



Audit Report for C-Layer on October 14th, 2019.

## Summary

Audit Report prepared by Solidified for C-Layer covering the C-Layer token and C-Layer Oracle smart contracts (and their associated components).

## Process and Delivery

Three (3) independent Solidified experts performed an unbiased and isolated audit of the code below. The debrief took place on October 10th, 2019, and the final results are presented here.

## Audited Files

The following contracts were covered during the audit:

<https://github.com/c-layer/contracts/tree/audit-phase-1>

## Notes

The audit was based on commit `f344c2e0986951f1ce45a5757a54c425a1e69e09`, Solidity compiler version `0.5.12`.

## Intended Behavior

C-Layer is a smart contracts platform that supports the tokenization of the following assets: Bonds, Equity, Payment and Utility.



Audit Report for C-Layer on October 14th, 2019.

## Executive Summary

---

Solidified found that the C-Layer contracts contain several major and minor issues, in addition to several areas of note. As of October 23rd 2019, all the aforementioned issues were resolved by C-Layer in commit `audit-phase-1-final`.

Issues found:

Critical	Major	Minor	Notes
0	3	7	7

## Issues Found

### Major Issues

#### 1. **ChangeTokensale.sol: Potential mismatch between what off-chain investors pay and the number of tokens they receive (resolved)**

---

If the number of tokens an off-chain investor has purchased exceeds the current `availableSupply()` at the time the transaction is executed, `addOffchainInvestment()` will only distribute a fraction of the tokens purchased to them.

##### Recommendation

Have `addOffchainInvestment()` revert if `availableSupply()` is less than `_amount`.

##### Amended [October 23rd 2019]

`audit-phase-1-final`

The issue was fixed and is no longer present in the contract.

#### 2. **BonusTokensale.sol: `earlyBonus()` will fail after bonus period is over (resolved)**

---

In L60 in `BonusTokensale.sol`, the calculated `id` variable will only be less than `bonuses_.length` until the `currentTime()` is less than `bonusUntil_`. Once the bonus period is over, this function call will result in an “array out of bounds” error, thus it will not be possible to buy any tokens.

##### Recommendation

Return zero in `earlyBonus()` as soon as `currentTime()` is greater than `bonusUntil_`.

##### Amended [October 23rd 2019]

`audit-phase-1-final`

The issue was fixed and is no longer present in the contract.

### 3. `BonusTokensale.sol`: `firstBonus()` will fail if `totalRaised_` is greater than `bonusUntil_` (resolved)

---

In L72 in `BonusTokensale.sol`, the calculated `id` variable will only be less than `bonuses_.length` until the `totalRaised_ < bonusUntil_` (note, that the comparison is being made between Wei and Epoch ticks).

Once the `totalRaised_` amount in Wei gets bigger than `bonusUntil_` Epoch ticks, the function call will result in an “array out of bounds” error, thus it will not be possible to buy any tokens.

#### Recommendation

Fix the first bonus formula to not exceed the `bonuses` array bounds.

Amended [October 23rd 2019]

`audit-phase-1-final`

The issue was fixed and is no longer present in the contract.

## Minor Issues

### 4. `BaseTokensale.sol`: Investors can potentially lose funds if `withdrawAllETHFunds()` is called prematurely (resolved)

---

Investors with `unspentETH` can potentially lose all their unspent ETH funds if `withdrawAllETHFunds()` is called before they had the chance to withdraw their funds.

Furthermore, calling `withdrawAllETHFunds()` does not currently reset `totalUnspentETH_`, which can cause `investInternal` to break.

**Recommendation**

Consider either:

- A. Having this function only withdraw `address(this).balance - totalUnspentETH_`.
- B. Creating an explicit deadline after which investors will lose all their non withdrawn ETH funds. `withdrawAllETHFunds()` should then revert if called before this deadline has passed. This deadline should be communicated to all respective parties.

**Amended [October 23rd 2019]**

`audit-phase-1-final`

C-Layer explained that this function is only to be called under critical conditions where the contracts are compromised due to unforeseen circumstances. Once called, C-Layer's intent is to have all the unspent ETH funds refunded back to their respective investors.

## 5. `WithClaimsTokenDelegate.sol`: Potential for denial of service (DoS) on `transfer()` and `transferFrom()` (resolved)

---

Both `transfer()` and `transferFrom()` call the `hasClaims()` function, which iterates over the `tokens[_token].claimables` array. If enough claims are assigned to this array, the gas used by the function will eventually exceed the block gas limit. This will result in having the respective tokens in a "stuck" state where calling `transfer()` and `transferFrom()` always results in an error denoting that the block gas limit has been exceeded.

**Recommendation**

In `defineClaimables()`, place an upper limit on the number of claims that will never exceed the block gas limit.

**Amended [October 23rd 2019]**

`audit-phase-1-final`

C-Layer created documentation instructing operators to not add a number of claims that would cause the block gas limit to be exceeded.

## 6. `OperableCore.sol`: Functions `assignOperators()` and `assignProxyOperators()` missing `_role` validation (resolved)

---

The functions `assignOperators()` and `assignProxyOperators()` do not check if `_role` has been defined in `roles` before assigning it to the given operators.

#### Recommendation

Document this if it is the intended behavior, otherwise add the appropriate validation logic.

**Amended [October 23rd 2019]**

`audit-phase-1-final`

C-Layer documented that this was the intended behavior.

## 7. `BaseTokenDelegate.sol`: Function `canTransfer()` does not check for invalid `_from` addresses (resolved)

---

`canTransfer()` returns `TransferCode.OK` even when `_from` is invalid (e.g. `address(0)`).

#### Recommendation

Add appropriate validation logic.

**Amended [October 23rd 2019]**

`audit-phase-1-final`

The issue was fixed and is no longer present in the contract.

## 8. `RatesProvider.sol`: Incorrect array length validation in `defineRatesExternal()` (resolved)

---

`_rates.length` is incorrectly validated to be `<= currencies_.length`. Since the `_rates` array does not include the base currency, its length should always be shorter than `currencies_`. Furthermore, a more relevant validation would be to ensure the length of `_rates` does not exceed the length of `rates_`.

#### Recommendation

Change validation statement to:

```
require(_rates.length <= rates_.length, "RP03");
```

**Amended [October 23rd 2019]**

**audit-phase-1-final**

The issue was fixed and is no longer present in the contract.

## 9. **TokenERC20.sol: ERC-20 incompatibility (resolved)**

---

The constructor assigns `totalSupply` to the balance of the `_initialAccount`. This action should emit a `Transfer` (from = `0x0`, to = `_initialAccount`, amount) event.

**Amended [October 23rd 2019]**

**audit-phase-1-final**

The issue was fixed and is no longer present in the contract.

## 10. **Functions declare a return value but return none (resolved)**

---

The following functions declare a return value but actually return none:

- `FreezableTokenDelegate.sol`: Function `freezeManyAddresses()`.
- `TokenCore.sol`: Function `defineOracles()`.
- `BonusTokenSale.sol`: Function `defineBonus()`.
- `BaseTokensale.sol`: Function `withdrawAllETHFunds()`.
- `UserRegistry.sol`: Function `suspendUser()`.

**Amended [October 23rd 2019]**

**audit-phase-1-final**

The issue was fixed and is no longer present in the contract.

## Notes

### 11. Eliminate code duplication between `c-layer-core` and `c-layer-oracle`

---

Identical files such as `SafeMath.sol`, `Ownable.sol` and `Operable.sol` should ideally not be duplicated across the different code bases.

### 12. `UserTokensale.sol`: Hard-coding `contributionLimits` limits reusability of `UserTokensale` (resolved)

---

Consider providing the `contributionLimits` values at initialization time.

### 13. `UserRegistry.sol`: Avoid repetition of validation code

---

The validation code `require(_userId > 0 && _userId <= userCount_, "UR01");` has been repeated numerous times within `UserRegistry`. It's best practice to isolate this code into its own modifier or function.

### 14. `RatesProvider.sol`: `rateOffset_` needs better documentation (resolved)

---

Please provide better documentation for `rateOffset_` and whether its value is reflected when providing values in `defineRates()`.



### 15. **LimitableReceptionTokenDelegate.sol**: **audit** variable declared as **memory** instead of **storage** (resolved)

---

Since audit is referencing data residing in storage, it is more efficient to declare it as a **storage** variable.

### 16. **SchedulableTokensale.sol**: misleading parameter name in **investInternal()** (resolved)

---

Consider renaming **\_refundUnspentETH** to **\_exactAmountOnly**.

### 17. Function **auditUser()** return variable names order mismatch in between **TokenCore.sol** and **ITokenCore.sol** (resolved)

---

Fix declaration order for **lastEmissionAt**, **lastReceptionAt**, **cumulatedReception** and **cumulatedEmission**.



Audit Report for C-Layer on October 14th, 2019.

## Disclaimer

---

Solidified audit is not a security warranty, investment advice, or an endorsement of the C-Layer platform or its products. This audit does not provide a security or correctness guarantee of the audited smart contract. Securing smart contracts is a multistep process, therefore running a bug bounty program as a complement to this audit is strongly recommended.

The individual audit reports are anonymized and combined during a debrief process, in order to provide an unbiased delivery and protect the auditors of Solidified platform from legal and financial liability.

*Solidified Technologies Inc.*