

Homework 7

01 February 2023 13:56

Name: TRAN, Thanh Cong

Student ID: 2210421

5.7.1.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize } & -\log(3+x_1) - \log(9+x_2) = f(x) \\ \text{s.t. } & x_1 + x_2 = 5 = h(x) \\ & x_1 \geq 0 = g_1(x) \\ & x_2 \geq 0 = g_2(x) \end{aligned}$$

a) The first-order KKT conditions follow:

If $x^* = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ is a local optimum then exists λ, μ_1, μ_2 which satisfied:

$$\begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \frac{1}{(3+x_1)\ln 10} \\ -1 \\ \frac{1}{(9+x_2)\ln 10} \end{bmatrix} + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \mu_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \mu_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0 & (1) \\ x_1 + x_2 - 5 = 0 & (2) \\ x_1 \geq 0 & (3) \\ x_2 \geq 0 & (4) \\ \mu_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = \{1, 2\} \\ \mu_1 x_1 = 0 \\ \mu_2 x_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

bcd) Assume g_1 is active and g_2 is inactive:

$$(3)(4) \Rightarrow x_1 = 0 \text{ and } x_2 > 0, \mu_2 = 0$$

$$(2) \Rightarrow x_2 = 5$$

$$(1) \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \frac{1}{3\ln 10} \\ -1 \\ \frac{1}{14\ln 10} \end{bmatrix} + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \mu_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \int \lambda = \frac{1}{14\ln 10}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda = \frac{1}{14 \ln 10} \\ \mu_1 = \frac{1}{14 \ln 10} - \frac{1}{5 \ln 10} \end{cases}$$

Because $\mu_1 < 0$, reject this solution

* Assume g_1 is inactive and g_2 is active:

(3) (4) $\Rightarrow x_1 > 0, \mu_1 = 0$ and $x_2 = 0$

(2) $\Rightarrow x_1 = 5$

$$(1) \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-1}{8 \ln 10} \\ \frac{-1}{9 \ln 10} \end{bmatrix} + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \mu_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda = \frac{1}{8 \ln 10} \\ \mu_2 = \frac{1}{8 \ln 10} - \frac{1}{9 \ln 10} \end{cases}$$

Because $\mu_2 > 0$ and $g_1 > 0$, $x = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a local optimum

* Assume both g_1 and g_2 are active:

(3) (4) $\Rightarrow x_1 = 0$ and $x_2 = 0$

Because $h(x)$ is not satisfied, reject this solution

* Assume both g_1 and g_2 are inactive:

(3) (4) $\Rightarrow x_1 > 0, \mu_1 = 0$ and $x_2 > 0, \mu_2 = 0$

$$(1) (2) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 = 5 \\ \frac{-1}{(5+x_1) \ln 10} + \lambda = 0 \\ \frac{-1}{(9+x_2) \ln 10} + \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 = 5 \\ x_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda \ln 10} - 5 \\ x_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda \ln 10} - 9 \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda \ln 10} - 3 + \frac{1}{\lambda \ln 10} - 9 = 5 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \end{cases} \quad \begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{\lambda \ln 10} - 5 \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda \ln 10} - 9 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda = \frac{2}{17 \ln 10} \\ x_1 = \frac{11}{2} \\ x_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

Because $x_2 = -\frac{1}{2}$ not satisfied $g_2(x)$, reject this solution

The final solution is $x^* = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\lambda = \frac{1}{8 \ln 10}$, $\mu_1 = 0$, $\mu_2 = \frac{1}{8 \ln 10} - \frac{1}{9 \ln 10}$