

Reading 1.1

1.17

1.17

(a) We use proof by cases. Case 1: $q(n)$ is non-constant

(a) Let $q(n) = b_k n^k + b_{k-1} n^{k-1} + \dots + b_2 n^2 + b_1 n + c$

$b_k \neq 0, k \geq 1$

where k is the degree of the polynomial.

$$\text{Then, } q(cm) = b_k c^k + b_{k-1} c^{k-1} + \dots + b_1 c + c, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$= c (b_k c^{k-1} + b_{k-1} c^{k-2} + \dots + b_1 + 1)$$

Hence $q(cm)$ is a multiple of c .

Case 2: $q(n)$ is constant. Then, $q(n) = c$; so
 $q(cm) = c$ which is a multiple of c .

Since, the proposition is true for both cases, it is always true.

(b) Consider all n of the form cm , where $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Since $q(n) = q(cm)$ is a multiple of c and
 because $q(n) = q(cm)$ grows unboundedly as m grows, ~~so~~
 there are infinitely many distinct $q(n)$ as
~~for~~ they, there are infinite $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The above
 argument is for $b_k > 0$. If $b_k < 0$ replace grows by falls.
 Finally since $q(n)$ is a multiple of c by the
 above lemma, and $c > 1$, $q(n)$ has prime factors
 c and $\frac{q(n)}{c}$ both greater than 1 for infinitely

many n as $q(n)$ grows unboundedly as n grows.
 Hence, all such $q(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ are not prime.

(c) If $c \leq 1$, then there are ~~two~~ ^{two} cases: $c = 1$ ~~or 0~~ ^{or 0}, or $c < 0$.
Case 1: $c = 1$ or 0 . $q(0) = c = 1$ or 0 is not a prime.
Case 2: $c < 0$. $q(0) = c < 0$ which cannot be a prime.
 Hence, by lemma (b) and lemma (c), for every non-constant
 polynomial q , there must be an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q(n)$ is not prime.