Statistical Modeling 2 Exercise 1

January 30, 2017

1 Bayesian inference in simple conjugate families

 \mathbf{A}

$$p(w \mid x_1, \dots, x_N) \propto p(x_1, \dots, x_N \mid w) p(w)$$
 (Bayes rule)

$$\propto \prod_{i=1}^N p(x_i \mid w) w^{a-1} (1-w)^{b-1}$$
 (indepence)

$$\propto w^s (1-w)^{N-s} w^{a-1} (1-w)^{b-1}$$
 (let $s = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i$)

$$= w^{s+a-1} (1-w)^{N-s+b-1}$$

$$\propto \text{Beta}(s+a, N-s+b)$$

 \mathbf{B}

Let
$$f(x_1, x_2) = (y_1, y_2) = (x_1/(x_1 + x_2), x_1 + x_2)$$
, we have:

$$f^{-1}(y_1, y_2) = (x_1, x_2) = (y_1y_2, y_2 - y_1y_2)$$

We then calculate the Jacobian of f^{-1} :

$$\begin{split} \partial x_1/\partial y_1 &= y_2 \\ \partial x_1/\partial y_2 &= y_1 \\ \partial x_2/\partial y_1 &= -y_2 \\ \partial x_2/\partial y_2 &= 1 - y_1 \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$|J(f^{-1})| = \begin{vmatrix} y_2 & y_1 \\ -y_2 & 1 - y_1 \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= y_2(1 - y_1) + y_1y_2$$
$$= y_2$$

Let p_X be the joint density of (x_1, x_2) . We have the joint density of y_1 and y_2 :

$$\begin{split} p(y_1, y_2) &= p_X(f^{-1}(y_1, y_2))|J(f^{-1}(y_1, y_2))| \\ &= \operatorname{Ga}(y_1 y_2; a_1, 1)\operatorname{Ga}(y_2 - y_1 y_2; a_2, 1)y_2 \\ &= \frac{(y_1 y_2)^{a_1 - 1} \exp(-y_1 y_2)}{\Gamma(a_1)} \frac{((1 - y_1)y_2)^{a_2 - 1} \exp(y_1 y_2 - y_2)}{\Gamma(a_2)} y_2 \\ &= \frac{y_1^{a_1 - 1} y_2^{a_1 + a_2 - 1} (1 - y_1)^{a_2 - 1} \exp(-y_2)}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(a_2)} \end{split}$$

The marginals are:

$$p(y_1) = \int_0^\infty p(y_1, y_2) dy_2$$

$$= \frac{y_1^{a_1 - 1} (1 - y_1)^{a_2 - 1}}{\Gamma(a_1) \Gamma(a_2)} \int_0^\infty y_2^{a_1 + a_2 - 1} \exp(-y_2) dy_2$$

$$= \frac{y_1^{a_1 - 1} (1 - y_1)^{a_2 - 1} \Gamma(a_1 + a_2)}{\Gamma(a_1) \Gamma(a_2)}$$

and

$$p(y_2) = \int_0^\infty p(y_1, y_2) dy_1$$

$$= \frac{y_2^{a_1 + a_2 - 1} \exp(-y_2)}{\Gamma(a_1) \Gamma(a_2)} \int_0^\infty y_1^{a_1 - 1} (1 - y_1)^{a_2 - 1}$$

$$= \frac{y_2^{a_1 + a_2 - 1} \exp(-y_2)}{\Gamma(a_1) \Gamma(a_2)} \text{Beta}(a_1, a_2)$$

We can simulate a Beta random variable by taking two Gamma random variable as x_1 and x_2 and evaluate y_1 .

 \mathbf{C}

The posterior is:

$$\begin{split} p(\theta|x_1,\dots,x_N) &\propto p(x_1,\dots,x_N|\theta)p(\theta) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^N \mathcal{N}(x_i;\theta,\sigma^2)\mathcal{N}(\theta;m,v) \\ &\propto \prod_{i=1}^N \exp\left(-\frac{(x_i-\theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{(\theta-m)^2}{2v}\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^N \exp\left(-\frac{x_i^2-2x_i\theta+\theta^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\theta^2-2\theta m+m^2}{2v}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{-\sum_i x_i^2+2\sum_i x_i\theta-N\theta^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-\theta^2+2\theta m-m^2}{2v}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\theta^2\left(\frac{N}{2\sigma^2}+\frac{1}{2v}\right)+\theta\left(\frac{\sum_i x_i}{\sigma^2}+\frac{m}{v}\right)-\frac{\sum_i x_i^2}{2\sigma^2}-\frac{m^2}{2v}\right) \end{split}$$

We then complete the square by setting the posterior to:

$$= \exp\left[-a\left(\theta^2 - 2b\theta + b^2\right)\right]$$
$$= \exp\left[-a\left(\theta - b\right)^2\right]$$
$$= \exp\left[-\frac{(\theta - b)^2}{2(1/(2a))}\right]$$

We calculate a, b by matching coefficients:

$$a = \frac{N}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2v} = \frac{Nv + \sigma^2}{2\sigma^2 v}$$

$$2ab = \frac{\sum_i x_i}{\sigma^2} + \frac{m}{v}$$

$$\implies b = \frac{v \sum_i x_i + m\sigma^2}{v\sigma^2} \frac{\sigma^2 v}{Nv + \sigma^2}$$

$$= \frac{v \sum_i x_i + m\sigma^2}{Nv + \sigma^2}$$

The posterior is then:

$$N(b, 1/(2a))$$

$$= N\left(\frac{v\sum_{i} x_{i} + m\sigma^{2}}{Nv + \sigma^{2}}, \frac{\sigma^{2}v}{Nv + \sigma^{2}}\right)$$

 \mathbf{D}

$$p(\omega \mid x_1, \dots, x_N) \propto \prod_{i=1}^N p(x_i \mid \theta, \omega) p(\omega)$$

$$\propto \prod_{i=1}^N \omega^{1/2} \exp\left[-\frac{\omega}{2} (x_i - \theta)^2\right] \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \omega^{a-1} \exp(-b\omega)$$

$$\propto \omega^{N/2 + a - 1} \exp\left[-\omega \left(b + \frac{\sum_i (x_i - \theta)^2}{2}\right)\right]$$

$$\propto \operatorname{Ga}\left(a + \frac{N}{2}, b + \frac{\sum_i (x_i - \theta)^2}{2}\right)$$

We have the posterior of the variance:

$$p(\sigma^2 \mid x_1, ..., x_N) = IG\left(a + \frac{N}{2}, b + \frac{\sum_i (x_i - \theta)^2}{2}\right)$$

 \mathbf{E}

The posterior is:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta|x_1, \dots, x_N) &\propto p(x_1, \dots, x_N|\theta) p(\theta) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^N \mathrm{N}(x_i; \theta, \sigma_i^2) \mathrm{N}(\theta; m, v) \\ &\propto \prod_{i=1}^N \exp\left(-\frac{(x_i - \theta)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{(\theta - m)^2}{2v}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \theta)^2}{2\sigma_i^2} - \frac{(\theta - m)^2}{2v}\right) \\ &= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \theta)^2}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{(\theta - m)^2}{v}\right)\right] \\ &= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{\sigma_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-2x_i\theta}{\sigma_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta^2}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{\theta^2 - 2\theta m + m^2}{v}\right)\right] \\ &= \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\theta^2\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{1}{v}\right) - 2\theta\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{m}{v}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{m^2}{v}\right]\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[a(\theta^2 - 2\theta b + b^2)\right]\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(\theta - b)^2}{1/a}\right]\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Matching the coefficients, we have:

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{1}{v}$$

$$b = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{m}{v}\right) / \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{1}{v}\right)$$

The posterior is:

 \mathbf{F}

$$\begin{split} p(x) &= \int_0^\infty p(x \mid \sigma^2) p(\sigma^2) d\sigma^2 \\ &= \int_0^\infty p(x \mid \omega) p(\omega) d\omega \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\omega}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\omega}{2}x^2\right) \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \omega^{a-1} \exp(-b\omega) d\omega \\ &= \frac{b^a}{(2\pi)^{1/2} \Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \omega^{1/2+a-1} \exp\left(-\omega \left(\frac{x^2}{2} + b\right)\right) d\omega \\ &= \frac{b^a}{(2\pi)^{1/2} \Gamma(a)} \frac{\Gamma(a+1/2)}{(b+x^2/2)^{a+1/2}} \qquad \text{(Gamma integral)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a+1/2)}{(2\pi b)^{1/2} \Gamma(a) (1 + \frac{x^2}{2b})^{a+1/2}} \end{split}$$

Let $\nu = 2a$ and $\lambda = a/b$, we have:

$$p(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\nu/2)} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\pi\nu}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda x^2}{2}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}$$

This is the Student t distribution with ν degree of freedom and 'precision' λ .

2 The multivariate normal distribution

 \mathbf{A}

$$cov(x) = E\{(x - \mu)(x - \mu)^T\}$$

$$= E\{xx^T - x\mu^T - \mu x^T + \mu \mu^T\}$$

$$= E(xx^T) - E(x)\mu^T - \mu E(x)^T + \mu \mu^T$$

$$= E(xx^T) - \mu \mu^T$$

We have:

$$E(Ax + b) = AE(x) + b = A\mu + b$$

then

$$cov(Ax + b) = E\{[(Ax + b) - (A\mu + b)][(Ax + b) - (A\mu + b)]^{T}\}$$

$$= E\{(Ax - A\mu)(Ax - A\mu)^{T}\}$$

$$= E\{A(x - \mu)(x - \mu)^{T}A^{T}\}$$

$$= AE\{(x - \mu)(x - \mu)^{T}\}A^{T}$$

$$= Acov(x)A^{T}$$

 \mathbf{B}

$$p(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{p} p(z_i)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^p} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{z_i^2}{2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^p} \exp\left(-\frac{z^T z}{2}\right)$$

The MGF of z is:

$$E(\exp(t^T z)) = E\left[\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^p t_i z_i\right)\right]$$

$$= E\left[\prod_{i=1}^p \exp(t_i z_i)\right]$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^p E[\exp(t_i z_i)]$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^p \exp(t_i^2/2)$$

$$= \exp\left[\sum_{i=1}^p t_i^2/2\right]$$

$$= \exp(t^T t/2)$$

 \mathbf{C}

We need to prove that for all vector a not identically zero, the scalar quantity $z=a^Tx$ is normally distributed if and only if

$$E[\exp(t^Tx)] = \exp(t^T\mu + t^T\Sigma t/2)$$

(only if) We have that $z = a^T x$ is normally distributed:

$$MGF_z(s) = E[\exp(sa^Tx)] = \exp(ms + vs^2/2)$$

Consider:

\mathbf{D}

We have $z \sim N(0, I)$ and $x = Lz + \mu$. The MGF of x is:

$$E(\exp(t^T x)) = E[\exp(t^T L z + t^T \mu)]$$

The expectation is with respect to $z,\,t^T\mu$ is a constant, we then look at:

$$E[\exp(t^T L z)] = E\left[\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p t_i L_{ij} z_j\right)\right]$$

$$= E\left[\prod_{j=1}^p \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^p t_i L_{ij} z_j\right)\right]$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^p E\left[\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^p t_i L_{ij} z_j\right)\right]$$
(indepence)
$$= \prod_{j=1}^p \operatorname{MGF}_{z_j}\left(\sum_{i=1}^p t_i L_{ij}\right)$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^p \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(t^T L_j)^2\right)$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^p \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}t^T L_j L_j^T t\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^p t^T L_j L_j^T t\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}t^T L L^T t\right)$$

Come back to the MGF of x:

$$E(\exp(t^T x)) = \exp\left(t^T \mu + \frac{1}{2} t^T L L^T t\right)$$

Therefore, $x \sim N(\mu, LL^T)$.

${f E}$

We have that x has a multivariate normal distribution: $x \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$. The covariance matrix Σ is symmetric positive definite and has a Cholesky decomposition:

$$\Sigma = LL^T$$

where L is a lower triangular matrix with positive diagonal entries and therefore invertible. Let

$$z = L^{-1}(x - \mu)$$

Consider the MGF of z:

$$\begin{split} E[\exp(t^Tz)] &= E[\exp(t^TL^{-1}(x-\mu))] \\ &= E[\exp(t^TL^{-1}x) \cdot \exp(-t^TL^{-1}\mu)] \\ &= \mathrm{MGF}_x(t^TL^{-1}) \cdot \exp(-t^TL^{-1}\mu) \\ &= \exp(t^TL^{-1}\mu + t^TL^{-1}\Sigma L^{-T}t/2) \cdot \exp(-t^TL^{-1}\mu) \\ &= \exp(t^TL^{-1}\Sigma L^{-T}t/2) \\ &= \exp(t^TL^{-1}(LL^T)L^{-T}t/2) \\ &= \exp(t^Tt/2) \end{split}$$

We conclude that z has standard multivariate normal distribution and that x can be written as an affine transformation of standard normal distribution.

\mathbf{F}

Let z be standard multivariate Normal:

$$p_Z(z) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{z^t z}{2}\right)$$

By the previous result, we have that $x=Lz+\mu$ has multivariate Normal distribution. Since L is full rank, it is invertible, let $z=f(x)=L^{-1}(x-\mu)$ The pdf of x is:

$$p_X(x) = p_Z(f(x))|J_f(x)|$$

$$\propto \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^T L^{-T} L^{-1}(x-\mu)}{2}\right)|L^{-1}|$$

$$\propto \exp(-Q(x-\mu)/2)$$

 \mathbf{G}

By the previous results, x_1 and x_2 are affine transformation of independent standard Normal distribution. Let $z \sim N(0, I)$

$$x_1 = L_1 z + \mu_1$$
$$x_2 = L_2 z + \mu_2$$

We have:

$$y = Ax_1 + Bx_2 = AL_1z + A\mu_1 + BL_2z + B\mu_2$$
$$= (AL_1 + BL_2)z + A\mu_1 + B\mu_2$$

We see that y is an affine transformation of independent standard Normal variables and therefore is multivariate Normal with mean $A\mu_1 + B\mu_2$ and variance

$$(AL_1 + BL_2)(AL_1 + BL_2)^T = AL_1L_1^TA^T + AL_1L_2^TB^T + BL_2L_1^TA^T + BL_2L_2^TB^T$$

= $A\Sigma_1A^T + AL_1L_2^TB^T + BL_2L_1^TA^T + B\Sigma_2B^T$

3 Conditionals and marginals

\mathbf{A}

Decompose the covariance matrix Σ and partition L into L_1 and L_2 where L_1 has k elements and corresponds to x_1 .

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} &= \boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{L}^T \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} L_1 \\ L_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L_1^T & L_2^T \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} L_1 L_1^T & L_1 L_2^T \\ L_2 L_1^T & L_2 L_2^T \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

We have that $\Sigma_{11} = L_1 L_1^T$. By the previous results, $x = Lz + \mu$ where z is a vector of independent standard Normal variables. Take the first k element, we have:

$$x_1 = L_1 z_1 + \mu_1$$

where z_1 is also a vector of independent standard Normal variables. Therefore, x_1 also has multivariate Normal distribution with mean mu_1 and variance $L_1L_1^T = \Sigma_{11}$.

 \mathbf{B}

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (\Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21})^{-1} & -\Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} (\Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12})^{-1} \\ -\Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21} (\Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21})^{-1} & (\Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12})^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{11} & \Omega_{12} \\ \Omega_{12}^{T} & \Omega_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

 \mathbf{C}

$$\begin{split} \log p(x_1|x_2) &= \log p(x_1,x_2) - \log(x_2) \\ &= \operatorname{const} - \frac{(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)}{2} \\ &= \operatorname{const} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - \mu_1 \\ x_2 - \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{11} & \Omega_{12} \\ \Omega_{12}^T & \Omega_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - \mu_1 \\ x_2 - \mu_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{const} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (x_1 - \mu_1)^T \Omega_{11}(x_1 - \mu_1) + (x_2 - \mu_2)^T \Omega_{12}^T(x_1 - \mu_1) \right. \\ &+ (x_1 - \mu_1)^T \Omega_{12}(x_2 - \mu_2) + (x_2 - \mu_2)^T \Omega_{22}^T(x_2 - \mu_2) \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{const} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (x_1 - \mu_1)^T \Omega_{11}(x_1 - \mu_1) + 2(x_1 - \mu_1)^T \Omega_{12}(x_2 - \mu_2) \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{const} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ x_1^T \Omega_{11} x_1 - x_1^T \Omega_{11} \mu_1 - \mu_1^T \Omega_{11} x_1 + 2x_1^T \Omega_{12} x_2 - 2x_1^T \Omega_{12} \mu_2 \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{const} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ x_1^T \Omega_{11} x_1 + x_1^T \left(-\Omega_{11} \mu_1 - \Omega_{11}^T \mu_1 + 2\Omega_{12} x_2 - 2\Omega_{12} \mu_2 \right) \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{const} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ x_1^T \Omega_{11} x_1 - 2x_1^T \Omega_{11} \left(\mu_1 - \Omega_{11}^{-1} \Omega_{12} x_2 + \Omega_{11}^{-1} \Omega_{12} \mu_2 \right) \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{const} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (x_1 - \mu_{1|2})^T \Omega_{11}(x_1 - \mu_{1|2}) \right\} \end{split}$$

where:

$$\mu_{1|2} = \mu_1 - \Omega_{11}^{-1} \Omega_{12} x_2 + \Omega_{11}^{-1} \Omega_{12} \mu_2$$

We also have:

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{11}^{-1}\Omega_{12} &= (\Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}^T)(-\Sigma_{11}^{-1})\Sigma_{12}(\Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{12}^T\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})^{-1} \\ &= (-\Sigma_{12} + \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}^T\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})(\Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{12}^T\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})^{-1} \\ &= (-\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{22} + \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}^T\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})(\Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{12}^T\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})^{-1} \\ &= -\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}(\Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{12}^T\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})(\Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{12}^T\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})^{-1} \\ &= -\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1} \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\mu_{1|2} = \mu_1 + \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} (x_2 - \mu_2)$$

We conclude that $p(x_1|x_2)$ has Normal distribution with mean $\mu_{1|2}$ given above and variance $\Omega_{11}^{-1} = \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}^T$

4 Multiple regression: three classical principles for inference

4.1 A

In the least square estimate, we minimize:

$$L(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2$$
$$= (y - X\beta)^T (y - X\beta)$$
$$= -y^T y - y^T X\beta - \beta^T X^T y + \beta^T X^T X\beta$$

where we define $y=(y_1,\ldots,y_n)^T$ as a $n\times 1$ vector and $X=(x_1^T;\ldots;x_n^T)$ as a $n\times p$ matrix. We take the derivative of L and set to 0:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta} = -X^T y - X^T y + X^T X \beta + X^T X \beta$$

$$= 2X^T (X\beta - y)$$

$$\text{set} = 0$$

$$\implies X^T X \beta = X^T y$$

$$\beta = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$$

In the maximum likelihood estimate, we maximize:

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(y_i \mid \beta, \sigma^2)$$
$$= N(y \mid X\beta, \sigma^2 I)$$
$$\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y - X\beta)^T \frac{1}{\sigma^2} I(y - X\beta)\right)$$

which is equivalent to minimize:

$$(y - X\beta)^T \frac{1}{\sigma^2} I(y - X\beta)$$
$$\propto (y - X\beta)^T (y - X\beta)$$

which is the same as the least square objective function. In the method of moment estimate, we set:

$$cov(y - X\beta, X_i) = 0$$

where X_j is the column j of X for j = 1, ..., p. We have:

$$cov(y - X\beta, X_j) = 0 \quad \forall j$$

$$\iff (y - X\beta)^T X_j = 0 \quad \forall j$$

$$\iff (y - X\beta)^T X = 0$$

$$\iff X^T (X\beta - y) = 0$$

This is the same as the equation that we solve in least square.

\mathbf{B}

The weighted sum of squared error is:

$$L(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i (y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2$$

We first take the derivative with respect to β :

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i(2)(y_i - x_i^T \beta)(-x_i)$$

Setting the derivative to 0, we have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i (y_i - x_i^T \beta) = 0$$

$$\iff \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i y_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i x_i^T \beta = 0$$

$$\iff \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_{ik} y_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_{ik} x_i^T \beta_k = 0 \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, p$$

$$\iff \beta_k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_{ik} y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_{ik} x_i^T \beta_k} \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, p$$

The maximum likelihood under heteroskedastic Gaussian error is:

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(y_i \mid \beta, \sigma_i^2)$$
$$= N(y \mid X\beta, C)$$

where the covariance matrix is C is a diagonal matrix such that $C_{ii} = \sigma_i^2$. We have that $C^{-1} = D$ is a diagonal matrix such that $D_{ii} = 1/\sigma_i^2$. The maximum likelihood is then:

$$L(\beta) = N(y \mid X\beta, C) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y - X\beta)^T D(y - X\beta)\right)$$

Maximizing the that likelihood is the same as minimizing:

$$(y - X\beta)^{T} D(y - X\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - x_{i}^{T}\beta) \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} (y_{i} - x_{i}^{T}\beta)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} (y_{i} - x_{i}^{T}\beta)^{2}$$

where $w_i = \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}$.

This is the same objective as the weighted least square.

5 Quantifying uncertainty: some basic frequentist ideas

In linear regression

\mathbf{A}

The estimate is:

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$$

which is a linear combination of y. Since y has Normal distribution, $\hat{\beta}$ also has Normal distribution.

$$E(\hat{\beta}) = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T E(y)$$
$$= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X \beta$$
$$= \beta$$

$$\begin{split} \text{cov}(\hat{\beta}) &= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \text{cov}(x) X (X^T X)^{-T} \\ &= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T (\sigma^2 I) X (X^T X)^{-T} \\ &= \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X (X^T X)^{-T} \\ &= \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-T} \end{split}$$

\mathbf{B}

We can estimate σ^2 by:

$$\hat{\sigma^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\epsilon}_i^2}{n-p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i \hat{\beta} - y_i)^2}{n-p} = \frac{(X\hat{\beta} - y)^T (X\hat{\beta} - y)}{n-p}$$

and plug that into the equation for $cov(\hat{\beta})$. This estimate produces the same result as the one from R linear model.

```
# Load the library
# you might have to install this the first time
library (mlbench)
# Load the data
ozone = data(Ozone, package='mlbench')
# Look at the help file for details
?Ozone
# Scrub the missing values
# Extract the relevant columns
ozone = \mathbf{na.omit}(Ozone)[,4:13]
y = ozone[,1]
x = as.matrix(ozone[,2:10])
# add an intercept
x = cbind(1,x)
# compute the estimator
betahat = solve(t(x) \%\% x) \%\% t(x) \%\% y
# Fill in the blank
sshat = \mathbf{t}(x \% \% betahat - y) \% \% (x \% betahat - y)
sshat = sshat[1,1] / (dim(x)[1] - dim(x)[2])
betacov = sshat * t(solve(t(x) \%\% x))
# Now compare to lm
# the 'minus 1' notation says not to fit an intercept
#(we've already hard-coded it as an extra column)
lm1 = lm(y^x-1)
summary(lm1)
betacovlm = vcov(lm1)
sqrt (diag (betacovlm))
```

Propagating uncertainty

 \mathbf{A}

$$var(f) = var(\theta_1 + \theta_2)$$

$$= var(\theta_1) + var(\theta_2) + 2cov(\theta_1, \theta_2)$$

$$= \hat{\Sigma}_{11} + \hat{\Sigma}_{22} + 2\hat{\Sigma}_{12}$$

In the general case, when f is the sum of p components of θ , we have:

$$\operatorname{var}(f) = \operatorname{var}(\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_p)$$

$$= \operatorname{var}(\theta_1) + \dots + \operatorname{var}(\theta_p) + \sum_{i \neq j} \operatorname{cov}(\theta_i, \theta_j)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^{p} \hat{\Sigma}_{ij}$$

 \mathbf{B}

We first approximate f by a first order Taylor approximation:

$$f(\hat{\theta}) \approx f(\theta) + f'(\theta)^T (\hat{\theta} - \theta)$$
$$= f(\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^p f'(\theta_i)(\hat{\theta_i} - \theta_i)$$

We then approximate the variance of f by the variance of the approximation:

$$\operatorname{var}[f(\hat{\theta})] \approx \operatorname{var}[f(\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} f'(\theta_i)(\hat{\theta}_i - \theta_i)]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{p} f'(\theta_i)^2 \operatorname{var}(\hat{\theta}_i) + \sum_{i \neq j} f'(\theta_i) f'(\theta_j) \operatorname{cov}(\hat{\theta}_i, \hat{\theta}_j)$$

$$\approx \sum_{i=1}^{p} f'(\hat{\theta}_i)^2 \Sigma_{ii} + \sum_{i \neq j} f'(\hat{\theta}_i) f'(\hat{\theta}_j) \Sigma_{ij}$$

For this to be a good approximation, f should be approximately linear around θ so that the Taylor approximation is close. We have also approximated $f'(\theta)$ by $f'(\hat{\theta})$, so we need $\hat{\theta}$ to be close to θ .

The bootstrap

 \mathbf{A}

 \mathbf{B}