Assignment: Document Hash

Qianlang Chen (u1172983)

 ${\rm CS}~5140~{\rm Spring}~2021$

Problem 1

```
num_docs = 4
doc_path = 'data/D%d.txt'

# Create the k-grams interested in this problem.
G1, G2, G3 = [], [], []
for doc in range(1, num_docs + 1):
    contents = open(doc_path % doc, 'r').read().strip()
    words = contents.split()
    # G1: 2-grams based on words
    G1.append({tuple(words[i:i+2]) for i in range(len(words) - 1)})
    # G2: 3-grams based on words
    G2.append({tuple(words[i:i+3]) for i in range(len(words) - 2)})
    # G3: 3-grams based on characters
    G3.append({contents[i:i+3] for i in range(len(contents) - 2)})
```

Part A

```
G1
                         G2
                                  G3
Document 1:
                 161
                         167
                                  482
Document 2:
                 142
                         147
                                  443
Document 3:
                 390
                         423
                                  977
Document 4:
                         381
                 364
                                  770
```

Part B

Documents	G1	G2	G3
1 vs 2:	0.8704	0.8580	0.9191
1 vs 3:	0.0110	0.0017	0.2449
1 vs 4:	0.0057	0.0000	0.2621
2 vs 3:	0.0095	0.0018	0.2348
2 vs 4:	0.0060	0.0000	0.2557
3 vs 4:	0.0121	0.0012	0.3135

Problem 2

Part A

```
import random
import time
# The size of the hash function `h`'s output space.
m = 2**16
# Hashes an object `x` using some random seed `i`. The random seed is
# used to fake different hash functions using the XOR technique
# described here: https://stackoverflow.com/a/19711615/157605 (thank
# you, Bill Dimm). The output of this hash function will be within
# [O, m).
def h(x, i):
    random.seed(i)
    return hash(x) % m ^ random.randint(0, m - 1)
# Estimates the Jaccard similarlity between two sets using the Fast
# MinHash algorithm.
def fast min hash(S, T, num trials):
   num matches = 0
   for i in range(num_trials):
        min_hash_s = min(h(x, i) for x in S)
        min hash t = min(h(x, i) \text{ for } x \text{ in } T)
        if min_hash_s == min_hash_t: num_matches += 1
    return num_matches / num_trials
# Run the experiments and report the estimates of JS.
T = [100, 200, 400, 800, 1600]
print('t\tJS hat\tRun-time (s)')
for t in T:
    start time = time.time()
    print(f'{t:,}\t{fast_min_hash(G3[0], G3[1], t):.4f}'
          f'\t{(time.time() - start_time):.3g}')
```

```
t
        JS_hat
                 Run-time (s)
100
        0.9300
                 0.712
200
        0.9300
                 1.37
400
        0.9275
                 2.83
800
        0.9275
                 5.61
1,600
        0.9250
                 11.2
```

Part B

In this particular case, since the documents aren't so big, I'd go with t = 1600 since it gave an estimate closer to the true value (calculated in *Problem 1-B*, 0.9191) than the other t-values. However, I can see that this t value wouldn't be practical for even documents just a bit bigger as the estimation would take too long.