

# Syntax and Semantics

Written Exam, August 13, 2015, 13:00 - 16:00

Navn:

Stud.nr.:

## Read this before you start!

- This exam contains 6 exercises. Each exercise is compulsory and has an equal contribution to the final grade.
- Each exercise should be solved in the space available on the page of the exercise and on the back page. Additional pages might be added if needed - for this contact the exam supervisors. Use page numbers to indicate the order in which the examiner should read your solutions.
- For preparing the final solutions you might use additional paper drafts.
- The solutions of the exercises must be written in English.
- During the exam no written materials are allowed. You are not allowed to use any electronic device.

- Exercise 1.** 1. Construct an NFA that recognizes the language  $L = (01 + 001 + 010)^*$ .
2. Is the language  $L$  context-free? Motivate your answer by using techniques or theorems learned this semester.
3. Convert the NFA of  $L$  to an equivalent DFA.

**Exercise 2.** Consider the following language over the alphabet  $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$ .

$$L = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i, j, k \geq 0 \text{ and if } i = 1 \text{ then } j = k\}.$$

Is  $L$  regular? If yes, show a proof that it is so. If no, provide a proof that it is not so.

## Exercises

**Exercise 3.** Consider the following language over the alphabet  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ .

$$L = \{w \in \{a, b\}^* \mid w \text{ has more } a\text{'s than } b\text{'s}\}$$

Is  $L$  context-free? If no, provide a proof that it is not so. If yes, show a proof that it is so.

**Exercise 4.** Consider the following statements in **Bims**

`while  $\neg(\underline{2} < \underline{1} + \underline{1})$  do (if  $x < x$  then  $x := \underline{2}$  else skip)`  $(S_0)$

`$x := \underline{0}$ ; while  $(x \geq \underline{0})$  do  $x := x + \underline{1}$`   $(S_1)$

`$x := \underline{3}$ ;  $y := \underline{4}$ ; while  $(x \leq y)$  do  $(x := \underline{2} * x$ ;  $y := \underline{3} * y)$`   $(S_2)$

1. Prove that there exists  $k \geq 0$  such that in the small-step semantics of **Bims**, for any state  $s \in States$ ,  $\langle S_0, s \rangle \Rightarrow^k \langle S_0, s \rangle$ ;
2. Are  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  semantically equivalent in the big-step semantics of **Bims**? A valid answer must be proven.
3. Are  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  semantically equivalent in the small-step semantics of **Bims**? A valid answer must be proven.

**Exercise 5.** Consider the following fragment of the language **Bump**, where  $a$  denotes an arithmetic expression

$$S ::= x := a \mid skip \mid S_1; S_2 \mid \text{begin } D_v D_p S \text{ end} \mid \text{callp}(a)$$

$$D_v ::= \text{var } x := a; D_v \mid \varepsilon$$

$$D_p ::= \text{proc } p(x) \text{ is } S; D_p \mid \varepsilon.$$

Complete the transition rule below for non-recursive procedure calls using call-by-value mechanism for fully static scope rules, where  $st, st'$  represent the initial and the final states respectively, and  $e_v, e_p$  the variable and the procedure environments respectively.

---


$$e_v, e_p \vdash \langle \text{callp}(a), st \rangle \rightarrow st'$$

**Exercise 6.** Consider the language **Bims** in which the Boolean expressions are given by the following grammar:

$$b ::= a_1 \neq a_2 \mid a_1[pd]a_2 \mid b_1 \overline{\vee} b_2 \mid \perp,$$

where  $a$  denotes arithmetic expressions,  $\neq$  denotes the relation "*not equal*",  $a_1[pd]a_2$  expresses the fact that " *$a_1$  is a prime number and a divisor of  $a_2$* ",  $b_1 \overline{\vee} b_2$  denotes the fact that "*if  $b_2$  is true then also  $b_1$  must be true*" and  $\perp$  denotes "*false*".

1. Give a big-step semantics for these Boolean expressions, assuming that you already have the big-step semantics for arithmetic expressions.
2. Give a small-step semantics for these Boolean expressions, assuming that you already have the small-step semantics for arithmetic expressions.