

# Formalization of the Proof of Fodor's Lemma

Theofanis Chatzidiamantis Christoforidis

January 2024

## Basic Definitions

Let  $o$  be a limit ordinal and  $C \subseteq o$  a set.

### Definition

- ▶  $C$  is called **unbounded** in  $o$  if  $\sup(C \cap o) = o$ .

## Basic Definitions

Let  $o$  be a limit ordinal and  $C \subseteq o$  a set.

### Definition

- ▶  $C$  is called **unbounded** in  $o$  if  $\sup(C \cap o) = o$ .
- ▶  $C$  is called **closed** in  $o$  if for every  $\alpha < o$ , if  $C \cap \alpha \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\sup(C \cap \alpha) \in C$ .

## Basic Definitions

Let  $o$  be a limit ordinal and  $C \subseteq o$  a set.

### Definition

- ▶  $C$  is called **unbounded** in  $o$  if  $\sup(C \cap o) = o$ .
- ▶  $C$  is called **closed** in  $o$  if for every  $\alpha < o$ , if  $C \cap \alpha \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\sup(C \cap \alpha) \in C$ .
- ▶  $C$  is called **club** in  $o$  if it is closed and unbounded in  $o$ .

## Basic Definitions

Let  $o$  be a limit ordinal and  $C \subseteq o$  a set.

### Definition

- ▶  $C$  is called **unbounded** in  $o$  if  $\sup(C \cap o) = o$ .
- ▶  $C$  is called **closed** in  $o$  if for every  $\alpha < o$ , if  $C \cap \alpha \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\sup(C \cap \alpha) \in C$ .
- ▶  $C$  is called **club** in  $o$  if it is closed and unbounded in  $o$ .

### Remark

Equivalently,  $C$  is unbounded in  $o$  if for every  $\alpha < o$ , there is a  $\beta \in C$  such that  $\alpha < \beta$ .

## Basic Definitions

### Definition

Let  $\alpha$  be a limit ordinal and  $S \subseteq \alpha$  a set.  $S$  is called **stationary** in  $\alpha$  if for every club set  $C \subseteq \alpha$ ,  $S \cap C \neq \emptyset$ .

## Basic Definitions

### Definition

Let  $\alpha$  be a limit ordinal and  $S \subseteq \alpha$  a set.  $S$  is called **stationary** in  $\alpha$  if for every club set  $C \subseteq \alpha$ ,  $S \cap C \neq \emptyset$ .

### Definition

Let  $f : C \rightarrow D$  be a function, where  $C$  and  $D$  are sets of ordinals.  $f$  is called **regressive** if for every  $0 < \alpha \in C$ ,  $f(\alpha) < \alpha$ .

# Basic Definitions

## Definition

Let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal.

- ▶ The **cofinality**  $\text{cof}(\kappa)$  of  $\kappa$  is the smallest possible cardinality of an unbounded set in  $\kappa$



# Basic Definitions

## Definition

Let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal.

- ▶ The **cofinality**  $\text{cof}(\kappa)$  of  $\kappa$  is the smallest possible cardinality of an unbounded set in  $\kappa$
- ▶ A cardinal is **regular** if  $\text{cof}(\kappa) = \kappa$

## Basic Definitions

### Definition

Let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal.

- ▶ The **cofinality**  $\text{cof}(\kappa)$  of  $\kappa$  is the smallest possible cardinality of an unbounded set in  $\kappa$
- ▶ A cardinal is **regular** if  $\text{cof}(\kappa) = \kappa$

### Remark

Cofinality generalizes to ordinals and this is what Lean actually does.

# The Theorem

Our goal is to prove the following:

## Theorem (Fodor's Lemma)

Let  $\kappa$  be an uncountable regular cardinal,  $S \subseteq \kappa$  a stationary set and let  $f : S \rightarrow \kappa$  be a regressive function. Then there is an ordinal  $\theta < \kappa$  such that  $f^{-1}(\{\theta\})$  is stationary in  $\kappa$ .

## Sets and Ordinals are different types. How can they interact?

- ▶ In set theory, everything is a set, including cardinals and ordinals. This is not true in the type-theoretic framework we are working with.

## Sets and Ordinals are different types. How can they interact?

- ▶ In set theory, everything is a set, including cardinals and ordinals. This is not true in the type-theoretic framework we are working with.
- ▶ With this in mind, our main objects of study here are of type ***Set*** ***Ordinal***.

## Sets and Ordinals are different types. How can they interact?

- ▶ In set theory, everything is a set, including cardinals and ordinals. This is not true in the type-theoretic framework we are working with.
- ▶ With this in mind, our main objects of study here are of type ***Set*** ***Ordinal***.
- ▶ This makes sense: recall that in ZFC, an ordinal contains every ordinal strictly smaller than itself.

Sets and Ordinals are different types. How can they interact?

fdsafdsaf

Sets and Ordinals are different types. How can they interact?

fdasfdaf



Working with supremums is hard.

fdsafda

## General Structure:

Basic properties of supremums + Definitions + regularity of  $\kappa$



The intersection of two club sets is club



induction

The intersection of less than  $\kappa$  club sets is club



The diagonal intersection of  $\kappa$  club sets is club



Fodor's Lemma

# On Cofinality

Why is it useful to work with sequences of length  $\omega$ ?

## On Cofinality

Why is it useful to work with sequences of length  $\omega$ ?

If  $\kappa$  is uncountable and regular, the limit of such an ascending sequence will always be less than  $\kappa$  by regularity of  $\kappa$ , since  $\mathbb{N}$  is countable.

## On Cofinality

Why is it useful to work with sequences of length  $\omega$ ?

If  $\kappa$  is uncountable and regular, the limit of such an ascending sequence will always be less than  $\kappa$  by regularity of  $\kappa$ , since  $\mathbb{N}$  is countable.

With this in mind, a very easy generalisation for the properties of club sets is apparent:

### Remark

We can replace our uncountable regular cardinal with any ordinal  $\alpha$  satisfying  $\text{cof}(\alpha) > \aleph_0$ .