Formalization of the Proof of Fodor's Lemma

Theofanis Chatzidiamantis Christoforidis

January 2024

Basic Definitions

Let o be a limit ordinal and $C \subseteq o$ a set.

Definition

i. C is called **unbounded** in o if $\sup(C \cap o) = o$.

Basic Definitions

Let o be a limit ordinal and $C \subseteq o$ a set.

Definition

i. C is called **unbounded** in o if $\sup(C \cap o) = o$.
ii. C is called **closed** in o if for every $\alpha < o$, if $C \cap \alpha \neq \varnothing$, then $\sup(C \cap o) \in C$.

Basic Definitions

Let o be a limit ordinal and $C \subseteq o$ a set.

Definition

i. C is called **unbounded** in o if $\sup(C \cap o) = o$.

ii. C is called **closed** in o if for every $\alpha < o$, if $C \cap \alpha \neq \emptyset$, then $\sup(C \cap o) \in C$.

iii. C is called **club** in o if it is closed and unbounded in o.

Basic Definitions

Let o be a limit ordinal and $C \subseteq o$ a set.

Definition

i. C is called **unbounded** in o if $\sup(C \cap o) = o$.

ii. C is called **closed** in o if for every $\alpha < o$, if $C \cap \alpha \neq \emptyset$, then $\sup(C \cap o) \in C$.

iii. C is called **club** in o if it is closed and unbounded in o.

Remark

Equivalently, C is unbounded in o if for every $\alpha < o$, there is a $\beta \in C$ such that $\alpha < \beta$.

Basic Definitions

Definition

Let o be a limit ordinal and $S \subseteq o$ a set. S is called **stationary** in o if for every club set $C \subseteq o$, $S \cap C \neq \varnothing$.

Basic Definitions

Definition

Let o be a limit ordinal and $S \subseteq o$ a set. S is called **stationary** in o if for every club set $C \subseteq o$, $S \cap C \neq \varnothing$.

Definition

Let $f : C \to D$ be a function, where C and D are sets of ordinals. f is callted **regressive** if for every $0 < \alpha \in C$, $f(\alpha) < \alpha$.

The Theorem

Our goal is to prove the following:

Theorem (Fodor's Lemma)

Let κ be an uncountable regular cardinal, $S \subseteq \kappa$ a stationary set and let $f : S \to \kappa$ be a regressive function. Then there is an ordinal $\theta < \kappa$ such that $f^{-1}(\{\theta\})$ is stationary in κ.

Sets and Ordinals are different types. How can they interact?

- In set theory, everyting is a set, including cardinals and ordinals. This is not true in the type-theoretic framework we are working with.

Sets and Ordinals are different types. How can they interact?

- In set theory, everyting is a set, including cardinals and ordinals. This is not true in the type-theoretic framework we are working with.
- With this in mind, our main objects of study here are of type **Set Ordinal**.

Sets and Ordinals are different types. How can they interact?

- In set theory, everyting is a set, including cardinals and ordinals. This is not true in the type-theoretic framework we are working with.
- With this in mind, our main objects of study here are of type **Set Ordinal**.
- This makes sense: recall that in ZFC, an ordinal contains every ordinal strictly smaller than itself.

Sets and Ordinals are different types. How can they interact?

fdsafdsaf

Sets and Ordinals are different types. How can they interact?

fdasfdaf

Working with supremums is hard.

fdsafda

gdsjhfhkdjs