

# Queue – Use-Case Implementation

## Members:

Student's Name	Student's ID
Thang Saoly	IDTB110257
Phon Sovan Ey	IDTB110218
Noy Sokbolen	IDTB110181
Rith Vichet	IDTB110140

## >> Our Solution:

1. Scenario: **Bank teller simulation**
2. Implementation path: **Linked List Queue**
3. Report checklist
  - a. Design
    - i. The variant is a **linked list**.
    - ii. Why it fits? Because Bank teller simulation scenario is **not fixed in size** – the number of people may vary each time.
    - iii. Diagram:



- b. Methods: Defined API

```
1 // Add a new customer to the rear of the queue
2 void enqueue(string name, int numberOrder){
3     Customer* newCustomer = new Customer; // Allocate memory for new customer
4     newCustomer->name = name;
5     newCustomer->numberOrder = numberOrder;
6     newCustomer->next = nullptr;
7
8     // If the queue is empty, front and rear both point to the new customer
9     if(rear == nullptr){
10         front = rear = newCustomer;
11         cout << name << "(" << numberOrder << ")" enqueued to queue" << endl;
12         return;
13     }
14
15     // Otherwise, link the new customer at the end and update rear
16     rear->next = newCustomer;
17     rear = newCustomer;
18
19     cout << name << "(" << numberOrder << ")" enqueued to queue" << endl;
20 }
```

i.

```
1 // Remove and return the customer at the front of the queue
2 Customer dequeue(){
3     if(front == nullptr){ // Queue is empty
4         cout << "Queue Underflow" << endl;
5         return Customer(); // Return a default customer
6     }
7
8     Customer* temp = front; // Store the node to be deleted
9     front = front->next; // Move front to the next element
10
11    // If queue becomes empty after dequeue, update rear as well
12    if(front == nullptr){
13        rear = nullptr;
14    }
15
16    Customer data = *temp; // Copy customer data
17    delete temp; // Free memory of dequeued node
18    return data; // Return the dequeued customer
19}
20
21 // Return (peek) the customer at the front without removing
22 Customer peek(){
```

ii.

```
1 // Return (peek) the customer at the front without removing
2 Customer peek(){
3     if(front == nullptr){ // Queue is empty
4         cout << "Queue is empty" << endl;
5         return Customer(); // Return default customer
6     }
7     return *front; // Return copy of front customer
8 }
```

iii.

```
1 // Check if the queue is empty
2 bool isEmpty(){
3     return front == nullptr; // True if no elements
4 }
```

iv.

```
1 // Check if the queue is full
2     bool isFull(){
3         return false;           // Linked list queue can grow dynamically
4     }
```

v.

- c. Edge case handled: Edge cases handle empty dequeue/peek; full on array; last-item removal in list is already handled in the method and for memory safety we defined a destructor so to free the memory.

```
1 // Destructor: free all nodes to avoid memory leaks
2     ~Queue()
3     {
4         while (front != nullptr)
5         {
6             Customer *tmp = front;
7             front = front->next;
8             delete tmp;
9         }
10        rear = nullptr;
11    }
```

- d. Complexity

- i. Time complexity (per operation)

1. Constructor: O(1).
2. enqueue(name, numberOrder): O(1) pointer work (allocate one node).  
Cost to copy the name string is O(L) where L = length of name, so overall  $O(1 + L) \approx O(L)$  dominated by string copy.
3. dequeue(): O(1) pointer updates and delete. Copying the dequeued Customer into return value costs O(L) for the string, so  $O(1 + L) \approx O(L)$ .
4. peek(): O(1) pointer access, plus O(L) to copy the Customer for return.
5. isEmpty(), isFull(): O(1).
6. Destructor (~Queue): O(n) to visit/delete every node; total cost includes destroying each Customer and its string contents (sum of string lengths).

- ii. Space complexity

- Per element: O(1) extra memory for the node (Customer struct: int + pointer + std::string storage). Total heap usage is O(n) for n enqueued elements (plus string storage).
- Additional queue object overhead: O(1) (two pointers front/rear).
- No internal fixed-size array, so no wasted reserved capacity in the queue itself.

iii. Why this is acceptable

- Enqueue/dequeue are O(1) in pointer operations, which is the expected optimal behaviour for a queue - good for streaming or many small operations.
- Dynamic linked nodes mean the queue isn't artificially limited by capacity; isFull() correctly returns false.
- The O(L) string-copy cost is unavoidable if stored strings by value; acceptable for typical use.
- Destructor is O(n) and necessary to prevent leaks; doing it at object destruction is standard and acceptable.

e. Evidence of correctness

```

PROBLEMS OUTPUT DEBUG CONSOLE TERMINAL PORTS COMMENTS
● PS D:\Code\Year2\C++\Week4\queue> cd "d:\Code\Year2\C++\Week4\queue" ; if ($?) { g++ main.cpp -o main } ; if (?) { .\main }
Bank teller simulation
Saoly (1) enqueued to queue
Ey (2) enqueued to queue
Bolen (3) enqueued to queue
Chet (4) enqueued to queue

Serving customers:
Next in line: Saoly (1)
Serving: Saoly (1)

Next in line: Ey (2)
Serving: Ey (2)

Next in line: Bolen (3)
Serving: Bolen (3)

Next in line: Chet (4)
Serving: Chet (4)

All customers served.
○ PS D:\Code\Year2\C++\Week4\queue> []

```