

## Homework 9

Study Sections 3.3 – 3.5 and 4.4.

1. Carry out substitution while avoiding variable capture. For instance, when you compute  $\phi\theta$  for  $\phi = \exists x(x \doteq y)$  and  $\theta = [s(x)/y]$ , rename  $\phi$  to  $\phi' = \exists z(z \doteq y)$  and then compute  $\phi'\theta$ .

- (1)  $(x \doteq x)[x/x]$
- (2)  $(x \doteq x)[y/x]$
- (3)  $(z \doteq 0)[f(x, y)/y]$
- (4)  $(\exists x(y \doteq x))[f(0, y)/y]$
- (5)  $(\exists w(f(w, x) \doteq 0))[f(x, y)/z]$
- (6)  $(\forall w(f(x, z) \doteq 0))[f(x, w)/z]$
- (7)  $(\forall w(f(x, z) \doteq 0) \wedge \exists y(z \doteq x))[f(x, y)/z]$
- (8)  $(\forall u(u \doteq v) \rightarrow \forall z(z \doteq y))[f(x, y)/z]$

2. For each formula, compute a logically equivalent prenex normal form, and then convert it into a satisfiability equivalent Skolem normal form via Skolemization.

- (1)  $\forall xP(x) \leftrightarrow \exists xQ(x)$
- (2)  $\neg\forall xP(x, y) \vee \forall xR(x, y)$
- (3)  $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow \neg\exists yR(x, y))$
- (4)  $\exists x\forall yP(x, y) \wedge \forall y\exists xP(y, x)$
- (5)  $\neg(\forall xP(x) \vee \exists y\neg Q(y)) \vee (\forall zP(z) \vee \exists w\neg Q(w))$
- (6)  $\neg\forall x(P(x) \vee \exists y\neg Q(y)) \vee (\forall zP(z) \vee \exists w\neg Q(w))$
- (7)  $\neg((\neg\forall xP(x) \wedge \forall xQ(x)) \wedge (\exists xR(x) \rightarrow \forall xS(x)))$
- (8)  $\neg(\exists xP(x, y) \wedge (\forall yQ(y) \rightarrow P(x, x))) \rightarrow \forall x\exists yR(x, y)$
- (9)  $((\forall xP(x) \rightarrow \exists yQ(x, y)) \rightarrow Q(x, x)) \rightarrow \forall x\exists yR(x, y)$
- (10)  $\neg\forall x\neg\forall y\neg\forall zP(x, y) \vee \neg\exists x\neg\exists y(\neg\exists zQ(x, y, z) \rightarrow R(x, y))$