Operations Research Semester 5

Ahmad Abu Zainab

Contents

Chapter 1	Linear Programming	Page 2
1.1	Simplex Method Augmented Form — 2 • Basic Feasible Solution — 3 • Initialising the Simplex Method Solution — 4 • Alternative Optimal Solutions — 4 • Non-Standard Form — 5 • A • Infeasible LPs — 6 • Constraints with \geqslant — 6 • Variables Unconstrained in Sign Minimum — 7	Artificial Variables — 5
Chapter 2	Network Optimization Models	Page 8

Chapter 1

Linear Programming

A linear programming problem is a problem in the form

$$\max Z = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (c_i) x_i.$$

Subject to

$$a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n \leq b_1$$

 $a_{21}x_1 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n \leq b_2$
 \vdots
 $a_{n1}x_1 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n \leq b_1$

Where x_i are the decision variables In matrix form

$$\max Z = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}.$$

Subject to

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$$
.

$$x \ge 0$$
.

1.1 Simplex Method

1.1.1 Augmented Form

First we need to convert the problem to the standard form

$$\max Z = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}.$$

Subject to

$$Ax = b$$
.

$$x\geqslant 0$$
.

Then we transform the problem to the augmented form by adding slack variables. We add m slack variables to the problem, where m is the number of constraints.

$$\max Z = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}.$$

Subject to

$$Ax + Is = b$$
.

$$x, s, b \ge 0$$
.

Where I is the identity matrix and s is the vector of slack variables.

1.1.2 Basic Feasible Solution

A basic solution is a solution where n of the variables are set to zero and the rest are set to the m values of the corresponding entries in \mathbf{b} .

A basic *feasible* solution is a basic solution where all the variables are non-negative, and it corresponds to a vertex of the feasible region. Two adjacent vertices share all but one basic variable.

Variables set to zero are called non-basic variables, and the rest are called basic variables. The choice of basic variables is called the basis.

A basic feasible solution is called degenerate if one of the basic variables is zero.

1.1.3 Initialising the Simplex Method

We set up the initial tableau by adding the slack variables and the objective function to the augmented form, as follows

BV	x_1	x_2		x_n	s_1	s_2		s_m	RHS
\overline{Z}	$-c_1$	$-c_2$	• • •	$-c_n$	0	0	• • •	0	0
s_1	a_{11}	a_{12}		a_{1n}	1	0			b_1
s_2	a_{21}	a_{22}		a_{2n}	0	1		0	b_2 .
÷	:	:		:		:	٠	:	:
s_m	a_{m1}	a_{m2}		a_{mn}	0	0		1	b_m

Let's take an example

$$\max Z = 3x_1 + 5x_2.$$

Subject to

$$x_1 \le 4$$

 $2x_2 \le 12$.
 $3x_1 + 2x_2 \le 18$

$$x_1, x_2 \ge 0.$$

First we convert the problem to the augmented form by adding slack variables

$$\max Z = 3x_1 + 5x_2$$
Subject to
$$x_1 + s_1 = 4$$

$$2x_2 + s_2 = 12$$

$$3x_1 + 2x_2 + s_3 = 18$$

Then we set up the initial tableau

BV	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	s_3	RHS	
Z	-3	-5	0	0	0	0	
s_1	1	0	1	0	0	4	
s_2	0	2	0	1	0	12	
s_3	3	2	0	0	1	18	

First, we identify the entering variable. The entering variable is the variable with the most negative coefficient in the objective function. In this case, the entering variable is x_2 .

BV	$ x_1 $	x_2	s_1	$ s_2 $	$ s_3 $	RHS
Z	-3	-5	0	0	0	0
s_1	1	0	1	0	0	4 .
s_2	0	2	0	1	0	12
s_3	3	2	0	0	1	18

Then, we identify the leaving variable. The leaving variable is the variable with the smallest ratio of the RHS to the coefficient of the entering variable in the objective function. In this case, the leaving variable is s_2 .

BV	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	s_3	RHS
Z	-3	-5	0	0	0	0
s_1	1	0	1	0	0	4 .
s_2	0	2	0	1	0	12
s_3	3	2	0	0	1	18

Then we perform the pivot operation on the leaving variable and the entering variable. The pivot operation is performed by dividing the row of the leaving variable by the coefficient of the entering variable in that row, and then subtracting the resulting row from the other rows, multiplied by the coefficient of the entering variable in that row.

After the pivot operation, the tableau becomes

BV	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	s_3	RHS	
Z	-3	0	0	2.5	0	30	
s_1	1	0	1	0	0	4	
x_2	0	1	0	0.5	0	6	
s_3	3	0	0	-1	1	6	

Finally, we repeat the process until the objective function has no negative coefficients.

Note:-

The optimality test is as follows

- If the objective function has no negative coefficients, then the current solution is optimal.
- If the objective function has negative coefficients, then the current solution is not optimal, and we repeat the process.

In our case, after iterating another time (x_1 entering and s_3 leaving), we get

BV	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	s_3	RHS
\overline{Z}	0	0	0	1.5	1	36
s_1	0	0	1	1/3	-1/3	2 .
x_2	0	1	0	0.5	0	6
x_1	1	0	0	-1/3	1/3	2

Since the objective function has no negative coefficients, the current solution is optimal. The optimal solution is $x_1 = 2, x_2 = 6, s_1 = 2, s_2 = 0, s_3 = 0$ and Z = 36.

1.1.4 Unbounded Solution

In a given tableau, if the entering variable has all zero entries in its column, then the solution is unbounded. Which means that the objective function can be increased indefinitely.

1.1.5 Alternative Optimal Solutions

Alternative optimal solutions occur when one of the non-basic variables has a zero coefficient in the objective function in the final tableau. In this case, the solution is degenerate.

1.1.6 Non-Standard Form

$$0.4x_1 - 0.3x_2 \ge -10 \stackrel{\times -1}{\Longrightarrow} -0.4x_1 + 0.3x_2 \le 10$$

 $\min Z = 0.4x_1 + 0.3x_2 \stackrel{\times -1}{\Longrightarrow} \max Z = -0.4x_1 - 0.3x_2$

1.1.7 Artificial Variables

Consider the following linear system

Ax = b.

and

 $x \ge 0$.

We can use the simplex method to solve this system by adding artificial variables to the system, as follows

Ax + Ia = b.

 $x, a \ge 0$.

Where \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix and \mathbf{a} is the vector of artificial variables. Take the following example

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 = 4$$

 $x_1 + x_2 = 3$

The augmented form is

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + a_1 = 4$$

 $x_1 + x_2 + a_2 = 3$

And we can solve it using the simplex method.

Two-Phase Method

In the case where the basic feasible solution isn't very obvious, we can use the two-phase method to find the basic feasible solution by adding artificial variables to the system, as follows

$$Ax + Is + Ia = b.$$

$$x, s, a \ge 0$$
.

Where I is the identity matrix and s is the vector of slack variables and a is the vector of artificial variables. In the first phase we solve the problem starting from the BFS where all the artificial variables are basic variables. If the problem is feasible, we will be able to find a BFS where all artificial variables are 0. This automatically gives us a BFS for the original problem.

For example, consider the following problem

$$\min Z = 2x_1 + 3x_2.$$

Subject to

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1 + 2x_2 &= 4 \\
 2x_1 - x_2 &= 3
 \end{aligned}$$

We can convert it to the following augmented form

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + a_1 = 4$$

 $2x_1 - x_2 + a_2 = 3$

We define a new objective function

$$\max W = -a_1 - a_2.$$

The initial tableau is set up as follows

	BV	x_1	x_2	a_1	a_2	RHS	
_	W	-3	-1	0	0	7	1
	Z	-2	-3	0	0	0	ŀ
	a_1	1	2	1	0	4	
	a_2	2	-1	0	1	3	

The resulting solution is a BFS for the original problem. In the second phase we solve the original problem starting from the BFS we found in the first phase.

Big-M Method

The Big-M method is a variation of the two-phase method where we add a large number M to the objective function for each artificial variable. This ensures that the artificial variables will be set to zero in the optimal solution.

The objective function looks like this

$$\max Z = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} - M\mathbf{a}$$
.

The initial simplex tableau is set up as follows

BV	x_1	x_2		x_n	s_1	s_2		s_m	RHS
\overline{Z}	$-c_1$	$-c_2$		$-c_n$	М	M		M	0
s_1	a_{11}	a_{12}		a_{1n}	1	0		0	b_1
s_2	a_{21}	a_{22}		a_{2n}	0	1		0	b_2 ·
÷	:	:	٠	:	:	:	·	:	÷
s_m	a_{m1}	a_{m2}	• • • •	a_{mn}	0	0		1	b_m

1.1.8 Infeasible LPs

An LP is infeasible if in the final tablea there are still artificial variables that are basic variables.

Constraints with ≥ 1.1.9

If we have a contraint with \geq and a negative RHS we can multiply the constraint by -1 and proceed as usual. However, if we have a constraint with ≥ and a positive RHS we turn the constraint into an equality by adding a slack variable as seen below

$$x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 \ge 20$$
 \implies $x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 - s_1 = 20.$

Where $s_1 > 0$.

But for initial BFS we cannot simplex s_1 in to the base so we need to add an artificial variable a_1 to the contraint as if it were an equality contraint

$$x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 - s_1 + a_1 = 20.$$

Where $a_1 > 0$.

1.1.10 Variables Unconstrained in Sign

If we have a variable that is unconstrained in sign we can split it into two variables x_1^+ and x_1^- where $x_1^$ $x_1^+ - x_1^-$.

$$x_1^+ = \max(0, x_1)$$
 $x_1^- = \max(0, -x_1).$

1.1.11 Maximize the Minimum

Some real life problems consist of maximizing the lowest of many economic functions.

$$\max Z = \min(3x_1 + 2x_2, x_1 - 2x_3).$$

We can solve this problem by adding a new variable u and adding the following constraints

$$3x_1 + 2x_2 - u \ge 0 x_1 - 2x_3 - u \ge 0.$$

where \boldsymbol{u} is unconstrained in sign.

Chapter 2

Network Optimization Models

Consider a network with n nodes and m arcs. Let x_{ij} be the flow on arc (i,j), and let c_{ij} be the cost of sending one unit of flow on arc (i,j). We want to find the maximum flow from node 1 to node n. The conservation of flow at each node is given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ij} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ji} = \text{Net supply at node } j.$$

The objective function is given by

$$\max Z = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} x_{ij}.$$

Where c_{ij} is the cost of sending one unit of flow on arc (i, j). So the LP model is

$$\max Z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij} x_{ij}.$$

Subject to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ij} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ji} = \text{Net supply at node } j.$$