcoll + exper + phsrank, data = data)
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                1Q Median
                                 3Q
                                         Max
## -2.09049 -0.28135 0.00538 0.28543 1.79060
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 1.4587472 0.0236211 61.756 <2e-16 ***
## jc
            -0.0093108 0.0069693 -1.336
                                             0.182
## totcoll
            0.0754756 0.0025588 29.496 <2e-16 ***
             0.0049396 0.0001575 31.360 <2e-16 ***
## exper
## phsrank 0.0003032 0.0002389 1.269
                                            0.204
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.4301 on 6758 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.2226, Adjusted R-squared: 0.2222
## F-statistic: 483.8 on 4 and 6758 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

The estimated equation is expressed as follows

$$\widehat{log(wage)} = 1.45 - 0.009jc + 0.075totcoll + 0.004exper + 0.0003phsrank$$

The variable phsrank do not show statistical significance at any level.

In terms of return to wage, an increase of 10% in phsrank, implies in 0.003% increase wage.

(iii) Does adding pharank to (4.26) substantively change the conclusions on the returns to two- and four-year colleges? Explain.

In progress...

(iv) The data set contains a variable called id. Explain why if you add id to equation (4.17) or (4.26) you expect it to be statistically insignificant. What is the two-sided p-value?

In progress...