

Data Stream Algorithms.

Basic definitions.

- Stream: n elements from universe $\mathbb{M} = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.
E.g.: consider $[1000]$.
 $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\} = 3, 5, 7, 100, \dots$
- Goal: Compute a function of stream.
E.g. Median, number of distinct elements, longest increasing sequence.
- But:
 - limited working memory, (usually sublinear in n and m , i.e. $O(\log n)$ or $O(\log m)$).
 - access data sequentially.
 - process quickly.

Why do we care?

Faster network, cheaper data storage, ...

- * Sampling: a general technique to tackle massive amounts of data.
E.g. we have a large list of all queries made to a search engine, and we want to measure how many queries contain the word "~~iPhone XS~~". Easy! just count them?!! But we can actually do it faster. \Rightarrow sampling.

- Problem: Given a large set of N elements U , ($|U| = N$), select a subset of elements \hat{U} ($|\hat{U}| \approx n$) such that from \hat{U} the size of any subset $S \subseteq U$ can be estimated.
- Sampling approach: Pick each element from U independently into set \hat{U} with probability $p = \frac{n}{N}$.

Let the variable X_i be 1 if element i is picked and 0 otherwise.

The number of picked elements is $\sum_{i=1}^N X_i$, and its expectation is

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^N X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}[X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{n}{N} = n.$$

Let \hat{S} be the set of the intersection of S and \hat{U} .

Let s_i be 1 if $i \in S$ and 0 otherwise indicator function.

Let $Z = \frac{N}{n} |\hat{S}|$ be our estimator of $|S|$.

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{N}{n} |\hat{S}|\right] = \frac{N}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^N X_i s_i\right] = \frac{N}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j \in S} X_j\right] = |S|$$

$i \in \hat{S}$ if and only if $X_i s_i = 1$.

$$\therefore \mathbb{E}[Z] = |S|$$

~~the~~ question:

Question: How close is Z to $|S|$?

Chernoff's bound would help!

Lemma (Chernoff bound): Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent Bernoulli random variables $\Pr(X_i = 1) = p_i$ and $\Pr(X_i = 0) = 1 - p_i$. Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\Pr(X > (1+\epsilon)\mu) \leq e^{-\mu\epsilon^2/4}$$

$$\Pr(X < (1-\epsilon)\mu) \leq e^{-\mu\epsilon^2/2}$$

$$\Pr(|X - \mu| \geq \epsilon\mu) \leq 2e^{-\mu\epsilon^2/4}.$$

Recall that in our problem, we want to know how to go over the elements of S and count how many of them were sampled into \hat{U} , and that

$$\frac{n}{N} Z = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \delta_i = \sum_{j \in S} X_j \Rightarrow Z = \frac{N}{n} \sum_{j \in S} X_j$$

$$\text{and } \frac{n}{N} \mathbb{E}[Z] = |S|$$

Applying Chernoff's for $\sum_{j \in S} X_j$: $\Pr\left(\left|\sum_{j \in S} X_j - \frac{n}{N}|S|\right| > \epsilon \frac{n|S|}{N}\right) \leq 2e^{-\frac{|S|\ln\epsilon^2}{4N}}$

$$\Pr\left(\left|Z - |S|\right| > \epsilon |S|\right) \leq 2e^{-\frac{|S|\ln\epsilon^2}{4N}}$$

$$\Pr\left(\left|Z - |S|\right| > \epsilon |S|\right) \leq 2e^{-\frac{|S|\ln\epsilon^2}{2N}}$$

union bound

$$\Pr\left(\left|Z - |S|\right| > \epsilon |S|\right) \leq 2e^{-\frac{|S|\ln\epsilon^2}{4N}}$$

what does it mean?

For example, if $|S|$ is of the size $10^{-5}N$ and we want to have a 10% accuracy with probability at least 0.99, we must keep a sample of roughly 10^8 elements, regardless of N .

think of N a really big number, it's still small!

* Frequency moments of Data stream:

Given a data stream a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n of length n , where each $a_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} = [m]$. The frequency of $i \in [m]$ in the stream is $f_i = |\{j | a_j = i\}|$. The vector $\vec{f} = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$ is called the frequency vector.

For $p \geq 0$, The p th frequency moment of the input is defined as follows:

$$F_p = \begin{cases} |\{i | f_i \neq 0\}| & \text{if } p = 0 \\ \max_i f_i & \text{if } p = \infty \\ \|\vec{f}\|_p^p = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i^p & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

number of distinct symbols occurring in the stream

- For $p = 1$, the first frequency moment is just n , the length of the string.
- For $p = 2$, the second frequency moment is useful in computing the variance of the stream:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(f_i - \frac{n}{m} \right)^2 &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(f_i^2 - 2f_i \cdot \frac{n}{m} + \frac{n^2}{m^2} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i^2 \right) - \frac{n^2}{m^2}. \end{aligned}$$

- For $p = \infty$, F_∞ is the frequency of the most frequent element.

* The uniform distribution:

A r.v. X assumes values in the interval $[a, b]$ such that all subintervals of equal length have equal probability, we say that X has the uniform distribution over $[a, b]$.

The probability distribution function of X is.

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq a \\ \frac{x-a}{b-a} & \text{if } a \leq x \leq b \\ 1 & \text{if } x \geq b \end{cases}$$

and its density function is

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < a \\ \frac{1}{b-a} & \text{if } a \leq x \leq b \\ 0 & \text{if } x > b \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_a^b \frac{x}{b-a} dx = \frac{b^2 - a^2}{2(b-a)} = \frac{b+a}{2}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \frac{(Exercise!)}{3} = \frac{b^2 + ab + a^2}{3}$$

$$\text{Var}[X] = ?$$

Lemma: Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k be independent random variables over $[0, 1]$. Let $Y = \min(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k)$.

$$\text{Then } \mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{1}{k+1}$$

$$P(Y \geq y) = P(\min(X_1, \dots, X_k) \geq y)$$

$$= P(\{X_1 \geq y\} \cap \{X_2 \geq y\} \cap \dots \cap \{X_k \geq y\})$$

X_i 's are independent \leftarrow $= \prod_{i=1}^k P(X_i \geq y)$

$$= (1-y)^k$$

$$\therefore P(Y \leq y) = 1 - (1-y)^k$$

$$F(y) = 1 - (1-y)^k$$

density function of y is $f(y) = k(1-y)^{k-1}$.

$$\Rightarrow E[Y] = \int_0^1 ky(1-y)^{k-1} dy = y(1-y)^k \Big|_0^1 + \int_0^1 (1-y)^k dy$$

Integration by parts

$$u=y \quad du = k(1-y)^{k-1}$$

$$du = dy \quad v = -(1-y)^k$$

$$= 0 + \int_0^1 (1-y)^k dy.$$

$$= - \frac{(1-y)^{k+1}}{k+1} \Big|_0^1$$

$$= \frac{1}{k+1}$$

* Estimating F_o = Counting distinct elements.

[1] Noga Alon, Yossi Matias, and Mario Szegedy.

The space complexity of approximating the frequency moments. STOC '96.

[2] Edith Cohen.

Size-estimation framework with applications to transitive closure and reachability. '97.

Idea: use a (hash) function $h: \text{universe} \rightarrow [0, 1]$

We hash each entry a_i of the data set we see it, and keep track of the minimum seen hash value in our memory. Suppose in a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , there are k distinct elements x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k

$$\text{Let } Y = \min(h(x_1), h(x_2), \dots, h(x_k)).$$

Suppose that the values $h(a_1), \dots, h(a_n)$ are independently distributed ^{uniform} r.v. over the interval $[0, 1]$.

→ From the previous lemma:

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{1}{n+1}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{1}{k+1}$$

Recall that we want to estimate k , so Y may be used to estimate it.

→ Can use Chebyshov's inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y^2] &= \int_0^1 y^2 k(1-y)^{k-1} dy \\ &= \dots = ? \leq \frac{2}{(k+1)^2} \\ &\quad (\text{Exercise!}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}[Y] = \mathbb{E}[Y^2] - \mathbb{E}[Y]^2 \leq \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} = \mathbb{E}[Y]^2$$

Chebyshov's inequality:

$$P(|Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]| > \varepsilon \mathbb{E}[Y]) \leq \frac{\text{Var}[Y]}{\varepsilon^2 \mathbb{E}[Y]^2} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$$

which is a useless bound for small ε .

To improve, we take a mean of estimators.

Consider multiple independent versions Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_t of Y .

Y_1 has corresponding hash function h_1

Y_2 h_2

\vdots \vdots

Y_t h_t

And let $Z = \frac{Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_t}{t}$ as our new estimator.

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] = \mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{1}{k+1}.$$

Since Y_1, \dots, Y_t are independent,

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{Var}[Z]] = \frac{1}{t^2} \sum_{i=1}^t \text{Var}[Y_i] = \frac{\text{Var}[Y]}{t} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y]^2}{t}$$

$$\therefore \text{Var}[Z] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[Z]^2}{t}$$

Applying Chebychev's ineq:

$$P(|Z - \mathbb{E}[Z]| \geq \epsilon \mathbb{E}[Z]) \leq \frac{\text{Var}[Z]}{\epsilon^2 \mathbb{E}[Z]^2} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 t}.$$

This means that by increasing t , we can reduce the probability of bad event $\{|Z - \mathbb{E}[Z]| \geq \epsilon \mathbb{E}[Z]\}$.

Setting $t = \frac{10}{\epsilon^2}$, we can bound the probability of failure by $\frac{1}{10}$.

* Estimating the second moment F_2 .

Recall $F_2 = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i^2$

Goal: Estimate F_2 .

Consider a hash function $h: [m] \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$.

For each symbol i , $1 \leq i \leq m$,

independently set a random variable X_i such that

$$P(X_i = 1) = P(X_i = -1) = 1/2$$

then consider

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i f_i \quad \text{and} \quad V = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m X_i f_i \right)^2$$

Fact: $E[V] = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i^2$

Pf: Note that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^m X_i f_i \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i^2 f_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} X_i X_j f_i f_j$$

$$\Rightarrow E[V] = E\left(\sum_{i=1}^m X_i^2 f_i^2\right) + 2 E\left(\sum_{i \neq j} X_i X_j f_i f_j\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^m E[X_i^2 f_i^2] + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} E[X_i X_j f_i f_j]$$

$$E[X_i X_j f_i f_j] \leftarrow = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i^2 + 0.$$

$$= E[X_i] E[X_j] f_i f_j \text{ since } X_i, X_j \text{ independent for } i \neq j.$$

$$= 0$$

$\Rightarrow V$ is an estimator of F_2 .

We can show that (see [BHK] p. 190).

$$\mathbb{E}[V^2] \leq 3\mathbb{E}[V]^2$$

~~$\mathbb{E}[V^2]$~~

$$\therefore \text{Var}[V] = \mathbb{E}[V^2] - \mathbb{E}[V]^2 \leq 2\mathbb{E}[V]^2.$$

By Chebychev's inequality:

$$P(|V - \mathbb{E}[V]| \geq \epsilon \mathbb{E}[V]) \leq \frac{\text{Var}[V]}{\epsilon^2 \mathbb{E}[V]^2} \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon^2}.$$

Not a good bound for ϵ small.

→ we can consider multiple independent version of V : V_1, V_2, \dots, V_s . and so let $Y = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=1}^s V_i$.

$$\text{then } P(|Y - F_2| \geq \epsilon F_2) \leq \delta$$

$$\text{if } s \geq \frac{2}{\epsilon^2 \delta}.$$

Alon-Maticas-Szegedy was able to construct Y and V using $O(\log m)$ space. (See [BHK] p. 190).