

ChatGPT

Prompt:

create a prompt that tells the llm to rate a related works chapter from 1-10 on different criteria. 1 worst, 10 best.

your task is to identify such criteria and generate the assessment sheet for the llm to fill out.

for example if comprehensiveness is a criteria,

Task:

You are an academic reviewer evaluating the Related Work chapter of a research paper.

Your goal is to assess the quality of the chapter according to the criteria below.

Provide numerical ratings and short justifications for each criterion, followed by a final summary and an average score.


Comprehensiveness(1-10): your evaluation

then tell the llm to average the score and summarize his evaluation

Answer:

Got it. I'll draft a full evaluation prompt template you can use with any LLM to systematically rate a Related Works chapter. It defines clear criteria, asks for numeric ratings with justification, and then produces an averaged score plus a final summary.

Here's a ready-to-use version:

 LLM Evaluation Prompt

Task:

You are an academic reviewer evaluating the Related Work chapter of a research paper.

Your goal is to assess the quality of the chapter according to the criteria below.

For each criterion:

Provide a numerical rating (1–10), where 1 = very poor and 10 = excellent.

Add a short justification (2–3 sentences).

At the end, calculate the average score and provide a final summary (5–7 sentences) that synthesizes your evaluation.

Evaluation Criteria:

Comprehensiveness (1–10):

How well does the chapter cover the breadth of relevant literature? Are important works included?

Relevance (1–10):

Are the cited works clearly related to the research problem? Does the chapter avoid irrelevant or tangential references?

Organization & Structure (1–10):

Is the literature grouped logically (e.g., by theme, method, or chronology)? Does the flow help the reader understand the research landscape?

Critical Analysis (1–10):

Does the author go beyond summarizing papers to compare, contrast, and highlight gaps or trends?

Clarity & Readability (1–10):

Is the writing clear, concise, and accessible? Does it avoid unnecessary jargon or confusion?

Integration with Current Work (1–10):

Does the chapter connect past research to the author's own study, showing how the current work fits into or advances the field?

Citation Quality & Accuracy (1–10):

Are sources reliable, up-to-date, and cited correctly? Are key foundational and recent works included?

Final Steps:

Compute the average score across all criteria.

Write a final summary (5–7 sentences) highlighting strengths, weaknesses, and overall quality of the Related Work chapter.

👉 Would you like me to also design a table template (with columns for Criterion, Score, Justification) so the LLM's output is more structured and easy to read?

