

As such, Intersectionality needs not have datum representations. Its creators and primary audience certainly have found use to it as an awareness driver, or as a helper on the task of attributing alternative views, interpretations, on the resignification of facts, situations, relationships, things, people, events, self, etc.

On the other side, scholars using intersectionality should be wary on the proceeding of interpretations and valuations. The limits may be blurred between attributing meaning to actions and facts and misjudgement. More often than we would like to we have seen researchers come to spectacular conclusions out of perfect logical reasoning that happened to be untrue.

All that said, this work, from the beginning, has committed itself to not only exploring the concept of intersectionality in its rich depth, but also to addressing these challenges head-on. Our goal has always been to develop a theoretical-conceptual proposal that provides a rigorous and operationalizable framework for analyzing intersectionality and its impact on individuals and groups.

We revisited the roots of the concept, anchoring our analysis in the seminal writings of Kimberlé Crenshaw, to ensure that our approach maintained a genuine proximity to the original precepts of intersectionality. But we also took some bold steps forward with the propositions that make our analytical model.

While both model and its premises are preliminary and might well be improved through review, they also stand out as a valuable effort on dealing with methodological issues and on building theory.

This model is our effort to face the challenge of methodological rigor, allowing the measurement and quantification of the “intersectional effect” in different contexts. This, we believe, is one of the greatest contributions of this work, providing a valuable tool (or at the very least a starting point) that can be improved in future studies to analyze inequalities and intersectionalities with the necessary precision.

Although we believe to be taking a solid path to theoretical framework, We should be aware that the resulting model is not yet ready for use. Further detailing is necessary and perhaps even some formalizing before it can be applied to a concrete case analysis. We recognize that its practical application in different sociocultural contexts can present challenges. Therefore, it is imperative that future research explore this applicability, refining and adapting the model as necessary. But we may be content for the moment with the contours that it seems to be taking: it looks robust (as it is grounded in a coherent and plausible set of axioms), versatile (as it seems to be adaptable to different situations - e.g. it has room for multilevel analyses, of multilevel observations) and useful (as intersectionality had already proven to be).

By analyzing the origins and applications of intersectionality, we observe the intrinsic complexity of the human experience and how different social categories interact to shape these experiences. This endeavor demonstrated that individual identities are