

I211E: Mathematical Logic

Nao Hirokawa

JAIST

Term 1-1, 2023

<https://www.jaist.ac.jp/~hirokawa/lectures/ml/>

Contents

Aim

to develop basic proof skill

Contents

- 1 natural deduction II (\neg and \perp)
- 2 exercises

Schedule

propositional logic		predicate logic	
4/13	syntax, semantics	5/11	syntax, semantics
4/18	normal forms	5/16	normal forms
4/20	examples	5/18	natural deduction I
4/25	natural deduction I	5/23	natural deduction II
4/27	natural deduction II	5/25	examples, properties
5/2	completeness	5/30	advanced topics
5/9	midterm exam	6/1	summary
		6/6	exam

Evaluation

midterm exam (40) + final exam (60)

Definition (introduction and elimination rules for \neg)

$$\begin{array}{c} [\phi]^i \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\perp}{\neg\phi} \neg I_i \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\phi \quad \neg\phi}{\perp} \neg E_i$$

Proposition

$\vdash (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p)$

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{c} [p]^3 \quad [p \rightarrow q]^1 \quad \neg E_1 \quad [\neg q]^2 \quad \neg E \\ \frac{q}{\perp} \neg I_3 \\ \frac{\neg p}{\neg q \rightarrow \neg p} \rightarrow I_2 \\ \frac{\neg q \rightarrow \neg p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p)} \rightarrow I_1 \quad \square \end{array}$$

Proposition

If p implies q then $\neg q$ implies $\neg p$.

Proof.

We show $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p)$.
Assume $p \rightarrow q$. It is enough to show $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$.
Assume $\neg q$. It is enough to show $\neg p$.
Assume to the contrary that p holds.
Since p and $p \rightarrow q$, we obtain q .
Since q and $\neg q$, we have contradiction.
Thus, $\neg p$ holds. \square

Definition (elimination rule for \perp)

$$\frac{\perp}{\phi} \text{ EFQ}$$

EFQ = ex falso quodlibet

Proposition

$\vdash (\neg p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$

Proof.

$$\frac{\frac{[\neg p \vee q]^1}{\frac{q}{p \rightarrow q} \rightarrow I_2} \quad \frac{[p]^2 \quad [\neg p]^3}{\frac{\perp}{q} \text{ EFQ}} \neg E \quad [q]^3 \vee E}{(\neg p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)} \rightarrow I_1 \quad \square$$

Proposition

If $\neg p \vee q$ then p implies q .

Proof.

We show $(\neg p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$.
Assume $\neg p \vee q$. It is enough to show $p \rightarrow q$.
Assume p . It is enough to show q .
Using $\neg p \vee q$, we distinguish two cases.
If $\neg p$ then we have $\neg p$ and p . Contradiction.
Hence, q holds.
If q then q holds trivially.
In any case, q holds. \square

Definition (another elimination rule for \perp)

$$\frac{[\neg \phi]^i \quad \vdots \quad \frac{\perp}{\phi} \text{ RAA}_i}{\phi}$$

RAA = reductio ad absurdum = proof by contradiction

Proposition

$\vdash \neg \neg p \rightarrow p$

Proof.

$$\frac{}{\neg \neg p \rightarrow p} \rightarrow I_1 \quad \square$$

Proposition

If $\neg \neg p$ then p .

Proof.

We show $\neg \neg p \rightarrow p$.
Assume $\neg \neg p$. It is enough to show p .
Assume to the contrary that $\neg p$ holds.
Since p and $\neg p$ hold, we have contradiction.
Hence, p holds. \square

Supplementary Comments

$$\frac{\perp}{\phi} \text{ EFQ} \quad \frac{[\neg \phi]^i \quad \vdots \quad \frac{\perp}{\phi} \text{ RAA}_i}{\phi} \quad \frac{[\phi]^i \quad \vdots \quad \frac{\perp}{\neg \phi} \neg I_i}{\neg \phi}$$

- classical logic uses RAA
- intuitionistic logic forbids use of RAA
- mathematical proofs usually adopt classical logic
- in intuitionistic logic, neither $\neg \neg p \rightarrow p$ nor $p \vee \neg p$ hold

Exercise: Understanding Proof Structure

Proposition (law of excluded middle (or third in textbook))

p or $\neg p$ holds.

Proof.

We show $p \vee \neg p$. Assume to the contrary that $\neg(p \vee \neg p)$ holds.

- 1 As a preliminary, we show that $\neg p$ holds. Assume to the contrary that p holds. Since p holds, $p \vee \neg p$ holds. Because $p \vee \neg p$ and $\neg(p \vee \neg p)$ hold, we obtain contradiction. Therefore, $\neg p$ must hold.
- 2 Now we derive contradiction as follows. Since $\neg p$ holds, $p \vee \neg p$ follows. Because $p \vee \neg p$ and $\neg(p \vee \neg p)$ hold, we obtain contradiction. \square

Exercise: Prove $\vdash p \vee \neg p$.

Exercise: Proof Writing

Proposition

$\vdash (p \wedge (\neg p \vee q)) \rightarrow q$

Proof.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[p \wedge (\neg p \vee q)]^1}{\neg p \vee q} \wedge E \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{[p \wedge (\neg p \vee q)]^1}{p} \wedge E \quad [\neg p]^2}{\perp} \neg E}{q} EFQ}{[q]^2} \vee E_2}{(p \wedge (\neg p \vee q)) \rightarrow q} \rightarrow I_1 \quad \square$$

Exercise: Write a proof for $(p \wedge (\neg p \vee q)) \rightarrow q$ in text.