

# chapter 13 : Constituency Parsing

(Q13.3)

function CKY-PARSE (words, grammar) returns table

```

for j ← from 1 to LENGTH(words) do
  for all {A | A → words[j] ∈ grammar}
    table[j-1, j] ← CHECK-UNITS(table[j-1, j] ∪ A)

  for i ← from j-2 downto 0 do
    for k ← i+1 to j-1 do
      for all {A | A → BC ∈ grammar and B ∈ table[i, k]
              and C ∈ table[k, j]}
        table[i, j] ← CHECK-UNITS(table[i, j] ∪ A)
  
```

function CHECK-UNITS (cell) return updated-cell

```

updated-cell = cell
for i ← from 1 to LENGTH(cell)
  B ← cell[i]
  for all {A | A →* B ∈ grammar}
    updated-cell ← cell ∪ A
  
```

S → NP VP  
 S → X1 VP  
 X1 → Aux NP  
 S → VP  
 NP → Pronoun  
 NP → Proper-noun  
 NP → Det Nominal  
 Nominal → Noun  
 Nominal → Nominal Noun  
 Nominal → Nominal PP  
 VP → Verb  
 VP → Verb NP  
 VP → XL PP  
 X2 → Verb NP  
 VP → Verb PP  
 VP → VP PP  
 PP → Preposition NP  
 Det → the / this / that  
 noun → book / flight / meal / money  
 verb → bark / include / prefer  
 Pronoun → I / she / me  
 Proper-noun → Houston / nowa  
 Aux → does  
 Preposition → from / to / on / near / through

BOPR	the	flight	through	Houston
noun, verb ↓ nominal VP, S		VP, X2 ↓ S		VP VP X2 ↓ ↓ S S
0,1	0,2	0,3	0,4	0,5
	Det	NP		NP
	1,2	1,3	1,4	1,5
		Noun ↓ Nominal		Nominal
		2,3	2,4	2,5
			Prep	PP
			3,4	3,5
				Proper-noun ↓ NP
				4,5

Fig: Running above Algo.

(Q13.4) Partial parsing is mostly used in information retrieval systems and its main advantage is the accelerated processing speed. Since you are only parsing chunks of data instead of each word, partial parsing is much faster. Also, as mentioned in section 13.6, eliminating post-head modifiers obviates the need to resolve attachment ambiguities.

But a major disadvantage is probably the fact that you end up losing a lot of valuable information.

(Q13.5) We can do the following things:

- (i) In case of spelling mistakes, we can flag the incorrect words and use string matching algorithm to correct the word.
- (ii) Or, we can learn the  $n$ -gram probabilities, and use them to predict the closest word to replace the incorrect word.
- (iii) We can extend (ii) to produce a set of candidate sentences, and choose one with the highest probability.