CW1 Algorithms (COMP0005)

Student number: 19055854

Question 1

1.a) The complexity of an unsuccessful search for the given algorithm is its asymptotically

worst case, constant O(n). Unsuccessful search implies the absence of the 'key' or a particular

element in a given array A[0..n-1]. Subsequently, the number of comparisons made is equivalent to

the length of the array. The algorithm will compare each element A[i], iterating i = 0 to n - 1 to

'key', hence the expected number of comparisons made is n, meaning the time complexity of the

given unsuccessful search is $O\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)$, however the constants are ignored due to its insignificance at

large numbers of n, hence O(n).

1.b) The asymptotic time complexity (worst case) for a successful search for the given

algorithm is when the element that is searched for is the last element of the array A[0..n-1]. In that

case, the algorithm would need to make $\frac{n+1}{2}$ comparisons, with the last element resulting in returning

index i of the 'key'. Ergo, time complexity is $O\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)$ or just O(n).

1.c) The average number of comparisons made is $\frac{n+3}{2}$, where n is the number of elements in

array A.

Question 2

2.a) Yes, since,

$$log_2(2n) = log_2(2) + log_2(n)$$

$$log_2(2n) = log_2(n) + 1$$

$$log_2(n) + 1 \in O(log_2(n))$$

2.b) A similar statement would still be true for an arbitrary value of a:

$$log_a(2n) \in O(log_a(n))$$

Question 3

Given an array $A = \{0,0,0...1,1,...,1,1\}$, such that all 0 appear before 1, the following pseudocode describes a search algorithm to return the smallest index of an element A[i], such that A[i] = 1. The function search(A, l, r) takes in the following parameters:

$$A = is the input array$$

left = marks the left serch boundary element, initially equals to 0 right = marks the left serch boundary element, initially equals to (A. length -1)

```
1
       SEARCH(A, left, right)
              if(right > left)
2
                      int\ middle = (left + (right - 1))/2;
3
4
                     if(A[0] == 1)
5
                             return 0
                     if(A[middle] == 1)
6
                             if(A[middle] == 1 \text{ and } middle == 0)
7
                                    return middle;
8
9
                             else\ if(A[middle-1]==0)
10
                                    return middle;
11
                             else
12
                                    return SEARCH(A, left, middle);
13
                      else
14
                             return SEARCH(A, middle + 1, right);
15
              return - 1;
```

Calculating the time complexity of the SEARCH algorithm:

Length of the array after the first iteration $middle = \frac{n}{2}$, where n is the length of the array A. Subsequently, after some m iterations, the length of the array equates to $\frac{n}{2^m}$, which eventually, at some value of m becomes 1. Therefore,

$$n = 2^m$$

Thus,

$$m = log_2(n)$$

The "if", "else" statements at lines 4, 5, 7, 9, 11 all take constant time to execute. Conclusively, since the algorithm is a variation of the binary search algorithm, it follows that the asymptotic running time complexity of the SEARCH algorithm is $O(log_2(n))$. Full implementation of the given algorithm in Python language can be viewed below:

```
✓ def SEARCH(A, left, right):
          if(right > left):
              middle = (left + (right - 1)) // 2
              if(A[0] == 1):
                  return 0
              if(A[middle] =
                             1):
                  if(A[middle] == 1 and middle == 0):
                      return middle
                  elif(A[middle - 1] == 0):
10
                      return middle
12
                      return SEARCH(A, left, middle)
13
                  return SEARCH(A, middle + 1, right)
15
     A = [0, 0, 1, 1, 1]
     right = len(A)
     left = 0
20
      result = SEARCH(A, left, right)
22 \vee if(SEARCH(A, left, right) == -1):
         print("Unuccessful search")
23
24
          print("Element found at index", result)
```

Question 4

4.1)
$$500n + 100n^{\frac{3}{2}} + 50nlog_{10}n$$
 has complexity $O(n^{\frac{3}{2}})$

4.2)
$$nlog_3n + nlog_2n$$
 has complexity $O(nlog_2n)$

4.3)
$$n^2 \log_2 n + n(\log_2 n)^2$$
 has complexity of $O(n^2 \log_2 n)$

4.4)
$$0.5n + 6n^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2.5n^{\frac{7}{4}}$$
 has complexity of $O(n^{\frac{7}{4}})$

4.5)
$$0.01n + 100n^2$$
 has complexity of $O(n^2)$

4.6)
$$500n \log_3 n + 0.1n^2 + 200n$$
 has complexity of $O(n^2)$