Synthetic Algebraic Geometry Talk II

Tim Lichtnau

May 2024

Recall: Propositions are certain types! The terms correspond to the proofs, that the proposition holds.

Notation. Let X be a type.

- We write $X \sqcup X'$ for the sum type.
- \bullet we have two equivalent ways to think about subtypes of X and we use the following notation to jump between the two

$$(x:X \vdash Ux: \text{Prop}) \longmapsto \{x:X \mid Ux\} \equiv (\sum_{x:X} Ux) \subset X$$

$$(x:X \vdash x \in U: \text{Prop}) \longleftarrow U \subset X$$

- If U is a proposition indexed by terms x:X then we write $\forall x:X,Ux\equiv\prod_{x:X}Ux$
- The propositional truncation of X is some $\eta_X: X \to ||X||$ such that ¹

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\forall} P : \text{Prop} \\ \downarrow^{\eta_X} & & \\ \parallel X \parallel & & \\ \end{array}$$

Axiom 1 (Loc). R is a local ring.

Let A denote a finitely presented (R-) algebra, i.e. its merely of the form

$$A = R[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_m)$$

Definition 0.1. The spectrum is type of R-algebra homomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Spec} A = \operatorname{Hom}_R(A, R)$$

A type is a affine, or , an affine scheme, if it is merely of the form $\operatorname{Spec} A$ for some finitely presenteted algebra.

Lemma 0.2 (The Fundamental Theorem of AG). *Picking a presentation gives an equiva-* lence

Spec
$$(R[X_1, ..., X_n]/(f_1, ..., f_m)) \to \{(x_1, ..., x_n) \in R^n \mid f_i(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0 \ \forall i\}$$

 $\phi \mapsto (\phi(X_1), ..., \phi(X_n))$

¹I emphasize its important that P is a proposition. If P is more generally a set, one has to show, that the map $A \to P$ actually does not depend on the term a:A. This is called Lemma von Kraus

Axiom 2 (Duality). The duality map

$$A \to R^{\operatorname{Spec} A}$$

 $f \mapsto (x \mapsto x(f))$

is an R-algebra isomorphism between A and the algebra of R-valued functions on Spec A. We may use this as a coercion, i.e for $f:A,x:\operatorname{Spec} A$ we may write $f(x)\equiv x(f)$.

Lemma 0.3 (Weak field). For any x : R, $x \neq 0$ iff x is invertible. More generally, a vector is non-zero iff one of its entries is non-zero.

1 Affines have no choice

Recall the type theoretic principle of choice

Proposition 1.1 (Type theoretic principle of choice). Given a relation R depending on x : A and y : B

$$\left(\prod_{x:A} \{y: B \mid xRy\}\right) \simeq \{f: A \to B \mid \forall x: A, xR(fx)\}$$

Definition 1.2. A type A has choice, if for any type family B_x over x:A we have

$$(\forall x: A, \|B_x\|) \to \|\prod_{x:A} B_x\|$$

Example 1.3. If A has choice then any surjection $g: B \to A$ merely has a section $f: A \to B$. This follows by applying choice to the type family of fibers of g

$$B_x \equiv \{y : B \mid \underbrace{xRy}_{\equiv gy = x}\}$$

and then use 1.1.

In synthetic algebraic geometry, we don't expect affine schemes to have choice:

Example 1.4. We want to see that the local ring R does not have choice. For any x : R, consider the proposition

$$(x \neq 0) \lor (1 - x \neq 0) \equiv ||(x \neq 0) \sqcup (x \neq 1)||$$

this mereley holds by 0.3 and (Loc). A section would correspond to a term in

$$p:\prod_{x:R}(x\neq 0)\sqcup (x\neq 1)$$

We want to show that such a term is impossible Consider the function²

$$f \colon R \to R$$

$$x \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & , & \text{if } px \text{ isln } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & , & \text{if } px \text{ isln } x \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

which is an idempotent element in the algebra R^R . By Duality and 0.2 this is an idempotent polynomial f: R[X], which is nonzero, e.g. at 1 by Loc. Moreover f(0) = 0 but every idempotent polynomial with this property is zero: We can factor $f = X \cdot g$ and then $f = f^n = X^n q^n$ so all coefficients vanish.

Remark 1. Secretly we have shown in the last example, that R is connected, i.e. every function $R \to 1 \sqcup 1$ is constant.

²If A, B are types, $p: A \sqcup B$, we write p is A for the decidable proposition $\sum_{a:A} \mathsf{inl}(a) = p$

2 The last axiom

Definition 2.1. for f: A, define the principal open subsets of Spec A

$$D(f) = \{x \colon \operatorname{Spec} A \mid \operatorname{isInv}(f(x))\}$$

Lemma 2.2. For f: A we have $D(f) \simeq \operatorname{Spec}(A_f)$

Proof.

$$\operatorname{Spec} A_f = \operatorname{Hom}_R(A_f, R) = \{x : \operatorname{Hom}_R(A, R) | \operatorname{isInv}(x(f))\} = D(f)$$

by the universal property of the localization.

Axiom 3. for any family B of merely inhabited types over Spec A we merely find a principal open cover Spec $A = \bigcup_i D(f_i)$ and local sections $\prod_{x:D(f_i)} Bx$:

$$(\forall x: X, \|Bx\|) \to \left\| \underbrace{\sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} \sum_{(f_1, \dots, f_n): A^n} \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n D(f_i) = \operatorname{Spec} A \right)}_{\text{principal open cover}} \times \prod_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\prod_{x: D(f_i)} Bx}_{\text{local sections}} \right\|$$

There is this connection of principal open covers to algebra:

Proposition 2.3 (Unimodularity). Given $f_1, \ldots, f_n : A$ we have $(f_1, \ldots, f_n) = A$ iff $\bigcup_i D(f_i) = \operatorname{Spec} A$

We prove this later. Hence the axiom can be restated by just using commutative algebra and not principal open covers.

Lemma 2.4. If $(f_1, ..., f_n) = (1)$ then $(f_1^k, ..., f_n^k) = (1)$ for all $k \ge 1$.

Proof. Express the goal through 2.3. and then use

$$D(f_i) = \{x : X \mid \underbrace{f_i(x) \neq 0}_{\leftrightarrow f_i(x)^k \neq 0}\} = D(f_i^k)$$

Proposition 2.5 (Rewrite along equivalence). If $f: A' \simeq A$ and B is a family of propositions over A, then

$$\{a': A' \mid B(fa')\} \simeq \{a: A \mid Ba\}$$
$$(a', x) \mapsto (fa', x)$$

Example 2.6. For some A and g, g' : A define

$$g \mid_A g' \equiv \|\{h : A \mid hg =_A g'\}\|$$

Claim: For any g, g' : A, we have

$$q|_A q' \leftrightarrow \forall x : \operatorname{Spec} A, qx|_B q' x$$

Proof. \rightarrow is obvious using that the duality map is an algebra isomorphism.

 \leftarrow . For any x: Spec A we merely find some h:R with $h \cdot g(x) = g'(x)$, i.e. we define our family of inhabited types as

$$Bx = \{h : R \mid h \cdot g(x) = g'(x)\}\$$

By zariski local choice we merely find some principal open cover Spec $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} D(f_i)$ and local sections

$$\prod_{x:D(f_i)} \{h_i : R \mid h_i \cdot g(x) = g'(x)\}
\stackrel{1.1}{\simeq} \{h_i : D(f_i) \to R \mid (h_i x) \cdot g(x) = g'(x)\}
\stackrel{Duality}{\simeq} \left\{ h_i : A_{f_i} \mid h_i \cdot \frac{g}{1} =_{A_{f_i}} \frac{g'}{1} \right\}$$

We can multiply h_i by high enough powers of f_i to obtain some h_i : A with $h_i \cdot g = g' \cdot f_i^n$ for some $n : \mathbb{N}$. We may assume that n does not depend on $i = 1, \ldots, n$ by taking the maximum and multiplying the h_i again with enough powers of f_i . Now use 2.4 to write $1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i f_i^n$ for some ℓ_i : A and then

$$(\sum_{i} \ell_i h_i) \cdot g = \sum_{i} \ell_i f_i^n g' = 1g' = g'$$

3 Topology

Definition 3.1. • A proposition P is open iff there merely exists $f_1, \ldots, f_n \colon R$ such that

$$P = (f_1 \neq 0) \vee \ldots \vee (f_n \neq 0)$$

• A proposition P is closed iff there merely exists $f_1, \ldots, f_n \colon R$ such that

$$P = (f_1 = 0) \wedge \ldots \wedge (f_n = 0).$$

• A subtype $U \subset X$ is open (closed) iff the proposition $x \in U$ is open (closed) for all x : X.

Definition 3.2. Let A be an fin pres. algebra.

• For f_1, \ldots, f_n : A define the closed subset, the vanishing locus

$$V(f_1, ..., f_n) = \{x : \operatorname{Spec} A \mid \bigwedge_{i=1}^n f_i(x) = 0\} \stackrel{0.2}{=} \operatorname{Spec}(A/(f_1, ..., f_n))$$

• a subset of Spec A is called Zariski open iff its merely of the form

$$D(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \{x : \text{Spec } A \mid \bigvee_{i=1}^n f_i(x) \neq 0\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D(f_i)$$

Example 3.3. For any open subtype $U \subset Y$ and any map $f: X \to Y$ the preimage $\{x: X \mid f(x) \in U\}$ is open.

Lemma 3.4. The Open subsets of X contain \emptyset , X (e.g. D(1) = X) and are stable under finite unions and intersections (0.3). Furthermore

3.1 Proof of the unimodularity

Lemma 3.5 (Complements of closeds). for any f_1, \ldots, f_n we have $V(f_1, \ldots, f_n)^c = D(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$.

Proof. The vector $(f_1(x), \ldots, f_n(x))$ is non-zero if $f_i \neq 0$ for some i by 0.3

Unimodularity follows from setting g = 1 in

Lemma 3.6. $D(g) \subset \bigcup_i D(f_i)$ iff $g \in \sqrt{(f_1, \dots, f_n)}$.

Proof.

$$D(g) \subset D(f_1, \dots, f_n) \stackrel{3.5}{=} V(f_1, \dots, f_n)^c$$

$$\leftrightarrow \varnothing = V(f_1, \dots, f_n) \cap D(g) = \operatorname{Spec}((A/(f_1, \dots, f_n)_g)) \qquad |\text{nullstellensatz}$$

$$\leftrightarrow (A/(f_1, \dots, f_n))_g = 0$$

$$\leftrightarrow g \in \sqrt{(f_1, \dots, f_n)}.$$

4 Subsets of affines

We want to equip the set $\operatorname{Spec} A$ with some kind of topology. On one hand, there is the pointwise definition of open. On the other hand, there is also the $\operatorname{Zariski}$ topology on , i.e. where the principal opens form a basis of the topology, i.e. the zariski open subsets. We need the third axioms to show that those two notions of topology coincide.

Lemma 4.1. The map that evaluates every coeffcient

$$A[T] \to (\operatorname{Spec} A \to R[T])$$

$$g = \sum_{j=0}^{k} g_j T^j \mapsto g^* = \left(x \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^{k} g_j(x) T^k \right)$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. Observing Spec $A[T] \simeq \operatorname{Spec} A \times \operatorname{Spec} R[T]$ (by the universal property of the polynomial ring) gives

$$R^{\operatorname{Spec} A[T]} \simeq R^{\operatorname{Spec} A \times \operatorname{Spec} R[T]} \simeq (\operatorname{Spec} A \to R^{\operatorname{Spec} R[T]})$$

Conclude by rewriting along duality at A[T] and R[T].

4.1 Closed subsets

Lemma 4.2. A proposition is closed iff its merely of the form g = 0 for some g : R[T]

Proof. A polynomial is zero iff all its coefficients are zero.

Lemma 4.3. We have
$$V(f_1,\ldots,f_n)\subset V(g_1,\ldots,g_m)$$
 iff $(g_1,\ldots,g_m)\subset (f_1,\ldots,f_n)$.

Proof. Such an inclusion corresponds to a map $\operatorname{Spec}(A/(f_1,\ldots,f_n)) \to \operatorname{Spec}(A/(g_1,\ldots,g_m))$ over $\operatorname{Spec} A$. We conclude by fully faithfullness of Spec .

Example 4.4. Classically, Consider an inclusion of subsets $V(x^2) \subset V(x)$ inside Spec R[x]. Now it does hold $(x) \not\subset (x^2)$. Instead, classically we have an inclusion of subsets of Spec A $V(I) \subset V(J)$ iff $J \subset \sqrt{I}$. So only if we consider them as closed subschemes we get the condition $J \subset I$. Hence internally the vanishing locus carries more structure than just the underlying set!

Proposition 4.5. Let $C \subset \operatorname{Spec} A$ be closed. Then there exists a unique finitely generated ideal $I \subset A$ such that $C = \operatorname{Spec}(A/I)$

Proof. For any x: X we merely find some g: R[X] such that $x \in C$ iff g = 0. By Zariski Local choice we find a principal open cover Spec $A = \bigcup D(f_i)$ and a term in

$$\begin{split} & \prod_{x:D(f_i)} \{g: R[T] \mid x \in C \leftrightarrow g = 0\} \\ & \stackrel{1.1}{\simeq} \{g: D(f_i) \rightarrow R[T] \mid \forall x: D(f_i), x \in C \leftrightarrow gx = 0\} \\ & \stackrel{4.1}{\simeq} \{g: A_{f_i}[T] \mid \forall x: D(f_i), x \in C \leftrightarrow g^*x = 0\} \\ & \simeq \{g: A_{f_i}[X] \mid \{x: D(f_i) \mid x \in C\} = V((g_j)_j)\} \end{split}$$

The finitely generated Ideals $I_i = (g_j)_j \subset A_{f_i}$ satisfy $I_i \cdot A_{f_i f_j} = I_j \cdot A_{f_i f_j}$ because under duality they both determine the functions $D(f_i f_j) \to R$ that vanish on $C \cap D(f_i f_j)$. By commutative algebra the ideals $I_i \subset A_{f_i}$ glue uniquely to some finitely generated ideal I such that $I \cdot A_{f_i} = I_i$.

4.2 Opens subsets

Lemma 4.6. Let $U \subset \operatorname{Spec} A$. U is Zariski-open iff there merely exists some g : A[T] such that $x \in U \leftrightarrow g^*x \neq 0$ for all $x : \operatorname{Spec} A$.

Proof. For any $x: \operatorname{Spec} A$, the proposition $g_0(x) \neq 0 \vee \ldots g_n(x) \neq 0$ is equivalent to $\sum_{i=0}^n g_i(x) T^i \neq 0$ in R[T] by 0.3.

Example 4.7. A proposition (a subtype of Spec $R \simeq 1$) is open iff its merely of the form $g \neq 0$ for some g : R[T].

Theorem 4.8. A subtype $U \subset \operatorname{Spec} A$ is open iff it is Zariski-open.

Proof. The backwards direction is easy: If $U = D(f_1, ..., f_n)$, then $x \in U$ iff $f_1(x) \neq 0 \vee ... \vee f_n(x) \neq 0$).

Conversely, let U be an open subtype. For any x: Spec A $x \in U$ is merely of the form $g \neq 0$ for some g : R[T]. By Zariski-Local choice we find a principal open cover Spec $A = \bigcup D(f_i)$ and a term in

$$\prod_{x:D(f_i)} \{g: R[T] \mid x \in U \leftrightarrow g \neq 0\}$$

$$\stackrel{1.1}{\simeq} \{g: D(f_i) \to R[T] \mid \forall x: D(f_i), x \in U \leftrightarrow gx \neq 0\}$$

$$\stackrel{4.1}{\simeq} \{g: A_{f_i}[T] \mid \forall x: D(f_i), x \in U \leftrightarrow g^*x \neq 0\}$$

$$\simeq \{g: A_{f_i}[T] \mid \{x: D(f_i) \mid x \in U\} = D((g_j)_j)\}$$

i.e. for all $i, U \cap D(f_i)$ is Zariski open subset of $D(f_i)$. By the next lemma this is enough. \square

Lemma 4.9. Let f:A. Every Zariski-open $U\subset D(f)$ of a principal open is Zariski open in Spec A

Proof. Its enough to check that principal opens of principal opens are principal open. For this use that localization of a localization is a localization. \Box

5 Line bundles

Definition 5.1. • An *n*-dimensional (R-) vector space is an R- module V such that its merely equal to R^n

$$\mathsf{RVect}_n \equiv \sum_{V: \mathsf{RMod}} \|V =_{\mathsf{RMod}} R^n\|$$

• Let $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$. The type of rank n vector bundle on X is

$$X \to \mathsf{RVect}_n$$

• Let $\mathcal{L}: X \to \mathsf{RVect}_n$ be a vector bundle. The type of global section of \mathcal{L} is

$$\Gamma(X,\mathcal{L}) \equiv \prod_{x:X} \mathcal{L}_x$$

which becomes an A-module by setting

$$(a \cdot s)_x \equiv a(x) \cdot s_x$$

Example 5.2. The trivial rank n vector bundle is

$$\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n} \equiv (x : X \mapsto (R^n : \mathsf{RVect}_n))$$

Lemma 5.3 (using Zariski Local Choice). Every vectorbundle $\mathcal{L}: X \to \mathsf{RVect}_n$ is locally trivial, i.e. there exists an open cover $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ such that $\mathcal{L}|_{U_i} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{U_i}^{\oplus n}$, i.e.

$$\prod_{x:U_i} (\mathcal{L}_x \simeq R^n)$$

6 Schemes

Now we are finally able to state the definition of a scheme.

Definition 6.1. A type X is a scheme if there merely exists a finite cover of open subtypes $(U_i)_i$ such that each U_i is affine.