PARSEARG: TURNS ARGPARSE ON ITS HEAD, THE DECLARATIVE WAY

THOMAS P. HARTE

Contents

1. Q	uickstart	1
1.1.	Overview	1
1.2.	Usage	1
1.3.	Same functionality underneath	2
1.4.	quickstart-*.py Listings	4
2. Sc	6	
3. M	Iore than you ever needed to know about trees	7
3.1.	Heterogeneous trees: recursive data structures	7
3.2.	Trees: flat and unflattened	8
3.3.	The A Tree and the AA Tree	10
3.4.	Some useful helper data structures	11
3.5.	Creating parsers with parsearg	16
3.6.	Reverse-definition tree: Creating a tree from its leaf nodes	17
4. Worked Examples		21
4.1.	The A-tree	21
4.2.	The A-AA tree	23
4.3.	Let's build the interface for a TO-DO app	33

1. Quickstart

1.1. **Overview.** parsearg is a Python package for writing command-line interfaces ("CLI") that augments (rather than replaces) the standard Python module for writing CLIs, argparse. There is nothing wrong with argparse: It's fine in terms of the *functionality* that it provides, but it can be clunky to use, especially when a program's structure has subcommands, or nested subcommands (*i.e.* subcommands that have subcommands). Moreover, because of the imperative nature of argparse, it makes it hard to understand how a program's interface is structured (*viz.* the program's "view").

parsearg puts a layer on top of argparse that makes writing a CLI easy: You declare your view with a dict, so that the view is a data structure (*i.e.* pure configuration). The data structure declares the *intent* of the CLI and you no longer have to instruct argparse on how to put the CLI together: parsearg does that for you. In this respect, parsearg turns argparse on its head, in the sense that it replaces imperative instructions with declarative data.

1.2. **Usage.** Suppose we wish to create a program—quickstart-todos.py—to manage the TO-DOs of a set of different users. We want quickstart-todos.py to have subprograms. For example, we want to create a user with the command

python quickstart-todos.py create user

or we want to create a TO-DO for a particular user with the command

```
python quickstart-todos.py create todo
```

We also want to add optional parameters to each subprogram, such as the user's email and phone number, or the TO-DO's due date. The program's CLI should look like the following, where the "flags" are optional inputs (*e.g.* --email):

```
python quickstart-todos.py create user Bob --email=bob@email.com --phone=+1-212-555-1234 python quickstart-todos.py create todo Bob 'taxes' --due-date=2021-05-17
```

If we use argparse, adding the subprogram create means having to explicitly instruct the parser to add a subparser—ditto for its subprograms user and todo (see Listing 1). With parsearg, the CLI is declared within a dict whose keys specify the tree of subprograms. Moreover, the callback associated with each subcommand is explicitly linked to the declaration of each node in the tree (see Listing 2).

The output of both listings at the end of this section is identical:

• Listing 1 (using argparse) produces:

```
python quickstart-todos-argparse.py create user Bob --email=bob@email.com --phone=212-555-1234 python quickstart-todos-argparse.py create todo Bob 'taxes' --due-date=2021-05-17
```

```
created user: 'Bob' (email: bob@email.com, phone: 212-555-1234) created TO-DO for user 'Bob': taxes (due: 2021-05-17)
```

• Listing 2 (using parsearg) produces:

```
python quickstart-todos.py create user Bob --email=bob@email.com --phone=212-555-1234
python quickstart-todos.py create todo Bob 'taxes' --due-date=2021-05-17
```

```
created user: 'Bob' (email: bob@email.com, phone: 212-555-1234) created TO-DO for user 'Bob': taxes (due: 2021-05-17)
```

Comparing Listing 1 (built with argparse) and Listing 2 (built with parsearg), it is apparent that parsearg affords:

- (1) the CLI to be declared as pure data, and not constructed with imperative specifications;
- (2) the callback to be declared with the data, thus co-locating the callback with the position of its specification in the tree of subprograms;
- (3) the nodes within the tree of subprograms to be specified with an intuitive syntax (e.g. create|user), which means that the hierarchy of the tree of subprograms can be easily altered.

A fully-worked version of the TO-DO example is presented later in the docs.

1.3. **Same functionality underneath.** Because parsearg is built on top of argparse, all the usual features are available, such as the extensive help features (essentially making the CLI self-documenting):

```
python quickstart-todos.py --help
```

```
usage: quickstart-todos.py [-h] {create} ...

positional arguments:
    {create}

optional arguments:
    -h, --help show this help message and exit
```

python quickstart-todos.py create todo --help

show this help message and exit

create user's email address

create user's phone number

optional arguments:
-h, --help

-e EMAIL, --email EMAIL

-p PHONE, --phone PHONE

1.4. quickstart-*.py Listings.

```
import sys
 1
        import argparse
 2
 3
        def create_user(args):
 4
 5
             print(f'created user: {args.name!r} (email: {args.email}, phone: {args.phone})')
 6
        def create_todo(args):
             print(f'created TO-DO for user {args.user!r}: {args.title} (due: {args.due_date})')
 8
 9
10
        def make_parser():
11
             \ensuremath{\text{\#}} Imperative instructions are required to construct the CLI
12
              parser
                                     = argparse.ArgumentParser()
13
              subparsers
                                    = parser.add_subparsers()
14
                         = subparsers.add_parser('create')
15
              create
16
             create_subparsers = create.add_subparsers()
17
18
                                     = create_subparsers.add_parser('user')
19
             todo
                                     = create_subparsers.add_parser('todo')
20
              user.add_argument("name", help="create user name", type=str, action="store")
user.add_argument("-e", "--email", help="create user's email address", type=str, action="store", default='')
user.add_argument("-p", "--phone", help="create user's phone number", type=str, action="store", default='')
21
22
23
24
              user.set_defaults(callback=create_user)
25
              todo.add_argument("user", help="user name for TO-DO", type=str, action="store")
todo.add_argument("title", help="title of TO-DO", type=str, action="store")
todo.add_argument("-d", "--due-date", help="due date for the TO-DO", type=str, action="store", default=None)
28
29
              todo.set_defaults(callback=create_todo)
30
31
             return parser
32
33
         def main(args):
34
             parser = make_parser()
                      = parser.parse_args(args)
35
              result = ns.callback(ns)
36
37
38
        if __name__ == "__main__"
              args = sys.argv[1:] if len(sys.argv) > 1 else []
39
              main(args)
```

Listing 1: quickstart-todos-argparse.py (using argparse): the parser must be specified with imperative instructions

```
import sys
         from parsearg import ParseArg
 2
 3
         def create_user(args):
 4
              print(f'created user: {args.name!r} (email: {args.email}, phone: {args.phone})')
 5
 6
        def create_todo(args):
              print(f'created TO-DO for user {args.user!r}: {args.title} (due: {args.due_date})')
 8
 9
        # the CLI "view" comprises pure data:
10
              the parser is fully specified by this view - no imperative instructions are required
11
         view = {
12
               'create|user': {
13
                    'callback': create_user,
'name': {'help': 'create_user,
14
                    'name': {'help': 'create user name', 'type': str, 'action': 'store'},

'-e|--email': {'help': "create user's email address", 'type': str, 'action': 'store', 'default': ''},

'-p|--phone': {'help': "create user's phone number", 'type': str, 'action': 'store', 'default': ''},
15
16
17
18
               'create|todo': {
19
20
                     'callback': create_todo,
                    'user': {'help': 'user name', 'type': str, 'action': 'store'},

'title': {'help': 'title of TO-DO', 'type': str, 'action': 'store'},

'-d|--due-date': {'help': 'due date for the TO-DO', 'type': str, 'action': 'store', 'default': None},
21
22
23
24
25
        }
26
27
         def main(args):
              parser = ParseArg(d=view)
28
29
                      = parser.parse_args(args)
30
              result = ns.callback(ns)
31
32
         if __name__ == "__main__":
              args = sys.argv[1:] if len(sys.argv) > 1 else []
main(' '.join(args))
33
```

Listing 2: quickstart-todos.py (using parsearg): the parser is specified with data only—it is declarative

TODO:

```
Add a regression test for:

main(' '.join(args))

versus

main(args)
```

where the latter is a list, rather than a string.

2. Some philosophy

The "standard" Python module for writing command-line interfaces ("CLI") is argparse. It is standard in so far as it is one of the batteries that comes included with the Python distribution, so no special installation is required. Probably because argparse is a bit clunky to use, many other (non-standard) packages have been developed for creating CLIs.

Why "clunky"? Putting together a CLI with argparse alone is nothing if not an exercise in imperative programming, and this has negative consequences:

- (1) It obfuscates the intention of the CLI design;
- (2) It is prone to errors;
- (3) It discourages CLI design in the first instance;
- (4) It makes debugging a CLI design very difficult; and
- (5) It makes refactoring or re-configuring the CLI design overly burdensome.

In spite of this clunkiness, argparse has everything we need in terms of functionality. parsearg, then, is nothing more than a layer over argparse that exposes the argparse functionality via a dict. The dict is the View component of the Model-View-Controller ("MVC") design pattern. The dict embeds callbacks from the Controller component, thereby achieving a clean separation of duties, which is what the MVC pattern calls for. By separating the View component into a dict, the CLI design can be expressed in a declarative way: parsearg manifests the *intention* of the CLI design without having to specify how that design is implemented in terms of argparse's parsers and subparsers (parsearg does that for you).

Other packages—such as click and plac—effectively decorate functions that are part of the Controller with functionality from the View. Unfortunately, while this may expose the functionality of argparse in a more friendly way via the packages' decorators, it dissipates the elements of the View across the Controller and in so doing it makes the CLI design difficult to grasp.

The parsearg philosophy is that argparse is already good enough in terms of the functionality that it provides, but that it just needs a little nudge in terms of how it's used. Arguments to be added to a CLI with argparse can be clearly specified as data, as can the callbacks that consume these arguments. parsearg takes advantage of this by specifying everything (in the View component of MVC) as a dict, from which parsearg then generates a parser (or set of nested parsers) using argparse. The Controller is then free to use the generated parser.

The parsearg approach is declarative because it manifests the CLI design in a data structure: a dict (which is one of Python's built-ins). The keys of this dict, for example A|B|C, represent nodes in the tree of subprograms. The keys are easy to specify and neatly summarize the nested hierarchy of subcommands: A -> B -> C. The order of the keys is also easy to change. The keys of this dict are used to specify a *flattened tree* (*i.e.* the leaf nodes) of the CLI's hierarchy of subcommands. The magic of parsearg is therefore this:

It unflattens this flattened tree into a tree of argparse parsers.

parsearg requires nothing special: It works with Python out of the box, thus using what's already available without introducing dependencies.

Simple? The following sections should help to peel the onion.



- 3. More than you ever needed to know about trees
- 3.1. **Heterogeneous trees: recursive data structures.** Trees are recursive data structures. Any node of a tree is identified by a *value* and an associated set of *child trees*, thus establishing the recursion.

Definition 3.1. *An heterogeneous tree is either:*

- (1) a value and a set of child trees; or,
- (2) the empty tree.

Remark 3.1. The value is also known as a "node", or "name"; its set of child trees (also known as the "children" of the value/node/name) is possibly empty.

Remark 3.2. The value/node/name is referred to as the "parent" of its children.

Remark 3.3. The children of a unique parent tree are termed "siblings", though they are not necessarily aware of each other.

Remark 3.4. The empty tree has no value/node/name and has an empty set of children.

Remark 3.5. The definition implies that a parent tree "points" to its children, in so far as the parent is necessarily aware of the children it contains, whereas its children are not necessarily aware of their parent, nor of each other. We will therefore regard Definition 3.1 as the "top-down" definition.

In Python, we represent the value/node/name and its set of children as the tuple:

```
(value, {e1, e2, ....}),
```

where {e1, e2, ...} is the set of children containing elements e1, e2, and so on, and each element of the set is itself a tree. The empty tree is represented by

```
(None, {None}),
```

where None represents no value, and {None} is the empty set of children. \(^1\)

QUESTION:

```
Is (value, {(None, {})}) equivalent to (value, {})?
```

This would mean that the representation of an empty tree, viz. (None, $\{\}$), is perfectly equivalent to the null set \emptyset , *i.e.* (value, $\{$ (None, $\{\}$) $\}$) is equivalent to (value, $\{\emptyset\}$). But this requires a little mental gymnastics: Isn't the *tuple* (None, $\{\}$) equivalent to $(\emptyset, \{\emptyset\})$, which is *not* the same as $\{\emptyset\} \equiv \{\}$, *i.e.* a *tuple* containing a null value and the null set is not the same as the null set itself?

This type of tree is termed *heterogeneous* because the cardinality of the set of child trees for each value can vary. Contrast with an n-ary tree, which has the same number of children at each node (e.g. two for a binary tree, three for a ternary tree, and in general n for an an n-ary tree).

Nothing in the definition precludes the possibility of a child tree's value from containing an identical value to that of its parent. Thus,

```
(value, {e1, (value, {e1})),
```

is perfectly valid. The requirement does explicitly require each of node's child trees to be unique, in so far as they are members of a *set*.

¹Python has a quirk where {} is of type dict, whereas {None} is of type set. Thus, the "empty set" is properly represented by {None} and not {}.

Definition 3.2. A terminating (or "leaf") node is:

a tree whose set of child trees is empty.

A leaf node is represented by (value, {}). Note that the empty tree, (None, {}), is also a valid leaf node.

We can define an heterogeneous tree in reverse, *viz*. instead of starting with a parent and recursively defining the tree through its children, we can start with a terminating node and recursively define the tree by identifying each node's parent.

This definition yields the flip side of the "top-down" definition, above.

Definition 3.3. *An heterogeneous tree is either:*

- (1) a set of sibling trees sharing a common parent value/node/name; or,
- (2) the empty tree.

The set of sibling trees that shares a common parent is possibly empty. What is the parent of an empty set (*i.e.* that contains no trees)? It is the same as any other tree: It must point to its parent. Optionally, siblings may point to each other. Further still, a child node may point to all of its ancestors (its parent's parent, and so on).

In Python, we represent the "reverse tree" as.

TODO:

Insert appropriate representation here.

Remark 3.6. Definition 3.3 implies that a child tree points to its parent, which means that the child must be able to uniquely identify the parent tree in which it is contained. Because a parent contains its children, a parent must be aware of its children, so it is not strictly the reverse of the "top-down" definition. Nonetheless, we'll refer to Definition 3.3 as the "bottom-up" definition.

3.2. **Trees: flat and unflattened.** While it's possible to create a "longhand" version of the A tree using the Tree class that comes with the parsearg package, it is cumbersome to use and—more specifically—it can easily obfuscate the tree structure itself when the node names and payloads are in any way complex.²

```
B BB BBB
5
                 c cc ccc
6
8
         from parsearg.data_structures import Tree, Node, Key
9
10
         tree = Tree('A', children=[
11
              Tree('B', []),
Tree('BB', children=[
    Tree('C', []),
    Tree('CC', []),
    Tree('CCC', [])
12
13
14
15
16
17
               1).
18
               Tree('BBB', []),
         1)
19
20
         print(tree.show(quiet=True))
```

²For clarity the nodes' payloads, *i.e.* the nodes' values, are omitted here.

```
A B BB C C CCC BBB
```

We need a "shorthand" for constructing trees. To this end, parsearg uses a set of pipe-delimited strings:

```
# from a import view
from examples.a import view
print(['root'] + list(view.keys()))
```

```
['root', 'A', 'A|B', 'A|BB', 'A|BB|C', 'A|BB|CC', 'A|BB|CCC', 'A|BBB']
```

This representation can be considered to be a "flattened" version of the A Tree:

```
print(
    ParseArg.to_tree(view, root_name='root').show(quiet=True)
)
```

```
root
A
BBB
BB
C
C
CC
CCC
B
```

Each string in this "flat tree" (or "flattened tree") represents a node in the tree and uniquely names that node. The singular constraint on the tree's structure is that each node's children must contain a unique set of node names. If this were not the case, then the tree's structure would be indeterminate. In point of fact, the example of the A-AA Tree illustrates that the structure of the A Tree can be duplicated: only the root node names of each sub-tree (A and AA, respectively) need to be unique.

Leaf nodes are terminating nodes in the tree's hierarchy (*i.e.* nodes that have no children). In the above, the terminating nodes are easily discerned visually within the tree's hierarchy:

```
print( ParseArg.to_tree(view, root_name='root').show(quiet=True) )
```

```
root

A

BBB

BB

C

CC

CCC

B
```

Thus, the terminating nodes are:

```
'A|B'
'A|BBB'
'A|BB|C'
'A|BB|CCC'
```

while the non-terminating nodes are:

```
'A'
'A|BB'
```

The separator in each key (here, a pipe |) separates one level of the tree from the next level down. It is this delimited-string representation that allows us to easily specify a tree. However, taking advantage of a tree as a


data structure requires working with a tree, not a flattened version of it. In other words, we have a flattened-tree which is shorthand to specify the tree, but we need to unflatten this shorthand to create the tree data structure in order for it to be useful.

There is a duality here:

- (1) we need a shorthand in order for the tree's structure to be specified in a simple way (the "flattened" shorthand); and
- (2) we need to make use of the structure of the tree itself (the "unflattened" tree).

Thus, parsearg transforms a dict, representing the tree's nodes, into a Tree. The keys of the dict are shorthand for the tree's nodes and the values of the dict are the nodes' payloads. We need the shorthand of the dict for ease of specification, and we need the Tree to avail of the data structure's properties.

- 3.3. **The A Tree and the AA Tree.** In order to further explain how parsearg works, we will make repeated use two simple abstractions, based on nested trees (or "sub-trees"):
 - (1) The "A Tree", and
 - (2) The "A-AA Tree".
- 3.3.1. *The A Tree*. We have already seen the A Tree. It has three levels.




Let's take a very brief look at the Python code for a.py. Consider the dict that represents the View component. The tree that the parsed dict is represented by is given as:

```
from examples.a import view
print(
    ParseArg(d=view, root_name='root').tree.show(quiet=True)
)
```

```
root
A
BBB
BB
C
C
CC
CCC
B
```

3.3.2. *The A-AA Tree*. The A-AA Tree simply extends the A Tree one level and replicates the A Tree's structure in a sub-tree with root name "AA":



Let's take a brief look at the Python code for a_aa.py. Consider the dict that represents the View component: and then the tree that the parsed dict is represented by:

```
from examples.a_aa import view

print(
ParseArg(d=view, root_name='TODO').tree.show(quiet=True)
)
```

```
TODO

AA

BBB
BB
CC
CC
CCC
BB

A

BBB
BB
CC
CC
CCC
BB
```

3.4. **Some useful helper data structures.** parsearg provides three core classes:

```
from parsearg.data_structures import Key, Node, Tree
```

Both Key and Node help to marshall keys and their payloads when unflattening a flat tree structure. The Tree class represents the actual tree.

Key and Node commandeer the data in the dict in the View layer. Their principle use is in unflattening the View dict by left-shifting the keys that represent the nodes of the tree. The basic algorithm for rendering an unflattened tree from a flat tree follows the logic of splitting the key (*i.e.* the str representing the key)

```
# 1. take key
key = 'A|B|C'
```

```
# 2. split string
sep = '|'
2
      print( Key.split(key) )
       ['A', '|', 'B', '|', 'C']
      # 3. unflatten the split key (i.e. create a nested list)
      unflattened = Key.unflatten(Key.split(key))
      print(unflattened)
       ['A', ['B', ['C']]]
      # 4. create a Node from the unflattened list
      node = Node.from_nested_list(unflattened)
      print(node)
       ('A', ['B', ['C']])
      # 5. the node can now be shifted until the empty node is reached
      print(node << 1)</pre>
      print(node << 2)</pre>
      print(node << 3)</pre>
       ('B', ['C'])
('C', [])
       (None, [])
```

Note that the key, above, can be directly transformed into a Node:

```
print( key )
print( Key.to_node(key) )

A|B|C
('A', ['B', ['C']])
```

A Node is really a convenient way to view the key. Shifting the Node until it is empty provides a convenient way to determine when a leaf node has been reached:

```
n = node
i = 0
while not n.is_empty():
    i += 1
    n = node << i
print(i)
print(node << i)</pre>
```

```
3
(None, [])
```

A Key just keeps track of the node's payload and the key itself while this iteration is performed over all nodes at a particular level of the flat tree.

3.4.1. The Key class. First, parsearg defines a Key class. Take a key 'A|BB|C' that represents a leaf node:

```
1
2
3
4
```

```
from parsearg.data_structures import Tree, Node, Key
key = 'A|BB|C'
print( Key(key) )
```

```
'A|BB|C': ('A', ['BB', ['C']])
```

The Key stores the following:

(1) the key:

```
print( Key(key).key )
```

```
A|BB|C
```

(2) the dict assocated with the key (if any):

```
payload = 3.141593
d = {key: payload}
print( Key(key, d=d).d )
```

```
{'A|BB|C': 3.141593}
```

(3) the Node, which is a representation of the key's delimited string as a nested list:

```
print( Key(key).value )
print( type(Key(key).value) )
```

```
('A', ['BB', ['C']])
<class 'parsearg.data_structures.Node'>
```

(4) the payload (*i.e.* the value of the dict, as above):

```
payload = 3.141593
d = {key: payload}
print( Key(key, d).payload )
```

```
3.141593
```

A Key can be shifted:

```
print( Key(key) )

# same thing:
print( Key(key) << 0 )

# real shifts:
print( Key(key) << 1 )
print( Key(key) << 2 )
print( Key(key) << 3 )

# same thing:
print( Key(key) << 4 )</pre>
```

```
'A|BB|C': ('A', ['BB', ['C']])
'A|BB|C': ('A', ['BB', ['C']])
'A|BB|C': ('BB', ['C'])
'A|BB|C': ('C', [])
'A|BB|C': (None, [])
'A|BB|C': (None, [])
```

but the key is preserved regardless of the shift value:

```
print( (Key(key) << 0).key )
print( (Key(key) << 1).key )
print( (Key(key) << 2).key )
print( (Key(key) << 3).key )</pre>
```

```
A|BB|C
A|BB|C
A|BB|C
A|BB|C
```

- 3.4.2. *The Node class*. A Node is a tuple that has a head and a tail:
 - (1) the head is the name of the node, and
 - (2) the tail is a nested list containing the remainder of the leaf node's specification.

For example:

```
print( Key(key).value )
print( Key(key).value.head() )
print( Key(key).value.tail() )
# use a Node to construct the ~value~ part:
print( Node(head='A', tail=['BB', ['C']]) )
```

```
('A', ['BB', ['C']])
A
['BB', ['C']]
('A', ['BB', ['C']])
```

A Node, upon which the Key is based, can also be shifted:

```
node = Node(head='A', tail=['B', ['C']])
print( node )

# same thing:
print( node << 0 )
print( node << 1 )
print( node << 2 )
print( node << 3 )
# same thing:
print( node << 4 )</pre>
```

```
('A', ['B', ['C']])
('A', ['B', ['C']])
('B', ['C'])
('C', [])
(None, [])
(None, [])
```

You can build a Node from a nested list:

```
('A', ['B', ['C']])
```

```
print( Node.from_nested_list(['A', ['B', ['C']]]) )
print( Node.from_nested_list(['A']) )
```

```
('A', ['B', ['C']])
('A', [])
```

3.4.3. *Putting it together*. Let's say we have the following View:

```
'arg1':
    {}
    'arg2':
    {}
    'arg3':
    {}
'A|B':
    'arg1':
    {}
    'arg2':
    {}
    'arg3':
    {}
'A|B|C':
    'arg1':
    {}
    'arg2':
    {}
    'arg3':
    {}
```

We can gather the keys as Key objects:

```
keys = list(map(lambda key: Key(key), list(d.keys())))
for key in keys: print(key)
```

```
'A': ('A', [])
'A|B': ('A', ['B'])
'A|B|C': ('A', ['B', ['C']])
```

We can gather the leaves (there's only one leaf node: A):

```
leaves = list(filter(lambda key: key.is_leaf(), keys))
for leaf in leaves: print(leaf)
```

```
'A': ('A', [])
```

We can shift the keys and then gather the leaves (there's only one leaf node: A|B):

```
leaves = list(filter(lambda key: (key << 1).is_leaf(), keys))
for leaf in leaves: print(leaf)</pre>
```

```
'A|B': ('A', ['B'])
```

We can shift the keys and then gather the leaves (there's only one leaf node: A|B|C):

```
leaves = list(filter(lambda key: (key << 2).is_leaf(), keys))
for leaf in leaves: print(leaf)</pre>
```

```
'A|B|C': ('A', ['B', ['C']])
```

Note how the Key object preserves the key A|B|C even when the associated Node object is left-shifted:

```
node = leaves[0]
print( (node << 1).key )
print( (node << 2).key )
print( (node << 3).key )
print( (node << 3).key )
print( (node << 3) )</pre>
```

```
A|B|C
A|B|C
A|B|C
'A|B|C': (None, [])
```

3.4.4. *The Tree class*. As illustrated above a tree can be constructed directly with the Tree class. For example the A Tree is:

```
A

B
BB
C
C
CC
BBB
```

The Tree class has a nested class called Value, which stores a node's value. The Value constructor checks that everything is in sync, as the following shows:

```
key = 'A|BB|C'
payload = 3.141593
d = {key: payload}

value = Tree.Value(name='C', key=key, d=d)

print( value )
print( value.key )
print( value.name )
print( value.payload )
```

```
C
A|BB|C
C
3.141593
```

- 3.5. Creating parsers with parsearg. parsearg creates parsers in two steps:
 - (1) transform the View dict into a Tree;
 - (2) create a parser—and subparsers—using the Tree.

There is nothing specific to parsers in the first step, so this forms a generic pattern: transforming a View into a tree. The second step is specific to creating command-line parsers: It traverses the tree structure created in the first step and uses it to create a tree structure of parsers.

Consider Step 1. Any dict that conforms to the shorthand notation for representing nodes (*i.e.* a flat tree) can be converted to a Tree using parsearg.parser.ParseArg.to_tree. Take the View dict from Section 1.2:

```
def create_user(x): return x
 2
          def create_todo(x): return x
 3
           view = {
                  'create|user': {
 5
 6
                         'callback': create_user,
                        'name': {'help': 'create user name', 'action': 'store'},
'-e|--email': {'help': "create user's email address", 'action': 'store', 'default': ''},
'-p|--phone': {'help': "create user's phone number", 'action': 'store', 'default': ''},
 8
10
                  create|todo': {
    'callback':
11
                                             create_todo,
12
                        'user': {'help': 'user name', 'action': 'store'},
'title': {'help': 'title of TO-DO', 'action': 'store'},
'-d|--due-date': {'help': 'due date for the TO-DO', 'action': 'store', 'default': None},
13
14
15
                 }.
16
17
          }
18
19
          from parsearg import ParseArg
20
21
22
           tree = ParseArg.to_tree(d=view)
          tree.show()
```

```
root
create
todo
user
```

Now consider Step 2. This is specific to building argparse subparsers:

```
args = 'create user Harry -e harry@hogworts.edu -p 212-456-1234'.split()

import argparse
parser = argparse.ArgumentParser(add_help=True)
ParseArg.make_subparsers(tree, parser)

# parser.parse_args returns an argparse.Namespace
print( parser.parse_args(args) )
```

```
Namespace(name='Harry', email='harry@hogworts.edu', phone='212-456-1234', callback=<function create_user at 0x7fb8516ed310>)
```

The ParseArg constructor abstracts this process and wraps the calls to argparse methods:

```
parser = ParseArg(d=view)
print( parser.parse_args(args) )
```

```
Namespace(name='Harry', email='harry@hogworts.edu', phone='212-456-1234', callback=<function create_user at 0x7fb8516ed310>)
```

3.6. Reverse-definition tree: Creating a tree from its leaf nodes.

TODO:

This section is incomplete.

```
from examples.a_aa import view
sep = '|'

k = list(map(lambda x: x.split(sep), view.keys()))
for e in k: print(e)
```

2

```
['A', 'B']
['A', 'BB']
['A', 'BB', 'CC']
['A', 'BB', 'CCC']
['A', 'BB', 'CCC']
['A', 'BBB']
['AA']
['AA', 'BB']
['AA', 'BB']
['AA', 'BB']
['AA', 'BB', 'CC']
['AA', 'BB', 'CC']
['AA', 'BB', 'CCC']
['AA', 'BB', 'CCC']
```

```
n = list(map(len, k))
for key, depth, lkey in zip(view.keys(), n, k): print(f'({key}:\t{depth}, {lkey})')
```

```
(1, ['A'])
(1, ['A'])
(2, ['A', 'BB'])
(2, ['A', 'BB', 'C'])
(3, ['A', 'BB', 'CC'])
(3, ['A', 'BB', 'CCC'])
(2, ['A', 'BBB'])
(1, ['AA'])
                                                      # Tree('BB', [Tree('C'), Tree('CC')])
(2, ['AA', 'BBB'])
(1, ['AA'])
(2, ['AA', 'BB'])
(2, ['AA', 'BB'])
(3, ['AA', 'BB', 'C'])
(3, ['AA', 'BB', 'CC'])
(3, ['AA', 'BB', 'CCC'])
(2, ['AA', 'BBB'])
                                                      # Tree('AA', [Tree('BB', [Tree('C'), Tree('CC'), Tree('CC')])])
 (1, ['A'])
(1, ['A'])
(2, ['A', 'B'])
(2, ['A', 'BB'])
(3, ['A', 'BB', 'C'])
(3, ['A', 'BB', 'CCC'])
(2, ['A', 'BB'])
(1, ['AA'])
                                                      # Tree('AA', [Tree('BB', [Tree('C'), Tree('CC')])])
 (1, ['AA'])
(1, ['AA'])
(2, ['AA', 'B'])
(3, ['AA', 'BB'])
(3, ['AA', 'BB', 'C'])
(3, ['AA', 'BB', 'CC'])
(3, ['AA', 'BB', 'CCC'])
(2, ['AA', 'BBB'])
                                                      # Tree('AA', [Tree('BB', [Tree('C'), Tree('CC')])])
# Tree('A', [Tree('BB', [Tree('C'), Tree('CC'), Tree('CC')]])
# Tree('AA', [Tree('BB', [Tree('C'), Tree('CC'), Tree('CC')]])
(1, ['A'])
(2, ['A', 'B'])
(2, ['A', 'BB'])
(2, ['A', 'BBB'])
 (1, ['AA'])
(2, ['AA', 'B'])
(2, ['AA', 'BB'])
(2, ['AA', 'BBB'])
```

```
import pandas as pd
from examples.a_aa import view
sep = '|'

keys = list(view.keys())
skeys = list(map(lambda x: x.split(sep), keys))
lkeys = list(map(len, skeys))

root = 'root'

depth = max(lkeys)
```

```
while depth > 0:
12
                      = [i for i, e in enumerate(lkeys) if e == depth]
13
                      = [key for i, key in enumerate(skeys) if i in ix]
14
15
            ancestors = list(map(lambda x: x[0:depth-1], k))
            parent = list(map(lambda x: x[-1], ancestors))
16
            ancestors = list(map(lambda x: sep.join(x), ancestors))
17
18
            for a in set(ancestors):
19
               ii = [i for i, e in enumerate(ancestors) if e == a]
k = [key for i, key in enumerate(skeys) if i in ix]
20
21
                # kk = ### UNFINISHED - STOPPED HERE
22
23
24
            for key, 11, n in zip(keys, skeys, lkeys):
25
                if n == depth:
                    ancestors = 11[:-1]
26
27
                    parent = ancestors[-1]
                    ancestors = sep.join(ancestors)
28
            depth -= 1
29
30
```


```
import numpy as np
       import pandas as pd
2
3
       from examples.a_aa import view
5
       def to_tree_backwards(view, root_name='root', sep='|'):
           def make_key_frame(view, root_name):
6
                keys = list(view.keys())
                if not all(map(lambda key: key.startswith(root_name), keys)):
8
                   keys = list(map(lambda key: root_name + sep + key, keys))
                d = pd.DataFrame({
10
                     'orig_key': list(view.keys()),
11
                    'key':
12
                                kevs
13
                })
                d['skey']
                               = d['key'].apply(lambda x: x.split(sep))
14
                               = d['skey'].apply(len)
                d['depth']
15
                d['leaf']
                               = np.NaN
16
                d['parent']
                               = np.NaN
17
                d['ancestors'] = np.NaN
18
                return d
19
20
           d = make_key_frame(view, root_name)
21
22
           which = lambda ix: [i for i, e in enumerate(ix) if e]
23
           col = lambda cn: which(d.columns==cn)[0]
24
25
           depth = max(d['depth'])
26
           while depth > 1:
   ix = d['depth']==depth
27
28
                d.loc[ix, 'leaf'] = d.loc[ix, 'skey'] \
29
30
                    .apply(lambda x: x[-1])
                d.loc[ix, 'ancestors'] = d.loc[ix, 'skey'] \
31
               .apply(lambda x: sep.join(x[:-1]))
d.loc[ix, 'parent'] = d.loc[ix, 'ancestors'] \
32
33
34
                    .apply(lambda x: x.split(sep)[-1])
35
                for a in set(d['ancestors'].dropna()):
36
                    ii = d['ancestors']==a
37
                    parent = set(d.loc[ii, 'parent'])
38
                    assert len(parent)==1
39
                    parent = parent.pop()
40
                    for i in which(ii):
41
                        orig_key = d.iloc[i, col('orig_key')]
                        key
42
                                = d.iloc[i, col('key')]
                        leaf = d.iloc[i, col('leaf')]
children = d['leaf'].loc[d['ancestors']==key].to_list()
43
44
45
                        d.iloc[i, col('leaf')] = Tree(
                            Tree.Value(
46
47
                                name=leaf if isinstance(leaf, str) else leaf.value.name,
48
                                 key=orig_key, d=view
49
                             children=children
50
51
                depth -= 1
52
53
54
           return Tree(
               root_name,
```

20 THOMAS P. HARTE

56 57)	<pre>children=d.iloc[which(d['ancestors']==root_name), col('leaf')].to_list()</pre>

4. Worked Examples

4.1. **The A-tree.** The "A tree" has three levels. As each node of the tree must necessarily occupy a positional argument of the command line, [-f] and [-g] are correspondingly *optional arguments* that attach to the nodes (A and BB, respectively, in the below diagram).



Let's look at the Python code for for a.py.

Consider the dict that represents the View component:

```
from examples.a import view
show(view)
```

```
'callback':
   <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb8517a44c0>
    {'help': 'A [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593}
    '-v|--verbose':
   {'help': 'A verbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
'A|B':
    'callback':
    <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe7160>
    {'help': 'A B [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593}
    '-v|--verbose':
   {'help': 'A B verbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
'A|BB':
    'callback':
    <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe71f0>
   {'help': 'A BB [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593}
    '-v|--verbose':
   {'help': 'A BB erbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
'A|BB|C':
    'callback':
   <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe7280>
    '-c':
   {'help': 'A BB C [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593}
    '-v|--verbose':
   {'help': 'A BB C verbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
'A|BB|CC':
    'callback':
   <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe7310>
   {'help': 'A BB CC [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593} '-v|--verbose':
    {'help': 'A BB CC verbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
'A|BB|CCC':
    'callback':
   <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe73a0>
   {'help': 'A BB CCC [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593}
```

1 2 3


```
'-v|--verbose':
    {'help': 'A BB CCC verbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
'A|BBB':
    'callback':
    <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe7430>
    '-c':
    {'help': 'A BBB [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593}
    '-v|--verbose':
    {'help': 'A BBB verbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
```

and then the tree that the parsed dict is represented by:

```
print(
    ParseArg(d=view, root_name='TODO').tree.show(quiet=True)
}
```

```
TODO
A
BBB
BB
C
C
CC
CCC
B
```

4.2. **The A-AA tree.** The A-AA Tree simply extends the A Tree one level:



Let's look at the Python code for a_aa.py.

2

Consider the dict that represents the View component:

```
from examples.a_aa import view
show(view)
```

```
'A':
    'callback':
    <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe7670>
    '-c':
    {'help': 'A [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593}
    '-v|--verbose':
    {'help': 'A verbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
'A|B':
    'callback':
    <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe7700>
    {'help': 'A B [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593}
    '-v|--verbose':
    {'help': 'A B verbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
'A|BB':
    'callback':
    <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe7790>
   {'help': 'A BB [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593} '-v|--verbose':
    {'help': 'A BB erbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
'A|BB|C':
    'callback':
    <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe7820>
    {'help': 'A BB C [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593}
    '-v|--verbose':
    {'help': 'A BB C verbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
'A|BB|CC':
    'callback':
    <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe78b0>
    {'help': 'A BB CC [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593}
    '-v|--verbose':
    {'help': 'A BB CC verbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
'A|BB|CCC':
    'callback':
    <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe7940>
    '-c':
```

2

```
{'help': 'A BB CCC [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593}
    '-v|--verbose':
    {'help': 'A BB CCC verbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
'A|BBB':
    'callback':
   <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe79d0>
   {'help': 'A BBB [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593}
    '-v|--verbose':
   {'help': 'A BBB verbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
'AA':
    'callback':
   <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe7a60>
   {'help': 'AA [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593} '-v|--verbose':
   {'help': 'AA verbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
'AA|B':
    'callback':
   <function make callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe7af0>
   '-c':
   {'help': 'AA B [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593} '-v|--verbose':
   {'help': 'AA B verbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
'AA|BB':
    'callback':
    <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe7b80>
   {'help': 'AA BB [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593}
    '-v|--verbose':
   {'help': 'AA BB verbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
'AA|BB|C':
    'callback':
   <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe7c10>
   {'help': 'AA BB C [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593} '-v|--verbose':
   {'help': 'AA BB C verbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
'AA|BB|CC':
    'callback':
   <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe7ca0>
    '-c':
   {'help': 'AA BB CC [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593}
    '-v|--verbose':
   {'help': 'AA BB CC verbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
'AA|BB|CCC':
    'callback':
    <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe7d30>
   {'help': 'AA BB CCC [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593}
    '-v|--verbose':
    {'help': 'AA BB CCC verbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
'AA|BBB':
    'callback':
    <function make_callback.<locals>.func at 0x7fb850fe7dc0>
   {'help': 'AA BBB [optional pi]', 'action': 'store_const', 'const': 3.141593}
    '-v|--verbose':
   {'help': 'AA BBB verbosity', 'action': 'store_true'}
```

and then the tree that the parsed dict is represented by:

```
print(
    ParseArg(d=view, root_name='TODO').tree.show(quiet=True)
)
```

```
TODO

AA

BBB
BB
C
C
CC
CCC
B
```

```
BBB
C
CC
CCC
B
```

We can now run the A Tree and the A-AA Tree examples, respectively:

```
from examples.a import main
main()
```

```
NODE :: 'A':
usage: A [-h] [-c] [-v] {BBB,BB,B} ...
positional arguments:
  {BBB,BB,B}
optional arguments:
                show this help message and exit
  -h, --help
                  A [optional pi]
  -c
  -v, --verbose A verbosity
'A':
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': False}
<Mock name='mock.A' id='140429617785968'>
'A -v':
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': True}
<Mock name='mock.A' id='140429617785968'>
'A -c':
        args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.A' id='140429617785968'>
         args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': True}
         <Mock name='mock.A' id='140429617785968'>
NODE :: 'A B':
usage: A B [-h] [-c] [-v]
optional arguments:
                show this help message and exit
A B [optional pi]
  -h, --help
  -c
  -v, --verbose A B verbosity
'A B':
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': False}
         <Mock name='mock.A_B' id='140429617571968'>
'A B -v':
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': True}
         <Mock name='mock.A_B' id='140429617571968'>
'A B -c':
        args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': False}
<Mock name='mock.A_B' id='140429617571968'>
'A B -v -c':
        args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': True}
<Mock name='mock.A_B' id='140429617571968'>
NODE :: 'A BB':
```

```
usage: A BB [-h] [-c] [-v] {C,CC,CCC} ...
positional arguments:
  {C,CC,CCC}
optional arguments:
 -h, --help show this help message and exit
-c A BB [optional pi]
  -v, --verbose A BB erbosity
'A BB':
       args: {'c': None, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB' id='140429617571776'>
'A BB -v':
       args: {'c': None, 'verbose': True}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB' id='140429617571776'>
'A BB -c':
       args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': False}
<Mock name='mock.A_BB' id='140429617571776'>
'A BB -v -c':
       args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': True}
<Mock name='mock.A_BB' id='140429617571776'>
NODE :: 'A BB C':
usage: A BB C [-h] [-c] [-v]
optional arguments:
  -h, --help show this help message and exit
-c A BB C [optional pi]
  -v, --verbose A BB C verbosity
'A BB C':
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB_C' id='140429616379696'>
'A BB C -v':
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': True}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB_C' id='140429616379696'>
'A BB C -c':
        args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB_C' id='140429616379696'>
'A BB C -v -c':
        args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': True}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB_C' id='140429616379696'>
NODE :: 'A BB CC':
usage: A BB CC [-h] [-c] [-v]
optional arguments:
                show this help message and exit
A BB CC [optional pi]
  -h, --help
  -v, --verbose A BB CC verbosity
'A BB CC':
       args: {'c': None, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB_CC' id='140429616458528'>
'A BB CC -v':
      args: {'c': None, 'verbose': True}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB_CC' id='140429616458528'>
'A BB CC -c':
```

```
args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB_CC' id='140429616458528'>
'A BB CC -v -c':
       args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': True}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB_CC' id='140429616458528'>
NODE :: 'A BB CCC':
usage: A BB CCC [-h] [-c] [-v]
optional arguments:
 -h, --help show this help message and exit
 -c A BB CCC [optional pi]
-v, --verbose A BB CCC verbosity
'A BB CCC':
       args: {'c': None, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB_CCC' id='140429616458672'>
'A BB CCC -v':
       args: {'c': None, 'verbose': True}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB_CCC' id='140429616458672'>
'A BB CCC -c':
       args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB_CCC' id='140429616458672'>
'A BB CCC -v -c':
        args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': True}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB_CCC' id='140429616458672'>
```

```
from examples.a_aa import main
main()
```

```
NODE :: 'A':
usage: A [-h] [-c] [-v] {BBB,BB,B} ...
positional arguments:
  {BBB,BB,B}
optional arguments:
 -h, --help show this help message and exit
                 A [optional pi]
  -c
  -v, --verbose A verbosity
'A':
----
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': False}
<Mock name='mock.A' id='140429609500528'>
'A -v':
-----
       args: {'c': None, 'verbose': True}
<Mock name='mock.A' id='140429609500528'>
'A -c':
        args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.A' id='140429609500528'>
'A -v -c':
        args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': True}
        <Mock name='mock.A' id='140429609500528'>
NODE :: 'A B':
usage: A B [-h] [-c] [-v]
optional arguments:
```

```
-v, --verbose A B verbosity
'A B':
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.A_B' id='140429609499808'>
'A B -v':
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': True}
        <Mock name='mock.A_B' id='140429609499808'>
'A B -c':
       args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': False}
<Mock name='mock.A_B' id='140429609499808'>
'A B -v -c':
        args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': True} 
<Mock name='mock.A_B' id='140429609499808'>
NODE :: 'A BB':
usage: A BB [-h] [-c] [-v] \{C,CC,CCC\} ...
positional arguments:
  {C,CC,CCC}
optional arguments:
 -h, --help show this help message and exit
-c A BB [optional pi]
  -v, --verbose A BB erbosity
'A BB':
       args: {'c': None, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB' id='140429609499376'>
'A BB -v':
       args: {'c': None, 'verbose': True}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB' id='140429609499376'>
'A BB -c':
       args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': False}
<Mock name='mock.A_BB' id='140429609499376'>
'A BB -v -c':
        args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': True}
<Mock name='mock.A_BB' id='140429609499376'>
NODE :: 'A BB C':
usage: A BB C [-h] [-c] [-v]
optional arguments:
 -h, --help show this help message and exit
-c A BB C [optional pi]
  -v, --verbose A BB C verbosity
'A BB C':
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB_C' id='140429609498080'>
'A BB C -v':
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': True}
         <Mock name='mock.A_BB_C' id='140429609498080'>
'A BB C -c':
      args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB_C' id='140429609498080'>
'A BB C -v -c':
```

```
args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': True}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB_C' id='140429609498080'>
NODE :: 'A BB CC':
usage: A BB CC [-h] [-c] [-v]
optional arguments:
 -h, --help show this help message and exit
-c A BB CC [optional pi]
-v, --verbose A BB CC verbosity
'A BB CC':
       args: {'c': None, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB_CC' id='140429609499856'>
'A BB CC -v':
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': True}
<Mock name='mock.A_BB_CC' id='140429609499856'>
'A BB CC -c':
       args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB_CC' id='140429609499856'>
'A BB CC -v -c':
       args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': True}
<Mock name='mock.A_BB_CC' id='140429609499856'>
NODE :: 'A BB CCC':
usage: A BB CCC [-h] [-c] [-v]
optional arguments:
  -h, --help show this help message and exit
-c A BB CCC [optional pi]
  -v, --verbose A BB CCC verbosity
'A BB CCC':
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': False}
         <Mock name='mock.A_BB_CCC' id='140429609498368'>
'A BB CCC -v':
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': True}
         <Mock name='mock.A_BB_CCC' id='140429609498368'>
'A BB CCC -c':
        args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': False}
         <Mock name='mock.A_BB_CCC' id='140429609498368'>
'A BB CCC -v -c':
        args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': True}
        <Mock name='mock.A_BB_CCC' id='140429609498368'>
NODE :: 'AA':
usage: AA [-h] [-c] [-v] {BBB,BB,B} ...
positional arguments:
  {BBB,BB,B}
optional arguments:
 -h, --help show this help message and exit
                 AA [optional pi]
  - C
  -v, --verbose AA verbosity
'AA':
----
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': False}
```

```
<Mock name='mock.AA' id='140429617571104'>
'AA -v':
args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': False}
<Mock name='mock.AA' id='140429617571104'>
'AA -v -c':
        args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': True}
        <Mock name='mock.AA' id='140429617571104'>
NODE :: 'AA B':
usage: AA B [-h] [-c] [-v]
optional arguments:
                 show this help message and exit
  -h, --help
                 AA B [optional pi]
  -c
  -v, --verbose AA B verbosity
'AA B':
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': False}
<Mock name='mock.AA_B' id='140429617571152'>
'AA B -v':
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': True}
<Mock name='mock.AA_B' id='140429617571152'>
'AA B -c':
        args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': False} <Mock name='mock.AA_B' id='140429617571152'>
'AA B -v -c':
        args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': True} 
<Mock name='mock.AA_B' id='140429617571152'>
NODE :: 'AA BB':
usage: AA BB [-h] [-c] [-v] {C,CC,CCC} ...
positional arguments:
  {C,CC,CCC}
optional arguments:
 -h, --help show this help message and exit
-c AA BB [optional pi]
  -v, --verbose AA BB verbosity
'AA BB':
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.AA_BB' id='140429617547872'>
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': True}
        <Mock name='mock.AA_BB' id='140429617547872'>
'AA BB -c':
        args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.AA_BB' id='140429617547872'>
'AA BB -v -c':
        args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': True}
         <Mock name='mock.AA_BB' id='140429617547872'>
NODE :: 'AA BB C':
usage: AA BB C [-h] [-c] [-v]
```

```
optional arguments:
 -h, --help show this help message and exit
-c AA BB C [optional pi]
 -v, --verbose AA BB C verbosity
'AA BB C':
       args: {'c': None, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.AA_BB_C' id='140429609599136'>
'AA BB C -v':
       args: {'c': None, 'verbose': True}
        <Mock name='mock.AA_BB_C' id='140429609599136'>
'AA BB C -c':
       args: {'c': 3.141593. 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.AA_BB_C' id='140429609599136'>
'AA BB C -v -c':
       args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': True}
        <Mock name='mock.AA_BB_C' id='140429609599136'>
NODE :: 'AA BB CC':
usage: AA BB CC [-h] [-c] [-v]
optional arguments:
               show this help message and exit
 -h, --help
                AA BB CC [optional pi]
 -v, --verbose AA BB CC verbosity
'AA BB CC':
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': False}
<Mock name='mock.AA_BB_CC' id='140429609599184'>
'AA BB CC -v':
        args: {'c': None, 'verbose': True}
        <Mock name='mock.AA_BB_CC' id='140429609599184'>
'AA BB CC -c':
        args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.AA_BB_CC' id='140429609599184'>
'AA BB CC -v -c':
        args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': True}
        <Mock name='mock.AA_BB_CC' id='140429609599184'>
NODE :: 'AA BB CCC':
usage: AA BB CCC [-h] [-c] [-v]
optional arguments:
 -h, --help show this help message and exit
-c AA BB CCC [optional pi]
  -v, --verbose AA BB CCC verbosity
'AA BB CCC':
       args: {'c': None, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.AA_BB_CCC' id='140429609599376'>
'AA BB CCC -v':
       args: {'c': None, 'verbose': True}
        <Mock name='mock.AA_BB_CCC' id='140429609599376'>
'AA BB CCC -c':
       args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': False}
        <Mock name='mock.AA_BB_CCC' id='140429609599376'>
'AA BB CCC -v -c':
```

32 THOMAS P. HARTE

args: {'c': 3.141593, 'verbose': True} <Mock name='mock.AA_BB_CCC' id='140429609599376'>

- 4.3. **Let's build the interface for a TO-DO app.** The examples folder in the source distribution contains a TO-DO app. The app
 - (1) illustrates a sufficiently realistic, but not overly complex, problem;
 - (2) illustrates operation of the MVC pattern in the wild;
 - (3) shows how parsearg neatly segments the View component of MVC with a dict.

Let's start with the outer layer of the onion. How do we interact with todos.py? First, we can create some users in a User table with the create user subcommand of todos.py. Note that we do not (yet) have a phone number for user Dick, nor do we have an email address for user Harry:

```
python todos.py create user Tom -e tom@email.com -p 212-555-1234

python todos.py create user Dick -e dick@email.com

python todos.py create user Harry -p 212-123-5555
```

Second, create some TO-DOs in the Todo table with the create todo subcommand of todos.py.

```
python todos.py create todo Tom title1 -c description1 -d 2020-11-30
python todos.py create todo Tom title2 -c description2 --due-date=2020-12-31
python todos.py create todo Harry todo-1 --description=Christmas-party -d 2020-11-30
python todos.py create todo Harry todo-2 --description=New-Year-party
```

```
'create todo Tom title1 -c description1 -d 2020-11-30':

SUCCESS

'create todo Tom title2 -c description2 --due-date=2020-12-31':

SUCCESS

'create todo Harry todo-1 --description=Christmas-party -d 2020-11-30':

SUCCESS

'create todo Harry todo-2 --description=New-Year-party':

SUCCESS
```

Let's make some changes to the records entered so far. We can add an email address for user Harry (using the update user email subcommands) and a phone number for user Dick (using the update user phone subcommands):

```
python todos.py update user email Harry harry@email.com
python todos.py update user phone Dick 203-555-1212
```

Now update two of the TO-DOs, changing the title (using the update todo title subcommands) and the description (using the update todo description subcommands) in the fourth TO-DO:

34 THOMAS P. HARTE

```
python todos.py update todo title 4 most-important python todos.py update todo description 4 2021-party
```

```
'update todo title 4 most-important':

SUCCESS
'update todo description 4 2021-party':

SUCCESS
```

```
python todos.py show users
python todos.py show todos
```

```
'show users':
------
SUCCESS
'show todos':
------
SUCCESS
```

The result of these commands is that the two tables (User and Todo) are populated in a SQLite database:

```
sqlite3 todo.db 'select * from User;'
```

```
Tom|tom@email.com|212-555-1234|2023-08-22 11:48:49
Dick|dick@email.com|203-555-1212|2023-08-22 11:48:49
Harry|harry@email.com|212-123-5555|2023-08-22 11:48:49
```

```
sqlite3 todo.db 'select * from Todo;'
```

```
201|title1|description1|2020-11-30|2023-08-22 11:48:49|Tom
202|title2|description2|2020-12-31|2023-08-22 11:48:49|Tom
203|todo-1|Christmas-party|2020-11-30|2023-08-22 11:48:49|Harry
204|todo-2|New-Year-party|None|2023-08-22 11:48:49|Harry
```

Let's look at the Python code for todos.py.

The View component is entirely contained within a single dict, *viz.* view, which has been formatted here for clarity using parsearg.utils.show:

```
from examples.todos import view
from parsearg.utils import show
show(view)
```

```
'purge|users':
    'callback':
   <function purge_users at 0x7fa92bce5430>
'purge|todos':
    'callback':
   <function purge_todos at 0x7fa92bcf0160>
'show|users':
    'callback':
   <function show_users at 0x7fa92bcf01f0>
'show|todos':
    'callback':
   <function show_todos at 0x7fa92bcf0280>
'create|user':
    'callback':
   <function create_user at 0x7fa92bcf0310>
    'name':
   {'help': 'create user name', 'action': 'store'}
   {'help': "create user's email address", 'action': 'store', 'default': ''}
```

```
{'help': "create user's phone number", 'action': 'store', 'default': ''}
'create|todo':
    'callback':
   <function create_todo at 0x7fa92bcf03a0>
   {'help': 'user name', 'action': 'store'}
    'title':
   {'help': 'title of to-do', 'action': 'store'}
    '-c|--description':
   {'help': 'description of to-do', 'action': 'store', 'default': ''}
'-d|--due-date':
   {'help': 'due date for the to-do', 'action': 'store', 'default': None}
'update|user|email':
    'callback':
   <function update_user_email at 0x7fa92bcf0430>
    'name':
   {'help': 'user name', 'action': 'store'}
    'email':
   {'help': 'user email', 'action': 'store'}
'update|user|phone':
    'callback':
    <function update_user_phone at 0x7fa92bcf04c0>
   'name':
{'help': 'user name', 'action': 'store'}
    'phone':
   {'help': 'user phone', 'action': 'store'}
'update|todo|title':
    'callback':
   <function update_todo_title at 0x7fa92bcf0550>
   {'help': 'ID of to-do', 'action': 'store'}
'title':
   {'help': 'title of to-do', 'action': 'store'}
'update|todo|description':
    'callback':
    <function update_todo_description at 0x7fa92bcf05e0>
    'id':
   {'help': 'ID of to-do', 'action': 'store'}
    'description':
   {'help': 'description of to-do', 'action': 'store'}
```

We can generate a tree view of the CLI design specified by the above dict, namely view, as follows:

```
from parsearg import ParseArg

print(
    ParseArg(d=view, root_name='TODO').tree.show(quiet=True)
)
```

```
TODO

create
user
todo
show
todos
users
update
user
email
phone
todo
description
title
purge
todos
users
```