

2025-11-01

# E002: Control Theory Fundamentals

DIP-SMC-PSO Educational Series

January 25, 2026

## Overview

This episode covers control theory fundamentals from the DIP-SMC-PSO project.

**Part:** Part1 Foundations

**Duration:** 15-20 minutes

**Source:** Comprehensive Presentation Materials

## section0 Seven Controller Types: Overview

- **Classical SMC** – Boundary layer for chattering reduction
  - Simplest implementation, robust to uncertainties - ‘src/controllers/classical\_smc.py‘
  - **Super-Twisting Algorithm (STA)** – Continuous higher-order SMC
  - Second-order sliding mode, finite-time convergence - ‘src/controllers/sta\_smc.py‘
  - **Adaptive SMC** – Online parameter estimation
  - Adapts to unknown system parameters - ‘src/controllers/adaptive\_smc.py‘
  - **Hybrid Adaptive STA-SMC** – Combines adaptive + super-twisting
  - Best of both approaches - ‘src/controllers/hybrid\_adaptive\_stasmc.py‘

## section0 Seven Controller Types: Advanced & Experimental

- **Swing-Up SMC** – Large-angle stabilization
  - Energy-based swing-up + SMC balance - ‘src/controllers/swing\_up\_smc.py‘
  - **Model Predictive Control (MPC)** – Experimental optimization-based
  - Predicts future states, optimizes control sequence - ‘src/controllers/mpc.py‘
  - **Factory Pattern** – Thread-safe controller registry
  - Unified interface for all controllers - ‘src/controllers/factory.py‘
  - [OK] All 7 controllers validated with:
    - Lyapunov stability proofs (LT-4) - 100 Monte Carlo runs (MT-5) - Model uncertainty analysis (LT-6) - Disturbance rejection testing (MT-8)

## section0 Sliding Mode Control: Fundamental Concept

**Core Idea:** Design a sliding surface = 0 such that:

- System trajectories converge to the surface (reaching phase) - System slides along the surface to equilibrium (sliding phase)

**Sliding Surface Design for DIP:**

$$\text{equation} = k_1\theta_1 + k_2\dot{\theta}_1 + \lambda_1\theta_2 + \lambda_2\dot{\theta}_2 \quad (0)$$

where:

- $\theta_1, \theta_2$  – Angular positions of poles 1 and 2 -  $\dot{\theta}_1, \dot{\theta}_2$  – Angular velocities -  $k_1, k_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$  – Design gains (tuned by PSO)

- **Robustness:** Insensitive to matched uncertainties - **Finite-time convergence:** Reaches = 0 in finite time - **Invariance:** Dynamics on surface independent of disturbances

## section0 Classical SMC: Control Law

**Control Law with Boundary Layer:**

$$\text{equation} u = -K \cdot \tanh\left(\frac{\cdot}{\epsilon}\right) \quad (0)$$

where:

- $K$  – Control gain (determines reaching speed) -  $\epsilon$  – Boundary layer thickness (chattering reduction) -  $\tanh(\cdot)$  – Smooth approximation of  $(\cdot)$

**Chattering Phenomenon:**

- **Cause:** Discontinuous control switching across = 0 - **Effect:** High-frequency oscillations, actuator wear - **Solution:** Boundary layer  $\epsilon$  trades precision for smoothness

Adaptive boundary layer:  $\epsilon(t) = \epsilon_0 + \alpha$

Result: Marginal 3.7

## section0 Super-Twisting Algorithm (STA)

\*\*Second-Order Sliding Mode:\*\*  $u = u_1 + u_2$

$$u_1 = -\alpha^{1/2}()$$

$$\dot{u}_2 = -\beta()$$

where:

-  $\alpha, \beta$  – STA gains (positive constants) -  $u_1$  – Continuous proportional term -  $u_2$  – Integral term (eliminates steady-state error)

\*\*Key Advantages:\*\*

- \*\*Continuous control:\*\*  $u(t)$  is continuous (no chattering) - \*\*Finite-time convergence:\*\* Both  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  reach zero - \*\*Robustness:\*\* Handles Lipschitz disturbances

STA achieves \*\*lowest chattering frequency\*\* among all 7 controllers

## section0 Adaptive SMC: Parameter Estimation

\*\*Motivation:\*\* System parameters  $(m, \ell, g)$  may be unknown or time-varying

\*\*Adaptive Law:\*\*  $\dot{u} = -\hat{\theta} \cdot \Phi() - K()$

$$\dot{\hat{\theta}} = \gamma \Phi()$$

where:

-  $\hat{\theta}$  – Estimated parameter vector -  $\Phi()$  – Regressor vector (known functions of state) -  $\gamma > 0$

- Adaptation rate

\*\*Lyapunov-Based Stability:\*\*

$$equation = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \tilde{\theta}^T \tilde{\theta} \quad (0)$$

where  $\tilde{\theta} = \theta^* - \hat{\theta}$  (parameter error)

$\dot{\tilde{\theta}} \leq -\eta$  ensures asymptotic convergence

## section0 Hybrid Adaptive STA-SMC

\*\*Combines:\*\*

- Adaptive parameter estimation (handles uncertainties) - Super-twisting algorithm (continuous control, no chattering)

\*\*Control Law:\*\*  $u = -\hat{\theta} \cdot \Phi() + u_{STA}$

$$u_{STA} = -\alpha^{1/2}() + u_2$$

$$\dot{u}_2 = -\beta()$$

$$\dot{\hat{\theta}} = \gamma \Phi()$$

\*\*Performance Characteristics:\*\*

- \*\*Best robustness\*\* – Adapts to parameter variations - \*\*Low chattering\*\* – STA provides continuous control - \*\*Fast convergence\*\* – Second-order sliding mode - \*\*Complexity tradeoff\*\* – More states, higher computational cost

Hybrid controller shows \*\*smallest performance degradation\*\*

under  $\pm 20$

## section0 Swing-Up SMC: Energy-Based Control

\*\*Two-Phase Strategy:\*\*

\*\*Phase 1: Energy-Based Swing-Up\*\* (large angles)

$$equation u = k_e(E^* - E)(\dot{\theta}_1 \cos \theta_1) \quad (0)$$

where:

- $E = \frac{1}{2}m\ell^2\dot{\theta}_1^2 + m\ell(1 - \cos \theta_1)$  – Total energy
- $E^*$  – Target energy (upright equilibrium)
- $k_e$  – Energy gain
- \*\*Phase 2: SMC Balance\*\* (small angles)

$$equation u = -K \tanh\left(\frac{\cdot}{\epsilon}\right) \quad (0)$$

\*\*Switching Condition:\*\*

$$equation Switch to SMC when \theta_1 < \theta_{threshold} and \dot{\theta}_1 < \dot{\theta}_{threshold} \quad (0)$$

## section 0 Model Predictive Control (MPC): Experimental

\*\*Optimization-Based Control:\*\*

At each time step, solve:  $\min J = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left( k - Q_k^2 + R_k^2 \right)$

*subject to :*  $k+1 = f(k, u_k)$

$u_{min} \leq u_k \leq u_{max}$

where:

- $N$  – Prediction horizon
- $Q, R$  – State and control weighting matrices
- $f(\cdot)$  – Nonlinear dynamics model

\*\*Status:\*\*

- Experimental implementation – Computational cost limits real-time performance
- Suitable for offline trajectory planning

## section 0 Lyapunov Stability Theory

\*\*Fundamental Tool:\*\* Prove controller stability mathematically

\*\*Lyapunov Function Candidate:\*\*

$$equation() = \frac{1}{2} \quad (0)$$

\*\*Stability Condition:\*\*

$$equation \dot{()} < 0 \quad \forall \neq 0 \quad (0)$$

\*\*For Classical SMC:\*\*  $\dot{()} = \dot{()}$

$= (f(), u)$

$\leq -\eta$  (with appropriate  $u$ )

where  $\eta > 0$  (reaching rate)

Complete proofs for all 7 controllers ( 1,000 lines)

‘docs/theory/lyapunov\_proofs\_existing.md’

## section 0 Chattering Analysis: Frequency Domain

\*\*Definition:\*\* High-frequency oscillations in control signal

\*\*Metrics (QW-4 Task):\*\*

- \*\*Zero-Crossing Rate:\*\* Count sign changes in  $u(t)$

$$equation ZCR = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} I[(u_{k+1}) \neq (u_k)] \quad (0)$$

- **FFT Analysis:** Identify dominant frequencies

$$U(f) = \mathcal{F}\{u(t)\}, \quad P(f) = U(f)^2 \quad (0)$$

- **High-Frequency Energy:**

$$E_{HF} = \int_{f_{cutoff}}^{f_{Nyquist}} P(f) df \quad (0)$$

**Lowest chattering:** STA-SMC

**Highest chattering:** Classical SMC (without boundary layer)

## Resources

- **Repository:** <https://github.com/theSadeQ/dip-smc-pso.git>
- **Documentation:** See docs/ directory
- **Getting Started:** docs/guides/getting-started.md