FRANCE CAC 40 index

Enjo, Filippo, Stephane

6/9/2021

List of Tables

1	Summary statistics of the returns
2	Maximum likelihood estimates of the ST-GARCH models with constant skewness and kurtosis
	parameters
3	Maximum likelihood estimates of the SGED-GARCH models with constant skewness and
	kurtosis parameters
4	Model selection according to AIC
5	VaR and CVaR test statistics
6	Maximum likelihood estimates of the ST-ACD model with constant skewness and kurtosis
	parameters
7	VaR and ES test statistics (ACD-ST vs GARCH-ST)
8	Diagnostic Tests for Heteroscedasticity
9	GMM Tests
10	Jarque-Bera Test on standardized residuals

Table 1: Summary statistics of the returns

Statistics	Euro Stoxx 50	Standardized Residuals
Arithmetic Mean	0.033	-0.0333
Median	0.0256	-0.0339
Maximum	10.5944	4.738
Minimum	-13.0983	-9.7736
Stdev	1.3361	0.9998
Skewness	-0.2112	-0.3855
	(0***)	(0^{***})
Excess Kurtosis	6.1392	2.3943
	(0***)	(0^{***})
Jarque-Bera	13741.3557***	2296.0094***

$$\sigma_t^2 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2 z_{t-1}^2 + \beta_2 \sigma_{t-1}^2;$$

 $R_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 R_{t-1} + z_t \sigma_t$ $\sigma_t^2 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2 z_{t-1}^2 + \beta_2 \sigma_{t-1}^2,$ Where z is the standard residual (assumed to have a normal distribution).

¹ This table shows the descriptive statistics of the daily percentage returns of France Cac 40 over the period 1988-01-01 to 2021-05-19 (8709 observations). Including arithmetic mean, median, maximum, minimum, standard deviation. The skewness, excess kurtosis with p-value and signicance and the Jarque-Bera test with significance.

 $^{^{2}}$ The standardized residual is derived from a maximum likelihood estimation (simple GARCH model) as follows:

 $^{^3}$ *, **, *** represent significance levels at the 5

Table 2: Maximum likelihood estimates of the ST-GARCH models with constant skewness and kurtosis parameters

	SGARCH	IGARCH	EGARCH	GJRGARCH	EWMA	NAGARCH	TGARCH	AVGARCH
α_0	0.064 (0.01)***	0.064 (0.011)***	0.035 (0.016)**	0.037 (0.011)***	0.066 (0.012)***	0.026 (0.013)**	0.033 (0.011)***	0.025 (0.011)**
α_1	-0.01 (0.01)	-0.01 (0.011)	0 (0.01)	-0.003 (0.01)	-0.012 (0.011)	-0.001 (0.01)	0.002 (0.011)	-0.004 (0.01)
eta_0	0.019	0.014	0.004	0.024	0	0.025	0.023	0.025
eta_1 eta_2	(0.004)*** 0.086 (0.009)*** 0.904 (0.009)***	(0.003)*** 0.093 (0.009)*** 0.907	(0.002)** -0.104 (0.008)*** 0.982 (0)***	(0.004)*** 0.01 (0.006) 0.909 (0.01)***	0.066 (0.006)*** 0.934	(0.008)*** 0.069 (0.024)*** 0.846 (0.016)***	(0.011)** 0.074 (0.026)*** 0.925 (0.028)***	(0.002)*** 0.084 (0.007)*** 0.891 (0.001)***
ξ	0.938 (0.014)***	0.938 (0.013)***	0.927 (0.015)***	0.929 (0.014)***	0.936 (0.012)***	0.921 (0.015)***	0.928 (0.015)***	0.921 (0.014)***
η	3.687 (0.3235)***	3.4105 (0.264)***	3.913 (0.363)***	3.954 (0.3755)***	3.933 (0.2735)***	4.006 (0.3775)***	3.9115 (0.364)***	3.916 (0.37)***
γ			0.13	0.134				
shift			(0.011)***	(0.015)***		1.046 (0.254)***		0.491 (0.039)***
rot							0.82 (0.147)***	0.417 $(0.077)***$
LLH	-13416.297	-13420.311	-13292.268	-13315.393	-13457.9	-13269.273	-13284.978	-13272.231

This table shows the maximum likelihood estimates of various ST-GARCH models. The daily returns used on the FRANCE CAC 40 cover the period from 01 January, 1988 to 19 May, 2021 (8709 observations).

The mean process is modeled as follows: $R_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \times R_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$ Where, in the 8 GARCH models estimated, γ is the asymmetry in volatility, ξ , κ and η are constant and robust standard errors based on the method of White (1982)) are displayed in parenthesis. LLH is the maximized log likelihood value.

Table 3: Maximum likelihood estimates of the SGED-GARCH models with constant skewness and kurtosis parameters

	SGARCH	IGARCH	EGARCH	GJRGARCH	EWMA	NAGARCH	TGARCH	AVGARCH
α_0	0.053 (0.01)***	0.053 (0.01)***	0.027 (0.008)***	0.028 (0.011)***	0.052 (0.011)***	0.018 (0.017)	0.026 (0.011)**	0.017 (0.008)**
$lpha_1$	-0.017 (0.01)*	-0.017 (0.01)*	-0.009 (0.01)	-0.011 (0.011)	-0.019 (0.01)*	-0.01 (0.011)	-0.007 (0.009)	-0.013 (0.008)
eta_0	0.023	0.015	0.005	0.027	0	0.028	0.026	0.027
eta_1 eta_2	(0.005)*** 0.089 (0.01)*** 0.898 (0.01)***	(0.003)*** 0.098 (0.01)*** 0.902	(0.002)*** -0.102 (0.008)*** 0.98 (0)***	(0.005)*** 0.011 (0.006)* 0.907 (0.01)***	0.065 (0.006)*** 0.935	(0.019) 0.069 (0.051) 0.849 $(0.036)****$	$(0.015)^*$ 0.073 $(0.033)^{**}$ 0.924 $(0.037)^{***}$	(0.002)*** 0.088 (0.002)*** 0.883 (0)***
ξ	0.956 (0.016)***	0.957 $(0.015)***$	0.941 (0.017)***	0.942 (0.017)***	0.951 (0.013)***	0.935 (0.018)***	0.942 (0.017)***	0.935 (0.015)***
η								
γ			0.129	0.131				
shift			(0.002)***	(0.015)***		1.017 (0.552)*		0.592 (0.008)***
rot						, ,	0.804 (0.188)***	0.312 (0.047)***
LLH	-13418.177	-13424.612	-13309.092	-13325.578	-13468.492	-13284.96	-13302.889	-13288.723

This table shows the maximum likelihood estimates of various SGED-GARCH models. The daily returns used on the FRANCE CAC 40 cover the period from 01 January, 1988 to 19 May, 2021 (8709 observations).

Table 4: Model selection according to AIC

	SGARCH	IGARCH	EWMA	EGARCH	GJRGARCH	NAGARCH	TGARCH	AVGARCH
N	3.126	3.130	3.150	3.101	3.102	3.092	3.099	3.094
${ m T}$	3.085	3.085	3.094	3.057	3.062	3.052	3.055	3.053
ST	3.083	3.083	3.092	3.054	3.060	3.049	3.053	3.050
GED	3.084	3.085	3.096	3.060	3.064	3.055	3.059	3.056
SGED	3.083	3.084	3.094	3.058	3.062	3.053	3.057	3.054

This table shows the AIC value for the respective model. With on the rows the distributions.

Table 5: VaR and CVaR test statistics

	DC A DCII	C IDC A DCII	TIC A DOIL	NACADOII	ATICADOII
	EGARCH	GJRGARCH	TGARCH	NAGARCH	AVGARCH
Panel A: SGE	D				
AE VaR	1.192	1.176	1.192	1.16	1.16
AE CVaR	1.194**	1.177*	1.194*	1.161	1.161*
UC	2.174	1.833	2.174	1.52	1.52
CC	5.407*	1.856	5.407*	1.551	1.551
DQ	21.895***	12.51	27.427***	13.621*	22.355***
Panel B: GED)				
AE VaR	1.288	1.353	1.305	1.288	1.321
AE CVaR	1.29***	1.355**	1.306**	1.29**	1.323***
UC	4.783**	7.033***	5.308**	4.783**	5.859**
CC	7.353**	7.051**	7.778**	4.784*	8.23**
$\overline{\mathrm{DQ}}$	29.151***	25.695***	28.348***	16.013**	27.735***
Panel C: ST					
AE CVaR	1.224	1.224	1.208	1.16	1.176
AE CVaR	1.226	1.226	1.21	1.161	1.177
UC	2.938*	2.938*	2.543	1.52	1.833
CC	5.939*	2.943	5.658*	1.551	1.856
DQ	21.917***	13.939*	28.637***	14.388*	21.782***
Panel D: T					
AE VaR	1.482	1.401	1.353	1.369	1.353
AE CVaR	1.484	1.403	1.355*	1.371	1.355
UC	12.675***	8.975***	7.033***	7.657***	7.033***
CC	14.194***	9.018**	9.215***	7.682**	9.215***
$\overline{\mathrm{DQ}}$	0.01	27.464***	29.179***	20.205***	30.607***
Panel E: N					
AE VaR	1.772	1.756	1.739	1.675	1.868
AE CVaR	1.774***	1.758***	1.742***	1.677***	1.871***
UC	30.371***	29.221***	28.09***	23.754***	37.655***
CC	32.1***	29.225***	28.679***	23.792***	38.952***
$\overline{\mathrm{DQ}}$	60.884***	52.57***	54.786***	45.838***	67.905***

Table contains the ratio of actual to expected exceedances for VaR and Conditional VaR, the unconditional and conditional coverage test statistic and the dynamic quantile test statistic for VaR. Significance levels for the VaR ratio not reported. *, ** and *** point out significance at 10, 5 and 1 percent level.

Table 6: Maximum likelihood estimates of the ST-ACD model with constant skewness and kurtosis parameters

	ACD GARCH
α_0	0.069 (0.011)***
α_1	-0.003 (0.013)
eta_0	0.021
β_1	0.091 (0.001)***
eta_2	0.897 (0.002)***
χ_0	-1.207 (0.116)***
χ_1	$0.051 (0.019)^{***}$
χ_2	0.048 (0.027)*
ξ_1	0.658 (0.033)***
κ_0	$0.016\ (0.116)$
κ_1	$0.002\ (0.05)$
κ_2	1 (0.136)***
ψ_1^-	0.796 (0.041)***

This table shows the maximum likelihood estimates of various SGED-GARCH models. The daily returns used on the FRANCE CAC 40 cover the period from 01 January, 1988 to 19 May, 2021 (8709 observations). The mean process is modeled as follows: $R_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \times R_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$. Where, in the model estimated, γ is the asymmetry in volatility, (calculated using robust standard errors based on the method of White (1982)) are displayed in parenthesis.

Table 7: VaR and ES test statistics (ACD-ST vs GARCH-ST)

	ACD	GARCH	
AE VaR	1.102	1.203	
AE CVaR	1.102	1.203	
UC	0.578	2.278	
CC	2.025	4.005	
$\overline{\mathrm{DQ}}$	14.876*	16.111**	

Notes:

Table contains the ratio of actual to expected exceedances for VaR and Expected Shortfall, the unconditional and conditional coverage test statistic and the dynamic quantile test statistic for VaR. Significance levels for the VaR ratio not reported. *, ** and *** point out significance at 10, 5 and 1 percent level.

Table 8: Diagnostic Tests for Heteroscedasticity

	SGARCH	EGARCH	AVGARCH	NAGARCH	GJRGARCH	TGARCH	IGARCH	EWMA				
Panel A: Ljung Box Test on the standardized squared values of the residuals												
Norm	31.559*	21.198	25.233	20.735	21.579	21.748	35.642**	45.131***				
${ m T}$	34.485**	19.397	22.137	19.541	21.018	19.401	35.801**	43.348***				
ST	34.823**	19.27	22.897	19.478	21.131	19.516	36.112**	43.205***				
GED	33.233*	19.524	23.033	19.835	21.17	19.796	35.666**	44.217***				
SGED	33.523*	19.377	23.65	19.812	21.296	19.896	35.915**	43.787***				
Panel B:	ARCH LM	I Test on t	he standardi	zed squared	values of the r	esiduals						
Norm	32.433*	21.812	25.523	21.126	21.921	21.774	36.828**	44.203***				
${ m T}$	35.081**	19.871	22.41	19.895	21.519	19.471	36.355**	42.589***				
ST	35.35**	19.71	23.106	19.796	21.593	19.505	36.558**	42.424***				
GED	33.954**	20.028	23.329	20.194	21.609	19.864	36.426**	43.356***				
SGED	34.191**	19.841	23.891	20.133	21.707	19.878	36.591**	42.923***				

Table displays the Ljung box statistics and the ARCH LM Test for the standardized squared residuals of the models analyzed. The underlying data is the daily return series of the France CAC 40 for the period between 1988-01-01 and 2021-05-19.

The null hypothesis of the test in both panels are described as follows: $H_0: Corr(Z_t^2, Z_{t-1}^2) = Corr(Z_t^2, Z_{t-2}^2) = \dots = Corr(Z_t^2, Z_{t-22}^2) = 0$

$$H_0: Corr(Z_t^2, Z_{t-1}^2) = Corr(Z_t^2, Z_{t-2}^2) = \dots = Corr(Z_t^2, Z_{t-22}^2) = 0$$

^{*, **} and *** point out respectively significance at 10, 5 and 1 percent level.

Table 9: GMM Tests

	SGARCH	EGARCH	AVGARCH	NAGARCH	GJRGARCH	TGARCH	IGARCH	EWMA
Panel A: SGED								
Mean	-0.022*	0.002	0.012	0.01	0.002	0.004	-0.021*	-0.022*
Variance	0.01*	0.011	0.007	0.008	0.009	0.009	-0.026*	0.112*
Skewness	-0.482*	-0.469	-0.4	-0.409	-0.446	-0.457	-0.484*	-0.654*
Excess Kurtosis	2.659*	3.74	3.024	3.209	3.287	3.743	2.488*	4.89*
Panel B: GED								
Mean	-0.032***	-0.012	-0.005	-0.006	-0.012	-0.01	-0.032***	-0.032***
Variance	0.003***	0.006	0.004	0.005	0.004	0.005	-0.031***	0.111***
Skewness	-0.507***	-0.508	-0.451	-0.454	-0.485	-0.494	-0.508***	-0.684***
Excess Kurtosis	2.598***	3.673	3.081	3.2	3.277	3.678	2.436***	4.926***
Panel C: ST								
Mean	-0.032***	-0.006	0.003	0.002	-0.008	-0.004	-0.032***	-0.037***
Variance	0.01***	0.013	0.008	0.01	0.011	0.012	-0.024***	0.114***
Skewness	-0.522***	-0.508	-0.438	-0.445	-0.49	-0.494	-0.517***	-0.703***
Excess Kurtosis	2.766***	3.942	3.229	3.379	3.52	3.966	2.563***	4.977***
Panel D: T								
Mean	-0.044***	-0.021*	-0.012	-0.013	-0.022*	-0.018	-0.044***	-0.046***
Variance	0.003***	0.011*	0.008	0.01	0.008*	0.01	-0.03***	0.114***
Skewness	-0.55***	-0.548*	-0.488	-0.491	-0.531*	-0.534	-0.547***	-0.734***
Excess Kurtosis	2.707***	3.916*	3.334	3.417	3.553*	3.944	2.516***	5.017***
Panel E: N								
Mean	-0.033***	-0.007	0.008	0.006	-0.002	-0.005	-0.032***	-0.027**
Variance	0***	0	-0.001	0	0	-0.001	-0.042***	0.11**
Skewness	-0.487***	-0.467	-0.392	-0.396	-0.427	-0.452	-0.489***	-0.661**
Excess Kurtosis	2.447***	3.344	2.78	2.894	2.915	3.319	2.249***	4.892**

Notes Table displays the GMM test statistics for the standardized residuals. The underlying data is the daily return series of the France CAC 40 for the period between 1988-01-01 and 2021-05-19. The null hypothesis of the test for each variable are described as follows: $H_0: E[z_t] = 0$ for the mean, $H_0: E[z_t^2 - 1] = 0$ for the variance. $H_0: E[z_t^3] = 0$ for the skewness and $H_0: E[z_t^4 - 3] = 0$ for the excess kurtosis.

Table 10: Jarque-Bera Test on standardized residuals

	SGARCH	EGARCH	AVGARCH	NAGARCH	GJRGARCH	TGARCH	IGARCH	EWMA
Norm	2296.009***	4279.11***	3068.995***	3284.894***	3305.843***	4240.493***	2827.44***	4401.869***
${ m T}$	2701.486***	5334.03***	3987.609***	4140.842***	4484.032***	5439.063***	3075.452***	4490.214***
ST	2693.802***	5390.968***	3816.071***	4109.426***	4382.332***	5506.673***	3082.355***	4445.395***
GED	2506.353***	4901.291***	3552.002***	3792.61***	3967.108***	4939.562***	2972.597***	4416.122***
SGED	2507.29***	4985.231***	3409.815***	3782.004***	3915.489***	5031.173***	2981.969***	4370.963***

Notes Table displays the Jarque-Bera statistic $JB = \frac{n}{6}(S^2 + \frac{1}{4}(K-3)^2)$ with n the sample size, K the kurtosis and S the skewness for the residuals of the models. The JB statistic is distributed χ^2 with $\nu=2$. The underlying data is the daily return series of the France CAC 40 for the period between 1988-01-01 and 2021-05-19. *, ** and *** point out respectively significance at 10, 5 and 1 percent level. The null hypothesis is that S and S are not significantly different than what would be found under normality (0 and 3).




Figure 1: QQ plots of AVGARCH residuals versus the standardized returns of the series