# Group Representations MATH 607

#### Thanic Nur Samin

Texts: Lang, Algebra, Revised Third Edition, Chapter 17 (sections 1-5) and 18 (sections 1-8)

Serre, Linear Representations of Finite Groups, Parts II and III

# Monday, 8/26/2024

Today:

History

Modular

Quotients

Matrices

Lang XVII, Section 1

### (Fake) History

History of Groups

Most notions (let's say what is a vector spee, what is a group) were vague. Originally, groups were seen as:

- Symmetry Groups  $S_n$
- $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$  aka  $n \times n$  invertible matrices
- Subgroups of the above
- Representations of the above

For representation, consider G and a homomorphism  $G \to S_n$  [which is a group action  $G \curvearrowright \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ ] or a homomorphism  $G \to GL_n$  [which is a group action on vector space].

Part I of this course will be Ring Theory.

#### Part I: Ring Theory

#### Module

Convention: R = Ring with unity

**Definition** (Left Module). Left Module is an abelian group M with a function  $R \times M \to M$  so that  $(r, m) \mapsto rm$  such that  $R \times M \to M$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -billinear.

Meaning, we have:

(r+r')m = rm + r'm

r(m+m') = rm + rm'

Also (rr')m = r(r'm)

And finally 1m = m

By default, module = left module (since Jim doesn't want Trump to get reelected, he prefers left module)

module / field [module over field] = vector space

We can have submodules  $M' \triangleleft M$ 

We have quotients M/M'

We have the short exact sequence:

$$0 \to M' \to M \to M/M' \to 0$$

which means in each homomorphism, im = ker

So,  $M' \to M$  is injective and  $M \to M/M'$  is surjective.

Also, kernel of  $M \to M/M'$  is M'

**Remark.** Note that R is itself an R-module.

Convention: Submodule M of R = left ideal of R.

Left ideals are not enough to take quotients (like how we need normal subgroup for group quotients).

So we need two sided ideals.

**Definition** (Two Sided Ideals).  $I \subset R$  is <u>2-sided ideal</u> if I is abelian subgroup and  $ri \in I, ir \in I$  aka "closed".

**Example.** Consider a homomorphism  $f: R \to R'$ . Then ker f is a 2-sided ideal of R.

For ring homomorphism we need:

$$f(r + r') = f(r) + f(r')$$

$$f(rr^\prime)=f(r)f(r^\prime)$$

$$f(1) = 1$$

If  $I \subset R$  is 2-sided then R/I is a quotient ring.

For example,  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$  has no proper 2-sided ideal. But there exists left ideals!

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 is a left ideal

Matrices are a good 'source' of non-commutative rings.

Given any ring R we can consider ring  $M_n(R)$  of  $n \times n$  matrices.

Given R-module M we can get  $\operatorname{End}_R(M) = \{f : M \to M, f \text{ is } R\text{-module map}\}\$ 

We have (f + g)m = f(m) + g(m), (fg)m = f(g(m)).

This is a 'coordinate free approach' to matrices.

**Remark.**  $M_n(R)$  and  $\operatorname{End}_R(R^n)$  often looks the same, but in general  $M_n(R) \not\cong \operatorname{End}_R(R^n)$ .

Let's first take n = 1. Let  $r_0 \in R$ .

Consider  $R \to R$  map  $r \mapsto r_0 r$ 

We don't like this because this is not a left module map!!!

So this is not even in  $\operatorname{End}_R(R)$ 

What if we consider  $r \mapsto rr_0$ ?

This is a left module map, aka  $\in \operatorname{End}_R(R)$ 

But  $R \to \operatorname{End}_R(R)$  is not a ring homomorphism.

So we are going to take the opposite ring.

Fix 1:

Given ring R, we can look into the mirror and find opposite ring  $R^{op}$ 

Elements of  $R^{op}$  = elements of R.

0, 1, + remain the same

But multiplication is reversed: define  $r \cdot_{op} r' = r'r$ 

Alternate notation, we write op on elements.

Then  $r^{op}(r')^{op} = (r'r)^{op}$ 

Then we have isomorphism  $R^{op} \cong \operatorname{End}_R(R)$  which is a ring homomorphism!

**Exercise.** 1)  $R \cong R^{op} \iff \exists$  antiautomorphism  $\alpha : R \to R$ 

Antiautomorphism means  $\alpha$  preserves 0, 1, + but reverses multiplication

- 2) R commutative, then  $(M_n R) \cong (M_n R)^{op}$
- 3) Real quaternions  $\mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{H}^{op}$

Remark. If you take right modules, you don't need op.

There is a contravariant endofunctor in the category of rings which takes objects of rings to their opposite.

 $Ring^{op} \to Ring$  [opposite category, not the same thing]

 $R \mapsto R^{op}$ 

Fix 2: [From Lang]

Suppose we have module homomorphism  $\phi: E = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_n \to F_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus F_m = F$ 

Then we have  $E_j \to E \xrightarrow{\phi} F \to F_i$  which we define to be  $E_j \xrightarrow{\phi_{ij}} F_i$ Then we have a matrix  $M(\phi)$  so that  $M(\phi) = (\phi)_{ij}$ 

Then for 
$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_n$$

Then 
$$\phi(x) = (\phi_{ij}) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

So, if we have  $E^n = E \oplus \cdots \oplus E$  [n times]

Lang says, there is a ring isomorphism

$$\operatorname{End}_R(E^n) \stackrel{\cong}{\to} M_n(\operatorname{End}_R E)$$

$$\phi \mapsto (\phi_{ij})$$

If E = R as left module, then  $\operatorname{End}_R R \cong R^{op}$ By combining these,  $\operatorname{End}_R(R^n) \cong M_n(R^{op})$ 

# Wednesday, 8/28/2024

Today:

Group ring

Category

Simple modules

Question: The course is about 'group representations'. So why study rings?

Answer: A group representation [homomorphism  $G \to GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ ] is exactly the same as a module over the ring  $\mathbb{R}G$ .

So knowing everything about modules would tell us everything about representation. Abelian Category!

Suppose we have a ring R and a group G. We can get a ring out of G

**Definition** (Group Ring RG). As an abelian group, this is the free R-module with basis the elements of G.

Elements are symbols of the form  $r_1g_1 + \cdots + r_ng_n$  [finite linear combination].

0 is the trivial linear combination. So 0 = 0

 $1 = 1e = 1_R e_G$ 

Multiplication is defined in the obvious way.

$$(\sum_{i} r_i g_i)(\sum_{i} r'_i g'_i) = \sum_{i,j} r_i r'_j g_i g'_j$$

Suppose V is a R-module.

Then a homomorphism  $\rho: G \to \operatorname{Aut}_R(V) \leftrightarrow V$  is RG-module.

$$\begin{array}{l} \rho \mapsto (\sum_i r_i g_i) v \coloneqq \sum_i r_i \rho(g_i) v \\ g \mapsto (v \to g v) \leftarrow V \ RG \ \text{module}. \end{array}$$

**Example.**  $C_2 = \{1, t\}$ 

Then we have  $\mathbb{Z}C_2 = \{a+bt \mid a,b \in \mathbb{Z}, t^2=0\} = \mathbb{Z}[t]/(t^2)$ Note that  $(1+t)(1-t) = 1-t^2=0$  so we have zero divisors.

Take  $C_{\infty} = \langle t \rangle$ 

Then  $\mathbb{Z}C_{\infty} = \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$  the laurent polynomial ring.  $\mathbb{Q}C_{\infty} = \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$  is a PID [since it is a euclidean ring]

Now we see categories.

If we fix R then we have a functor Group  $\rightarrow$  Ring given by  $G \mapsto RG$ Or we could say we have a functor Ring  $\times$  Group  $\to$  Ring given by  $(R,G) \to RG$ 

**Definition.** A category C consists of:

- objects Ob  $\mathcal{C}$
- morphism C(X,Y) for  $X,Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$
- compositions  $C(X,Y) \times C(Y,Z) \to C(X,Z)$  given by  $(g,f) \mapsto f \circ g$
- identity  $\mathrm{Id}_X \in C(X,X) \forall X \in \mathrm{Ob}\mathcal{C}$

Such that we have:

- associativity:  $(f \circ g) \circ h = f \circ (g \circ h)$
- composition with identity:  $\mathrm{Id}_Y \circ f = f = f \circ \mathrm{Id}_X$  for  $f \in C(X,Y)$

For example in the cateogry of groups, we have objects groups and morphisms homomorphism.

Morphism notations:  $f: X \to Y$  or  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  for  $f \in C(X,Y)$ 

**Definition.**  $f: X \to Y$  is isomorphism if  $\exists g: Y \to X$  such that  $f \circ g = \operatorname{Id}, g \circ f = \operatorname{Id}$ . Thehen we say X and Y are isomorphic and write  $X \cong Y$ .

**Example.** Example of Categories:

- Set
- Ring
- Group
- Ab (Abelian Groups)
- R-modules (objects are modules, morphisms are homomorphisms h(rm) =rh(m)
- Given a group G we can get a category BG such that:

Ob 
$$BG = \{*\} \text{ and } BG(*,*) = G$$

In this category, there is only one object \*. The elements of the group are morphisms.

**Definition.** Functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$  is  $F: \mathrm{Ob} \ \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Ob} \ \mathcal{D}$  given by  $X \mapsto F(X)$ 

And  $F: \mathcal{C}(X,Y) \to \mathcal{D}(F(X),F(Y))$  such that

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y$$
 gives us  $F(X) \xrightarrow{F(f)} F(Y)$ 

such that 
$$F(f \circ g) = F(f) \circ F(g)$$
 and  $F(\mathrm{Id}_X) = \mathrm{Id}_{F(X)}$ 

**Example.** Unit Functor Ring  $\rightarrow$  Group given by  $R \mapsto R^{\times} = \{r \in R \mid \exists s \in R, rs = 1\}$ 

For example, 
$$\mathbb{Q}^{\times} \cong C_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\infty} [= \pm p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots]$$
  
 $\mathbb{Z}^{\times} \cong \{\pm 1\} = C_2$ 

$$(\mathbb{Z}C_2)^{\times} \cong \{\pm 1, \pm t\} \cong C_2 \times C_2$$

**Definition.** R is a division ring (= skew field) if 
$$1 \neq 0$$
 and  $R^{\times} = R - 0$ .

**Definition.** Quaternions

$$\mathbb{H} = \{a + bi + cj + dh \mid a, b, c, d, \in \mathbb{R}\}\$$

Where 
$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$$

$$ij = k, jk = i, ki = j, ji = -k, kj = -i, ik = -j$$

This is a division ring since we can write down inverses.

$$\alpha = a + bi + cj + dk$$
 gives us  $\overline{\alpha} = a - bi - cj - dk$ 

So, 
$$\operatorname{norm}(\alpha) = \alpha \overline{\alpha} = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$$
  
So,  $\alpha^{-1} = \frac{\overline{\alpha}}{\operatorname{norm}(\alpha)}$ 

So, 
$$\alpha^{-1} = \frac{\overline{\alpha}}{\operatorname{norm}(\alpha)}$$

**Remark.** Note that the quaternion group  $Q_8 = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{H}^{\times} = GL_1(\mathbb{H})$ .

So,  $\mathbb{H}$  is a  $\mathbb{R}Q_8$  module.

**Theorem 1** (Weddenburn's Little Theorem). a. A finite commutative domain is a field [easy]

b. A finite skew field is a field [aka commutative]

a is easy: suppose F is finite commutative domain. For  $0 \neq f \in F$ , consider multiplication by f as a map  $F \to F$ . It is injective, and finiteness implies surjective. So, it is bijective, and there exsits inverse. eg  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  is a field.

### Simple Modules

These are like primes. We also have some analogue of prime factorization.

**Definition.** R-module E is simple if:

 $E \neq 0$ 

No proper submodules, aka  $M \triangleleft E \implies M = 0$  or E

In other words, E is a simple module if it only has two submodules: 0 and E.

eg simple  $\mathbb{R}$ -modules are 1 dim vector spaces, aka  $\mathbb{R}$ 

**Exercise.** a)  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is a simple  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ -module

b) Express  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$  as direct sum of simple modules.

# Friday, 8/30/2024

**Exercise.** Suppose finite  $G \neq 1$  and  $R \neq 0$  Prove that RG has zero divisors.

**Definition.** Direct product of rings  $R \times S$ , addition and multiplication is done componentwise.

It is a product in the category of rings. aka:



for any pair of ring homomorphisms  $T \xrightarrow{f_1} R$  and  $T \xrightarrow{f_2} S$  we have a unique ring homomorphism  $f: T \xrightarrow{f} R \times S$  so that the diagram commutes.

**Definition.**  $e \in R$  is an idempotent if  $e^2 = e$ .

0, 1 are trivial idempotents.

 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is an idempotent in  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ 

(0,1) is an idempotent in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ 

If e is an idempotent so is 1 - e

**Definition.** Idempotent  $e \in R$  is central if  $\forall r$  we have er = re

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 is not central, but  $(0,1)$  is.

**Exercise.** A ring can be written as a product ring, aka  $R \cong R_1 \times R_2$  with  $R_i \neq 0$  if and only if there exists a nontrivial central idempotent.

### Semisimiple Modules

**Definition.** E is a simple R-module if it doesn't have any nontrivial submodules. If  $E \neq 0$  and  $M \triangleleft E$  then  $M \neq 0$  or M = E

**Example.**  $R^2$  is a simple  $M_2\mathbb{R}$ -module.

 $\mathbb{R} \times 0$  is a simple  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  module.

 $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is a simple  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module

**Lemma 2.** [Schur's Lemma]: Let E, F be simple R-modules. Then any nonzero homomorphism  $f: E \to F$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.*  $f \neq 0$  means ker  $f \neq E$  and im  $f \neq 0$ . Since they are submodules,  $\ker f = 0$  and  $\operatorname{im} f = F$ So f is bijective.

Corollary 3. If E is simple, then  $\operatorname{End}_R E$  is a skew field [any non-zero element is invertible]

**Example.** Commutative example:  $\operatorname{End}_{M_2\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is a skew field. In fact,  $\operatorname{End}_{M_2\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cong \mathbb{R}$ 

**Definition** (Direct Sum). Suppose  $M_i \triangleleft M$  for  $i \in I$ 

Then,  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  means,  $\forall m \in M_i$  we have  $m = \sum_{i \in I} m_i$  with  $m_i \in M_i$  uniquely. There are notions of internal and external direct sums. The above is an internal direct

External direct sum: given  $\{M_i\}_{i\in I}$  we can construct  $\bigoplus_{i\in I} M_i$ 

**Proposition 4** (Universal Property). Given a collection of homomorphisms  $\{t_i:$  $M_i \to N_{i \in I}$ , it extends directly to a homomorphism  $\bigoplus M_i \to N$ . We denote this by  $\bigoplus f_i$ 

Remark. Note: Maps to product are easy, maps from direct sum are easy.

**Proposition 5** (1.2, Lang XVII). Suppose we have isomorphism  $E_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_r^{n_r} \stackrel{\cong}{\to}$  $F_1^{m_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus F_s^{m_s}$  with  $E_i$  and  $F_j$  simple and non-isomorphic [ie for all  $k \neq i, E_k \ncong E_i$ and  $k \neq j, F_k \ncong F_i$ 

Then r = s and there exists a permutatation  $\sigma \in S_r$  so that  $E_j \cong F_{\sigma(j)}$  and  $n_j = m_{\sigma(j)}$ 

Corollary: If E is a finite direct sum of simple modules, then the isomorphism class of simple components of E and multiplicities are well-defined.

Proof. We use Schur's Lemma.

We write  $\phi$  as a matrix  $(\phi_{ji}: E_i^{n_i} \to F_i^{m_j})$ 

Since  $\phi$  is injective, for all *i* there exists a *j* such that  $\phi_{ji} \neq 0$ 

Then,  $E_i \cong F_i$  by Schur's Lemma

Note that  $F_j$  are isomorphic. So, for all i, the j such that  $\phi_{ji} \neq 0$  is unique!

We also get  $\sigma: \{1, \ldots, r\} \to \{1, \ldots, s\}$  so that  $\sigma(i) = j$ Since  $\sigma^{-1}$  exists  $\sigma^{-1}$  exists, and thus r = s

Since  $\phi$  is an isomorphism, individual  $\phi_{ji}: E_i^{n_i} \to F_{\sigma(i)}^{m_{\sigma(i)}}$  are isomorphisms.

To complete the proof, we need a lemma

Lemma: Let E be simple. If  $E^n \cong E^m$  then n = m

Proof of lemma; Let  $D = \text{End}_R E$ . By Schur's Lemma, D is a division ring.

Since  $E^n \cong E^m$ , we have  $\operatorname{End}_R(E^n) \cong \operatorname{End}_R(E^m)$ 

So,  $M_n(D) \cong M_m(D)$ 

Also, isomorphism not just as rings, but also as D-modules.

Every module over a skew field is free, and the number of dimensions is the same.

So,  $n^2 = m^2 \implies n = m$ 

This finishes the proof.

### Lang XVII section 2

**Theorem 6.** Let E be an R-module. Then TFAE:

SS1: E is a sum of simple modules [so, we can write  $m \in E$  as sum of  $m_i$  but it is

SS2: E is a direct sum of simple modules [we can write as a sum, and it's unique]

SS3: Every submodule of E is a summand.

 $F \triangleleft E \iff \text{we can find } F' \text{ so that } E = F \oplus F'$ 

SS3': any monomorphism  $F \to E$  'splits'

SS3" Short exact sequence

$$0 \to F \to E \to H \to 0$$

splits.

This leads us to:

**Definition.** E is semisimple if it satisfies one of the above.

Davies: SS2 is best eg:  $R = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ 

 $E = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  is semisimple but not simple.

Because:  $E = \mathbb{R} \times 0 \oplus 0 \times \mathbb{R}$ 

# Wednesday, 9/4/2024

Recap: Semisimple modules.

**Lemma 7.** If  $E = \sum_{i \in I} E_i$  with  $E_i$  simple. Then,  $\exists J \subset I$  such that  $E = \bigoplus_{i \in J} E_i$ 

Corollary 8. SS1  $\implies$  SS2

*Proof.* Let  $J \subset I$  be maximal such that  $\sum_{i \in J} E_i = \bigoplus_{i \in J} E_i$ 

This exists by Zorn's lemma.

 $\forall i \in I - J$ , we have  $E_i \cap \bigoplus_{j \in J} E_j \neq \emptyset$  by maximality. Since  $E_i$  is simple,  $E_i \subset \bigoplus_{j \in J} E_j$ . Therefore,  $E = \bigoplus_{j \in J} E_j$ .

True of False? Every module has a maximal proper submodule. False!!! Exercise.

a) If  $M \triangleleft F$  proper and M maximal, then F/M is simple. Exercise.

- b) Find a ring R, module M which does not have proper maximal submodules.
- c) If F is a finitely generated R-module, then it is contained in a proper maximal submodule.

Proof of SS2  $\implies$  SS3. Suppose  $F \triangleleft E = \bigoplus_{i \in I} E_i$  with  $E_i$  simple. Let  $J \subset I$  be maximal such that:

$$F + \bigoplus_{j \in J} E_j = F \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in J} E_j$$

Take any  $i \in I - J$ . Then,  $E_i \cap \left[ F \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in J} E_j \right] \neq 0$  by maximality of J.

Since  $E_i$  is simple,  $E_i \subset F \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in J} E_j$ .

Since  $E_i$  is  $E_j$ .

Therefore,  $E = F \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in J} E_j$ .

$$\underbrace{j \in J}_{F'}$$

We have found F', which proves SS3.

Proof of SS3  $\implies$  SS1.

**Lemma 9.**  $0 \neq F \triangleleft E$  and E satisfies SS3. Then, there exists simple finitely generated  $S \triangleleft F$ .

 $\underline{\text{Plan}} \colon M \triangleleft F_0 \triangleleft F \triangleleft E.$ 

Then, choose  $0 \neq v \in F$ . Let  $F_0 = Rv$ .

**Exercise.** M exists. [Zorn's Lemma]

Let  $E = \sum_{\text{simple } S \triangleleft E} S$ . Then, by SS3,  $E = E_0 \oplus E_0'$ .

Lemma and definition of  $E_0$  implies:  $E'_0 = 0$ . So, E is indeed a sum of simple R-modules. We're done!

**Proposition 10** (2.2). Every quotient module and submodule of a semisimple modules is semisimple.

*Proof.* Quotients: Suppose M = E/N. We have surjective  $f : E \to M$  with E semisimple.

SS1 implies  $E = \sum_{i \in I} S_i$  with  $S_i$  simple.

Then,  $M = \sum_{i \in I} f(\bar{S}_i)$ 

Schur's lemma implies  $f(S_i)$  is either 0 or simple, so M satisfies SS1.

Submodules: Suppose  $F \triangleleft E$  with E semisimple. SS3 implies  $E = F \oplus F'$ . Thus  $E \cong E/F'$ , so it is semisimple by the quotient result.

Preview:

**Definition.** A ring R is semisimple if and only if all R-modules are semisimple. Lang defines semisimple  $\overline{\text{differently:}}$  A ring R is semisimple if it is semisimple as an R-module.

**Theorem 11** (Artin-Weddenburn Theorem). A ring is semisimple if and only if it is isomorphic to a finite product of matrix rings over division algebras:

$$R \cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \times \cdots \times M_{n_k}(D_k)$$

 $\mathbb{C}G$ ,  $\mathbb{R}G$  are semisimple. We also have the result:

**Theorem 12** (Maschke's Theorem). The group ring kG is semisimple if G is finite and k is a field of characteristic prime to G.

This also works with char k = 0. It is in fact an if and only if.

So  $\mathbb{F}_pG$  is also semisimple given  $p \nmid |G|$ 

*Proof.* Outline: let |G| = n. We will verify SS3.

Let  $F \triangleleft E$  be kG modules.

k is a field, so there exists a k-linear projection  $\pi: E \to F$  such that  $\pi(f) = f$  for  $f \in F$  [take a basis of F as a k-vector space, complete it to a basis of E].

Now, define an 'average'.

$$\pi'(e) = \frac{\sum_{g \in G} g\pi(g^{-1}e)}{n}$$

Then,  $\pi': E \to F$  is a kG-linear projection, meaning  $\pi'(ge) = g\pi'(e)$ .

Then  $E = \lim_{F} \pi' \oplus \ker_{F'} \pi'$ 

## Friday, 9/6/2024

## Lang XVII, Section 3

"Density Theorem"

Suppose R is a ring and E is a R-module. Then we have maps  $R \times E \to E$  by multiplication on the left.

**Definition** (Commutant).  $R' = R'(E) = \operatorname{End}_R(E)$  is a ring.  $\phi \in R' \iff \phi : E \to E$  such that  $\phi(re) = r\phi(e)$ . It 'commutes with E'. Note that E is also an R'-module, with  $R' \times E \to E$  given by  $(\phi, e) = \phi(e)$ .

**Definition** (Double Commutant). We can iterate on the previous definition.

$$R'' = R'(R'E) = \operatorname{End}_{R'}(E)$$

Therefore,

$$R'' = \operatorname{End}_{R'}(E) = \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{End} R(E)}(E)$$

This means,  $f \in R'' \iff f : E \to E, \forall \phi \in R', f \circ \phi = \phi \circ f$ . So, things in R'':

<u>commute</u> with things which commute with  $r \in R$ .

**Example.** Suppose  $R = \mathbb{R}$  and  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{R}' = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^n) = M_n(\mathbb{R})$$

$$\mathbb{R}'' = \operatorname{End}_{M_n(\mathbb{R})}(\mathbb{R}^n) \underset{rI}{=} \mathbb{R}$$

Suppose V = vector space.

 $V^* = \operatorname{Hom}(V, \mathbb{R})$ 

Then we have evaluation map  $ev: V \to V^*$  given by  $v \mapsto (\phi \mapsto \phi(v))$ . ev is 1-1.

ev is onto iff dim  $V < \infty$ .

With inspiration from this, we define,

**Definition** (Evaluation map).  $ev : R \to R''$  given b  $r \mapsto (e \mapsto re)$  We define  $f_r : E \to E$  given by  $f_r = ev(r)$ 

Proposition 13. a)  $f_r \in R''$ 

b) ev is a ring homomorphism.

Proof. a) 
$$f_r(\phi(e)) = r\phi(e) = \phi(re)\phi(f_r(e))$$

b) 
$$ev(r+r') = ev(r) + ev(r'), ev(1) = 1.$$
  
 $(ev(r))(ev(r'))e = ev(r)(r'e) = rr'e = ev(rr')e$ 

**Lemma 14** (3.1). Suppose E is semisimple over R,  $e \in E$  and  $f \in R''$ Then  $\exists r \in R$  such that re = f(e) [i.e. f(e) = ev(r)(e)]

*Proof.* E is semisimple, and Re is a submodule. Therefore, we can write  $E = Re \oplus F$ . Define  $\pi: E \to E$  be projection to Re.

Then 
$$\pi \in E' \implies f \circ \phi = \pi \circ f \implies f(e) = f(\pi(e)) = \pi(f(e)) = re$$
 for some  $r \in R$ .

We will prove a stronger version of this lemma called the Jacobson Density Theorem.

**Theorem 15** (3.2, Jacobson Density Theorem). Suppose E is semisimple over R  $e_1, \dots e_n \in E$ 

 $f \in R''$ 

Then,  $\exists r \in R \text{ such that } re_i = f(e_i) \forall i.$ 

Therefoe, if E is finitely generated over R', then  $R \to R''$  is onto.

*Proof.* We use a diagonal trick.

Special Case: E is simple.

Idea: Apply the lemma on E with  $\underline{\mathbf{e}} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$  and  $f^n : E^n \to E^n$  such that  $f(y_1, \dots, y_n) = (f(y_1), \dots, f(y_n))$ .

We need to check that  $f \in R'(R'(E))$  to apply it.

This would imply that  $f^n \in R'(M_nR) = R'(R'(E^n))$ 

Therefore,  $\exists r \text{ such that } r\underline{\mathbf{e}} = f^n(\underline{\mathbf{e}})$ . This finishes the proof.

For E semisimple, key idea is  $f^n \in R'(R'(E))$  as above. [Complicated for infinite sums. We avoid.]

Application:

**Theorem 16** (Burnside's Theorem). Suppose k is an algebraically closed field. Take subring R such that  $k \subset R \subset M_n(k)$ 

If  $k^n (= E)$  is a simple R-module, then prove that:

$$R = M_n(k)$$

**Exercise.** Suppose  $D_{2n}$  is the dihedral group of order 2n, aka

$$D_{2n} = \langle r, s \mid r^n = 1, s^2 = 1, srs^{-1} = r^{-1} \rangle$$

Let  $\zeta_n = e^{2\pi i/n} \in \mathbb{C}$ 

Then we can define a homomorphism  $D_{2n} \to GL_2(\mathbb{C})$  given by:

$$r \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \zeta_n & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_n^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$s \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

This gives us a ring map  $\pi : \mathbb{C}D_{2n} \to M_2\mathbb{C}$ Prove the following:

- a) Prove that  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is a simple  $\mathbb{C}D_{2n}$  module [can be done without technology]
- b) Use Burnside's theorem to show that  $\pi$  is onto.

Note that Burnside's theorem doesn't work if k is not algebraically closed. We have:

$$\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C} \subset M_2\mathbb{R}$$

since we can embed  $\mathbb{C}$  into  $M_2\mathbb{R}$ .

 $\mathbb{C}$  is a simple R module, but  $\mathbb{C} \neq M_2\mathbb{R}$ 

Proof of Burnside's Theorem. Step 1: We show that  $\operatorname{End}_R(E)=k$ 

Note that,  $k \underset{\text{central skew field}}{<} \operatorname{End}_R(E) \subset \overline{\operatorname{End}_k}(E)$ 

 $\forall \alpha \in \operatorname{End}_R(E), k(\alpha) \text{ is a field and finite dimensional } /k.$ 

Therefore,  $k(\alpha) = k$  since k is algebraically closed.

Thus,  $\alpha \in k$ . This finishes Step 1.

Step 2: We show that  $R = \operatorname{End}_k(E)$ .

 $\overline{R \subset E} \operatorname{nd}_k(E)$  by hypothesis.

Suppose  $A \in \operatorname{End}_k(E)$ . Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be a k-basis for  $E = k^n$ .

Density theorem implies:  $\exists r \in R \text{ such that } Ae_i = re_i \text{ for all } i.$ 

Therefore,  $A = r \in R$ .

## Monday, 9/9/2024

Today:

Density Theorem

Characters determine representation

Artin-Wedderburn Theorem

Homework due Monday 9/16, Exercises 1-7

Recall Jacobson Density Theorem:

If E is semisimple over  $R, e_1, \ldots, e_n \in E$  and  $f \in R''$  then,

$$\exists r \in R \text{ s.t. } f(e_i) = re_i \forall i$$

Recall that R'' is defined as follows:

$$f \in R'' \iff f : E \to E \text{ s.t. } \forall \phi \in R' = \operatorname{End}_R E, f \circ \phi = \phi \circ f$$

Also recall Burnside's Theorem:

Suppose k is an algebraically closed field, and  $k \subset R \subset M_n(k)$  are subrings If  $k^n$  is a simple R-module, then

 $R = M_n(k)$ 

### 3.7 Existence of Projection Operators

**Theorem 17.** Suppose  $E = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_m$ , simple non-isomorphic R-modules. Then, for any i, there exists  $r_i \in R$  such that,

$$r_i v = \begin{cases} v, & \text{if } v \in V_i; \\ 0, & \text{if } v \in V_j, i \neq j \end{cases}$$

So, each projection map is just multiplication.

*Proof.* This is a consequence of the density theorem.

Choose nonzero  $e_k \in V_k$ .

Let  $f = \pi_i : E \to E$  which is a projection on  $V_i$ .

Note that  $f \in R''$  since for all  $\phi \in R'$ ,  $\phi(V_k) \subset V_k$  [Schur's Lemma, non-isomorphic].

Density theorem  $\implies \exists r_i \in R \text{ such that } r_i e_k = \pi_i(e_k).$ 

Note that  $V_k = Re_k$  so  $\forall v \in V_k, v = re_k$ .

So,  $r_i v = r_i r e_k = r \pi_i(e_k) = \pi_i(r e_k) = \pi_i(v)$ 

Which is what we wanted.

### Correction to the Existence of Projection Operators

Suppose k is a field, R is a k-algebra so that R is semisimple. Suppose R-module  $E = V \oplus V'$ ,  $\dim_k E < \infty$ .

For all simple  $L \triangleleft V, \forall L' \triangleleft V'$  then  $L \cong L'$ 

Then,  $\exists r \in R$  such that for all  $e \in E$ ,

$$re = \begin{cases} e, & \text{if } e \in V; \\ 0, & \text{if } e \in V'; \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We apply density theorem. Since we have finite dimension, we have:

$$\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} = (k\text{-basis of } V) \cup (k\text{-basis of } V')$$

Let  $\pi_V : E \to E$  be the projection on V.

Then,  $\pi_V \in R''$  [the second commutant] since  $\forall \phi \in R', \phi(v) \subset V, \phi(v') \subset V'$ .

Density theorem implies  $\exists r \text{ such that } re_i = \pi_v(e_i)$ .

Then  $\forall a \in k \subset \text{center } R$ ,

$$r(ae_k) = a(re_k) = a\pi_v(e_k) = \pi_v(ae_k)$$

Therefore,  $re = \pi_v(re)$ .

Question: What is a k-algebra?

Following Atiyah-McDonald, let k be a commutative ring [often but not always a field]. Then,

R is a k-algebra  $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$  homomorphism  $h: k \to R, h(k) \subset \text{center}(R)$ 

**Example.** Any ring is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra, homomorphism sends n to  $1+1+\cdots+1$  $k \text{ field}, R \neq 0 \implies k \hookrightarrow R$ 

k-algebra  $\iff k \subset \operatorname{center}(R)$ 

Corollary 18 (3.8). Suppose char k=0, R is a k-algebra, E, F semisimple over R, finite dimensional over k.

For  $r \in R$ , let:

If  $f_r^E : E \to E$  be  $f_r^E(e) = re$   $f_r^F : F \to F \text{ be } f_r^F(f) = rf$ If  $\text{Tr}(f_r^E) = \text{Tr}(f_r^F)$  for all  $r \in R$ ,

Then  $E \cong F$  as R-modules.

*Proof.* Let V be a simple R-module.

Suppose  $E = V^n \oplus \text{direct sum of simple } R\text{-modules not isomorphic to } V$ 

 $F = V^m \oplus \text{direct sum of simple } R\text{-modules not isomorphic to } V$ 

We want to show n = m

Let  $r_v \in R$  be the projection operation from 3.7.

Then,  $\operatorname{Tr}(f_{r_v}^E) = \operatorname{Tr}(r_v \cdot : E \to E) = \dim_k V^n = n \dim_k V$ 

Similarly,  $\operatorname{Tr}(f_{r_v}^F) = m \dim_k V \implies n = m$ 

Corollary 19 (Characters determine representations). Suppose k is a field and  $\operatorname{char} k = 0$ . Let G be a finite group. Suppose:

 $\rho: G \to GL_n(k)$ 

 $\rho': G \to GL_m(k)$ 

with kG-modules  $E = k^n$  over  $\rho$  and  $F = k^m$  over  $\rho'$ 

If  $Tr(\rho(g)) = Tr(\rho'(g))$  for all g,

Then  $E \cong F$  as kG-modules.

Note that, substituting g = 1 gives us:

 $\operatorname{Tr}(\rho(1)) = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho'(1)) \implies \operatorname{Tr}(I) = \operatorname{Tr}(I) \implies n = m.$ 

**Definition** ((semi)simple rings). Note that if R is a ring, then R is a left module as well. We write RR when we're considering it as a left module, and RR when we are considering a two sided ideal.

R is called a semisimple ring if  $_{R}R$  is a semisimple R-module.

R is called a simple ring if R is a semisimple ring, and for all simple  $L, L' \triangleleft_R R \implies$  $L \cong L'$ 

This means,  $RR = \bigoplus_{i \in I} L_i$  where  $L_i$  are simple (left) ideals such that  $L_i \cong L_i$  for all

Recall that an ideal is simple if it has no proper sub-ideals.

**Example.**  $M_2(\mathbb{H})$  is a simple ring. We can write it as direct sum of two ideals

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix}$$

**Example.**  $M_2(\mathbb{H}) \times \mathbb{R}$  is semisimple.

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times 0 \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \times 0 \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times \mathbb{R}$$

Artin-Wedderburn generalizes this.

**Theorem 20** (Artin-Wedderburn Theorem). i) R simple  $\iff R \cong M_n(D)$ where D is a skew-field.

ii) R semisimple  $\iff R \cong R_1 \times \cdots \times R_s$  simple rings.

## Wednesday, 9/11/2024

Today we discuss the Artin-Wedderburn Theorem.

Exercise:  $C_2 = \{1, g\}$ , prove that  $\mathbb{Q}C_2$  is a semisimple ring.

 $\mathbb{Q}C_2 = B_1 \oplus B_2$  2-sided ideals

 $\mathbb{Q}C_2 \cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Lemma 21.** Suppose we have a ring R which is decomposed as a sum of (left) ideals:

$$_{R}R=\bigoplus_{i\in I}L_{i}\quad\text{with }L_{i}\neq0$$

Then  $|I| < \infty$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $_{R}R = \bigoplus_{j \in J} L_{j}$  where  $L_{j}$  are ideals. We want to prove that only finitely many are non-zero.

Note that,  $1 = \sum_{j \in J} e_j$ . We use only finitely many elements here, so  $1 = \sum_{i \in I} e_i$  where  $e_i \neq 0, I \subset J, |I| < \infty$ .

where 
$$e_i \neq 0, I \subset J, |I| < \infty$$
.  
For all  $r \in R$  we have  $r = r \cdot 1 = r \sum_{i \in I} e_i = \sum_{i \in I} re_i \in \sum_{i \in I} L_i$ .  
Therefore,  $RR = \bigoplus_{i \in I} L_i$  a finite sum!

Now we go to the theorem.

Proof of Artin-Wedderburn Theorem Part I. We want to prove: R simple ring  $\iff$   $R \cong M_nD$  where D is a skew field.

First, note that  $_RR\cong L^n$  where L is a simple ideal [so no proper sub-ideals]. Therefore,

$$R^{op} \cong \operatorname{End}_R({}_RR) \cong \operatorname{End}_R(L^n) \cong M_n(\underbrace{\operatorname{End}_R L}_{\text{division ring}})$$

Taking transpose,

$$R \cong M_n(\operatorname{End}_R L)^{op} \cong M_n((\operatorname{End}_R L)^{op}) = M_n(D)$$

So we are done with one direction!

The other direction is a exercise. Here are the steps:

$$\underline{\text{Step 1:}} \ M_n D = \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \cdots \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & * \end{bmatrix}$$

Step 2: Each summand is isomorphic to  $D^n = \begin{bmatrix} * \\ * \\ \vdots \\ * \end{bmatrix}$ 

Step 3:  $D^n$  is a simple module.

**Remark.** R simple  $\iff$  R artinian, R has no proper 2-sided ideals. Some definitions forgo the artinian condition, in this case these are called artinian simple rings.

**Lemma 22** (4.2). Suppose L is a simple ideal and M is a simple module so that  $L \not\cong M$ . Then LM = 0.

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Schur's lemma. Consider the map  $\phi_m: L \to M$  given by  $l \mapsto lm$  for  $m \in M$ . Since this can't be an isomorphism, it must be the zero map. Thus, lm = 0.

Proof of Artin-Wedderburn Theorem Part II. Idea: Decompose R as direct sum of simple ideals. Partition the set of simple ideals so that members of a partition are isomorphic to each other, members of a partition are not isomorphic to members of another partition. Direct sum of each partition gives us one  $R_i$ .

Suppose R is semisimple.

Let  $L_1, \dots, L_s$  be a set of pairwise non-isomorphic simple ideals [meaning  $L_i \not\cong L_j$ ] So that, for all simple  $L <_R R, L \cong L_i$  for some i.

Let  $B_i = \sum_{L \cong L_i} L$ .

Claim:  $B_i$  is a 2-sided ideal.

Proof of Claim:

$$B_i R = B_i B_i \subset R B_i = B_i$$
 is a left ideal  $B_i$ 

Thus the claim is proven.

Claim: We have a 'block decomposition of R', meaning,

Proof of Claim:

$$_{R}R_{R}=B_{1}\oplus\cdots\oplus B_{s}$$

Subclaim:  $B_i \cap \sum_{j \neq i} B_j = 0$ 

<u>Proof of Subclaim</u>: Every  $r \in R$ , we have that  $r \in L$  where L is simple.  $L \subset B_i \implies$  $L \cong L_i$ .  $L \subset \sum_{j \neq i} B_j \implies L \cong B_j$  for some  $j \neq i$  which is not possible. Now, we go back to the main proof.

We can write  $1 = e_1 + \cdots + e_s$ .

Then,  $R_i := (B_i, e_i)$  is a ring!

We have  $R \cong (R_1, e_1) \times \cdots \times (R_s, e_s)$ , so we're done.

The other direction is an exercise.

# Friday, 9/13/2024

Key idea:

$$_{R}R = L^{n} \implies \operatorname{End}_{R}R \cong M_{n}(\operatorname{End}_{R}L)$$

Note that  $R^{op} \cong \operatorname{End}_R R$  [function composition is written in the opposite direction]. Suppose  $L_1, \dots, L_s$  are non-isomorphic simple R-ideals. L simple  $\implies L \cong L_i$ .

Define  $B = \sum_{\text{simple } L \cong L_i} L \triangleleft_R R_R$ . We can prove that it is a two sided ideals.

Then we can write  $R \cong R_1 \times \cdots \times R_s$  simple, where

 $R_i = (B_i, e_i)$  [ $e_i$  is the identity in  $B_i$ ].

**Theorem 23** (4.4). Suppose E is a R-module.

$$E_i := \sum_{\substack{\text{simple } M \triangleleft E \\ M \cong L_i}} M$$

Then,  $E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} E_i$   $E_i = e_i E = B_i M$ .

Corollary 24 (4.5). If R is semisimple, M a simple R-module, then  $M \cong L_i$  for some i.

Corollary 25 (4.6). All simple modules of a simple ring are isomorphic.

$$M \cong \oplus L$$

#### External Product vs. Internal Product

**Definition** (External Product). If we have [finite] rings  $R_1, \dots, R_s$  we can construct the ring:

$$R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_s$$

**Definition** (Internal Product). 'Block Decomposition': If we have a ring R and we can write it as sum of 2 sided ideals:

$$_{R}R_{R}=B_{1}\oplus\cdots\oplus B_{s}$$

Then we have  $e_j \in B_j$  so that:

$$1 = e_1 + \dots + e_s$$

Then, each  $B_j$  has a ring structure with  $e_j$  as identity. Then,

$$R \cong (B_1, e_1) \times \cdots \times (B_s, e_s)$$

Just for clarity:

**Definition** (Direct Sum of Ideals).

$$_{R}R_{R}=B_{1}\oplus\cdots\oplus B_{s}$$

If and only if for every  $r \in R$ ,

$$r = b_1 + \cdots + b_s$$

where  $b_j \in B_j$  and the expression is unique.

<u>Jim's Rant</u>: A subring has to have the same identity. So,  $(B_j, e_j)$  is <u>not a subring</u> Block Decomposition is <u>not a direct sum of rings!</u>

This is because in category theory, sum refers to the co-product.

**Lemma 26.** Let k be a field, and let D be a skew-field which is a k-algebra such that  $\dim_k D < \infty$ . Then,

- a)  $\forall \alpha \in D$  we have  $k[\alpha]$  is a field.
- b) k algebraically closed  $\implies D = k$ .

**Example.** If  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $D = \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{H} - \mathbb{R}$  then  $k[\alpha] \cong \mathbb{C}$ .

It is not completely obvious since  $k[i+j] \cong \mathbb{C}$  as well.

*Proof.* a) D is a k-algebra. Therefore,  $k[\alpha]$  is commutative. We just need to find inverse.

Let  $0 \neq \beta \in k[\alpha]$ . It is enough to prove that for  $\beta \in k[\alpha]$ , multiplication map  $\cdot \beta : k[\alpha] \to k[\alpha]$  is bijective.

 $\cdot \beta$  is a finite dimensional linear transformation so those are true.

b) For all  $\alpha \in D$  we have:  $k[\alpha] = k$  since k is closed. So,  $\alpha \in K$ . Thus D = k.

Corollary 27. Suppose G is finite. Then,

$$\mathbb{C}G \cong \prod_{i=1}^{s} M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$$

*Proof.* Artin-Wedderburn Theorem plus the previous lemma.

**Example.** Suppose  $C_n = \langle g \rangle$  cyclic and  $\zeta_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$ . Then,  $\mathbb{Q}C_2 \cong \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{Q}_-$  where  $g \mapsto (1, -1)$ . If p is prime we can write:  $\mathbb{Q}(C_p) \cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  where  $g \mapsto (1, \zeta_p)$ .  $\mathbb{C}[C_n] \cong \mathbb{C}^n$  where:  $g \mapsto (1, \zeta_n, \cdots, \zeta_n^{n-1})$   $\mathbb{Q}[C_2 \times C_2] \cong \mathbb{Q}^4$  where:

$$(1,g) \mapsto (1,1,-1,-1)$$

$$(g,1) \mapsto (1,-1,1,-1)$$

 $\mathbb{R}[Q_8] \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}$  where  $\mathbb{R}[Q_8] \mapsto \mathbb{R}[C_2 \times C_2]$ Some other examples:  $\mathbb{Q}[C_n], \mathbb{C}[Q_8], \mathbb{Q}[D_{2n}], \mathbb{R}[D_{2n}], \mathbb{C}[D_{2n}]$ 

#### Representation Theory

Here, G is a finite group and k is a field.

Representations	Modules over $kG$	Characters
$ \rho: G \to GL(V) $ where $V$ is a finite dimensional vector space	V is a $kG$ module	$\chi: G \to k, \chi_{\rho}(g) \operatorname{Tr} \rho(g)$

Table 1: Representations, Modules and Characters

## Monday, 9/16/2024

We have:

representation  $\iff$  modules over  $kG \implies [\iff$  only if  $\operatorname{char} k = 0]$  characters.

 $\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{rep} \to kG\text{-module} \\ \rho \mapsto V_{\rho} \text{ by } (\sum_g a_g g)v \coloneqq \sum_g a_g \rho(g)v \\ \rho_v \leftarrow V \\ \rho_V(g)v \coloneqq gv \\ \text{Recall the definition of character:} \end{array}$ 

We have the trace map:

$$\operatorname{Tr}: M_n k \to k$$

Where  $\operatorname{Tr}(a_{ij}) = \sum_j a_{jj}$  [or the sum of eigenvalues] We have  $\operatorname{Tr}(AB) = \operatorname{Tr}(BA)$  which implies  $\operatorname{Tr}(PAP^{-1}) = \operatorname{Tr}(A)$ . So, Tr is basis independent. Thus,

$$\operatorname{Tr}:\operatorname{End}_kV\to k$$

**Definition** (character). Trace is an endomorphism map. This gives us:

$$G \xrightarrow{\rho} GL(V) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tr}} k$$

This is called the character of p

There's a correspondence between kG modules and Representations concepts:

Repesentations	Modules over $kG$
irreducible	simple isomorphism direct sum Hom dual tensor product

Table 2: Rep and kG-mod

#### Irreducible vs Simple

We say irreducible representation, when we on the other hand say simple modules. Same concept!

#### Isomorphism

Suppose we have two representations:

$$\rho: G \to GL(V)$$
$$\rho': G \to GL(V')$$

We say two representations are isomorphic when:

$$\rho \cong \rho' \iff V_{\rho} \stackrel{\phi}{\cong} V_{\rho} \stackrel{\phi}{\cong} V_{\rho'} \iff \exists k \text{ isomorphism s.t.}$$

$$\phi(gv) = g\phi(v)$$

 $\phi: V \to V'$  s.t.  $\forall g \in G$  we have the following commutative diagram:

$$V \xrightarrow{\rho(g)} V$$

$$\downarrow^{\phi} \qquad \downarrow^{\phi}$$

$$V' \xrightarrow{\rho'(g)} V'$$

 $\phi$  is called the intertwining map.

Corollary 28. 
$$\rho \cong \rho' \implies \chi_{\rho} = \chi_{\rho'}$$

#### Direct Sum

Suppose  $V \oplus W$  is a kG-module.

$$\rho_{V \oplus W}: G \to GL(V \oplus W)$$

is given by:

$$\rho_{V \oplus W} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_V & 0\\ 0 & \rho_W \end{bmatrix}$$

We also have  $\chi_{V \oplus W} = \chi_V + \chi_W$ .

Two Representations

**Definition** (Trivial Representations).

$$\rho:G\to GL(k)$$

$$g \mapsto 1$$

Is the trivial representation. Also,  $\chi_{\rho} \equiv 1$ .

**Definition** (Regular Representation). Consider the kG-module  ${}_{kG}kG$ . We have:

$$\rho_{kG}: G \to GL(kG)$$

This is injective.

Note that  $G \curvearrowright G$  by multiplication, this is a free action. For finite group G with |G|=n,

 $G \rightarrow \operatorname{Bijection}(G,G)$  so G is a subgroup of  $S_n$ . So we have:

regular rep. 
$$G \longrightarrow S_n \longrightarrow GL(k^n)$$

With the action of 'permuting the standard basis'.

Exercise: Compute character of Regular Representation.

We have, in line of the previous theorem:

**Theorem 29** (Maschke's Theorem). If  $V \subset W$  as kG-modules and char  $k \nmid |G|$  then  $\exists V' \text{ such that } W = V \oplus V'$ 

*Proof.* First, find a k-linear map  $\pi: W \to V$  such that  $\pi(v) = v$  for all  $v \in V$ . We average it to make it kG-linear:

 $\pi': W \to V$  given by:

$$\pi'(w) \coloneqq \frac{\sum_g g\pi(g^{-1}w)}{|G|}$$

We have:  $\pi'$  is kG-linear and  $\pi'(v) = v$ 

We can take  $V' := \ker \pi$ 

Thus, for  $w \in W$  we can write  $w = \pi'(w) + (w - \pi'(w))$ .

Note that Maschke's theorem implies kG is semisimple. Artin Wedderburn implies semisimple kG module is a direct sum of irreducible modules.

$$V \cong \bigoplus_i n_i V_i$$

$$\chi_V = \sum_i n_i \chi_i$$

Homomorphisms:

 $\overline{\text{Suppose } V, W \text{ are } kG\text{-modules, "representations"}}$ . Then,

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{kG}(V,W)$  is a k-vector space.

 $\operatorname{Hom}_k(V, W)$  is a kG-module.

we define:  $(gf)v:=gf(g^{-1}v)$ i.e.  $((\sum_g a_gg)f)v=\sum_g a_g(gf(g^{-1}v))$ 

The  $g^{-1}$  inside is needed for associativity: (g'g)f = g'(gf)

Officially this is a functor.

 $\operatorname{Hom}_k(-,-): (kG\operatorname{-mod})^{op} \times kG\operatorname{-mod} \to kG\operatorname{-mod}$ 

Special case:

Dual Representation: W = k. Then,

 $V^* = \operatorname{Hom}_k(V, k).$ 

So,  $(gf)(v) = gf(g^{-1}v) = f(g^{-1}v)$ 

Exercise:  $\chi_{V^*} = ?$ 

# Wednesday, 9/18/2024

#### Tensor Products

Motivation:

Product Structure:  $-\otimes -: kG\text{-mod } \times kG\text{-mod } \rightarrow kG\text{-mod given by } V \otimes_k W$ . Group action works diagonally,  $g(x \otimes y) = (gx) \otimes (gy)$ , extended linearly. Extension of scalars:

$$\mathbb{R}G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}G$$

Product of Groups:  $k[G \times H] = kG \otimes_k kH$ 

When for k a field then modules are vector spaces  $k^m$  and  $k^n$  which are easy:

$$k^n \otimes_k k^m = k^{nm}$$

$$\dim(k^n \otimes_k k^m) = mn$$

 $\{e_i\}$  a basis for  $k^n$ 

 $\{f_j\}$  a basis for  $k^m$ 

Then  $\{e_i \otimes f_j\}$  is a basis for  $k^n \otimes k^m$ .

However, tensor product consists of more than 'pure' tensors.

**Definition** (Tensor Product). Let R be a <u>commutative</u> ring. Tensor product is a functor:

$$-\otimes_R -: R - \operatorname{mod} \times R - \operatorname{mod} \to R - \operatorname{mod}$$

$$(A,B)\mapsto A\otimes_R B$$

[Functor meaning if we have homomorphism on the left we will have homomorphisms on the right]

#### Construction:

Let  $F(A \times B)$  be the free R-module with basis  $A \times B$ . Then a typical element of the basis is  $(a,b) \in A \times B$ .

Let S be the sub-module generated by the following:

1) 
$$(a_1 + a_2, b) - (a_1, b) - (a_2, b)$$

2) 
$$(a, b_1 + b_2) - (a, b_1) - (a, b_2)$$

3) 
$$r(a,b) - (ra,b)$$

4) 
$$r(a,b) - (a,rb)$$

Then, we define:

$$A \otimes_R B := \frac{F(A \times B)}{S}$$

and write  $a \otimes b$  for the image of (a, b).

This means, a typical element of  $A \otimes_R B$  is:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \otimes b_i \in A \otimes_R B$$

We also have the following relations:

$$(a_1 + a_2) \otimes b = a_1 \otimes b + a_2 \times b$$

$$a \otimes (b_1 + b_2) = a \otimes b_1 + a \otimes b_2$$
  
 $r(a \otimes b) = (a \otimes rb) = (ra \otimes b)$ 

Exercise.  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/3 = 0$ 

**Proposition 30.** Suppose A, B, M are R-modules, and

$$\phi: A \times B \to M$$
 is R-billinear

Meaning,

1) 
$$\phi(a_1 + a_2, b) = \phi(a_1, b) + \phi(a_2, b)$$

2) 
$$\phi(a, b_1 + b_2) = \phi(a, b_1) + \phi(a, b_2)$$

3) 
$$r\phi(a, b) = \phi(ra, b) = \phi(a, rb)$$

Then, by definition,

$$\pi: A \times B \to A \otimes_B B$$

is R-bilinear.

**Proposition 31** (Universal Property of Tensor Product).  $\pi$  is initial in the category of bilinear maps with domain  $A \times B$ . Meaning, every bilinear map from  $A \times B$  factors through  $\pi$ .

$$A \times B \xrightarrow{\forall \phi \text{ bilinear}} M$$

$$\downarrow^{\pi} \qquad \exists! \overline{\phi}$$

$$A \otimes_{B} B$$

This diagram commutes

*Proof.* For uniqueness, note that,  $\overline{\phi}(a \otimes b) = \overline{\phi}(\pi(a,b)) = \phi(a,b)$ For existence, define  $\hat{\phi}(a,b) = \phi(a,b)$  where  $\hat{\phi}: F(A \times B) \to M$ . Then  $\overline{\hat{\phi}}(S) = 0$  so  $\overline{\phi}: A \otimes_R B \to M$  exists.

Proposition 32 (Rephrasing Universal Property in Terms of Adjoint Functors).

$$\operatorname{Hom}(A \otimes B, C) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(A, \operatorname{Hom}(B, C))$$

Proof.

$$f \mapsto (a \mapsto (b \mapsto f(a \otimes b)))$$

$$(a \otimes b \mapsto g(a)b) \leftarrow g$$



**Proposition 33.** 1) Commutative  $A \otimes_R B \cong B \otimes_R A$ 

- 2) Identity  $R \otimes_R B \cong B$
- 3) Assocative  $(A \otimes B) \otimes C \cong A \otimes (B \otimes C)$
- 4) Distributive  $(\bigoplus_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}) \otimes B \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha} (A_{\alpha} \otimes B)$
- 5) Functorial  $\begin{pmatrix} f:A\to A'\\ g:B\to B' \end{pmatrix} \implies f\otimes g:A\otimes B\to A'\otimes B'$
- 6) Exactness Short Exact Sequence  $0 \to A \xrightarrow{f} B \to C \to 0 \implies$  Short Exact Sequence  $0 \to A \otimes M \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1_M} B \otimes M \to C \otimes M \to 0$
- 7) Right Exactness M R-mod, $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0 \implies$  Exact Sequence  $A \otimes M \to B \otimes M \to C \otimes M \to 0$

## Friday, 9/20/2024

### Lang Section 2

Tensor Product of Representation

Suppose V, W are k-vector spaces, then we have  $V \otimes_k W$  is also a k-vector space. But they all are kG-modules as well:

$$g(v \otimes w) = gv \otimes gw$$

**Proposition 34.** The character is multiplicative:

$$\chi_{v\otimes w} = \chi_v \chi_w$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{e_i\}$  be a basis for V and  $\{f_j\}$  a basis for w.

Suppose  $ge_i = \sum_k a_{ki}e_k$ And  $gf_j = \sum_l b_{lj}f_l$ 

Then,  $g(e_i \times f_j) = ge_i \times gf_j = \sum_{k,l} e_{ki} b_{lj} e_k \times f_l$ Take (k,l) = (i,j).

Then,  $\chi_{v \times w}(g) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ii} b_{jj} = \chi_v(g) \chi_w(g)$ 

Consider  $f: G \to k$ . We have:

 $\{1d \text{ chars}\} \subset \{\text{simple chars}\} \subset \{\text{chars}\} \subset \{\text{virtual chars}\} \subset \{\text{class functions}\}$ We explain these later.

**Definition.** f is a character if  $\exists \rho : G \to GL_k(V)$  such that  $f = \chi_{\rho} = \operatorname{Tr} \circ \rho$ 

**Definition.** f is a <u>class function</u> if  $\forall g, h \in G$  we have  $f(hgh^{-1}) = f(g)$ 

**Definition.** f is a virtual character if  $\exists \rho, \rho'$  such that  $f = \chi_{\rho} - \chi_{\rho'}$ 

**Definition.** f is simple (=irreducible) character if  $f = \chi_V$  where V is a simple kG-module.

**Definition.** f is 1-dimensional character if  $f: G \to k^{\times}$  is a homomorphism. eg trivial character  $\chi_1(g) \equiv 1$ .

**Proposition 35.** Class Functions are k-algebras. Virtual characters are a commutative ring.

Now, suppose char  $k \nmid |G|$ . Then,

$$kG \cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \times \cdots \times M_{n_s}(D_s)$$

Assume  $M_{n_1}(D_{n_1}) = k$ . Then we have the trivial representation: ga = a.

If  $L_i = D_i^{n_i}$  is a simple kG-module, then

 $\chi_i = \chi_{L_i}$  is a simple characteristics.

We have  $1 = e_1 + \cdots + e_s$  [central non-trivial idempotents].

 $\chi_i(e) = \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{Id}_{L_i}) = \dim_k L_i = n_i \dim_k D_i.$ 

**Example.** Consider  $Q_8 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{\times}$ . Then,

$$\chi_{\mathbb{H}}(e) = 4$$

Now, consider  ${}_{kG}kG \cong \bigoplus_i n_i L_i$ , the 'regular representation'.  $e_j L_i = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then,

$$\chi_i(e_i) = \chi_i(1) = \chi_i(e) = \dim_k L_i$$

So, char  $\chi: G \to k$  extends to  $\chi: kG \to k$  by  $\sum a_q g \mapsto \sum a_q \chi(g)$ . If V is a finitely generated kG-module, we have

$$V \cong m_1 L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus m_s L_s$$

where  $m_i \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 36** (2.2, 2.3).  $\chi_v = \sum_i m_i \chi_i : G \to k$  with  $m_i$  uniquely determined if char k = 0.

**Theorem 37** (2.3). Characters Determine Representations: suppose char k=0. Then,

$$V \cong V' \iff \chi_V = \chi_{V'}$$

*Proof.*  $\implies$ : Trace is independent of basis, so this is easy.

⇐=: We already gave a proof using projection operators. Second Proof:

Assume  $\chi_V = \chi_{V'}$ . We decompose:

$$V \cong \bigoplus m_i L_i, V' \cong m'_i L_i$$

Note that we have  $\chi_V(e_i) = m_i \dim_k L_i = m'_i \dim_k L_i = \chi_{V'}(e_i)$ Thus we must have  $m_i = m'_i$ .

### Representation Ring

 $R_k(G) = (\text{virtual char}, +, \times) \cong (\text{virtual rep}, \oplus, \otimes).$ Example:  $R_{\mathbb{Q}}[C_2] \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[X]}{(X^2-1)}$ 

## Monday, 9/23/2024

#### **Dual Characters**

Consider  $\rho: G \to GL_k(V)$ 

Dual  $V^* = \text{Hom}_k(V, k)$  is also a representation.

$$(g\phi)(v) = \phi(g^{-1}v)$$

Inverse because we want it to be a left module.

Claim: 
$$\rho: G \to GL(V) \to \rho^*: G \to GL(V^*)$$
  
 $\rho^*(g) = (\rho(g)^{-1})^T$ 

Proof. 
$$\rho^*(g) = (\rho(g^{-1}))^* = \rho(g^{-1})^T$$

Corollary 38. a)  $\chi_{V^*}(g) = \chi_v(g^{-1})$ 

b) 
$$\chi_{\text{Hom}(V,W)}(g) = \chi_V(g^{-1})\chi_W(g)$$

*Proof.* a follows from the claim.

b: Consider the slant homomorphism:

$$V^* \otimes W \to \operatorname{Hom}(V, W)$$

$$\sum_{i} \phi_{i} \otimes w_{i} \mapsto \left( v \mapsto \sum_{i} \phi_{i}(v) w_{i} \right)$$

It is an isomorphism since V, W are both finite dimensional.

$$\chi_{\text{Hom}(V,W)}(g) = \chi_{V^* \otimes W}(g) = \chi_{V^*}(g)\chi_W(g) = \chi_V(g^{-1})\chi_W(g)$$

#### 1 Dimensional Characters

**Definition.** 1 D representation is a homomorphism  $\rho: G \to k^{\times}$ 



Question: What are the 1d representations for  $D_6$ ?

 $\overline{D_6 \cong \mathbb{Z}/3} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$ 

So,  $D_6^{ab'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ 

So, we have  $k_T, k_-$ 

 $r \mapsto 1$ 

 $s \mapsto -1$ 

Exercise: Trivial Representation / Idempotent

$$e_T = \frac{\sum_{g \in G} g}{|G|} \in kG$$

$$e_T^2 = e_T$$

$$ge_T = e_T = e_T g$$

$$e_T \in Z(kG)$$

$$kG = (kG)e_T \oplus (kG)(1 - e_T)$$

$$kG \cong k \times \frac{kG}{\langle e_T \rangle}$$

**Lemma 39** (2). Any finite subgroup of  $k^{\times}$  is cyclic.

*Proof.* Key Fact:  $x^e - 1 \in k[x]$  has at most e roots [proof: long division].

Note:  $x^2 - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}/8[x]$  has 4 roots. This implies  $\mathbb{Z}/8$  is not a field.

Consider finite abelian  $A < k^{\times}$ 

Consider  $e = \text{exponent } A = \inf\{m \ge 1 \mid \forall a \in A, a^m = e\}$ 

Then,  $\forall a \in A, a^e - 1 = 0$ . From the key fact,  $|A| \le e \le |A|$ 

Thus, e = |A|

**Corollary 40.**  $\forall$  hom  $\rho: G \to k^{\times}, \exists$  Cyclic C such that:



Recall only finite subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $\pm 1$ .

 $1-d\ \mathbb{Q}$  reps of  $G\leftrightarrow$  trivial representation + index 2 subgroups Now we suppose k is algebraically closed, eg  $k=\mathbb{C}$ . Then,

$$kG \cong \prod_i M_{n_i}(k)$$

If G is abelian, then,

$$kG \cong k \times \cdots \times k$$

**Corollary 41** (3). k is algebraically closed and G is abelian  $\iff$  all irreducible representations are 1-dimensional.

Corollary 42. Let  $|G| = n, k = \mathbb{C}$ .

a) 
$$\forall V, \chi_V(G) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$$

b) 
$$\forall V, \chi_{V^*}(g) = \overline{\chi_V(g)}$$

c) 
$$\forall V, W, \chi_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}(g) = \overline{\chi_V(g)}\chi_W(g)$$

Proof. a) True for 1d representation from the lemma.

 $\implies$  True for G abelian (corollary 3)

 $\implies$  True for cyclic G

 $\implies$  always true:  $g \in G \implies \langle g \rangle$  cyclic.

$$\chi_{\rho}(g) = \chi_{\rho|_{\langle g \rangle}}(g)$$

Then,  $\rho: G \to GL(V)$ , consider  $g \in G$ .

Then  $\rho(g)^n = I \implies \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_V(g)) \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ .

b) Same as (a).

$$\rho^*(g) = (\rho(g)^{-1})^t$$

For 1-dim,  $\rho^* = \overline{\rho}$ .

c) 
$$\chi_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}(g) = \chi_V(g^{-1})\chi_W(g) = \overline{\chi_V(g)}\chi_W(g)$$

Two Bases for center kG

**Definition.**  $g \in G$  is conjugate to  $\sigma \in G$  if  $\exists \tau$  such that,

$$\tau q \tau^{-1} = \sigma$$

Write  $g \sim \sigma$ 

$$G = \coprod_{G/\sim} [g]$$

 $[g] = \{ \sigma \in G \mid g \sim \sigma \}$  conjugacy classes

**Proposition 43.**  $\{\sum_{\sigma \in [G]} \sigma\}_{[g] \in G/\sim}$  is a k-basis for center of kG.

*Proof.* Clearly these are linearly independent.

$$\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in G} a_{\sigma} \sigma \in \text{center}$$

$$\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in G} a_{\sigma} \sigma \in \text{center}$$

$$\iff \tau \alpha = \alpha \tau \iff \tau \alpha \tau^{-1} = \alpha$$

$$\sigma a_{\sigma} \tau \sigma \tau^{-1} = \sum a_{\sigma} \sigma \implies (g \sim \sigma \implies a_g = a_{\sigma})$$

Wednesday, 9/25/2024

Lang XVIII, 4

Two bases for Z(kG)

conjugacy classes

primitive cental idempotents [k algebraically closed]

**Exercise.**  $G \rightarrow Q$ , prove that  $kG \cong kQ \times R$ 

**Proposition 44** (4.1). Suppose  $\{\sum_{\sigma \in [g]}\}_{[g] \in G/\sim}$  form a  $\{k \}$ -basis for  $\{k \}$ 

Consider a ring R.

**Definition.**  $e \in R$  is a primitive central idempotent if:

$$e$$
 is a central idempotent  $[e^2 = e, e \in Z(R)]$ 

$$e = e' + e''$$
 with  $e', e''$  central idempotent  $\implies \{e', e''\} = \{0, e\}$ 

Then, 
$$kG \ni 1 = e_1 + \dots + e_s, kG \cong \prod M_{d_i}(D_i)$$
  
 $e_i \to (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ 

Now suppose n = |G|

We have irreducible representations  $L_1, \dots, L_s$  and degrees  $d_1, \dots, d_s$  then  $L_i \cong$  $D_i^{d_i}$ . We have irreducible characteristics  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_s$  and primitive central idempotents (p.c.i.)  $e_1, \dots, e_s$ 

Facts: (\*):  ${}_{kG}kG = \bigoplus_{i} d_{i}L_{i}$ 

$$(**): \alpha \in kG, i \neq j \text{ then } \chi_j(e_i\alpha) = 0 \text{ since } e_iL_j = 0, \chi_i(e_i\alpha) = \chi_i(1\alpha) = \chi_i(\alpha)$$

We have:  $\chi_{\text{reg}} = \sum_{i} d_i \chi_i$ 

**Proposition 45** (4.3). 
$$\chi_{\text{reg}}(g) = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } g = e; \\ 0, & \text{if } g \neq e \end{cases}$$

Proof. 
$$\chi_{\text{reg}}(g) = \text{Tr}(\cdot g : kG \to kG)$$

Thus, 
$$\chi_{\text{reg}}(e) = \text{Tr}(I) = n$$

If  $g \neq e$  note that G has  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$  and  $\rho_{reg}(g)(\sigma_j) = g\sigma_j \neq \sigma_j$  for all j. So, there is nothing in the diagonal matrix and trace is 0.

#### Motivation for k algebraically closed:

Consider  $\mathbb{Q}C_3 \cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$ . We only have primitive central idempotents,  $1 = e_1 + e_2$ . But the center has dimension 3:  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(Z(\mathbb{Q}C_3)) = 3$ .

Assume k is algebraically closed.

<u>Claim</u>: k algebriacally closed, D skew field, k < Z(D),  $\dim_k D < \infty$  implies k = DNow,  $kG \neq \prod M_{d_i}(k)$ 

Consider primitimve central idempotents  $e_1, \dots, e_s$  for a basis.

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^{s} d_i^2$$

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^{s} d_i^2$$
 e.g.  $S_3 = D_6$ .  $s = ? d_1, d_2, d_3 = ?$ 

We have representatives of conjugacy classes: (1), (12), (123).

$$s = 3, 6 = 1^2 + 1^2 + 2^2$$

Char. Table:

	(1)	(12)	(123)
$\chi_1$	1	1	1
$\chi_2$	1	-1	1
$\chi_3$	2	0	-1

Table 3: characteristic table

We have  $\mathbb{C}S_3 = \mathbb{C}_+ \times \mathbb{C}_- \times M_2\mathbb{C}$ 

Our representatives are (1), (12), (123), (1234), (12)(34)

 $d_i = 1, 1, 2, 3, 3$ 

Goal: Express the p.c.i basis in terms of conjugacy class basis.

Corollary 46 (4.2). If k is algebraically closed,

the number of conjugacy classes =  $\dim_k Z(G)$  = number of irreducible representation

**Proposition 47** (4.4). k algebraically closed, then

$$e_i = \frac{d_i}{n} \sum_{\tau \in G} \chi_i(\tau^{-1})\tau$$

1: 
$$\chi_{\text{reg}}(e_i\tau^{-1}) = \chi_{\text{reg}}(\sum a_{\sigma}\sigma\tau^{-1}) = \sum a_{\sigma}\chi_{\text{reg}}(\sigma\tau^{-1}) = a_{\tau}n$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \textit{Proof. Let } e_i = \sum_{\tau \in G} a_\tau \tau. \\ \textit{We compute } \chi_{\text{reg}}(e_i \tau^{-1}) \text{ in two ways.} \\ 1: \; \chi_{\text{reg}}(e_i \tau^{-1}) = \chi_{\text{reg}}(\sum a_\sigma \sigma \tau^{-1}) = \sum a_\sigma \chi_{\text{reg}}(\sigma \tau^{-1}) = a_\tau n \\ 2: \; \chi_{\text{reg}}(e_i \tau^{-1}) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_j d_j \chi_j(e_i \tau^{-1}) \stackrel{(**)}{=} d_i \chi_i(e_i \tau^{-1}) = d_i \chi_i(\tau^{-1}) \\ \textit{Thus, } a_\tau n = d_i \chi_i(\tau^{-1}) \implies a_\tau = \frac{d_i}{n} \chi_i(\tau^{-1}) \end{array}$$

Corollary 48 (4.5). Let  $m = \exp G$ . Then,

$$e_i \in \frac{1}{n} \left[ \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m] G \right] \subset \frac{1}{n} \left[ \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] G \right]$$

Corollary 49 (4.6). char  $k \nmid d_i$ 

*Proof.* If not, char  $k \mid d_i$  then  $e_i = 0$  which is a contradiction.

**Corollary 50** (4.7).  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_s$  are linearly independent over k. In fact they form a basis for the <u>class functions</u>  $f: G \to k$ .

Proof. Suppose 
$$0 = \sum a_i \chi_i$$
.  
Then  $0 = \sum a_i \chi_i(e_j) = a_j \chi_j(e_j) = a_j d_j \implies a_j = 0$ 

Then  $\dim_k(\text{class functions}) = \text{number of conjugacy classes} = s$ .

## Friday, 9/27/2024

Review:

$$e_i = \frac{d_i}{n} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi_i(\sigma) \sigma^{-1} \in kG \quad (*)$$

Is a primitive central idempotent.

$$\chi_{\text{reg}} = \chi_{kG} = \sum_{i} d_i \chi_i$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \sigma = 1, n = \sum_i d_i^2 \\ d_i \mid n \end{array}$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi_i(\sigma) \chi_j(\sigma^{-1}) = n \delta_{ij}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} \chi_i(\sigma) \chi_i(\tau^{-1}) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{|\sigma|}, & \text{if } \tau = \sigma; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $G = S_3$  then:

	(1)	(12)	(123)	
$\chi_1$	1	1	1	6
$\chi_1$ $\chi_2$	1	-1	1	6
$\chi_3$	2	0	-1	$\parallel 6$
	6	2	3	

Table 4: Characeristic Table of  $S_3$ 

$$0 = \chi_{\text{reg}}(123) = 1\chi_1(123) + 1\chi_2(123) + 2\chi_3(123)$$
  
$$k = \mathbb{C}, \chi(\sigma^{-1}) = \overline{\chi(\sigma)}$$

End of review

$$X(G) = \{ \text{class functions } f : G \to k \} \text{ so that } f(\tau \sigma \tau^{-1}) = f(\sigma).$$

**Definition** (Perfect Pairing). A perfect pairing of k vector space is a k-bilinear map  $\beta: V \times W \to k$  such that  $\exists$  basis  $\{v_i\}, \{w_j\}$  such that

$$\beta(v_i, w_j) = \delta_{ij}$$

$$\iff \operatorname{Ad}_b : V \to W^*$$

$$v \mapsto (w \mapsto \beta(v, w))$$

Theorem 51 (4.9).

$$X(G) \times Z(kG) \to k$$

$$(f, \alpha) \mapsto f(\alpha)$$

is a perfect pairing.

*Proof.* Dual basis:  $\left\{\frac{1}{d_i}\chi_i\right\}, \left\{e_j\right\}$ 

$$\frac{1}{d_i}\chi_i(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$$

Corollary 52 (4.8). Suppose k is algebraically closed, char k=0. Then  $d_i=0$  $\dim_K L_i \mid n$ 

We need integrality theory (M502)

See Lang p 334.

A subring of B,  $\alpha \in B$ .

 $\alpha$  is integral over A if  $\exists$  monic  $f(x) \in A[x]$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ .

 $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \implies \alpha \text{ int/} \mathbb{Z} \iff \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

Condition (\*\*):  $\alpha$  being integral is equivalent to the existence of a faithful  $A[\alpha]$ module M which is finitely generated as A-module.

Faithful means:  $\forall \beta \in A[\alpha], \beta M = 0 \iff \beta = 0.$ 

In other words,  $A[\alpha] \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{A[\alpha]}(M)$ .

Condition (\*\*)  $\iff \alpha \text{ int}/A$ . This is proved by a determinant trick. Applying (\*\*) on  $A = \mathbb{Z}, \frac{n}{d_i} \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,

Multiplying  $e_i = \frac{d_i}{n} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi_i(\sigma) \sigma^{-1} \in kG$  with  $e_i$ ,

$$e_i = e_i^2 = \frac{d_i}{n} \sum_{\sigma} \chi_i(\sigma) \sigma^{-1} e_i$$

$$\frac{n}{d_i}e_i = \sum_{\sigma} \chi_i(\sigma)\sigma^{-1}e_i$$

$$M=\mathbb{Z}\langle \zeta_n^j\sigma e_i\rangle_{j,\sigma\in G}$$
 is a  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{n}{d_i}\right]$  -module

We are done by (\*\*).  $d_i \mid n$ .

#### Orthogonality, Lang XVIII, 5, Serre 2.3

**Theorem 53.** Suppose we have  $\langle , \rangle : X(G) \times X(G) \to k$  by:

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\sigma \in G} f(\sigma) g(\sigma^{-1})$$

is a nonsingular symmetric form and  $\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_s\}$  forms an orthonormal basis.

*Proof.* Symmetric form, k-bilinear  $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle g, f \rangle$ Apply  $\chi_j$  to (\*)

$$d_i \delta_{ij} = \chi_j(e_i) = \frac{d_i}{n} \sum_{\sigma} \chi_i(\sigma) \chi_j(\sigma^{-1})$$

Remark: Irreducibility criterion:  $\langle \chi, \chi \rangle = 1 \iff \chi$  irreducible.  $(\sum_i a_i \chi_i, \sum_i a_i \chi_i) = \sum_i a_i^2$ 

**Proposition 54** (I.7, Serre p20). a)  $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \chi_i(\sigma) \chi_i(\sigma^{-1}) = \frac{n}{||\sigma||}$ 

b) 
$$[\sigma] \neq [\tau] \implies \sum_{i=1}^{s} \chi_i(\sigma) \chi_i(\tau^{-1}) = 0$$

Proof. Consier the characteristic function for  $[\sigma]$ :  $f_{\sigma} = 1$  on  $[\sigma]$  and 0 everywhere else.  $f_{\sigma} = \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \chi_{i}$ .  $\lambda_{j} = \langle f_{\sigma}, \chi_{j} \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\tau \in G} f_{\sigma}(\tau) \chi_{j}(\tau^{-1}) = \frac{|[\sigma]|}{n} \chi_{j}(\sigma^{-1})$  $f_{\sigma}(-) = \sum_{i} \frac{|[\sigma]|}{n} \chi_{i}(\sigma^{-1}) \chi_{i}(-)$ 

This finishes the proof.