Unbraiding the Bounce

David A. Dobre,^a Andrei V. Frolov,^a José T. Gálvez Ghersi,^{a,b} Sabir Ramazanov,^c and Alexander Vikman^c

^aDepartment of Physics, Simon Fraser University,
 8888 University Drive, Burnaby, British Columbia V5A 1S6, Canada

^bPerimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, 31 Caroline Street North, Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 2Y5, Canada

^cCEICO-Central European Institute for Cosmology and Fundamental Physics, Institute of Physics, the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Na Slovance 2, 182 21 Prague 8, Czech Republic

E-mail: ddobre@sfu.ca, frolov@sfu.ca, joseg@sfu.ca, ramazanov@fzu.cz, vikman@fzu.cz

Abstract. We study a recently proposed by Ijjas and Steinhardt particular realization [1] of the cosmological bounce scenario. First, we reveal the exact construction of the Lagrangian used in [1]. This explicit construction allowed us to study other cosmological solutions in this theory. In particular we found solutions with superluminal speed of sound and discuss the consequences of this feature for a possible UV-completion. Further, following the originally constructed background history, we evaluated the tensor and scalar spectra during the bouncing phase characterized by the violation of the null energy condition. We found that the change of the speed of sound is the cause of the dominance of the tensor power spectrum over the scalar part through most of the bounce. Moreover, we observe that none of the spectra evaluated across the bouncing phase is scale invariant. In addition to this, we present our results for particle production by showing the evolution of the occupation number of scalar fluctuations through the bounce.

\mathbf{C}	ontents	
1	Introduction	1
2	Model and main equations	1
3	Inverse method, finding the theory	3
4	Other Solutions, Phase Space	4
5	Superluminality	4
6	Perturbations	5
	6.1 Setting up the equations of motion and initial conditions	5
	6.2 Evolution of the mode amplitudes and length scales	7
	6.3 Evolution of the scalar and tensor power spectrum and particle production	8
7	Conclusions	9
\mathbf{R}	eferences	9

1 Introduction

Since 2011 it is well known that scalar-tensor theories with Kinetic Gravity Braiding (which are also called first two terms of Horndeski or simple generalized Galileons) allow for [2, 3] a classical bouncing evolution manifestly free from ghost and gradient instabilities around the bounce. The possibility of the bounce in such systems was briefly mentioned in Moreover, in [3] it was demonstrated that one can easily construct spatially flat bouncing universes, without ghosts and gradient instabilities and with bouncing solutions of a non-vanishing measure. Moreover, in [3] it was demonstrated that there is a continuum of such minimally coupled theories of the type of Kinetic Gravity Braiding. This Ref. [3] provided inequalities on two free functions of kinetic term present in Lagrangian of the theory, which are sufficient to guaranty a healthy bounce. It was also showed that this setup also works in a presence (in fact unavoidable) of the normal matter - like radiation etc. In one of examples ("Hot G-Bounce") it was even showed that such a bouncing universes can smoothly transit to the radiation dominated stage. In this work it was also discussed that there is always a singularity (either in the gravitational or acoustic metric).

2 Model and main equations

In 2016, Ijjas and Steinhardt proposed a particular realization [1] of the cosmological bounce scenario in a particular subclass of these theories. For convenience we denote this realization as IS-bounce. The IS-bounce was claimed to be free from ghost and gradient instabilities and free from superluminal propagation of perturbations. The explicit case of the IS-bounce uses a class of Kinetic Gravity Braiding theories with explicitly strongly broken shift-symmetry $\phi \to \phi + c$

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(k \left(\phi \right) \left(\partial \phi \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} q \left(\phi \right) \left(\partial \phi \right)^4 + \left(\partial \phi \right)^2 \Box \phi \right) , \qquad (2.1)$$

where

$$(\partial \phi)^2 \equiv g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi \equiv 2X \,, \qquad \Box \phi \equiv g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \phi \,, \tag{2.2}$$

where ∇_{μ} is the usual Levi-Civita connection ¹. The scalar field is supposed to be minimally coupled to gravity. Hence the theory is defined by two free functions $k(\phi)$ and $q(\phi)$. In notation of [4] we have²

$$K(X, \phi) = k(\phi) X + q(\phi) X^{2}, \qquad G(X, \phi) = X.$$
 (2.3)

This identification allows us to directly use all necessary formulas derived in [4] for arbitrary $K(X,\phi)$ and $G(X,\phi)$, see also [5]. In particular the pressure is

$$P = kX + qX^2 - 2X\ddot{\phi} , \qquad (2.4)$$

$$J = \dot{\phi} \left(k + 2qX + 3\dot{\phi}H \right) , \qquad (2.5)$$

the energy density

$$\varepsilon = \dot{\phi}J - kX - qX^2 \tag{2.6}$$

$$\dot{H} = X\ddot{\phi} - \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}J , \qquad (2.7)$$

The quadratic action for curvature perturbations \mathcal{R} reads³

$$S_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \int dt \, d^{3}x \, a^{3} \left(A(t) \, \dot{\mathcal{R}}^{2} - \frac{B(t)}{a^{2}} \left(\partial_{i} \mathcal{R} \right)^{2} \right). \tag{2.8}$$

The formula for the normalization of the kinetic term is given by (A.9) page 36, [4]

$$A = \frac{2XD}{\left(H - \dot{\phi}XG_{,X}\right)^2},\tag{2.9}$$

where the quantity

$$D = K_{,X} + 2XK_{,XX} - 2G_{,\phi} - 2XG_{,X\phi} + 6\dot{\phi}H(G_{,X} + XG_{,XX}) + 6X^{2}G_{,X}^{2}, \qquad (2.10)$$

determines (D > 0) whether the perturbations are free of ghosts. The sound speed is given by the formula (A.11) page 36, [4]

$$c_s^2 = \frac{B(t)}{A(t)} = \frac{\dot{\phi}XG_{,X}\left(H - \dot{\phi}XG_{,X}\right) - \partial_t\left(H - \dot{\phi}XG_{,X}\right)}{XD},$$
(2.11)

where we assumed that the field ϕ is the only source of energy-momentum. From this expression we can write

$$\frac{1}{2}B(t) = \frac{\dot{\phi}XG_{,X}\left(H - \dot{\phi}XG_{,X}\right) - \partial_t\left(H - \dot{\phi}XG_{,X}\right)}{\left(H - \dot{\phi}XG_{,X}\right)^2} . \tag{2.12}$$

¹Further we use: the standard notation $\sqrt{-g} \equiv \sqrt{-\det g_{\mu\nu}}$ where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric, the signature convention (+,-,-,-) (contrary to [1]), and the units $c=\hbar=1,\,M_{\rm Pl}=(8\pi G_{\rm N})^{-1/2}=1.$ ²At the beginning the authors of [1] also used $G(X,\phi)=b(\phi)\,X$, however this additional free function

 $b(\phi)$ can be eliminated by a simple field-redefinition.

³Note that [1] does not use the canonical normalization with 1/2 in front of the action. Hence our coefficients A(t) and B(t) are twice larger than those in [1].

Hence it is natural to introduce a convenient variable

$$\gamma = H - \dot{\phi} X G_{,X} , \qquad (2.13)$$

in terms of which

$$\frac{1}{2}B(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\gamma^{-1} + H\gamma^{-1} - 1.$$
 (2.14)

The expression for B(t) was written in this elegant form in [1] for a particular choice (2.3) of functions K and G. However, before that this variable (2.13) was used in [6], see equation (2.6) page 4.

For the Lagrangian given by (2.3) one obtains

$$\gamma\left(t\right) = H - \dot{\phi}X\,,\tag{2.15}$$

so that (2.12) (or (2.14)) yields

$$B = \frac{2X\left(k + 2qX + 4H\dot{\phi} + 2\ddot{\phi} - 2X^2\right)}{\left(H - \dot{\phi}X\right)^2}.$$
 (2.16)

While the general expression (2.10) gives

$$D = k + 6Xq + 6\dot{\phi}H + 6X^2, \qquad (2.17)$$

and consequently (2.9) reads

$$A = \frac{2X\left(k + 6qX + 6\dot{\phi}H + 6X^2\right)}{\left(H - \dot{\phi}X\right)^2} \,. \tag{2.18}$$

3 Inverse method, finding the theory

The authors of IS-bounce pustulated a particular fairly simple time-dependence of the Hubble parameter

$$H(t) = H_0 t \exp \left(-F(t - t_*)^2\right),$$
 (3.1)

where H_0 , F and t_* are constants, and proposed an "inverse method" to find free functions $k(\phi)$ and $q(\phi)$ in (2.1) which can realize this cosmological evolution.

The key observation of the "inverse method" proposed in [1] is that one can also independently postulate $\gamma(t)$ in (2.14). The IS-bounce postulates

$$\gamma = \gamma_0 \exp(3\theta t) + H(t) , \qquad (3.2)$$

where γ_0 and θ are additional constants with respect to already introduced H_0 , F and t_* . From (2.15) one can obtain

$$\phi(t) = \phi_0 + \int_{t_0}^t dt' \left(2(H - \gamma)\right)^{1/3}, \qquad (3.3)$$

where $\phi(t_0) = \phi_0$. It is convenient to chose this initial value as $\phi_0 = (-2\gamma_0/\theta)^{1/3} \exp(3t_0)$, so that the particular solution postulated in IS-bounce is

$$\phi(t) = \left(\frac{-2\gamma_0}{\theta^3}\right)^{1/3} \exp(\theta t) . \tag{3.4}$$

For the later it is suitable to denote

$$\phi_{\star} = \left(\frac{-2\gamma_0}{\theta^3}\right)^{1/3} \,, \tag{3.5}$$

as a characteristic field range, so that

$$t = \frac{1}{\theta} \log \left(\phi / \phi_{\star} \right) . \tag{3.6}$$

Using the substitutions (3.1) and (3.2) one obtains the functions $k(\phi)$ and $q(\phi)$ as functions of time on the particular solution (3.4)

$$k(t) = -\frac{2(2\dot{H} + 3H^2 + \dot{\gamma} + 3H\gamma)}{(2(H - \gamma))^{2/3}},$$
(3.7)

and

$$q(t) = \frac{4(2\dot{H} + \dot{\gamma} + 9H\gamma)}{3(2(H - \gamma))^{4/3}}.$$
(3.8)

For the later it is convenient to introduce functions

$$W(\phi) = \exp\left[\frac{F}{\theta^2} \left(\log\left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_{\star}}\right) - \theta t_{\star}\right)^2\right], \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\Omega(\phi) = W(\phi)\theta^{3}(\theta\phi)^{3} + H_{0}\left[\log\left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_{\star}}\right)\left(4F\left[\log\left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_{\star}}\right) - \theta t_{*}\right] + 2\theta(\theta\phi)^{3}\right) - 2\theta^{2}\right], \quad (3.10)$$

in terms of which the defining functions are

$$k(\phi) = -\frac{12H_0^2 \log^2(\phi/\phi_{\star}) - 3W(\phi) \left[\Omega(\phi) - H_0\theta(\theta\phi)^3 \log(\phi/\phi_{\star})\right]}{W^2(\phi)\theta^2(\theta\phi)^2}, \qquad (3.11)$$

and

$$q\left(\phi\right) = \frac{12H_0^2 \log^2\left(\phi/\phi_{\star}\right) - 2W\left(\phi\right) \left[\Omega\left(\phi\right) + H_0\theta\left(\theta\phi\right)^3 \log\left(\phi/\phi_{\star}\right)\right]}{W^2\left(\phi\right)\theta^2\left(\theta\phi\right)^4}.$$
 (3.12)

These expressions defining the theory which should be related to the origins of the universe neither look well-motivated nor natural from any point of view. This is the prize for the chosen simple exact solution (3.1), (3.4).

4 Other Solutions, Phase Space

5 Superluminality

It is known that generic theories with derivative interactions can have such configurations that small perturbations propagate faster than light. In k-essence one can formulate inequalities which can guarantee the absence of such superluminality. However, this seems to be impossible to achieve in general theories with Kinetic Gravity Braiding. There are examples [7, 8] of such theories where there is no superluminality for *all* cosmological configurations,

for the proof see[8]. However, this only happens in an idealized universe without any external matter. An unusual property of the theories with Kinetic Gravity Braiding is that the value of the sound speed does depend not only on the local state of the field $(\phi, \dot{\phi})$, but also on the external matter energy-momentum tensor. Even in the case of the subluminal cosmological states [7] the external matter introduces the superluminality at least for some regions of phase space [8].

6 Perturbations

In this section, we describe the dynamics of the scalar and tensor fluctuations by considering the IS-bouncing trajectory proposed in [1], which was described in the previous sections. All the information needed from this trajectory is contained in the choice of H(t) in (3.1) and $\gamma(t)$ in (3.2). For instance, we will first describe the set of equations of motion and initial conditions required for numerical evaluation.

6.1 Setting up the equations of motion and initial conditions

The field dynamics of these fluctuations is described by the Lagrangian in (2.1) expanded in second order of perturbations written in Fourier space,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(2)} = \frac{a^3 A(t)}{2} \left(\left| \dot{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{k}} \right|^2 - \frac{k^2 c_s^2}{a^2} \left| \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}} \right|^2 \right) + \frac{a^3}{4} \sum_{p=+,\times} \left[\left| \dot{h}_{\mathbf{k}}^p \right|^2 - \frac{k^2}{a^2} \left| h_{\mathbf{k}}^p \right|^2 \right]. \tag{6.1}$$

Where tensor modes are also included apart from the scalar curvature shown in (??) and the index p is a placeholder for any of the polarization modes, conventionally dubbed by (+) and (\times) . In this case, the speed of sound follows from its previous definitions and A(t) is the same as in (2.18). We derive the equations of motion after varying the action built from the previous expression with respect to $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $h_{\mathbf{k}}^p$,

$$\ddot{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{d\ln(a^3 A(t))}{dt} \dot{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{k^2 c_s^2}{a^2} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}} = 0,$$
$$\ddot{h}_{\mathbf{k}}^p + 3H \dot{h}_{\mathbf{k}}^p + \frac{k^2}{a^2} h_{\mathbf{k}}^p = 0.$$

With the help of the auxiliary variables $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv a^{-3/2} A(t)^{-1/2} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $h_{\mathbf{k}}^p \equiv \sqrt{2} a^{-3/2} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}}^p$ it is possible to write these equations in the form of two decoupled simple harmonic oscillators,

$$\ddot{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{k}} + \omega_{\mathcal{S}}^2 \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}} = 0, \tag{6.2}$$

$$\ddot{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{p} + \omega_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}}^{p} = 0, \tag{6.3}$$

where the functions $z \equiv a^{3/2}A^{1/2}$ and $y \equiv a^{3/2}$ are useful in order to define the natural frequencies for both oscillators $\omega_{\mathcal{S}}^2 \equiv k^2 c_s^2/a^2 - \ddot{z}/z$ and $\omega_{\mathcal{T}}^2 \equiv k^2/a^2 - \ddot{y}/y$. For simplicity, we will evolve these auxiliary variables instead of the original scalar and tensor modes; however it is not a problem to determine the dynamics of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $h_{\mathbf{k}}^p$ after inverting the definitions of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}}^p$. Initial conditions can be determined by instantaneous energy minimization after diagonalizing the Hamiltonian of an harmonic oscillator with a time-dependent frequency in a fixed instant of time, even when it is well-known that this selection criteria is not unique. The promotion of these solutions to field operators is given by,

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathcal{S}_{-\mathbf{k}}^* \hat{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \; ; \; \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathbf{k}}^p = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}}^p \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}} + (\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}}^p)^* \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, \tag{6.4}$$

and is consistent with the reality condition for all the fluctuation modes. $(\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger})$ and $(\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger})$ are the creation and annihilation operators for scalar and tensor perturbations. Any generic solution to (??) and (??) can be written as a function of two real modes $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1)} + i\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(2)}$. From the standard commutation relations for the ladder operators $[\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}] = \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$ and the equal-time commutator $[\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{k}'}] = i\delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$, we can show that the Wronskian of these real modes satisfies,

$$S_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1)}\dot{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(2)} - S_{\mathbf{k}}^{(2)}\dot{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2},\tag{6.5}$$

at every instant of time, in agreement with the canonical commutations relations. The same procedure follows to write conservation of the Wronskian for the tensor modes. Hence, it is possible to write the canonically normalized initial conditions for all the modes involved,

$$\dot{S}^{(1)}(t_0) = 0 \; ; \; S^{(1)}(t_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_S(t_0)}},
\dot{S}^{(2)}(t_0) = \sqrt{\frac{\omega_S(t_0)}{2}} \; ; \; S^{(2)}(t_0) = 0,
(\dot{\mathcal{T}}^p)^{(1)}(t_0) = 0 \; ; \; (\mathcal{T}^p)^{(1)}(t_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_T(t_0)}},
(\dot{\mathcal{T}}^p)^{(2)}(t_0) = \sqrt{\frac{\omega_T(t_0)}{2}} \; ; \; (\mathcal{T}^p)^{(2)}(t_0) = 0,$$
(6.6)

in an analogous way than the standard prescription for the Bunch-Davies vacuum. Notice that even in the limit of high k, it is possible to observe that $c_s^2(t)$ and a(t) make the scalar initial conditions not invariant under time translations. Our objective with this choice of initial conditions is the instantaneous minimization of the energy per k-mode for only positive values of ω_T^2 and ω_S^2 before the bounce. In this way, we will compare the state of the system at any posterior instant of time with the zero-point energy state at $t=t_0$ of a time-dependent harmonic oscillator. This would be a suitable (but not unique) way to define equations of motion while setting initial conditions that minimize the energy of the system before the bounce. Notice that the evaluation of the expressions in (??) and (??) at all the posterior instants of time should never be treated as solutions to the equations of motion. Nevertheless, there is another way to rephrase the system that increases the performance of a numerical computation. For instance, let us rewrite the eikonal separation $S_k = L_S \exp(i\Theta_S)$, where L_S and Θ_S are real. Then we replace this expression in (??) obtaining two equations after separating the real and imaginary parts,

$$\ddot{L}_{S} + (\omega_{S}^{2} - \dot{\Theta}_{S}^{2})L_{S} = 0 \text{ (Real part)},$$

$$\ddot{\Theta}_{S} + 2\frac{\dot{L}_{S}}{L_{S}}\dot{\Theta}_{S} = 0 \text{ (Imaginary part)},$$
(6.8)

where (6.8) has a simple analytic solution given by $\dot{\Theta}_{\mathcal{S}}(t) = L_{\mathcal{S}}^2(t_0)\dot{\Theta}_{\mathcal{S}}(t_0)/L_{\mathcal{S}}^2$. Hence, the only equation to solve for the scalar modes is,

$$\ddot{L}_{\mathcal{S}} + \left[\omega_{\mathcal{S}}^2 - L_{\mathcal{S}}^4(t_0) \frac{\dot{\Theta}_{\mathcal{S}}^2(t_0)}{L_{\mathcal{S}}^4}\right] L_{\mathcal{S}} = 0.$$

$$(6.9)$$

The same idea can be straightforwardly applied for the tensor modes after replacing all the tensor components of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}}^p$ by $L_{\mathcal{T}}^p \exp(i\Theta_{\mathcal{T}})$. Assuming the same contribution from all

polarizations, this will lead us to an analogous result for the phase velocity $\dot{\Theta}_{\mathcal{T}}(t)$ and the equation of motion for the amplitudes differ from (6.9) only by considering $\omega_{\mathcal{T}}^2$ instead of $\omega_{\mathcal{S}}^2$,

$$\ddot{L}_{\mathcal{T}}^{p} + \left[\omega_{\mathcal{T}}^{2} - (L_{\mathcal{T}}^{p})^{4}(t_{0})\frac{\dot{\Theta}_{\mathcal{T}}^{2}(t_{0})}{(L_{\mathcal{T}}^{p})^{4}}\right]L_{\mathcal{T}}^{p} = 0.$$
(6.10)

It is important to remember that the power spectrum only depends on the amplitudes of the original modes, which can found at all times by,

$$|\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}}| = \frac{|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}}|}{a^{3/2}A^{1/2}} = \frac{L_{\mathcal{S}}}{a^{3/2}A^{1/2}}, |h_{\mathbf{k}}^{p}| = \frac{\sqrt{2}|\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}}^{p}|}{a^{3/2}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}L_{\mathcal{T}}^{p}}{a^{3/2}}.$$
 (6.11)

Initial conditions in (??) and (??) are reproduced after evaluating $\Theta_{\mathcal{T}}(t_0) = 0$ and $\Theta_{\mathcal{S}}(t_0) = 0$, similarly $\dot{L}^p_{\mathcal{T}}(t_0) = 0$ and $\dot{L}_{\mathcal{S}}(t_0) = 0$. The amplitudes of the modes and the phase derivatives are the only initial conditions with non-trivial values,

$$L_{\mathcal{S}}(t_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\mathcal{S}}(t_0)}} \; ; \; \dot{\Theta}_{\mathcal{S}}(t_0) = \omega_{\mathcal{S}}(t_0), \tag{6.12}$$

$$L_{\mathcal{T}}^{p}(t_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\mathcal{T}}(t_0)}} \quad ; \quad \dot{\Theta}_{\mathcal{T}}(t_0) = \omega_{\mathcal{T}}(t_0), \tag{6.13}$$

where the effective frequency of the harmonic oscillators in (6.9) and (6.10) at $t=t_0$ is exactly zero for the scalar and tensor modes regardless of the values of k. This procedure describes the single field realization of the separation technique of fast and slow components shown in [9]. Our construction relies heavily in the positivity of ω_T^2 and ω_S^2 at $t=t_0$, for that reason the initial instant of time where the mode evolution starts needs to be chosen properly in order to avoid diverging initial conditions. Both functions are positive in the range of very high values of k, therefore we evaluated both effective frequencies in Figure ?? in the low k limit. Henceforth, we choose $t_0 = -90\,t_{\rm Pl}$ to be the initial instant of time where the evolution of perturbations begin. Moreover, it is also (approximately) the first moment where both A(t) and c_s^2 are finite and positive, thus the system of scalar and tensor fluctuations evolves in a time domain where it is free from ghost and gradient instabilities.

6.2 Evolution of the mode amplitudes and length scales

The definitions of the effective oscillation frequencies for the scalar curvature (ω_s^2) and primordial tensor (ω_T^2) modes shows that only the scalar fluctuations propagate with sound speed c_s . It is relevant, therefore, to compare the evolution of different physical length scales as these propagate from sub-horizon scales. Let us define the two physical scales with respect to a given comoving wavelength λ_{com} by

$$\lambda_{\text{phys}}^{\mathcal{S}} = \frac{a\lambda_{\text{com}}}{c_{\text{s}}},\tag{6.14}$$

$$\lambda_{\text{phys}}^{\mathcal{T}} = a\lambda_{\text{com}},$$
 (6.15)

which can be identified as the corresponding wavelength part from the definitions of $\omega_{\mathcal{S}}^2$ and $\omega_{\mathcal{T}}^2$. We now evaluate the time derivative of the logarithmic versions of these two quantities, obtaining

$$\frac{d\ln \lambda_{\text{phys}}^{\mathcal{S}}}{dt} = H - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\dot{B}}{B} - \frac{\dot{A}}{A} \right), \tag{6.16}$$

$$\frac{d\ln \lambda_{\text{phys}}^{\mathcal{T}}}{dt} = H. \tag{6.17}$$

From these expressions, we notice that none of these derivatives depends on the specific values of the evolving scalar or tensor wavelengths. From the form of γ and H suggested for the IS-bounce in (3.1) and (3.2) along with the construction of A(t) and B(t) described in equations (14) and (17) in [1], it is possible to compare the evolution of all the physical length scales ($\ell_{\rm phys}$) including the sound and particle horizon:

$$s_{\rm H} = \int \frac{c_s(t)dt}{a(t)} \; ; \; p_{\rm H} = \int \frac{dt}{a(t)},$$
 (6.18)

through the bouncing phase. In Figure ??, as an example, we observe the evolution of the sound horizon and three sub-horizon physical wavelengths ($\lambda_{\text{phys}}^{\mathcal{S}}$) corresponding to different comoving modes that propagate through the so-called acoustic geometry. Such scalar wavelengths never reach the sound horizon. In the same way, the evolution of the particle horizon and the corresponding tensor wavelengths ($\lambda_{\text{phys}}^{\mathcal{T}}$) are depicted in Figure ??, where each of these was built from the same comoving modes as in the previous case. In both scenarios, this indicates that we should not expect any transitions – such as the characteristic "freezing" occurring when a mode crosses the horizon during inflation – in the solutions of the scalar and modes since none of the sub-horizon modes cross to super-horizon scales. Throughout this paper, we write the physical wavenumbers in units of the Ricci curvature $|R|^{1/2}$.

After considering these results, we evolve the mode amplitudes for scalar and tensor fluctuations using the equations of motion in (6.9) and its tensor counterpart in (6.10) considering the initial conditions in (6.12) and (6.13). In Figure ??, we represent the dynamics of the amplitudes of some of the original scalar (in Fig. ??) and tensor modes (in Fig. ??) after using the inversion relationships in (6.11). This confirms our previous statement about the lack of a "mode freezing" phase in all the sub-horizon modes. It is possible to observe oscillations of the modes for the sub-horizon modes with the smallest values of $k_{\rm phys}$. In the next subsection of this manuscript, it will be possible to identify these oscillations in the scalar and tensor power spectrum and in the occupation numbers at given frequencies. In the limit where k goes to zero, the dominant scales are the negative values of \ddot{z}/z and \ddot{y}/y which behave as time-dependent effective masses.

6.3 Evolution of the scalar and tensor power spectrum and particle production

In this subsection, we evaluate the scale dependence and other specific features of the power spectrum of scalar and tensor perturbations through the IS bouncing trajectory from the choice of instantaneous minimal energy initial conditions set in (6.12) and (6.13) right before the bounce. In addition to this, we also calculate the evolution of the occupation number of scalar and tensor fluctuations, observing that some of the features of the spectra are due to particle production. The power spectra of primordial scalar and tensor fluctuations follow from the typical definition given by,

$$P_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} |\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}}(t)|^2 , \quad P_h(k) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \sum_{p=+,\times} |h_{\mathbf{k}}^p(t)|^2, \tag{6.19}$$

where the time evolution of the amplitudes $|\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}}(t)|^2$ and $|h_{\mathbf{k}}^p(t)|^2$ follows from the numerical solutions for $L_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $L_{\mathcal{T}}$ described in (6.9) and (6.10) respectively. The calculations for particle production become much simpler to evaluate after we rephrase the equations of motion as in (??) and (??). We define the occupation number as,

$$\langle n_{\mathbf{k}}(t)\rangle = \frac{a^4 A(t)}{2kc_s} \left[|\dot{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + \frac{k^2 c_s^2}{a^2} |\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \right] - \frac{1}{2},$$
 (6.20)

where 1/2 corresponds to the minimum possible energy of the system for the production of scalar fluctuations. The tensor counterpart is defined in an analog way. In contrast with the definitions in [10], the last expression is not built from the time-dependent harmonic oscillators in (??) and (??) in order to not make these quantities divergent in the limit where ω_s^2 and ω_τ^2 vanish. In Figure ??, we evaluate the dependence of the amplitudes with k in four different instants of time. We evaluated the scalar and tensor power spectra in (6.19), showing that none of the spectra is scale invariant.

We must remark that the amplitude of the tensor modes dominates over the scalar amplitudes through most of the bouncing phase, as we can see in figures ??, ?? and ??. This is due to the evolution of the speed of sound, where the enhancement of the scalar power at later times – as shown in Figure ?? – coincides with the final decreasing phase of the speed of sound at $t \sim 50t_{\rm Pl}$. Scalar and tensor amplitudes as depicted in these figures show a blue spectrum, consistent in the high k limit with the results presented in [11]. The creation of particles in the scalar spectrum at later times is a visible feature of the scalar curvature spectrum, and it persists with less intensity as wavelengths become smaller. In addition to this, it is also possible to observe small oscillations in the tensor spectrum, which are evidence of particle production for these modes. We observe the evolution of the occupation number defined in (6.20) in Figure ??.

Here, the production of tensor fluctuations in Figure ?? is much smaller than the oscillations seen in Figure ?? in the same way the oscillations of the tensor amplitudes are smaller than the corresponding oscillations for the scalar power in Figure ??.

7 Conclusions

Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank Slava Mukhanov for useful discussions. The work of D.D. was funded by the Undergraduate Research grant provided by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. A.F and J.G. want to acknowledge the support of the Discovery Grants by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, J.G was partially funded by the Billy Jones scholarship granted by the Department of Physics at Simon Fraser University and by the Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics. Research at the Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through the Department of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada. The work of A.V. and S.R. was supported by the funds from the European Regional Development Fund and the Czech Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MŠMT): Project CoGraDS - CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/15_003/0000437. A.V. also acknowledges support from the J. E. Purkyně Fellowship of the Czech Academy of Sciences.

References

- [1] A. Ijjas and P. J. Steinhardt, "Classically stable nonsingular cosmological bounces," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** no. 12, (2016) 121304, arXiv:1606.08880 [gr-qc].
- [2] T. Qiu, J. Evslin, Y.-F. Cai, M. Li, and X. Zhang, "Bouncing Galileon Cosmologies," *JCAP* 1110 (2011) 036, arXiv:1108.0593 [hep-th].
- [3] D. A. Easson, I. Sawicki, and A. Vikman, "G-Bounce," JCAP 1111 (2011) 021, arXiv:1109.1047 [hep-th].

- [4] C. Deffayet, O. Pujolas, I. Sawicki, and A. Vikman, "Imperfect Dark Energy from Kinetic Gravity Braiding," *JCAP* **1010** (2010) 026, arXiv:1008.0048 [hep-th].
- [5] T. Kobayashi, M. Yamaguchi, and J. Yokoyama, "G-inflation: Inflation driven by the Galileon field," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **105** (2010) 231302, arXiv:1008.0603 [hep-th].
- [6] M. Libanov, S. Mironov, and V. Rubakov, "Generalized Galileons: instabilities of bouncing and Genesis cosmologies and modified Genesis," *JCAP* 1608 no. 08, (2016) 037, arXiv:1605.05992 [hep-th].
- [7] P. Creminelli, K. Hinterbichler, J. Khoury, A. Nicolis, and E. Trincherini, "Subluminal Galilean Genesis," *JHEP* **1302** (2013) 006, arXiv:1209.3768 [hep-th].
- [8] D. A. Easson, I. Sawicki, and A. Vikman, "When Matter Matters," JCAP 1307 (2013) 014, arXiv:1304.3903 [hep-th].
- [9] J. T. G. Ghersi and A. V. Frolov, "Two-point correlators revisited: Fast and slow scales in multifield models of inflation," *JCAP* 1705 no. 05, (2017) 047, arXiv:1609.04770 [astro-ph.CO].
- [10] N. Barnaby, "On Features and Nongaussianity from Inflationary Particle Production," *Phys. Rev.* **D82** (2010) 106009, arXiv:1006.4615 [astro-ph.CO].
- [11] P. Creminelli, A. Nicolis, and E. Trincherini, "Galilean Genesis: An Alternative to inflation," JCAP 1011 (2010) 021, arXiv:1007.0027 [hep-th].