BASIC THEORY OF MOTION PROFILING IN CODE

ThunderChickens – FRC Team 217

TYPES OF PROFILES

- Ramp rate
- Geometric
  - Purely geometric
  - Geometric with PID
- Waypoint (trajectories)

RAMP RATE

- Add to the velocity at a given rate
- $v = a_t * t$, or $dv = a_t * dt$
  - a_t is target acceleration
  - We typically work in deltas (dv, dt)
- Used in combination with position PID
  - Setpoint is final position, measurement is current position
  - Hand control of velocity over to PID once the ramp rate and PID lines intersect ($v \geq \text{pid.getOutput}()$)

RAMP RATE

- Pros:
  - Easy to write
  - Easy to use
  - Motors don't start at full speed
- Cons:
  - Can't apply on the deceleration period
    - Causes overshoot
  - Still has all the issues of traditional position PID after reaching full speed

RAMP RATE – ALTERNATE FORMULA

- Assume `v` is a parameter that does not exceed the max velocity of the motor controller
- $dv = signum(a) * (a_t - |a|) * dt$
  - a is current acceleration
  - $signum$ returns `+1` if input is positive, `-1` if negative, `0` if zero
  - Only runs if $|a| > a_t$ and if $a * v > 0$ (speeding up)
- Logic
  - Need $signum$ to get the sign of `a` since $|a|$ is used, and a_t is positive
  - $|a| > a_t$, accelerating too fast, subtracts the error in a from v

GEOMETRIC – PURELY GEOMETRIC

- Velocity vs Time graph forms a trapezoid
- Given target distance, max velocity, and target acceleration, can calculate how long to accelerate and decelerate, and how long to drive full speed in between
- Area under graph is position: $s(t) = \int_0^t v(\tau)d\tau$
- Slope of graph is acceleration: $a(t) = \frac{d}{dt}v(t)$

GEOMETRIC – PURELY GEOMETRIC

- Pros:
  - Doesn't rely on encoders
- Cons:
  - Relies on a perfect system
  - More complicated to calculate than ramp rate, but less effective
- No good team utilizes this system

GEOMETRIC – WITH PID

- Take the Purely Geometric curve
- Using integrals, calculate target velocity and target position at a given time
- Using velocity control mode:
  - `motor.set(ControlMode.Velocity, v_t + pid.getOutput(p, p_t))`
    - v_t is target velocity (from profile)
    - p is current position
    - p_t is target position (from profile)
  - Configure kF (feed forward) on the motor controller
    - Get motor velocity (with load) at a given percent output (Recommended: ~75% of max)
    - For CTRE: $kF = percOut * \frac{1023}{motorVel}$

GEOMETRIC – WITH PID

- Pros:
  - Precise
  - Applies to both acceleration and deceleration
  - Manages errors in position and velocity
- Cons:
  - Harder to program

WAYPOINT (TRAJECTORIES)

- Calculate multiple points along a path, their position, and the target velocity at those points using a Hermite spline
- Same calculations as a Geometric controller with PID

WAYPOINT (TRAJECTORIES)

- Pros:
  - Very precise
  - Provides tremendous control over motion
  - Allows for curved trajectories
- Cons:
  - Very difficult to program, use pre-made libraries/applications to calculate paths
  - Excessive for linear trajectories

WHEN TO USE WHICH

- Ramp rate
  - Want to use standard position PID control (if it ain't broke, don't fix it)
  - Need to control acceleration and/or jerk in teleop
- Purely Geometric
  - Just…don't
- Geometric with PID
  - Want to have precise control of linear trajectories or of a single robot component (elevator, arm, etc.)
- Waypoint
  - Want to accurately move along curved trajectories (drivebase)

WHAT WE'RE USING

- Pre-2020: Pure PID
  - High current draw from motors
  - Wheelies at start and (sometimes) end of motion
- 2020: Ramp rates
  - Pure PID, except we control the speedup period
  - Significantly lower current draw
  - Wheelies (sometimes) at end of motion
- 2021 Goal: Geometric with PID
  - Smooth, precise control both speeding up and slowing down
  - Lowest current draw
  - No wheelies
- 2021: Investigate Waypoints
  - Allows for curved trajectories instead of "drive straight then turn"