FUJIKURA FIBER OPTICS VIETNAM LTD								
INITIAL CONTROL COMPLETION REPORT								
orm No; 4-	Pr-013-4-Fo-002			Version: 05	Page: 1/1	Effective date: EIC date	4-Pr-01	.3-4-Fo-002/5
	red by: Trang NXQ				-	ked by: Duc TNM		oved by: Van NHP
orm prepa						,	Form appro	ovea by: van NHP
	Prepared by:	ChauVNB			Checked by:	ThuongHTH		
	Date:	27-Sep-24			Date:	01-Oct-24		
	Section:	QAE						
	Report No:	4-Pr-013-4-Fo-002	-9-RC-0171					
	Initial control plan N	4-PR-013-4-Fo-001	-4-RC-0258					
	Product/project nan	Initial control plan	for New product-Pump Combiner					
	Kind of control:	✓ Nev	product/project	Product d	esign change 🔲 M	FG location/layout change	☐ Re-running	Other:
<u></u>	Scope of control (In	itial control term/ L	ot No./ PO/Quantity/Period that applie	ed initial contr	rol):			
	Item Number	Spec Number	Product name			ID No	Quantity (pcs)	Shipping date
	FPC0004	SPC3-10766(1)	Cezanne forward pump CMB(MPC-I-00)7)		381399	24	10/4/2024
	FPC0005	SPC3-10766(1)	Cezanne backward pump CMB(MPC-I-0	007)		381412	24	10/4/2024
						l	l .	27 7 2
	nitial control result:							
A.1./ Result	based on initial cont							
<u></u>	A.1.1/ Evaluation ite	ems:						
							Investigation of	Decision
No.	Evaluation items	Process	Measuring item	Frequency	Expectation of result	Result/Actual data	gap	[Close/open/other]
					Yield at process ≥		<u> </u>	. , , , ,
1	Fail heat box B	Thermal Inspection	Check by Thermal image & measure	100%	74.9% (trial run result	82.22%	-	Close
			value)			
	Dust inside OF600		Check by magnifier & microscope		Yield at process ≥			
2	resin	Resin dispensing	x100	100%	88.2% (trial run result	91.17%	-	Close
)			
							There is 1 case	
	Fiber appearance QC Inspe						fiber broken at	
				Yield at process ≥ 100% 75.9% (trial run res			splicing point.	
					Waldakaaaa	75% (without fiber broken at splicing	=>Estimate	Close. After
3		QC Inspection	Check by magnifier & microscope x100		75.9% (trial run result	point) 76.19% (including fiber broken at	come from	improvement, there
			X100)	splicing point)	strorage control of KE3466 resin	is no case from 14- Sep-24 to 30-Sep-24
					0 case fiber coating	splicing point/	& apply wrong	эср-24 to 30-эср-24
					exposed glass part		direction of	
					0 case fiber break		Ionizer fan	
					(short length).			
Refer techni	cal report (if any):							
_5	A.1.2/ Complience c	heck:						
Ne	Item/Par		Specific	cation		Review	result	
No.	itelli/Pai	ameter	Criterion	Criterion Picture - If any		Actual	Judgement	Remark
1	FBG SN		II. C. I. I. C. IICHIZII R IICCIZII			Have first characters"CHK" &"CCK"	Commission	
1	FBG SN		Have first characters "CHK" & "CCK"				Compliance	
2	Tensile strength		280+10/-10 gf			280+10/-10 gf	Compliance	
-	(proof tension)		250 10/-10 gi				Compilance	
3	Reinforcement tension	on	35+5/-5 gf	Proftest & tens	onjpeg	35+5/-5 gf	Compliance	
			≤0.2 for Forward Pump			≤0.2 for Forward Pump		
4	ΔM2(Clad and Core	transmitted light)	≤0.13 for Backward Pump			≤0.13 for Backward Pump	Compliance	
5	ΔM2(Core transmitt	ed light)	≤0.13 for Backward Pump	M2 Forward.j	peg	≤0.13 for Backward Pump	Compliance	
	Signal Transmittance					≥ 97% for Backward Pump	Compliance	
6			≥ 97% for Backward Pump	M2-Back ửad.j				
7	Pump Transmittance	<u> </u>	≥ 97.5%	IVIZ-Dack dad.j	peg	≥97.5%	Compliance	
						Same as product specification from Box		
8	Thermal inspection		Specification from Box A to Box F			A to Box F	Compliance	
				thermal.jpeg	1			
	FBG Fiber length be	tween FBG recoat	1500 - 100 / 100			1500+100/-100 mm	Committee	
9	and fiber end		1500+100/-100 mm				Compliance	
10	Signal fiber length		1400+10/-10mm for Forward Pump			1400+10/-10mm for Forward Pump	Compliance	
10	organi riber teligui		580+10/-10 mm for Backward Pump			580+10/-10 mm for Backward Pump	Compilative	
11	Pump fiber length		1160+100/-100mm			1160+100/-100mm	Compliance	
		4				1040.70/70 5 F	, , ,	
12	FBG Fiber length be reinforcement structor		1040+70/-70mm for Forward Pump			1040+70/-70mm for Forward Pump 990+70/-70mm for Backward Pump	Compliance	
14	recoat	unu I DO	990+70/-70mm for Backward Pump			2.2.7.07.70mm for Duckward 1 ump	Compilative	

A 2./ Review	v risks during initial	control:						
		Is there any additional risk that is not a	iffected current FN	1ΕA:				
						_	_	
No.	Process	Risk description		Action	PIC	Duedate	Result	Decision [Close/open/other]
1								(close/open/other)
	_							
Refer techni	ical report (if any):							
				Need to upda	ate FMEA	NO need to update FMEA		
		Released FMEA No.:			Version:			
		Neleased Fivilia No.:			version			
B./ Decision	n come to Mass Pro	duction under innitial control						
B.1/ Initial i	running result:			GOOD		NOT GOOD		
		to according to the second control of the second se						
		,				te break down the reason/information: ne from strorage control of KE3466 resin		
		& apply wrong direction of Ionizer fan		ten in spiicing	point, we estimate cor	THE FIGHT STEDLAGE CONTROL OF RES400 TESH		
		After improvement, there is no case fil	oer broken at splici	ing point from	14-Sep-24 to 30-Sep-2	24. So we can accept to move mass product	tion stage.	
				Confirmed by	<i>u</i> •	Date:		
				committee by	,	Jule .		
				ChauVNB		27-Sep-24		
B.2/Conclus	sion_							
			$\overline{}$					
Accept fo	or continue mass pr	oduction		YES		NO		
Commer	<u>nt:</u>				<i>7</i>			
				Approved &		Date:		
				11	m_	01-Oct-2024		QAE
				(V)	Minh Duo			control
								00111101
	<u> </u>	Confidentia	F	OV 's property	, do not take out with	out FOV BOM's approval		

	Revision history							
Date	Date Person Version Description			Reason	Requester			
Date	Person	version	Old content	New content	Reason	Requester		
9-May-11	Trungdn	1		Establish		Nguyen H. P. Van		
23-Dec-13	Thudm	2	B2./Risk in direct process (production process) There isn't MP control	Add information to confirm production control system for new product designed.		Dao Ngoc Trung		
17-Nov-14	Nguyenhh	3	- Heater: Doc No. only - No revision history - "MP control" show in Risk in direct process	- Add heater: version, page, barcode - Add revision history - Move "MP control" to B1./ Risk in indirect process	Update format as 0-PR-001	Dao Ngoc Trung		
10-Jul-18	Trang NXQ	4	1) Old format. 2) - "Product/material/project name" - Kind of control: + "New product/Project/material + "Re-design" - Q'ty of control. 3) - A. Review trial run result B. Risk evaluation before mass production C. Baseline all Q-condition D. Evaluate of Capability 4) Did not have any comment when Intial running result is good but there's some items not being closed as requirements in A, B, C	1) New format. Add "QAE control". 2) - Eliminate "Material", "Re-design" Add "Product design change", "MFG location/layout change", "Other" - Add "Scope of control" 3) Change A, B, C, D to A: "Review Initial control result" section A.1. Result based on Initial control plan A.2. Review risks during initial control. 4) B.1.: Require breaking down the reason when there's any decision not being close at A1, A2 but initial running is good.	1) Update new format. 2), 3), 4) Update to become suitable for actual using.	Duc TNM		
31.Jul.19	Trang NXQ	5	1) Old format: "Prepared by", "Checked by", "Approved by" 2) Scope of control (Quantity/Period that applied initial control): 3) None	1) New format: "Form prepared by", "Form checked by", "Form approved by". 2) Add "Initial control term/ Lot No./ PO/" 3) A.1./ Result based on initial control plan: Add: - "A.1.1/ Evaluation items" sentence "A.1.2/ Complience check" section.	1) Easier to understand. 2) Make more detail about the scope 2) Follow 4-Pr- 013 ver 12	QAE Duc TNM		



Close. No case from 14-Sep-24 to 30-Sep-