Word Break :-

```
fool solve (inti, unordered set cirt7s) {
                                                                if (i==n) return true;
                                                                if (dp[i)!=-1 return dp(i);
                                                                 book ans false, story s,
                                                                  for (int j=i; j<n;j++)
                                                                   ans = and (s. count (st. substr(i,)+1
 [Nhive Approach] Using Recursion - O(2") Time LO(n) space:
 The idea is to consider each prefixed searchfor it in dich arrange
 If the prefix is present in dictionary, we recur for rest of the
 string (or suffix). If the recurrice call for suffix returns true,
 otherwise we try nent prefix. It we have tried all prefixes,
 I none of them resulted in a sol ", we return false.
 bool word breakfec (int i, string (s, ve dor (string) die) (
   if ( i== s.leryth()) return true;
   int n = s. length ();
   string prefix = "",
   for ( ;nt j= ( ; j'en; j'++){
       pr4/x+= s(1);
       if (find (die begin (), die end (), prefix) 1=die end () ()
           wordbreakked (j+1,5, die) return true;
      retun folse;
   Lood word Break (string & s, water (string) die ) {
          rotum wordBreakRecur(0, s, dic);
Using Top-Down DP-O(n2) Time & O(n+m) space: -
1. optimal Substructure
2. Overlapping Subprodums
```

... tor(etnine) & die, Vector(int)(4)

```
1.0 ptimal suras money
2. Overlafting Subproblems
bool word breakles (int ind, string & s, vector (string) & die, Vector (int) (14)
   Y if ( ind ) = s.size()) return the;
       if (dplind] /= -1) return dplind);
       bool possible : false;
        for (int i= 0; ( dic size(); i++)
             string temp = dicli);
             if (temp. size (1 > s. size (1 - ind) continue;
              bod of = true;
              for lint j= 0; j< temp size (); j++) {
                    if (temp(j)) = s(kg) {
                             Ok=false;
           if (ok) \(
           possible = word Break (ind+temp. size (), s, dic, dp);
```

return of (ind) = possible; &

bood word Break (string s, vector(string > f dic) of

int n= S-Size(). vector (int) of (n+1,-1);

return word Break Rec(0,5 ,dir, dp);

[Expected Approach-2] Using Bottom UpDP - O(n×mxk) time & O(n) space; -

The idea is to use bottom-up dynamic programming to determine if a string can be segmented into dictionary words. (reate a boolean array of) where each position offil represents whether the substring from 0 to that position can be broken into dictionary words.

step by step approach; -

- 1. Start from the beginning of the string & mark it as valid (base case) i.e. dplo) = true;
- 2. En each houition. check if any dictionary word ends at that position & leads to

```
i.e. dp/0) = tme;
```

- 2. For each position, check if any dictionary word ends at that position & leads to an already valid position.
- 3 If such a word exists, mark the current position as valid, i.e. dpli)=true.
- 4. At the end return the last entry of oxpl1.

```
bool word break (string & s, vector string > & dictionary) (
     int n= s.size();
     vector (bool) dp(n+1,0);
      4[0]=1;
   11 Traverse through the given string
     for (int i=1; i <= n; i++) {
      11Traverse through the dictionary words
      for (string &w: dictionary) (
      11 check if current word is present
      11 the prefix before the word is also
    11 breakable
       if (start 7=0 66 destart) bls. substr(start, w.size(1) == w){
       int start = i-w.size();
               dp[i]=1;
                break;
        333
       return of (n)
```

Important

```
oool wordBreak(string &s, vector<string> &dictionary) {
      // code here
unordered_set<string> st;
      for(auto &d:dictionary)st.insert(d);
int n=s.size();
      vector<int> dp(n, -1);
return solve(s, 0, st, dp);
}
bool solve(string &s, int i, unordered_set<string> st, vector<int> dp){
   int n=s.size();
     if(i==n)return 1;
if(dp[i]!=-1)return dp[i];
      bool ans=0;
      bool ans=0,
string ss;
for(int j=i;j<n;j++){
    ss=ss+s[j];
    ans=ans||(st.count(ss)>0)&&(solve(s, j+1, st, dp));
```

The Time Complexity of this approach is O(n3) due to this part leach time new string is created) That's why we use manual string matching.