

Forest Nenets schwa

Sasha Shikunova

Last updated August 4, 2023

The schwa in both Nenets languages is essentially a syllability marker
(Salminen 2007: p. 358)

Ударение в ненецких словах не расставлено, так как оно не является
фиксированным.
(Barmich & Vello 2002: p. 8)

1 Forest Nenets



- » Mainly spoken in Yamalo-Nenets okrug (Northwestern Siberia)
- » Closely related but not identical to the Tundra Nenets language
- » The number of speakers is very small – around 1000 people
- » Still, the language is still being passed on as L1 to kids living in settlements
- » No official alphabet or orthography
- » Not taught at school



2 Vowels and consonants

We adopt a modified version of Tapani Salminen's phonemic transcription.

Consonant inventory

p p' t č k k' h/?
 m m' n n' ɲ ɲ'
 s s' x x'
 λ λ'
 w w' ll'
 d'

Vowel inventory (stressed syllables)

ĩ i ũ u
 ě e ő o
 ǣ æ ǎ a

Vowel inventory (unstressed syllables)

o i u
 æ a

» Forest Nenets vowels are divided into short – /ĩ ũ ě ő ǣ ǎ %/ and long – /i u e o æ a/.

» Length in vowels is contrastive – see Sammallahti (1974) for minimal pairs like (1–2).

(1) Minimal pairs /a ǎ/ (Sammallahti 1974: p. 20)

- | | | |
|----|---------------------|--------------|
| a. | <i>kăta</i> [kătta] | ‘fingernail’ |
| b. | <i>kata</i> [kata] | ‘grandma’ |

(2) Minimal pairs /u ũ/

- a. *mun* [mun] 'sound, voice'
b. *mũn* [mũnn] 'bite'

» Length contrast is preserved in stressed syllables and neutralised in unstressed syllables

» Some quality contrasts disappear in unstressed syllables as well: only /i u æ a/ can be distinguished; the status of schwa is unclear, since it is often realised suprasegmentally

» /o e/ surface as /u i/ respectively in unstressed positions

- (3) a. *wed'aʔku* [wed'a^hku] 'dog'
b. *wed'aʔkoj°* [wed'a^hkoj] 'dog-POSS.1SG'
c. *wed'aʔkota* [wed'a^hkota] 'dog-POSS.3SG'

» /ě ǒ/ only occur in monosyllabic open-syllable words like *tǒ* 'lake' or *s'ě* 'tongue'

» /a ǎ °/ are in harmony with preceding vowels over /x ʔ/

- (4) a. *tǒ-xǎna* [toxona] 'lake-LOC'
b. *d'ǐl'i-hǎna* [d'ǐλλixǐnna] 'month-LOC'

» Basic syllable structure is CV(C)

» Vowel-initial words are very rare

(5) Some #V words from Forest Nenets

- a. *ǎpi* 'bear'
b. *ama* 'mom'
c. *il'p'i* 'bear'

» Vowel-zero alternations can occur in the first syllable

- (6) a. *čĭki* [čĭki] ~ [čki] 'this'
b. *pĭtǎ* [pĭtǎ] ~ [ptǎ] 'he/she'

»

3 Stress

Stress falls on odd syllables, excluding the final syllable. On long vowels, stress is materialized as even greater length.

- (7) *tataŋata* ['ta.ta.'ŋa.ta] 'he's exchanging'

Since stress preserves length contrast in vowels, short vowels in stressed syllables are shorter than in the unstressed ones. In stressed open syllables with short vowels, compensatory lengthening of the next consonant occurs (8). Sammallahti (1974: pp. 23–24) notes that consonants are the longest 'between a first syllable short vowel and a second syllable long vowel'.

- (8) a. *käta* [kätta] 'fingernail'
b. *käta-xäna* [kättaxänna] 'fingernail-INS'

In closed syllables with short vowels (9) or in syllables with long vowels (10–11) no such gemination happens.

- (9) *mīn'či* [mīn'či] 'stomach'
(Sammallahti 1974: p. 22)
- (10) *konaλa-j* [konaλaj] '(he/she) fell asleep'
- (11) *panču* [panču] 'full'
(Sammallahti 1974: p. 22)

4 Schwa

Schwa is different from other short vowels because of its predominantly non-segmental phonetic expression. Schwa can do 3 things:

- i. Be pronounced as a very short vowel:
C° -> Cĭ
- ii. Make the preceding vowel longer:
CVC° -> CVVC
- iii. Make the preceding consonant longer:
CVC° -> CVCC

The effects of schwa are noticeable in contexts where the gemination or vowel lengthening are not attributable to stress, that is, when even-numbered syllables are affected – in sequences like CVCVC° (12).

When the schwa lengthens the vowel/consonant, it is not pronounced: rules 1 and 2 do not apply together with rule 3.

- (12) a. *tohoλ°kota* [tohoλλkota] ‘student’
 b. *naxaλ°* [naxaaλ] ‘cone’
 c. *pisān°-xāna* [pisān(ĩ)xīna] ‘table-INS’

Schwa forms a legitimate syllable, wrt. metrics as well: note how the /n/ in (13b) is longer due to occurring after an odd (and therefore stressed) syllable.

- (13) a. *kāλ°* [kāλλ] ‘knife’
 b. *kāλ°xāna* [kāλλxānna] ‘knife-INS’

When schwa is incorporated by the preceding vowel, thereby supposedly shifting the stress, it does not form a syllable: cf. example (14), where the schwa lengthens the vowel rather than the consonant to its left. The /n/ of the suffix is still lengthened. No compensatory lengthening of /x/ is observed.

- (14) *naxāλ°-kāna* [nāxaλkānna] ‘cone-INS’

Sometimes the schwa can be omitted or pronounced in the same idiolect. In *mān°* ‘1SG’, the final consonant can be a bit longer when the schwa is not pronounced as a vowel. If the schwa is dropped in *ka-λ°* ‘ear-POSS.2SG’, there is no other effect, but the schwa is pronounced more often than not. Note that in the minimally different *kāλ°* ‘knife’, the schwa is always expressed via lengthening of /λ/.

- (15) a. *mān°* [mān'(n')] ~ [mānĩ] ‘1SG.NOM’
 b. *ka-λ°* [kaλ] ~ [kaλĩ] ‘ear-POSS.2SG’

4.1 Durative

There is a supposedly syllable-counting durative suffix *-(m')p'o*. It surfaces as *p'o* after even syllables (16) and as *m'p'o* after odd syllables (17). The schwa contributes to the syllable count in this allomorphy on a par with other vowels (18).

- (16) a. *tata-ηa-ta* [tataηata] ‘exchange-GFS-3SG>PL’
 b. *tata-p'o-ηa-ta* [tatap'oηata] ‘exchange-GFS-DUR-3SG>PL’

- (17) a. *kamata-* ‘cook’
 b. *kamata-m'p'o* ‘cook-DUR’
 (Salminen 2007: p. 359)

- (18) a. *ηam°la* [ηammλa] ‘he/she fed’
 b. *ηam°la-m'p'o-s'°tu* [ηammλam'p'os'tu] ‘feed-DUR-HAB’

I found an almost minimal pair: *kap°ta-* ‘invite’ and *kāptā* ‘put out (fire)’.

- (19) a. *kap^ota-s^otu* [kapptas'stu] 'he invites (us)'
 b. *kap^ota-m'p'o-s^otu* [kapptam'p'os'stu] 'invite-DUR-HAB'

- (20) a. *kăptă-ŋa-λ^o* [kăpptăŋaλ] 'put.out.fire-GFS-3SG'
 b. *kăptă-p'o-ŋa-λ^o* [kăptăp'oŋaλ^o] 'put.out.fire-DUR-GFS-3SG'

The data on the durative allomorphy is as of now incomplete: we are not sure what happens after closed syllables. There might be a schwa after a closed syllable, as, for example, in (??).

- (21) a. *xæt^os^o* xətaɪɪ 'to sew'
 b. *xæt^o-p'o-s^o* xətpiëɪ 'sew-DUR-PTCP'
 (Barmich & Vello 2002)

5 Schwa and stress – the same exponent?

The gemination effects of schwa and stress are perplexingly similar. The same gemination effect is observed before schwa and in initial stressed syllables with short vowels but the underlying representations are still different, judging by the ablative suffix allomorphy – *hăt^o/kăt^o* 'ABL'.

- (22) a. *d'ũλ* [d'ũλλ] 'grease'
 b. *d'ũλ-kăt* [d'ũλλkăt] 'grease-ABL'

- (23) a. *kăλ^o* [kăλλ] 'knife'
 b. *kăλ^o-xăttă* [kăλλxătti] ~ [kăλλhăttă] 'knife-ABL'

The vowel lengthening effects are similar too: stress preserves length contrast, whereas schwa can lengthen an unstressed and therefore shortened vowel. Recall that /o/ appears as /u/ in unstressed positions.

- (24) a. *wed'aʔku* [wed'a^hku] 'dog'
 b. *wed'aʔkoj^o* [wed'aʔkoj] 'dog-POSS.1SG'
 c. *wed'aʔkota* [wed'aʔkota] 'dog-POSS.3SG'

5.1 Phrase-level stress

We suspect that stress assignment domain can be extended from word to phrase in connected speech. More data needed.

- (25) *tõ-xănă ɲu^hka kaλ'a* [tũ.'xo.na 'ɲu^h.ka 'ka.λ'a] 'lake-LOC much fish'

6 Analysis

The representation of stress as an empty CV (Szigetvári & Scheer 2005) is a good fit for Forest Nenets, since the stressed syllable seems to become heavier, albeit in different ways depending on the length of the vowel.


- » For long vowels, stress must preserve their length
- » For short vowels in open syllables, stress must geminate the consonant
- » But how can we model length contrast preservation with the empty CV?

The Strict CV Metrics approach of Faust & Ulfsgbjorninn (2018), Alexei & Ulfsgbjorninn (2022), Ulfsgbjorninn (2022) can help model the effects of schwa, since schwa can lengthen the preceding vowel but this effect cannot be produced by vowel spreading. Incorporation of its metrical prominence, however, could work. Some general remarks on the analysis of stress:


- » The exponent of stress is a (projecting) empty CV
- » No final stress \Rightarrow final empty nuclei do not project
- » Since vowel length is not metrically relevant, short and long vowels initially project to the same line (Line 1)

6.1 Representation of length

Long vowels are traditionally represented as taking up two V-slots; suppose we apply this to Forest Nenets.




What will the stress do? The first /a/ in *kata* ‘grandma’ must get increased prominence. The second V of the long vowel does not project – length does not matter for stress assignment. When an extra empty CV is inserted, the long vowel cannot associate to it, since it would become super-long. So, the CV is incorporated and then deleted.




When it comes to short vowels, the empty CV can host the geminate: the next consonant will spread onto the empty C-slot.

(29) *kāta* ‘fingernail’



In closed stressed syllables with short vowels, there is no gemination, so stress assignment in these contexts should proceed similarly to open syllables with long vowels.

(30) *m̄in'či* ‘stomach’




Several issues with the analysis featuring the conventional representation of vowel length:

- » If the long vowels are associated to two V-slots even out of stressed environments, why does the length contrast disappear?
- » Short vowels do not get longer under stress. What will incorporation of the stress CV mean for short vowels?
- » Why can't the consonant spread in (30)?


6.2 Length only appears in stressed positions

The alternative is to make both long and short vowels short in the underlying representation. The difference would be in their *potential to associate*: long vowels would spread in the presence of an adjacent empty V slot, whereas short vowels would stay short.

(31) *kata* ‘grandma’



(32) *k̄ata* ‘fingernail’



The extra shortness of stress short vowels is caused by gemination of the following consonant; the increased duration of long vowels is an expression of phonological length.


The absence of gemination in closed syllables can be explained if we assume that (a) word-medial empty nuclei (MENs) can be incorporated and (b) the stress CV is not inserted if Line 2 is already filled. The second requirement can be fulfilled if a domain-hood condition is introduced which controls insertion of a stress CV (33).

(33) Domain-hood condition for Forest Nenets

A phonological domain must contain a V-slot that projects to Line 2.

If Line 2 is already filled, no CV is necessary; otherwise, it appears in order to be incorporated and to fill Line 2. Similar condition has been proposed by Ulfsgjorninn (2021) for Hawu, where compensatory gemination in stressed syllables is observed, too.


(34) *m̃n'či* 'stomach'




6.3 Representation of the durative

The durative grows a /m'/ after open odd-numbered, and therefore stressed, syllables. Sounds familiar? The proposed representation for the durative contains a floating /m'/ that can associate to the stress CV instead of compensatory gemination.

(35) *<m'>p'o* 'DUR'



(36) *kap°ta-m'p'o-s'°tu* 'invite-DUR-HAB'



7 Schwa as another empty CV

If schwa is assumed to be a genuine short vowel, its effects are not easy to explain:

» Gemination happens *after* stressed short vowels but *before* schwa


» Schwa is not an incorporating metrical head but rather incorporated itself

I suggest representing schwa as a CV slot with a floating short /ĩ/. The melodic content is justified by cases where schwa surfaces as a vowel and acts as a harmonic head (37).

(37) *næp'aʔk°-hăt°* [næp'aʰkĩhĩt] 'paper-ABL'

The gemination effect of schwa is produced by spreading the consonant onto the schwa CV.

(38) *toxol%kota* ‘student’



» Does the schwa project in this case?


» Why is the schwa not pronounced when it makes a geminate?

The increased prominence effect is caused by incorporation of the schwa. When incorporated, it is not pronounced; this can be ensured by a condition on pronouncing schwa:

(39) Condition on segmental expression of schwa

The short /ĩ/ of the schwa can only be associated to a non-incorporated V-slot.

(40) *naxal°* ‘cone’



Glossing abbreviations

1 = first person, 2 = second person, 3 = third person, ABL = ablative, DUR = durative, GFS = general finite stem, HAB = habitual, INS = instrumental, LOC = locative, NOM = nominative, PL = plural, POSS = possessive, PTCP = participle, SG = singular.

References

- Alexei, Sofia & Shanti Ulfsbjorninn. 2022. Metrical exceptionality and stress shift in Romanian nouns and adjectives. *Isogloss. Open Journal of Romance Linguistics* 8(1). 1–29.
- Barmich, Maria Yakovlevna & Inna Autovna Vello. 2002. *Nenecko-russkij i rusko-neneckij slovar' (lesnoj dialekt) [Nenets-Russian and Russian-Nenets dictionary (the Forest dialect)]*. Saint-Petersburg: Prosveščenie.
- Faust, Noam & Shanti Ulfsbjorninn. 2018. Arabic stress in strict CV, with no moras, no syllables, no feet and no extrametricality. *The Linguistic Review* 35(4). 561–600.
- Salminen, Tapani. 2007. Notes on Forest Nenets phonology. In Jussi Ylikoski & Ante Aikio (eds.), *Sámit, sánit, sátnehámit. riepmočála pekka sammallahtii miessemánu 21. beaivve 2007. suomalais-ugrilaisen seuran toimituksia = mémoires de la société finno-ougrienne*, vol. 253, 349–372. Helsinki: Suomalais-Ugrilainen Seura.

- Sammallahti, Pekka. 1974. *Material from Forest Nenets*. Helsinki: Castrenianum.
- Szigetvári, Péter & Tobias Scheer. 2005. Unified representations for the syllable and stress. *Phonology* 22. 37–75.
- Ulfsgjorninn, Shanti. 2021. Lenition and Metathesis in Hawu: a quantity-sensitive language. *SOAS Working Papers in Linguistics* 20. 1–25.
- Ulfsgjorninn, Shanti. 2022. *Weight hierarchies, Syllabification and Quantitative Meter: Strict CV Metrics as an alternative to Standard Moraic Theory*.