Statistical Modeling 2
Exercise 1

January 29, 2017

1 Bayesian inference in simple conjugate families

A

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(w \mid x_1, \ldots, x_N) &\propto p(x_1, \ldots, x_N \mid w) p(w) && \text{(Bayes rule)} \\
&\propto \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(x_i|w) w^{a-1}(1-w)^{b-1} && \text{(indepence)} \\
&\propto w^s (1-w)^{N-s} w^{a-1}(1-w)^{b-1} && (\text{let } s = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i) \\
&= w^{s+a-1}(1-w)^{N-s+b-1} \\
&\propto \text{Beta}(s+a, N-s+b)
\end{aligned}
$$

B

Let $f(x_1, x_2) = (y1, y2) = (x_1/(x_1+x_2), x_1+x_2)$, we have:

$$f^{-1}(y1, y2) = (x_1, x_2) = (y_1 y_2, y_2 - y_1 y_2)$$

We then calculate the Jacobian of f^{-1}:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial x_1/\partial y_1 &= y_2 \\
\partial x_1/\partial y_2 &= y_1 \\
\partial x_2/\partial y_1 &= -y_2 \\
\partial x_2/\partial y_2 &= 1 - y_1
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|J(f^{-1})| &= \begin{vmatrix} y_2 & y_1 \\ -y_2 & 1-y_1 \end{vmatrix} \\
&= y_2(1-y_1) + y_1 y_2 \\
&= y_2
\end{aligned}
$$

Let p_X be the joint density of (x_1, x_2). We have the joint density of y_1 and y_2:

$$\begin{aligned}
p(y_1, y_2) &= p_X(f^{-1}(y_1, y_2))|J(f^{-1}(y_1, y_2))| \\
&= \mathrm{Ga}(y_1 y_2; a_1, 1)\mathrm{Ga}(y2 - y_1 y_2; a_2, 1) y_2 \\
&= \frac{(y_1 y_2)^{a_1 - 1} \exp(-y_1 y_2)}{\Gamma(a_1)} \frac{((1 - y_1) y_2)^{a_2 - 1} \exp(y_1 y_2 - y_2)}{\Gamma(a_2)} y_2 \\
&= \frac{y_1^{a_1 - 1} y_2^{a_1 + a_2 - 1} (1 - y_1)^{a_2 - 1} \exp(-y_2)}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(a_2)}
\end{aligned}$$

The marginals are:

$$\begin{aligned}
p(y_1) &= \int_0^\infty p(y_1, y_2) dy_2 \\
&= \frac{y_1^{a_1 - 1}(1 - y_1)^{a_2 - 1}}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(a_2)} \int_0^\infty y_2^{a_1 + a_2 - 1} \exp(-y_2) dy_2 \\
&= \frac{y_1^{a_1 - 1}(1 - y_1)^{a_2 - 1}\Gamma(a_1 + a_2)}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(a_2)}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
p(y_2) &= \int_0^\infty p(y_1, y_2) dy_1 \\
&= \frac{y_2^{a_1 + a_2 - 1} \exp(-y_2)}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(a_2)} \int_0^\infty y_1^{a_1 - 1}(1 - y_1)^{a_2 - 1} \\
&= \frac{y_2^{a_1 + a_2 - 1} \exp(-y_2)}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(a_2)} \mathrm{Beta}(a_1, a_2)
\end{aligned}$$

We can simulate a Beta random variable by taking two Gamma random variable as x_1 and x_2 and evaluate y_1.

C

The posterior is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\theta|x_1,\ldots,x_N) &\propto p(x_1,\ldots,x_N|\theta)p(\theta) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{N}(x_i;\theta,\sigma^2)\mathrm{N}(\theta;m,v) \\
&\propto \prod_{i=1}^{N} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_i-\theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{(\theta-m)^2}{2v}\right) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{N} \exp\left(-\frac{x_i^2-2x_i\theta+\theta^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{\theta^2-2\theta m+m^2}{2v}\right) \\
&= \exp\left(\frac{-\sum_i x_i^2+2\sum_i x_i\theta-N\theta^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)\exp\left(\frac{-\theta^2+2\theta m-m^2}{2v}\right) \\
&= \exp\left(-\theta^2\left(\frac{N}{2\sigma^2}+\frac{1}{2v}\right)+\theta\left(\frac{\sum_i x_i}{\sigma^2}+\frac{m}{v}\right)-\frac{\sum_i x_i^2}{2\sigma^2}-\frac{m^2}{2v}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We then complete the square by setting the posterior to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \exp\left[-a\left(\theta^2-2b\theta+b^2\right)\right] \\
&= \exp\left[-a\left(\theta-b\right)^2\right] \\
&= \exp\left[-\frac{(\theta-b)^2}{2(1/(2a))}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We calculate a, b by matching coefficients:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a &= \frac{N}{2\sigma^2}+\frac{1}{2v} = \frac{Nv+\sigma^2}{2\sigma^2 v} \\
2ab &= \frac{\sum_i x_i}{\sigma^2}+\frac{m}{v} \\
\implies b &= \frac{v\sum_i x_i+m\sigma^2}{v\sigma^2}\frac{\sigma^2 v}{Nv+\sigma^2} \\
&= \frac{v\sum_i x_i+m\sigma^2}{Nv+\sigma^2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The posterior is then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{N}(b,1/(2a)) \\
=&\mathrm{N}\left(\frac{v\sum_i x_i+m\sigma^2}{Nv+\sigma^2},\frac{\sigma^2 v}{Nv+\sigma^2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

D

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\omega \mid x_1, \ldots, x_N) &\propto \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(x_i \mid \theta, \omega) p(\omega) \\
&\propto \prod_{i=1}^{N} \omega^{1/2} \exp\left[-\frac{\omega}{2}(x_i - \theta)^2\right] \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \omega^{a-1} \exp(-b\omega) \\
&\propto \omega^{N/2+a-1} \exp\left[-\omega\left(b + \frac{\sum_i (x_i - \theta)^2}{2}\right)\right] \\
&\propto \mathrm{Ga}\left(a + \frac{N}{2}, b + \frac{\sum_i (x_i - \theta)^2}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the posterior of the variance:

$$
p(\sigma^2 \mid x_1, \ldots, x_N) = \mathrm{IG}\left(a + \frac{N}{2}, b + \frac{\sum_i (x_i - \theta)^2}{2}\right)
$$

E

The posterior is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\theta | x_1, \ldots, x_N) &\propto p(x_1, \ldots, x_N | \theta) p(\theta) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{N}(x_i; \theta, \sigma_i^2) \mathrm{N}(\theta; m, v) \\
&\propto \prod_{i=1}^{N} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_i - \theta)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{(\theta - m)^2}{2v}\right) \\
&= \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(x_i - \theta)^2}{2\sigma_i^2} - \frac{(\theta - m)^2}{2v}\right) \\
&= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(x_i - \theta)^2}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{(\theta - m)^2}{v}\right)\right] \\
&= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i^2}{\sigma_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{-2x_i\theta}{\sigma_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\theta^2}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{\theta^2 - 2\theta m + m^2}{v}\right)\right] \\
&= \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\theta^2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{1}{v}\right) - 2\theta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{m}{v}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i^2}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{m^2}{v}\right]\right\} \\
\text{set} \quad &= \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[a(\theta^2 - 2\theta b + b^2)\right]\right\} \\
&= \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(\theta - b)^2}{1/a}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Matching the coefficients, we have:

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{1}{v}$$
$$b = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{m}{v} \right) / \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{1}{v} \right)$$

The posterior is:

$$\mathrm{N}(b, 1/a)$$

F

$$\begin{aligned}
p(x) &= \int_0^\infty p(x \mid \sigma^2) p(\sigma^2) d\sigma^2 \\
&= \int_0^\infty p(x \mid \omega) p(\omega) d\omega \\
&= \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\omega}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\omega}{2} x^2 \right) \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \omega^{a-1} \exp(-b\omega) d\omega \\
&= \frac{b^a}{(2\pi)^{1/2}\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \omega^{1/2+a-1} \exp\left(-\omega \left(\frac{x^2}{2} + b \right) \right) d\omega \\
&= \frac{b^a}{(2\pi)^{1/2}\Gamma(a)} \frac{\Gamma(a+1/2)}{(b + x^2/2)^{a+1/2}} \qquad \text{(Gamma integral)} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(a+1/2)}{(2\pi b)^{1/2}\Gamma(a)(1 + \frac{x^2}{2b})^{a+1/2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\nu = 2a$ and $\lambda = a/b$, we have:

$$p(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\nu/2)} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\pi\nu} \right)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda x^2}{2} \right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}$$

This is the Student t distribution with ν degree of freedom and 'precision' λ.

2 The multivariate normal distribution

A

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{cov}(x) &= E\{(x-\mu)(x-\mu)^T\} \\
&= E\{xx^T - x\mu^T - \mu x^T + \mu\mu^T\} \\
&= E(xx^T) - E(x)\mu^T - \mu E(x)^T + \mu\mu^T \\
&= E(xx^T) - \mu\mu^T
\end{aligned}$$

We have:

$$E(Ax+b) = AE(x) + b = A\mu + b$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{cov}(Ax+b) &= E\{[(Ax+b) - (A\mu+b)][(Ax+b) - (A\mu+b)]^T\} \\
&= E\{(Ax - A\mu)(Ax - A\mu)^T\} \\
&= E\{A(x-\mu)(x-\mu)^T A^T\} \\
&= AE\{(x-\mu)(x-\mu)^T\}A^T \\
&= A\text{cov}(x)A^T
\end{aligned}$$

B

$$\begin{aligned}
p(z) &= \prod_{i=1}^{p} p(z_i) \\
&= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^p} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{z_i^2}{2}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^p} \exp\left(-\frac{z^T z}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

The MGF of z is:

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\exp(t^T z)) &= E\left[\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} t_i z_i\right)\right] \\
&= E\left[\prod_{i=1}^{p} \exp(t_i z_i)\right] \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{p} E[\exp(t_i z_i)] \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{p} \exp(t_i^2/2) \\
&= \exp\left[\sum_{i=1}^{p} t_i^2/2\right] \\
&= \exp(t^T t/2)
\end{aligned}$$

C

We need to prove that for all vector a not identically zero, the scalar quantity $z = a^T x$ is normally distributed if and only if

$$E[\exp(t^T x)] = \exp(t^T \mu + t^T \Sigma t/2)$$

(only if) We have that $z = a^T x$ is normally distributed:

$$\text{MGF}_z(s) = E[\exp(sa^T x)] = \exp(ms + vs^2/2)$$

Consider:

D

We have $z \sim \text{N}(0, \text{I})$ and $x = Lz + \mu$.
The MGF of x is:

$$E(\exp(t^T x)) = E[\exp(t^T Lz + t^T \mu)]$$

The expectation is with respect to z, $t^T \mu$ is a constant, we then look at:

$$\begin{aligned}
E[\exp(t^T Lz)] &= E\left[\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p}\sum_{j=1}^{p} t_i L_{ij} z_j\right)\right] \\
&= E\left[\prod_{j=1}^{p}\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} t_i L_{ij} z_j\right)\right] \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^{p} E\left[\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} t_i L_{ij} z_j\right)\right] \qquad \text{(indepence)} \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^{p} \text{MGF}_{z_j}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} t_i L_{ij}\right) \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^{p} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(t^T L_j)^2\right) \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^{p} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} t^T L_j L_j^T t\right) \\
&= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{p} t^T L_j L_j^T t\right) \\
&= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} t^T L L^T t\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Come back to the MGF of x:

$$E(\exp(t^T x)) = \exp\left(t^T \mu + \frac{1}{2} t^T L L^T t\right)$$

Therefore, $x \sim \text{N}(\mu, LL^T)$.

E

We have that x has a multivariate normal distribution: $x \sim \mathrm{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$. The covariance matrix Σ is symmetric positive definite and has a Cholesky decomposition:

$$\Sigma = LL^T$$

where L is a lower triangular matrix with positive diagonal entries and therefore invertible. Let

$$z = L^{-1}(x - \mu)$$

Consider the MGF of z:

$$\begin{aligned}
E[\exp(t^T z)] &= E[\exp(t^T L^{-1}(x-\mu))] \\
&= E[\exp(t^T L^{-1} x) \cdot \exp(-t^T L^{-1}\mu)] \\
&= \mathrm{MGF}_x(t^T L^{-1}) \cdot \exp(-t^T L^{-1}\mu) \\
&= \exp(t^T L^{-1}\mu + t^T L^{-1}\Sigma L^{-T} t/2) \cdot \exp(-t^T L^{-1}\mu) \\
&= \exp(t^T L^{-1}\Sigma L^{-T} t/2) \\
&= \exp(t^T L^{-1}(LL^T)L^{-T} t/2) \\
&= \exp(t^T t/2)
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that z has standard multivariate normal distribution and that x can be written as an affine transformation of standard normal distribution.

F

Let z be standard multivariate Normal:

$$p_Z(z) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{z^t z}{2}\right)$$

By the previous result, we have that $x = Lz + \mu$ has multivariate Normal distribution. Since L is full rank, it is invertible, let $z = f(x) = L^{-1}(x - \mu)$

The pdf of x is:

$$\begin{aligned}
p_X(x) &= p_Z(f(x))|J_f(x)| \\
&\propto \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^T L^{-T} L^{-1}(x-\mu)}{2}\right)|L^{-1}| \\
&\propto \exp(-Q(x-\mu)/2)
\end{aligned}$$

G

By the previous results, x_1 and x_2 are affine transformation of independent standard Normal distribution. Let $z \sim \mathrm{N}(0, I)$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= L_1 z + \mu_1 \\ x_2 &= L_2 z + \mu_2 \end{aligned}$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} y = Ax_1 + Bx_2 &= AL_1 z + A\mu_1 + BL_2 z + B\mu_2 \\ &= (AL_1 + BL_2)z + A\mu_1 + B\mu_2 \end{aligned}$$

We see that y is an affine transformation of independent standard Normal variables and therefore is multivariate Normal with mean $A\mu_1 + B\mu_2$ and variance

$$\begin{aligned} (AL_1 + BL_2)(AL_1 + BL_2)^T &= AL_1 L_1^T A^T + AL_1 L_2^T B^T + BL_2 L_1^T A^T + BL_2 L_2^T B^T \\ &= A\Sigma_1 A^T + AL_1 L_2^T B^T + BL_2 L_1^T A^T + B\Sigma_2 B^T \end{aligned}$$

3 Conditionals and marginals

A

Decompose the covariance matrix Σ and partition L into L_1 and L_2 where L_1 has k elements and corresponds to x_1.

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= LL^T \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} L_1 \\ L_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L_1^T & L_2^T \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} L_1 L_1^T & L_1 L_2^T \\ L_2 L_1^T & L_2 L_2^T \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

We have that $\Sigma_{11} = L_1 L_1^T$. By the previous results, $x = Lz + \mu$ where z is a vector of independent standard Normal variables. Take the first k element, we have:

$$x_1 = L_1 z_1 + \mu_1$$

where z_1 is also a vector of independent standard Normal variables. Therefore, x_1 also has multivariate Normal distribution with mean mu_1 and variance $L_1 L_1^T = \Sigma_{11}$.

B

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{pmatrix}\Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12}\\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22}\end{pmatrix}^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix}(\Sigma_{11}-\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21})^{-1} & -\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}(\Sigma_{22}-\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})^{-1}\\ -\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}(\Sigma_{11}-\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21})^{-1} & (\Sigma_{22}-\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\\ &= \begin{pmatrix}\Omega_{11} & \Omega_{12}\\ \Omega_{12}^T & \Omega 22\end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

C

$$\begin{aligned}\log p(x_1|x_2) &= \log p(x_1,x_2) - \log(x_2)\\ &= \text{const} - \frac{(x-\mu)^T\Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)}{2}\\ &= \text{const} - \frac{1}{2}\left\{\begin{pmatrix}x_1-\mu_1\\ x_2-\mu_2\end{pmatrix}^T\begin{pmatrix}\Omega_{11} & \Omega_{12}\\ \Omega_{12}^T & \Omega 22\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}x_1-\mu_1\\ x_2-\mu_2\end{pmatrix}\right\}\\ &= \text{const} - \frac{1}{2}\left\{(x_1-\mu_1)^T\Omega_{11}(x_1-\mu_1) + (x_2-\mu_2)^T\Omega_{12}^T(x_1-\mu_1)\right.\\ &\left.+(x_1-\mu_1)^T\Omega_{12}(x_2-\mu_2) + (x_2-\mu_2)^T\Omega_{22}^T(x_2-\mu_2)\right\}\\ &= \text{const} - \frac{1}{2}\left\{(x_1-\mu_1)^T\Omega_{11}(x_1-\mu_1) + 2(x_1-\mu_1)^T\Omega_{12}(x_2-\mu_2)\right\}\\ &= \text{const} - \frac{1}{2}\left\{x_1^T\Omega_{11}x_1 - x_1^T\Omega_{11}\mu_1 - \mu_1^T\Omega_{11}x_1 + 2x_1^T\Omega_{12}x_2 - 2x_1^T\Omega_{12}\mu_2\right\}\\ &= \text{const} - \frac{1}{2}\left\{x_1^T\Omega_{11}x_1 + x_1^T\left(-\Omega_{11}\mu_1 - \Omega_{11}^T\mu_1 + 2\Omega_{12}x_2 - 2\Omega_{12}\mu_2\right)\right\}\\ &= \text{const} - \frac{1}{2}\left\{x_1^T\Omega_{11}x_1 - 2x_1^T\Omega_{11}\left(\mu_1 - \Omega_{11}^{-1}\Omega_{12}x_2 + \Omega_{11}^{-1}\Omega_{12}\mu_2\right)\right\}\\ &= \text{const} - \frac{1}{2}\left\{(x_1-\mu_{1|2})^T\Omega_{11}(x_1-\mu_{1|2})\right\}\end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\mu_{1|2} = \mu_1 - \Omega_{11}^{-1}\Omega_{12}x_2 + \Omega_{11}^{-1}\Omega_{12}\mu_2$$

We also have:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{11}^{-1}\Omega_{12} &= (\Sigma_{11}-\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}^T)(-\Sigma_{11}^{-1})\Sigma_{12}(\Sigma_{22}-\Sigma_{12}^T\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})^{-1}\\ &= (-\Sigma_{12}+\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}^T\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})(\Sigma_{22}-\Sigma_{12}^T\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})^{-1}\\ &= (-\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{22}+\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}^T\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})(\Sigma_{22}-\Sigma_{12}^T\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})^{-1}\\ &= -\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}(\Sigma_{22}-\Sigma_{12}^T\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})(\Sigma_{22}-\Sigma_{12}^T\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})^{-1}\\ &= -\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mu_{1|2} = \mu_1 + \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}(x_2 - \mu_2)$$

We conclude that $p(x_1|x_2)$ has Normal distribution with mean $\mu_{1|2}$ given above and variance $\Omega_{11}^{-1} = \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}^T$

4 Multiple regression: three classical principles for inference

4.1 A

In the least square estimate, we minimize:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\beta) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - x_i^T\beta)^2 \\ &= (y - X\beta)^T(y - X\beta) \\ &= -y^Ty - y^TX\beta - \beta^TX^Ty + \beta^TX^TX\beta \end{aligned}$$

where we define $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)^T$ as a $n \times 1$ vector and $X = (x_1^T; \ldots; x_n^T)$ as a $n \times p$ matrix. We take the derivative of L and set to 0:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta} &= -X^Ty - X^Ty + X^TX\beta + X^TX\beta \\ &= 2X^T(X\beta - y) \\ &\text{set } = 0 \\ \implies X^TX\beta &= X^Ty \\ \beta &= (X^TX)^{-1}X^Ty \end{aligned}$$

In the maximum likelihood estimate, we maximize:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\beta) &= \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(y_i \mid \beta, \sigma^2) \\ &= N(y \mid X\beta, \sigma^2 I) \\ &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y - X\beta)^T\frac{1}{\sigma^2}I(y - X\beta)\right) \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to minimize:

$$\begin{aligned} &(y - X\beta)^T\frac{1}{\sigma^2}I(y - X\beta) \\ &\propto (y - X\beta)^T(y - X\beta) \end{aligned}$$

which is the same as the least square objective function.

In the method of moment estimate, we set:

$$\text{cov}(y - X\beta, X_j) = 0$$

where X_j is the column j of X for $j = 1, \ldots, p$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{cov}(y - X\beta, X_j) = 0 \quad \forall j \\
\iff &(y - X\beta)^T X_j = 0 \quad \forall j \\
\iff &(y - X\beta)^T X = 0 \\
\iff &X^T(X\beta - y) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

This is the same as the equation that we solve in least square.