Individual Report

COMP1640 - Enterprise Web Software Development

Subject Code: COMP1640

Subject Title: Enterprise Web Software Development

Student Name: YourName

Student ID: UoGID

Submission Date: dd/mm/yyyy

Contents

1. Gr	oup Information3				
2. Ev	aluation of product and process3				
2.1	Evaluation of Product3				
2.2	Evaluation of Process				
3. Ev	aluation of team3				
3.1	Person A4				
3.2	Person B4				
3.3	Person C4				
3.4	Person D4				
3.5	Person E4				
4. Se	lf-evaluation6				
4.1	My contributions6				
4.2	Reflection on my own performance6				
4.3	Lessons learnt6				
	e of Tables				
Table 1 - Project Information					
Table 2 - Team Information3					
Table 3 - Factor table4					
Table 4	- Mark of Overall Team Contribution5				
Table	e of Figures				
	L - Factor comparison5				
_	2 - Overall Team Contribution5				

1. Group Information

Table 1 - Project Information

Team Name	TeamName
Group Repository	Github, Gitlab Link, etc.
Group Board URL	Trello, Jira Link, etc.
Deployment URL	<u>www.myapp.com</u>
Front End Tech	React, Angular, Vue, JS, etc.
Back End Tech	.NET/.NET Core, Laravel, Symfony, Express/Node, etc.
Database Tech	MySQL, MongoDB, SQL Server etc.
Screen Cast URL	YouTube link

Table 2 - Team Information

Full Name	Role
Member 1	Developer
Member 2	Scrum Master
Member 3	Developer
Member 4	Developer
Member 5	Product Owner
Member 6	Developer
Member 7	Developer

Describe the role and responsibility of each member (200 – 250 words)

2. Evaluation of product and process

2.1 Evaluation of Product

Evaluative comments on the product. Include images when needed

- 3. Pros (100 150 words)
- 4. Cons (100 150 words)
- 5. Further improvements (100 150 words)

5.1 Evaluation of Process

Evaluative comments on the Scrum process in the project

- Pros (100 150 words)
- Cons (100 150 words)
- Further improvements (100 150 words)

6. Evaluation of team

A weighted scoring model of the entire team (including yourself) with own choice of criteria and weighting, supported by commentary on each individual member. Model is expected to produce a range of scores for the individual members.

6.1 Person A

Evaluative comment: 150 – 200 words

6.2 Person B

Evaluative comment: 150 – 200 words

6.3 Person C

Evaluative comment: 150 – 200 words

6.4 Person D

Evaluative comment: 150 – 200 words

6.5 Person E

Evaluative comment: 150 – 200 words

Table 3 - Factor table

		PERSON	PERSON	PERSON	PERSON	PERSON
FACTOR	WEIGHT	Α	В	С	D	E
FACTOR A	6	8	4	6	7	6
FACTOR B	9	8	5	6	7	4
FACTOR C	5	8	6	6	4	4
FACTOR D	10	4	6	7	10	10
FACTOR E	6	8	7	6	5	3
FACTOR F	2	8	7	7	5	2
FACTOR G	5	8	7	7	6	1
TOTAL	43	7.1	5.8	6.4	6.9	5.1




Figure 1 - Factor comparison

Table 4 - Mark of Overall Team Contribution

PERSON	TOTAL
PERSON A	7.1
PERSON B	5.8
PERSON C	6.4
PERSON D	6.9
PERSON E	5.1




Figure 2 - Overall Team Contribution

7. Self-evaluation

7.1 My contributions

100 – 200 words: Provide brief description about your contribution. Include some images to justify it.

7.2 Reflection on my own performance

100 – 200 words: Brief overview about your performance

7.3 Lessons learnt

100 – 200 words