

I211E: Mathematical Logic

Nao Hirokawa

JAIST

Term 1-1, 2023

<https://www.jaist.ac.jp/~hirokawa/lectures/ml/>

Contents

Aim

to learn how to prove formulas having equalities

Contents

- 1 natural deduction II (\doteq)
- 2 soundness and completeness
- 3 axioms

Schedule

propositional logic		predicate logic	
4/13	syntax, semantics	5/11	syntax, semantics
4/18	normal forms	5/16	normal forms
4/20	examples	5/18	natural deduction I
4/25	natural deduction I	5/23	natural deduction II
4/27	natural deduction II	5/25	examples, properties
5/2	completeness	5/30	advanced topics
5/9	midterm exam	6/1	summary
		6/6	exam

Evaluation

midterm exam (40) + final exam (60)

Natural Deduction II (\doteq)

Equality Axioms

Definition (inference rules for \doteq)

reflexivity, symmetry, transitivity, congruence, and substitution rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \dfrac{}{t \doteq t} \text{ REFL} \\
 \dfrac{s \doteq t}{t \doteq s} \text{ SYM} \\
 \dfrac{s \doteq t \quad t \doteq u}{s \doteq u} \text{ TRANS} \\
 \dfrac{s_1 \doteq t_1 \quad \dots \quad s_n \doteq t_n}{f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \doteq f(t_1, \dots, t_n)} \text{ CONG} \\
 \dfrac{s_1 \doteq t_1 \quad \dots \quad s_n \doteq t_n \quad P(s_1, \dots, s_n)}{P(t_1, \dots, t_n)} \text{ SUBST}
 \end{array}$$

Proposition

$$\vdash \forall x (x \cdot 0 = 0) \rightarrow 0 \doteq (x \cdot (y \cdot 0))$$

Proof Sketch: $x \cdot (y \cdot 0) = x \cdot 0 = 0$

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \dfrac{}{x \doteq x} \text{ REFL} \quad \dfrac{[\forall x (x \cdot 0 \doteq 0)]^1}{y \cdot 0 \doteq 0} \forall E \quad \dfrac{[\forall x (x \cdot 0 \doteq 0)]^1}{x \cdot 0 \doteq 0} \forall E \\
 \dfrac{x \doteq x \quad y \cdot 0 \doteq 0}{x \cdot (y \cdot 0) \doteq x \cdot 0} \text{ CONG} \quad \dfrac{x \cdot 0 \doteq 0}{x \cdot 0 \doteq 0} \text{ TRANS} \\
 \dfrac{x \cdot (y \cdot 0) \doteq x \cdot 0}{0 \doteq (x \cdot (y \cdot 0))} \text{ SYM} \\
 \dfrac{0 \doteq (x \cdot (y \cdot 0))}{\forall x (x \cdot 0 = 0) \rightarrow 0 \doteq (x \cdot (y \cdot 0))} \rightarrow I
 \end{array}$$

□

Soundness and Completeness (Gödel 1930)

Definition

- structure \mathcal{A} is **model** of Γ if $\mathcal{A} \models \gamma$ holds for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$
- $\Gamma \models \phi$ if $\mathcal{A} \models \phi$ holds for all models \mathcal{A} of Γ

Theorem (\Rightarrow soundness; \Leftarrow completeness)

$$\Gamma \vdash \phi \iff \Gamma \models \phi$$

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) additional inference rules are valid (\Leftarrow) model existence lemma □

Corollary

$\Gamma \not\vdash \phi$ if and only if there exists model \mathcal{A} of Γ with $\mathcal{A} \not\models \phi$ (countermodel)

Axioms

Terminology

axioms are formulas supposed to be true a priori

Definition

- ϕ is **valid under** set Γ of **axioms** if $\Gamma \vdash \phi$
- Γ is **consistent** if $\Gamma \not\vdash \perp$
- Γ is **inconsistent** if $\Gamma \vdash \perp$

Example

- $\Gamma = \{\forall x P(x), \forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))\}$ is consistent and $\Gamma \vdash \forall x Q(x)$
- $\Gamma = \{\forall x (\neg(x \doteq x))\}$ is inconsistent and $\Gamma \vdash 0 \doteq s(0)$

Fact

if Γ is inconsistent then $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ for all formulas ϕ

Fact (\Rightarrow : Model Existence Lemma)

Γ is consistent \iff there exists model of Γ

Proposition

$\Gamma = \{\forall x P(x), \forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))\}$ is consistent

Proof.

Γ admits the model $\mathcal{A} = (\{0\}, \bar{P}, \bar{Q})$ with $\bar{P} = \bar{Q} = \{0\}$. □

Definition (Robinson Arithmetic)

\mathbf{Q} is $\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \forall x. & \neg(s(x) \doteq 0) \\ \forall x. & x \doteq 0 \vee \exists y(x \doteq s(y)) \\ \forall x, y. & s(x) \doteq s(y) \rightarrow x \doteq y \\ \forall x. & x + 0 \doteq x \\ \forall x, y. & x + s(y) \doteq s(x + y) \\ \forall x. & x \cdot 0 \doteq 0 \\ \forall x, y. & x \cdot s(y) \doteq (x \cdot y) + x \end{array} \right\}$ over $\{+^{(2)}, \cdot^{(2)}, s^{(1)}, 0^{(0)}\}$

Exercise

- find natural model of \mathbf{Q} whose universe is \mathbb{N}
- $\mathbf{Q} \vdash \forall x \exists y (x + y \doteq x)$
- $\mathbf{Q} \not\vdash \forall x \forall y (x + y \doteq y + x)$

Proof of $\mathbf{Q} \vdash 0 + s(0) \doteq s(0)$

Proof Sketch: $\underline{0 + s(0)} = s(\underline{0 + 0}) = s(0)$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[\forall x \forall y (x + s(y) \doteq s(x + y))]}{\forall y (0 + s(y) \doteq s(0 + y))} \forall E}{0 + s(0) \doteq s(0 + 0)} \forall E}{0 + s(0) \doteq s(0)} \text{TRANS}$$

$$\frac{\frac{[\forall x (x + 0 \doteq x)]^Q}{0 + 0 \doteq 0} \forall E}{s(0 + 0) \doteq s(0)} \text{CONG}$$

Set Theory

Definition (Peano Arithmetic)

PA = $\mathbf{Q} \cup \{ \forall y_1, \dots, y_n. ((\phi[0/x] \wedge \forall x (\phi \rightarrow \phi[s(x)/x])) \rightarrow \forall x \phi) \mid (*) \}$

where $(*)$ means that ϕ is formula with $\text{FV}(\phi) = \{x, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$

Exercise

- $\text{PA} \vdash \forall x \exists y (x + y \doteq x)$
- $\text{PA} \vdash \forall x \forall y (x + y \doteq y + x)$

Definition (Zermelo–Fraenkel set theory with Axiom of Choice)

ZFC consists of following axioms over signature $\{\in^{(2)}\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists X \forall z. \neg(z \in X) \\ & \forall X, Y. (\forall x (z \in X \leftrightarrow z \in Y)) \rightarrow X \doteq Y \\ & \forall X \exists Z \forall Y. (Y \in Z \leftrightarrow \exists W (W \in Z \wedge W \in X) \rightarrow X \doteq Y) \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$$

Definition (von Neumann numbers)

$0 = \emptyset, 1 = \{0\}, 2 = \{0, 1\}, \dots$

Proof of $\text{PA} \vdash \forall x \exists y (x \cdot y \doteq x)$

Proof Idea: $0 + x = x$ and $x \cdot s(0) = x$ are shown by mathematical induction on x

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\vdots(a)}{0 \cdot s(0) \doteq 0} \text{TRANS} \quad \frac{\vdots(b)}{\forall x (x \cdot s(0) \doteq x \rightarrow s(x) \cdot s(0) \doteq s(x))} \forall I \\ \hline \phi \quad [\phi \rightarrow \forall x (x \cdot s(0) \doteq x)]^{\text{PA}} \rightarrow E \\ \hline \forall x (x \cdot s(0) \doteq x) \quad \forall E \\ \frac{x \cdot s(0) \doteq x}{\exists (x \cdot y \doteq x)} \exists I \\ \hline \forall x \exists (x \cdot y \doteq x) \quad \forall I \end{array}$$

where $\phi = 0 \cdot s(0) \doteq 0 \wedge \forall x (x \cdot s(0) \doteq x \rightarrow s(x) \cdot s(0) \doteq s(x))$, and

(a) $0 \cdot s(0) = \underline{0 \cdot 0} + 0 = \underline{0 + 0} = 0$

(b) $\underline{s(x) \cdot s(0)} = \underline{s(x) \cdot 0} + s(x) = \underline{0 + s(x)} = s(\underline{0 + x}) = s(x)$

Supplementary Comments

- alternative inference rules for \doteq are:

$$\frac{}{t \doteq t} \doteq I \quad \frac{s \doteq t \quad \phi[s/x]}{\phi[t/x]} \doteq E \quad (s \text{ and } t \text{ are free for } x)$$

- there are many (non-equivalent) variations of **Q**, **PA**, and **ZFC**
- **PA** admits **standard** model on \mathbb{N} and also **non-standard models**
- **ZFC** is powerful enough for formalizing most part of mathematics