

Problem Set 1

Page No.

Date: / / 201

1

We use proof by contradiction. Assume that $\log_4 6$ is rational. Hence $\log_4 6 = \frac{n}{d}$ for $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ $d \neq 0$ and in its lowest terms. Then

$$6 = 4^{\frac{n}{d}} \text{ and } 6^d = 4^n. \text{ Hence,}$$

$$2^{\frac{d}{3}d} = 2^{2n}$$

This is a contradiction because $3 \mid \text{LHS}$ but $\text{NOT } (3 \mid \text{RHS})$. Therefore, $\log_4 6$ is irrational.

2

Let $C := \{n \mid n > 3^{\frac{n}{3}}\}$ be the set of counterexamples. Assume C to be non-empty, for proof by contradiction. By WOP, C has a minimum element $m \in C$. We have $m \geq 5$ because:

- $\underline{n=0}$ $0 > 3^{\frac{0}{3}} = 3^0 = 1 \geq 0$
- $\underline{n=1}$ $(3^{\frac{1}{3}})^3 = 3 \geq 1^3 \Rightarrow 3^{\frac{1}{3}} \geq 1$
- $\underline{n=2}$ $(3^{\frac{2}{3}})^3 = 9 \geq 2^3 = 3^{\frac{2}{3}} \geq 2$
- $\underline{n=3}$ $3^{\frac{3}{3}} = 3 \geq 3$
- $\underline{n=4}$ $(3^{\frac{4}{3}})^3 = 3^4 \geq 4^3 \Rightarrow 3^{\frac{4}{3}} \geq 4$

Now, $m-3 \leq 3^{\frac{m-3}{3}}$ as m is the minimum element of C .
 $\nRightarrow m-3 \leq \frac{3^{\frac{m}{3}}}{3}$ But $3^{\frac{m}{3}} < m$

$$\Rightarrow m-3 < \frac{m}{3} \Rightarrow 3m-9 < m \Rightarrow m < 9$$

This is a contradiction to $m \geq 5$. Hence C must be empty, proving $n \leq 3^{\frac{n}{3}} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3

(a)

P	Q	(P IMPLIES Q)	OR	(Q IMPLIES P)
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	F
F	F	T	T	T

Since the proposition is always true, it is valid.

(b) $R := (P \text{ AND } Q) \text{ OR } (\text{NOT}(P) \text{ AND } \text{NOT}(Q))$

(c) If P is true, P is valid, but \neg

(c) If P is valid, all environments make it true, and hence NOT(P) is false in all environments, and hence is not satisfiable.

If NOT(P) is not satisfiable, NOT(P) is false in all environments. Thus, P is true in all environments making it valid.

(d) $S := \bar{P}_1 \text{ OR } \bar{P}_2 \text{ OR } \dots \text{ OR } \bar{P}_k$ because by part S
by previous part.

(e) S is consistent: $P_1 \text{ AND } P_2 \dots \text{ AND } P_k$

So $S := \text{NOT}(P_1 \text{ AND } P_2 \dots \text{ AND } P_k)$
 $= \bar{P}_1 \text{ OR } \bar{P}_2 \dots \text{ OR } \bar{P}_k$

by De-Morgan's law

4

$$(a) P_0 = \bar{a}_0, C = a_0$$

$$(b) \text{ If } b=1 \text{ then } O_i = P_i \text{ and} \\ \text{ If } b=0 \text{ then } O_i = a_i$$

Translating the above into logical statement

$$O_i = (\text{NOT}(b) \text{ OR } P_i) \text{ AND } (b \text{ OR } a_i)$$

$$\text{because, If } P \text{ then } Q \iff \text{NOT}(P) \text{ OR } Q$$

$$(c) \text{ An equivalent form is } O_i = (b \text{ AND } P_i) \text{ OR } (\text{NOT}(b) \text{ AND } a_i)$$

$$(c) \text{ If } C_{i-1} = 1, \text{ then } C = C_{i-1}, \text{ else } C = 0$$

Translating into logic

$$C = (\text{NOT}(C_{i-1}) \text{ OR } C_{i-1}) \text{ AND } (C_{i-1} \text{ OR } 0)$$

$$C = (\text{NOT}(C_{i-1}) \text{ AND } C_{i-1}) \text{ OR } (C_{i-1} \text{ AND } C_{i-1})$$

$$C = C_{i-1} \text{ AND } C_{i-1}$$

$$(d) P_i = (\text{NOT}(C_{i-1}) \text{ OR } r_{i-(n+1)}) \text{ AND } (C_{i-1} \text{ OR } a_i)$$

because

because if the second half-adder is constructed from part (b) with C_{i-1} replacing (n) .

$$(e) \text{ For calculating } P_i \text{ we need at most 4 operations}$$

2. For each half-sized output bits, since total $(4 \times n)$ operations are required for any one bit, this provides exponential speedup over $O(n)$ time.