

will have evidence of how inequalities in various spheres negatively and repeatedly affect very specific population groups. He will also see how intersections between groups seem to result in more inequality.

It is important to emphasize that the separation of inequalities into spheres and dimensions is a merely didactic resource that we adopt to describe or represent their complexity in a understandable way.

That figure of speech should never imply a compartmentalized view of our object of study. Quite the contrary: the very notion of intersectionality points to the fact that these spheres in which inequalities occur are overlapping, connected and correlated with each other. There is no way to dissociate them, as intersectionality lies precisely in the concomitance between them.

The second part of the text will continue with the concept of intersectionality and address how it contributes to the understanding and study of inequalities. We will map the use of the term by academia to indicate its analytical potential but also to understand the criticism it has received.

We will see that one of the recurring criticisms of the intersectional approach refers to the difficulties of its application - many of these criticisms originate in the absence of a rigorous and clearly defined methodological orientation. By now, it will probably be clear to the reader that the concept travels quickly and has been applied to a wide variety of situations and contexts.

This, while demonstrating its usefulness, its potential reach (and success as an approach), may imply a conceptual stretching (in other words, distortion in relation to the initial purpose, with application to inappropriate situations). In the interdisciplinary journey of 'intersectionality', many attempts to confer the so-called 'methodological rigor' have failed because they empty aspects considered essential of the intersectional approach.

This is the case, for example, of the vocation to accuse inequalities, to promote awareness about the contexts in which inequalities are reproduced, to criticize the structures of domination and the privileges of some groups to the detriment of others. The result has been seen as the depoliticization of the intersectional approach. With some reason, some intersectionality theorists and other activists demonstrate dissatisfaction with the popularization of the term.

The indiscriminate use, together with the political-conceptual emptying and theoretical methodological criticisms sound like an epistemic boycott strategy to segregate, disqualify black intellectuals, appropriate the intersectional approach, reducing their questioning impact and capable of provoking changes .

In view of these concerns, the present work joins the debate to develop a theoretical-conceptual proposal for operationalization. In this way, it aims to allow the measurement of the intersectional effect, so that it can overcome some of the