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# Instructions

## How to use the UI mode

* + 1. **Running the Backend**
* Download and extract the zip file provided to a directory
* Navigate to the extracted directory
* Install the required Python package

**python install -r requirements.txt**

* Start the FastAPI server

**uvicorn api:app --reload**

* + 1. **Running the Frontend**
* Open a new terminal and navigate to the UI directory

**cd iengine-ui**

* Install the required Node packages (once only for the initial setup)

**npm install**

* Start the development server

**npm run dev**

* + 1. **Using the program for the UI mode**
* Open your web browser and navigate to **http://localhost:5173/**
* Upload your input file and select the inference method (TT, FC, BC, or DPLL).
* The results will be displayed on the UI.

## How to use the CLI mode

Open a new terminal and use the command:

**python iengine.py <filename> <method>**

***Additional Notes:***

* The project is divided into a backend implemented in Python using FastAPI and a frontend implemented using modern JavaScript frameworks.
* The inference methods implemented include Truth Table, Forward Chaining, Backward Chaining, and DPLL.
* The program supports both CLI and UI modes for user interaction.
* Ensure that all prerequisites such as Python, FastAPI, Uvicorn, and Node.js are installed before running the program.

# Introduction

Propositional logic inference engines are fundamental components in artificial intelligence that enable automated reasoning and logical deduction. This report presents our implementation of a comprehensive inference engine system that determines logical entailment from knowledge bases using multiple reasoning methods.

Our implementation features four distinct inference methods: Truth Table (TT) checking for systematic evaluation of truth values, Forward Chaining (FC) for data-driven reasoning, Backward Chaining (BC) for goal-oriented deduction, and the Davis-Putnam-Logemann-Loveland (DPLL) algorithm for enhanced satisfiability solving.

Our system combines a Python backend for processing logic with a JavaScript frontend interface, supporting both Horn-form and general propositional logic formulas with standard logical connectives.

# Inference methods

## Truth Table (TT) checking

Truth Table checking is a complete but computationally intensive method for determining logical entailment.

* + 1. **How it works**
* Enumerate all possible combinations of truth values for propositional symbols
* Evaluate the knowledge base (KB) under each combination
* Check if the query (α) is true in all models where KB is true
* If KB ⊨ α is true, then α must be true in every model where KB is true
  + 1. **Complexity**
* Time complexity: O(2n) where n is the number of propositional symbols
* Space complexity: O(n) for storing truth assignments
  + 1. **Advantages**
* Complete and sound for propositional logic
* Guarantees to find all models that satisfy the formula
* Simple and straightforward to implement and understand
  + 1. **Limitations**
* Exponential complexity makes it impractical for large knowledge bases
* Must examine all possible combinations even when unnecessary

## Forward Chaining (FC)

Forward chaining is a data-driven reasoning method that works efficiently with Horn clauses.

* + 1. **How it works**
* Start with known facts in KB
* Apply Modus Ponens to derive new facts
* Add newly inferred facts to KB
* Repeat until either:
  + Query is proven
  + No new facts can be derived
    1. **Complexity**
* Time complexity: O(n), where n is the number of propositional symbols
* Space complexity: O(n) for storing the agenda and inferred facts
  + 1. **Advantages**
* Effective for Horn clauses
* Works well when many conclusions can be derived from facts
* Can quickly generate new knowledge from existing facts
  + 1. **Limitations**
* Only works with Horn clauses
* Can generate many irrelevant facts, potentially leading to inefficiency
* May require extensive rule sets for complex problems

## Backward Chaining (BC)

Backward Chaining is a goad-directed reasoning method that works backwards from the query.

* + 1. **How it works**
* Start with the query goal
* Find rules that could prove the goal
* Add the premises of these rules as new subgoals
* Recursively prove subgoals
* Continue until reaching known facts or determining impossibility
  + 1. **Complexity**
* Time complexity: O(n), where n is the number of propositional symbols
* Space complexity: O(n) for storing the goal stack
  + 1. **Advantages**
* Efficient in focusing only on relevant facts needed to achieve the goal
* Reduces the search space by directly targeting the goal
  + 1. **Limitations**
* Only works with Horn clauses
* Can get stuck in infinite loop if not implemented with cycle detection
* May require backtracking, which can be computationally expensive

## DPLL (Davis-Putnam-Logemann-Loveland)

DPLL is a complete algorithm for solving the satisfiability problem in propositional logic.

* + 1. **How it works**
* Apply unit propagation
* Apply pure literal elimination
* Choose a literal and recursively:
  + Try setting it to true
  + If unsatisfiable, try setting it to false
* Repeat until finding a satisfying assignment or proving unsatisfiability
  + 1. **Complexity**
* Time complexity: O(2n) in worse case, but often much better in practice
* Space complexity: O(n) for storing assignments
  + 1. **Advantages**
* Complete for all propositional logic formulas
* Generally more efficient than truth tables
* Can handle non-Horn clauses
  + 1. **Limitations**
* May still face exponential time complexity in the worst-case scenarios
* Requires the formula to be in CNF, which may complicate preprocessing

# Implementation
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# Testing

# Features/Bugs/Missing

## Features

1. **Inference Methods**

* **Truth Table (TT)**:
  + Implements systematic evaluation of all truth assignments.
  + Provides detailed truth table generation and query evaluation.
* **Forward Chaining (FC)**:
  + Implements rule-based forward derivation with efficient rule prioritization.
* **Backward Chaining (BC)**:
  + Implements goal-directed reasoning using recursive query resolution.
* **DPLL Algorithm**:
  + Implements SAT-solving with features like unit propagation and pure literal elimination.
  + Efficiently handles CNF-based propositional logic.

1. **File Parsing and Command-Line Interface (CLI)**:

* Parses TELL and ASK sections from input files.
* Supports invocation via python iengine.py <filename> <method>.
* Outputs results in specified format:
  + **YES/NO** for query entailment.
  + Additional information on models or derived facts.

1. **Web-Based User Interface (UI)**:

* Allows users to upload .txt files and select inference methods.
* Visualizes inference processes:
  + **ChainViz** for FC/BC visualizations.
  + **DPLLViz** for tree-based visualization of the DPLL process.
* Displays truth tables for TT results.

1. **Error Handling**:

* Provides error messages for invalid input formats, missing files, and unsupported features.
* Handles edge cases, such as cyclic dependencies in chaining algorithms.

1. **Testing Support**:

* Designed to be tested with diverse input files containing Horn clauses and queries.

1. **Visualization Enhancements**:

* Clear and interactive visualization of inference steps in ChainViz and DPLLViz.
* Step-by-step animation with playback controls for visual clarity.

## Known Bugs

**a. DPLL Visualization**:

Even with the implementation of screen tracking that automatically focuses on nodes as they are explored, the visualization of very large CNF formulas can still be challenging. When the tree becomes exceedingly large, observing and interpreting the structure and steps may remain difficult due to the sheer number of nodes and connections.

* + 1. **Frontend Responsiveness**:

While mechanisms to enhance responsiveness, such as progressive rendering and efficient table handling, are implemented, the UI may still experience delays when handling extremely large truth tables. This is particularly noticeable in the Truth Table (TT) method, where rendering numerous models and results can take a significant amount of time for large knowledge bases.

## Missing

## Non-Horn Clause Support for FC/BC:

* Forward and Backward Chaining methods are limited to Horn-form knowledge bases as required.
* General propositional logic formulas cannot be processed using FC/BC.
  + 1. **Advanced Optimizations**:
* Optimizations for Truth Table (TT) and DPLL algorithms (e.g., heuristic variable ordering) are not fully implemented.

#### **Additional Notes**

* **Visualization Contributions**:
  + ChainViz and DPLLViz provide intuitive insights into the inference process.
  + Include step-by-step visualizations and playback controls for enhanced understanding.
* **Testing**:
  + Comprehensive test cases are prepared for all methods, demonstrating correctness and edge case handling.
* **File Format Compliance**:
  + Ensures compatibility with the required TELL and ASK file format for smooth operation.

# Research

## Extension to General Knowledge Bases

To address the requirement of extending the inference engine to handle general propositional logic knowledge bases, I explored and implemented two key approaches:

1. **Truth Table (TT) for General Knowledge Bases**

* The Truth Table method in my implementation is designed to work with all types of knowledge bases, including those beyond Horn form.
* By leveraging logical connectives such as disjunction (||), conjunction (&), negation (~), implication (=>), and biconditional (<=>), the TT method systematically evaluates every possible truth assignment for the propositional symbols in the knowledge base.
* Implementation Highlights:
  + A comprehensive truth table is generated for all models.
  + Logical expressions are evaluated in each model to determine the satisfaction of both the knowledge base and the query.
  + The method outputs whether the query is entailed and provides the count of models that support the query.
* Key Observations:
  + While the TT method guarantees completeness, its exponential complexity limits scalability for large knowledge bases with many symbols.
  + To address this, optimization techniques like incremental evaluation and caching of intermediate results could be considered in future work.

1. **DPLL (Davis-Putnam-Logemann-Loveland) Algorithm for General Knowledge Bases**

* The DPLL algorithm was implemented to solve the satisfiability problem efficiently for knowledge bases in Conjunctive Normal Form (CNF).
* DPLL is well-suited for general knowledge bases as it does not rely on Horn form restrictions and incorporates advanced features for efficiency.
* Implementation Highlights:
  + Conversion of logical formulas to CNF.
  + Utilization of unit propagation and pure literal elimination to simplify clauses.
* Recursive decision-making with backtracking to explore possible truth assignments.
* Enhancements:
  + Visualization: A tree-based visualization (DPLLViz) was developed to track the algorithm’s decision-making process. Each node represents a step in the search tree, and edges indicate decisions or backtracks.
  + Screen Tracking: The UI includes automatic focus adjustments to ensure the active node remains in view as the algorithm explores the tree.
* Key Observations:
  + DPLL significantly outperforms TT for large and complex knowledge bases due to its pruning capabilities and targeted search.
  + However, even with visualization and screen tracking, large CNF formulas can produce trees too complex for effective observation.

## Automated Testing and Insights

To validate and benchmark the implementation, I conducted extensive automated testing across various types of knowledge bases:

1. **Test Cases**:

* Included both Horn-form and general propositional logic knowledge bases.
* Varied the size and complexity of the knowledge bases to test scalability and robustness.
* Incorporated edge cases such as unsatisfiable queries and redundant clauses.

1. **Insights from Testing**:

* **TT Method**:
  + Guaranteed correctness but experienced exponential growth in runtime and memory usage as the number of symbols increased.
  + Showed clear limitations for knowledge bases with more than 15 symbols.
* **DPLL Algorithm**:
  + Demonstrated significantly better scalability compared to TT.
  + Handled larger knowledge bases efficiently by pruning large portions of the search space.
  + Provided valuable insights into the satisfiability of queries, particularly for complex CNF formulas.

## Future Work

* **Optimizations**:
  + Introduce heuristic-based decision-making in DPLL to prioritize variables with higher impact on clause satisfaction.
  + Implement lazy evaluation for TT to avoid unnecessary computation of unsatisfied models.
* **Additional Research**:
  + Explore the implementation of a resolution-based theorem prover to complement the existing methods.
  + Investigate techniques to simplify and preprocess knowledge bases before applying inference methods.

By implementing both DPLL and TT for general knowledge bases, this research advances the versatility and effectiveness of the inference engine, paving the way for further enhancements and practical applications.

# Team summary report

## Collaboration and Workflow

Throughout this project, we maintained a highly collaborative approach. Both of us were actively involved in all aspects of the assignment, working closely together to ensure a high-quality implementation and report. Here are the key aspects of our teamwork:

1. **Coding**:

* We jointly contributed to the coding process, often sitting together to discuss and implement solutions in real time.
* We used Git for version control, regularly pushing and pulling code changes to stay synchronized. This allowed us to identify and fix bugs collaboratively and iteratively.

1. **Bug Fixing and Testing**:

* We tested the code extensively as a team, identifying edge cases and refining the implementation until both of us were satisfied with the results.
* Whenever a bug was encountered, we brainstormed solutions together and worked through the debugging process as a pair.

1. **Report Writing**:

* We shared the responsibility of writing the report.
* Each of us contributed to different sections of the report, reviewing and providing feedback on each other’s writing to ensure clarity and coherence.

1. **Feedback and Review**:

* We provided constant feedback to each other during the development process, offering suggestions for improvements and alternative approaches.
* This iterative process of review and refinement helped us produce a polished and well-rounded submission.

## Contribution Breakdown

We divided the work equally to ensure fairness and balanced effort. Below is the contribution percentage for each team member:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Team Member** | **Contribution Percentage** | **Areas of Contribution** |
| Thien | 50% | Coding, debugging, report writing, testing |
| Truc | 50% | Coding, debugging, report writing, testing |

**Total Contribution**: 100%

# Conclusion

## Discussion of Best Type of Search Algorithms

For propositional logic inference, the choice of the best search algorithm depends on the nature of the problem and the size of the knowledge base:

* + 1. **DPLL Algorithm**: The DPLL algorithm is highly efficient for large and complex knowledge bases, particularly those in Conjunctive Normal Form (CNF). It leverages pruning techniques such as unit propagation and pure literal elimination, making it scalable and effective for satisfiability problems. With implemented optimizations like heuristic-based decision-making, DPLL stands out for its efficiency and robustness.
    2. **Truth Table (TT) Method**: The TT method provides a complete and sound approach, systematically evaluating all possible truth assignments. While ideal for small to medium-sized knowledge bases, its exponential complexity limits its practicality for larger problems.

## Performance Improvements

To enhance performance, future efforts could include:

* + 1. **Conflict-Driven Clause Learning (CDCL)**: Integrating CDCL into DPLL for better efficiency in resolving conflicts.
    2. **Parallel Processing**: Implementing parallel processing for truth table evaluations to handle large models more effectively.
    3. **Advanced Preprocessing**: Simplifying and compacting the knowledge base before applying inference algorithms to reduce complexity.
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