

# NuSTAR Observes Two Bulgeless Galaxies: NGC 4178 or J0851+3926

Ryan W. Pfeifle <sup>1,\*</sup>, Shobita Satyapal <sup>2</sup>, Claudio Ricci <sup>3</sup>, Nathan Secrest <sup>1,\*</sup>, Mario Gliozzi <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>X-ray Astrophysics Laboratory NASA Goddard Space Flight Center <sup>\*</sup>NPP Fellow <sup>2</sup>George Mason University <sup>3</sup>United States Naval Observatory <sup>3</sup>Universidad Diego Portales



## Introduction

PLACE HOLDER VERSION OF THIS POSTER

Some block contents, followed by a diagram, followed by a dummy paragraph.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Morbi ultricies eget libero ac ullamcorper. Integer et euismod ante. Aenean vestibulum lobortis augue, ut lobortis turpis rhoncus sed. Proin feugiat nibh a lacinia dignissim. Proin scelerisque, risus eget tempor fermentum, ex turpis condimentum urna, quis malesuada sapien arcu eu purus.

## Source Properties

### NGC 4178

- bulgeless, low-mass spiral galaxy residing at a distance of 16.2 Mpc.
- high-ionization [Ne V] $\lambda$ 14.3  $\mu$ m emission line – a reliable tracer of AGN activity abel2008 in the mid-infrared (mid-IR) – using the *Spitzer Space Telescope*. satyapal2009
- Given that the optical emission shows no sign of an AGN and instead is consistent with an HII star forming region [e.g.,][secrest2012, the detection of the [Ne V] emission line suggested that 4178 in fact hosted an optically elusive AGN.
- Follow-up observations presented in secrest2012 revealed a weak ( $5.3\sigma$ ) and predominantly soft X-ray point source coincident with the nucleus of the galaxy. secrest2012 concluded that the X-ray source properties were consistent with a heavily obscured AGN with an absorbing column density of  $N_H = 5 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , covering factor of  $C=0.99$ , and a photon index of  $\Gamma = 2.3^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$ .
- Estimated that the AGN is powered by a  $10^4 - 10^5 M_\odot$  intermediate mass black hole.
- AGN interpretation has recently been called into question by hebbar2019, who instead claimed that the X-ray emission is better fit by a hot plasma model and that the X-ray emission is likely due to a supernova remnant.

### J0851+3926

- bulgeless spiral galaxy at  $z = 0.1296$  originally selected by satyapal2014 based on its Wide-Field Infrared Survey Explorer (*WISE*) mid-IR colors; J0851+3926 satisfied the stringent 3-band mid-IR AGN color cut defined by jarrett2011, suggestive of a powerful, dust obscured AGN.
- Optically, presents as a Composite galaxy based on BPT diagram. Coupled with the lack of Balmer emission lines in the optical band, there is no definitive evidence in the optical for an AGN satyapal2014,bohn2020.
- As a part of their elusive AGN campaign, bohn2020 reported the detection of a broad ( $1489 \pm 184 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  in NIRSPEC,  $1363 \pm 31 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  in NIRES) Pa $\alpha$  emission line in both observations, authors attribute the broad Pa $\alpha$  emission to an optically elusive AGN.
- An X-ray AGN was not detected in the imaging inferred column density of  $\log(N_H/\text{cm}^2) \geq 24.43$  bohn2020 based on the relationship between the observed 2-10 keV and 12  $\mu$ m emission derived in pfeifle2022.
- Virial mass measurements using the broad Pa $\alpha$  emission yielded an (extinction corrected) mass of  $\log(M/M_\odot) = 6.78 \pm 0.50$

## NuSTAR Imaging

Vivamus congue volutpat elit non semper. Praesent molestie nec erat ac interdum. In quis suscipit erat. **Phasellus mauris felis, molestie ac pharetra quis**, tempus nec ante. Donec finibus ante vel purus mollis fermentum. Sed felis mi, pharetra eget nibh a, feugiat eleifend dolor. Nam mollis condimentum purus quis sodales. Nullam eu felis eu nulla eleifend bibendum nec eu lorem. Vivamus felis velit, volutpat ut facilisis ac, commodo in metus.




Figure 1. Pan-STARRS and FPMA imaging for 4178 (top) and J0851+3926 (bottom). Left to right: Pan-STARRS r-band, FPMA 3-10 keV, 10-24 keV, and 3-24 keV bands. X-ray images are smoothed using a three-pixel Gaussian kernel and displayed with the perceptually uniform sequential color map 'magma' in matplotlib. Top: dashed 30 radius circles represent the extraction region for the ULX, while dotted 18 radius circles represent the AGN extraction region; these circles are offset by  $\sim 49$  from one another. Bottom: dashed 45 radius circles represent the AGN extraction region.

## Results

Table 1. NuSTAR Flux Upper Limits and Column Density Lower Limits for the AGNs

System	$\Gamma$	Observed Flux ( $10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ )				$\log(N_H/\text{cm}^{-2})$
		2-10 keV	3-24 keV	3-10 keV	10-24 keV	
4178	1.8	< 6.61	< 8.19	< 5.14	< 8.02	> 24.7 (> 25.0)
4178	2.3	< 7.48	< 7.41	< 5.25	< 7.53	> 24.7 (> 25.0)
J0851+3926	1.8	< 4.84	< 7.47	< 3.77	< 9.40	> 24.1 (> 24.3)

### A heading inside a block

Praesent consectetur mi  $x^2 + y^2$  metus, nec vestibulum justo viverra nec. Proin eget nulla pretium, egestas magna aliquam, mollis neque. Vivamus dictum **uTv** sagittis odio, vel porta erat congue sed. Maecenas ut dolor quis arcu auctor portitor.

## Discussion




Figure 2. Caption




Figure 3. Caption

## Conclusions

- Neither of the AGNs in 4178 or J0851+3926 are significantly detected by in any of the 3-24 keV, 3-10 keV, or 10-24 keV energy bands.
- There are no hard X-ray emitting AGNs above an observed 3-24 keV flux limit of  $8.19 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  in 4178 and  $7.47 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  in J0851+3926. For the 3-10 keV and 10-24 keV energy bands, there are no X-ray emitting AGNs above an observed flux of  $5.14 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $8.02 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  for 4178, respectively, or  $3.77 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $9.40 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  for J0851+3926, respectively. These flux limits are derived assuming a power law photon index of  $\Gamma = 1.8$ .
- The non-detections with NuSTAR imply column densities of  $\log(N_H/\text{cm}^2) > 23.7$  and  $> 24.1$  for 4178 and J0851+3926, assuming  $\Gamma = 1.8$  and a covering factor of  $C=0.5$ .
- Comparing our observations to the results of hebbar2019, if a supernova is indeed responsible for the observed nuclear X-ray emission in 4178 rather than an AGN, it's expected observed X-ray luminosity ( $L_{2-10 \text{ keV}} = 2.0^{+0.3}_{-0.4} \times 10^{-15}$  or  $L_{3-10 \text{ keV}} = 6.4^{+1.0}_{-1.0} \times 10^{-16} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) is well below the detection limit of our observations.
- J0851+3926 is most plausibly a heavily obscured AGN. 4178 could be a heavily obscured AGN, but is also plausibly a LLAGN with a flux below the detection limit of NuSTAR; the previously detected [Ne V] emission line is likely a light echo, tracing past activity of the intermediate mass black hole.

## References

[1] Claude E. Shannon. A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 27(3):379–423,