

List of Figures

December 25, 2025

1 List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Double-inverted pendulum system schematic showing cart (m_0), two pendulum links (m_1, m_2), angles (θ_1, θ_2), control force (u), and coordinate system

Figure 3.1: Common SMC architecture showing sliding surface calculation, controller-specific control law, saturation, and feedback to DIP plant

Figure 3.2: **Classical SMC** block diagram with equivalent control, switching term, and derivative damping

Figure 3.3: Super-Twisting Algorithm control architecture with integral state z and fractional power term —sigma— $(1/2)$

Figure 3.4: Hybrid Adaptive **STA-SMC** block diagram with mode switching logic between STA and Adaptive modes

Figure 5.1: PSO convergence curves for **Classical SMC** gain optimization over 200 iterations

Figure 5.2: MT-6 PSO convergence comparison (adaptive boundary layer optimization, marginal benefit observed)

Figure 7.1: Computational efficiency comparison across four SMC variants with 95percent confidence intervals

Figure 7.2: Transient response performance: (a) settling time and (b) overshoot%

Figure 7.3: Chattering characteristics: (a) chattering index and (b) high-frequency energy content

Figure 7.4: Energy consumption analysis: (a) total control energy and (b) peak power consumption

Figure 8.1: Model uncertainty tolerance predictions for four controller variants

Figure 8.2: Disturbance rejection performance: (a) sinusoidal attenuation, (b) impulse recovery, (c) steady-state error

Figure 8.3: PSO generalization analysis: (a) degradation factor comparison and (b) absolute chattering under realistic conditions

Figure 8.4a: MT-7 robustness analysis—chattering distribution across 10 random seeds

Figure 8.4b: MT-7 robustness analysis—per-seed variance quantifying overfitting severity

Figure 8.4c: MT-7 robustness analysis—success rate distribution (standard vs robust PSO)

Figure 8.4d: MT-7 robustness analysis—worst-case chattering scenarios