

Assignment 4

David Gyarakı, Thao Le

Contents

1	Question 1	2
1.1	(i)	2
1.2	(ii)	2
1.3	(iii)	2
2	Question 2	2
2.1	(i)	2
2.2	(ii)	3
2.3	(iii)	3
3	Question 3	3
3.1	(i)	3
3.2	(ii)	4
3.3	(iii)	5
3.4	(iv)	7
3.5	(v)	7
3.6	(vi)	8
3.7	(vii)	8

```
# load packages
if(!require(pacman)){install.packages("pacman")}

p_load(devtools,tidyverse,dplyr,ggplot2,latex2exp,
       sampleSelection, quantreg, plm, nlme, knitr,car, ivreg,stargazer)
```

1 Question 1

Judge Sentences	Jones		Smith	
	Prison	Other	Prison	Other
Cases	70%	30%	40%	60%
Future arrests	40%	60%	20%	50%

1.1 (i)

We can treat the following problem as follows: Y_i is the outcome

1.2 (ii)

1.3 (iii)

2 Question 2

2.1 (i)

From what is given, we have $MDE = 0.1$, the power $p = 0.7$, the proportion of students in control group is $p = 0.5$. Assume the variance $\sigma^2 = 1$ To get the number of students the teacher should include in the experiment, we use the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
 n &= \left(\frac{t_{1-\alpha/2} - t_{1-p}}{MDE} \right)^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{p(1-p)} \\
 &= \left(\frac{1.960 + 0.524}{0.1} \right)^2 \frac{1}{0.5(1-0.5)} \\
 &\approx 2468
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Thus, the teacher should include at least 2468 students in the experiment.

2.2 (ii)

This will change the proportion of students in treatment to $p = 0.5 \times 20\% = 0.1$, using the formula in Equation (1), the number of students required to participate in the experiment is:

$$n = \left(\frac{1.960 + 0.524}{0.1} \right)^2 \frac{1}{0.1(1 - 0.9)} \approx 6856 \quad (2)$$

Thus, the number of students required to participate in the experiment increases by $6856 - 2468 = 4388$ students.

2.3 (iii)

3 Question 3

3.1 (i)

```
# Load data
dfData = read.csv("AngristEvans80.csv")
attach(dfData)

# Fraction of girls among the first born child
count_girl1 = table(dfData$SEXK)
fraction_girl1 = count_girl1[[2]] / (count_girl1[[1]] + count_girl1[[2]])

# Fraction of girls among the second born child
count_girl2 = table(dfData$SEX2ND)
fraction_girl2 = count_girl2[[2]] / (count_girl2[[1]] + count_girl2[[2]])

cat("Fraction of girls among the first born child is:
↪ ", fraction_girl1, "\n", "Fraction of girls among the second born
↪ child is: ", fraction_girl2)
```

Fraction of girls among the first born child is: 0.4876463
Fraction of girls among the second born child is: 0.4884266

```
#Regress gender of second child on gender of first child  
lm_second_first = lm(SEX2ND~SEXK, data = dfData)  
summary(lm_second_first)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = SEX2ND ~ SEXK, data = dfData)
```

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-0.4908	-0.4862	-0.4862	0.5092	0.5138

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.4861744	0.0008672	560.626	<2e-16 ***
SEXK	0.0046185	0.0012418	3.719	2e-04 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.4999 on 648470 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 2.133e-05, Adjusted R-squared: 1.979e-05
F-statistic: 13.83 on 1 and 648470 DF, p-value: 2e-04

3.2 (ii)

```
# First stage regression  
lm_first_stage = lm(CHILD3 ~ SAMESEX, data= dfData)  
summary(lm_first_stage)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = CHILD3 ~ SAMESEX, data = dfData)
```

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-0.4093	-0.4093	-0.3552	0.5907	0.6448

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.3552366	0.0008544	415.79	<2e-16 ***
SAMESEX	0.0540534	0.0012051	44.85	<2e-16 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.4852 on 648470 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.003093, Adjusted R-squared: 0.003091

F-statistic: 2012 on 1 and 648470 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

Is the instrumental variable sufficiently strong? => yes

```
# Regress number of children on whether the first two children have the  
  same gender  
lm_total = lm(KIDCOUNT ~ SAMESEX, data= dfData)  
summary(lm_total)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = KIDCOUNT ~ SAMESEX, data = dfData)
```

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-0.5752	-0.5752	-0.5040	0.4248	9.4960

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	2.504033	0.001458	1716.93	<2e-16 ***
SAMESEX	0.071200	0.002057	34.61	<2e-16 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.8283 on 648470 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.001844, Adjusted R-squared: 0.001842

F-statistic: 1198 on 1 and 648470 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

3.3 (iii)

In this study, the treatment group includes those who have a third child and the control group includes those who have two children or less. The variables that affect decision for mothers

to be assigned into treatment or control group is $Z = SAMESEX$, indicating whether the first two child are of the same sex or not.

The always takers are those who have a third child regardless of whether the first two children is of the same sex or not.

```
df_always = dfData[dfData$CHILD3 == 1 & dfData$SAMESEX == 0,]  
cat("The share of always takers is: ", nrow(df_always)/nrow(dfData))
```

The share of always takers is: 0.1766969

The compliers are those who only have a third child if the first two kids are of the same sex.

```
df_compliers1 = dfData[dfData$CHILD3 == 1 & dfData$SAMESEX == 1,]  
df_compliers0 = dfData[dfData$CHILD3 == 0 & dfData$SAMESEX == 0,]  
cat("The share of compliers is: ",  
    ↪ (nrow(df_compliers1)+nrow(df_compliers0))/nrow(dfData))
```

The share of compliers is: 0.5264159

The never takers are those who will never have the third child regardless of whether the first two children are of the same sex or not.

```
df_never = dfData[dfData$CHILD3 == 0 & dfData$SAMESEX == 1,]  
cat("The share of never takers is: ", nrow(df_never)/nrow(dfData))
```

The share of never takers is: 0.2968871

Lastly, the defiers are those who will have a third child if the first two kids are of different sexes and will not have a third child if the first two kids are of the same sex. We cannot observe this as they are divided among the always taker and never taker's group.

3.4 (iv)

```
iv_reg_hour <- ivreg(HOURSM ~ CHILD3 | SAMESEX, data = dfData)
iv_reg_income <- ivreg(INCOME1M ~ CHILD3 | SAMESEX, data = dfData)

stargazer(iv_reg_hour, iv_reg_income,
           type="text", report="vc*stp",
           keep.stat=c("n","adj.rsq"),
           title = "...") #remember to change title
```

...

Dependent variable:		
	HOURSM	INCOME1M
	(1)	(2)
CHILD3	-3.585*** (0.864) t = -4.150 p = 0.00004	-786.830*** (244.939) t = -3.212 p = 0.002
Constant	20.304*** (0.331) t = 61.311 p = 0.000	3,825.464*** (93.899) t = 40.740 p = 0.000
Observations	648,472	648,472
Adjusted R2	0.007	0.008
Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

3.5 (v)

```
# Subgroup 1: Always taker
hour1=mean(df_always$HOURSM)
income1=mean(df_always$INCOME1M)
```

```
cat("The mean working hour of always takers is: ", hour1, ", the mean
↪ income of always takers is: ",income1)
```

The mean working hour of always takers is: 17.04711 , the mean income of always takers is: 30.00000

```
# Subgroup 2: never takers
hour2=mean(df_never$HOURLSM)
income2=mean(df_never$INCOME1M)
cat("The mean working hour of never takers is: ", hour2, ", the mean
↪ income of never takers is: ",income2)
```

The mean working hour of never takers is: 20.20379 , the mean income of never takers is: 30.00000

```
# Subgroup 3: complier 1
hour3=mean(df_compliers1$HOURLSM)
income3=mean(df_compliers1$INCOME1M)
cat("The mean working hour of complier in treatment group is: ", hour3,
↪ ", the mean income of this group is: ",income3)
```

The mean working hour of complier in treatment group is: 16.8629 , the mean income of this group is: 30.00000

```
# Subgroup 4: complier 0
hour4=mean(df_compliers0$HOURLSM)
income4=mean(df_compliers0$INCOME1M)
cat("The mean working hour of complier in control group is: ", hour4, ",
↪ the mean income of this group is: ",income4)
```

The mean working hour of complier in control group is: 20.12279 , the mean income of this group is: 30.00000

To-dos: USE these means to say something about the preference of having a third child

3.6 (vi)

3.7 (vii)

First, we stratify the sample by gender of the first child:


```
df_first_girl = dfData[dfData$SEXK == 1,]
df_first_boy = dfData[dfData$SEXK == 0,]
```

(But they ask to use the first stage result?) I try to to it manually below:

```
# First stage regression
lm_1st_girl = lm(CHILD3 ~ SAMESEX, data=df_first_girl)
lm_1st_boy = lm(CHILD3 ~ SAMESEX, data=df_first_boy)
stargazer(lm_1st_girl, lm_1st_boy,
           type="text", report="vc*stp",
           keep.stat=c("n", "adj.rsq"),
           title = "...") #remember to change title
```

```
...
=====
Dependent variable:
-----
CHILD3
(1)          (2)
-----
SAMESEX      0.063***      0.046***
              (0.002)      (0.002)
              t = 36.381    t = 27.351
              p = 0.000      p = 0.000

Constant     0.355***      0.356***
              (0.001)      (0.001)
              t = 293.159    t = 294.887
              p = 0.000      p = 0.000

-----
Observations  316,225      332,247
Adjusted R2   0.004        0.002
=====
Note:          *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01
```

If the first child is a girl and first two children are of the same sex, one is more likely to have a third child.

Then, we perform instrumental variable regressions:

```

iv_reg_girl_hour <- ivreg(HOURSM ~ CHILD3 | SAMESEX, data =
  ↪ df_first_girl)
iv_reg_girl_income <- ivreg(INCOME1M ~ CHILD3 | SAMESEX, data =
  ↪ df_first_girl)
iv_reg_boy_hour <- ivreg(HOURSM ~ CHILD3 | SAMESEX, data = df_first_boy)
iv_reg_boy_income <- ivreg(INCOME1M ~ CHILD3 | SAMESEX, data =
  ↪ df_first_boy)
stargazer(iv_reg_girl_hour,
  ↪ iv_reg_girl_income, iv_reg_boy_hour, iv_reg_boy_income,
  type="text", report="vc*stp",
  keep.stat=c("n", "adj.rsq"),
  title = "...") #remember to change title

```

...

Dependent variable:				
	HOURSM	INCOME1M	HOURSM	INCOME1M
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
CHILD3	-1.104 (1.064) t = -1.037 p = 0.300	-343.922 (303.245) t = -1.134 p = 0.257	-6.695*** (1.425) t = -4.698 p = 0.00001	-1,320.535*** (400.868) t = -3.294 p = 0.001
Constant	19.409*** (0.412) t = 47.115 p = 0.000	3,676.891*** (117.357) t = 31.331 p = 0.000	21.424*** (0.541) t = 39.567 p = 0.000	4,006.674*** (152.305) t = 26.307 p = 0.000
Observations	316,225	316,225	332,247	332,247
Adjusted R2	0.004	0.005	-0.001	0.007
Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01				

Here we see that if the first child is a girl, having a third child does not significantly influence the hour and income.