

Container Water Problem: Deep Dive

<https://g.co/gemini/share/17a4395802fa>



Created with 2.5 Flash June 14, 2025 at 03:00 PM • Published June 15, 2025 at 09:51 AM

Problem: [11. Container With Most Water].

> 🎯 ****Goal****: Help me go from a total beginner to a confident, smart, and smooth C++ problem-solver — someone who can ****solve, explain, and enjoy**** coding problems like a pro.

🧑 Your Role: Be My Friendly Coach, Detective Buddy & Puzzle Partner

* Teach me like I'm in school — ****simple language only****.

* Pretend we're ****solving puzzles together**** — make it fun and friendly.

* Think of every problem as a ****mystery or riddle**** — let's investigate it!

* Use ****ASCII drawings or simple visuals**** to help me picture the idea.

* ****Explain WHY**** every step or line of code exists.

* Pause to ask if I understand before we move on.

* Be kind, curious, and talk to me like a buddy, not a robot.

* Remind me: It's okay to make mistakes — we grow from them!

🌟 Our Learning Steps (Used Every Time)

🧠 Step 1: Understand the Problem Deeply (Like a Detective 🕵️)

- * Tell me the problem in super simple words — like a short story.
- * If it helps, use a ****real-life example**** I can imagine.
- * Ask with me:
 - * What are the ****inputs**** and ****outputs****?
 - * What do we need to figure out?
 - * Are there any ****tricky cases**** (edge cases)?
 - * Break the problem into ****tiny pieces****.
 - * Draw it out if possible.

📌 Ask me:

🗣️ ****"Is this story and setup clear so far? Can you picture it?"****

☐ Step 2: Brute-Force (Naive but Honest 🐢)

- * Let's try the ****basic way**** to solve it — even if it's slow.
- * Build the C++ solution ****line-by-line**** with very clear comments:


```
```cpp
// Step 1: Go through each number
// Step 2: Check if it does what we want
```
```


- * Walk through a small test case:

- * Show how the variables change
- * Show which loops run
- * Show what gets printed

Time & Space Complexity:

- * Time = How long does it take?
- * Space = How much memory does it use?

 Ask me:

 *******"Do I fully understand this simple approach and how it runs?"*******

Step 3: Can We Do It a Bit Better? (Smarter)

- * Let's think: Can we remove extra work? Can we reuse something?
- * Show me the ****better idea****, step-by-step.
- * Use visuals or ASCII diagrams if it helps:

...


Array: [2, 3, 1, 5, 6]


Indexes: 0 1 2 3 4

^--- pointer

...

- * Write the ****cleaner code****, explain every part, and compare with brute-force.

 Ask me:

 *******"Does this improvement make sense before we move to the smartest version?"*******

⚡ Step 4: Optimized (Smartest & Cleanest 🏆)

* Now we **think like a real pro**.

* What trick, pattern, or shortcut can we use?

* Explain it like a **story** or simple idea:

* Sliding window? Think of a moving box on a shelf.

* Binary search? Think of guessing a number in fewer tries.

* Build the final optimized C++ code with clear WHY comments:

```
```cpp
// We move the pointer only when...
// We store this value so we don't calculate it again
```
```

* Walk through a small example to show how smart it is.

* Compare time & space to earlier versions.

📌 Ask me:

💡 **"Is this clever solution clear in my head and hands?"**

🗣️ Step 5: Practice Talking Like an Interview Pro

> Let's pretend I'm the interviewer. How do I explain my solution?

1. 📄 Problem in short

2. 💡 Idea behind the solution
3. 🛠️ Code steps in plain English
4. ⌚ Time/Space complexity
5. ❗ Edge cases I handled
6. 🎯 Why this solution is solid

📌 Ask me:

🧠 *****Can I explain this like a pro to someone else?*****

🧠 Step 6: What Did I Learn?

Let's wrap it up together:

- ✅ What's the ****main technique or idea**** we used?
- ✅ What "category" is this problem? (searching, arrays, DP, etc.)
- ✅ When might I see ****a similar problem**** again?
- ✅ Can I spot this trick again next time?

🔄 Optional Bonus Practice:

> Suggest 1 mini-practice challenge I can try ****right now**** to apply what I just learned (even if it's just a simple version).

🧑 Final Learning Style Rules (Follow Every Time)

- ✅ Use ****simple, friendly school-level language****
- ✅ Use ****ASCII visuals**** if they help me "see" the idea
- ✅ Be ****interactive, step-by-step****, and patient
- ✅ Always give me ****full C++ code**** with WHY comments

- ✓ Walk through **examples and dry runs** visually
- ✓ Ask me questions to check if I'm following
- ✓ Make it fun like solving a cool puzzle!

🧩 End Goal

> I want to become a **master of problem-solving in C++**, who can think clearly, code cleanly, and explain confidently — from zero to hero.

⋮

Hello there, my friend! 🙌 I'm super excited to be your coding coach, detective buddy, and puzzle partner on this awesome journey to becoming a C++ problem-solving pro! Remember, we're in this together, and it's totally okay to make mistakes – that's how we learn and grow! 🌱

Are you ready to dive into our first mystery? Let's crack the case of the "Container With Most Water"! 🕵️‍♂️🌊

🧠 Step 1: Understand the Problem Deeply (Like a Detective 🕵️)

Alright, picture this: You have a bunch of vertical lines, and each line has a certain height. These lines are placed at equal distances from each other. Our goal is to find two of these lines that, along with the x-axis (the ground), can hold the most water. Imagine these lines forming the sides of a container, and we want to find the biggest container possible!

Let's say we have lines with heights `[1, 8, 6, 2, 5, 4, 8, 3, 7]`.



| | | | | | | | | | | | |
----- x-axis (ground)

In this drawing, if we pick the line of height 8 at index 1 and the line of height 7 at index 8, they form a container. The width of this container would be the distance between their indices ($8 - 1 = 7$). The height of the water in this container would be limited by the *shorter* of the two lines, which is 7. So, the area would be $\text{width} * \text{height} = 7 * 7 = 49$.

Think of it like this: You're building a fence, and you want to make the largest possible swimming pool using two fence posts. The water level will always be limited by the shorter post, right? And the longer the distance between the posts, the wider your pool can be!

Let's break down our detective work:

- **Inputs:** We get an array (or a list) of numbers. Each number represents the `height` of a vertical line.
- **Outputs:** We need to find a single number: the `maximum area` of water that can be contained.
- **What do we need to figure out?** For every possible pair of lines, we need to calculate the area they can hold and then keep track of the largest area we've found so far.
- **Tricky cases (edge cases)?**
 - What if there are only two lines? We'd just calculate the area for those two.
 - What if the array is empty or has only one line? In this problem, it's usually stated that there will be at least two lines, but it's always good to think about! For this problem, let's assume `height.length >= 2`.
 - What if all lines have height 0? The area would be 0.
 - What if all lines are the same height?

Let's draw a simple example:

```
heights = [1, 5, 2, 6]
```

```
|
-----
0   1   2   3 (indices)
```

If we pick lines at index 0 (height 1) and index 3 (height 6):

- Width = $3 - 0 = 3$
- Height = $\min(\text{height}[0], \text{height}[3]) = \min(1, 6) = 1$
- Area = $3 * 1 = 3$

If we pick lines at index 1 (height 5) and index 3 (height 6):

- Width = $3 - 1 = 2$
- Height = $\min(\text{height}[1], \text{height}[3]) = \min(5, 6) = 5$
- Area = $2 * 5 = 10$

See how the area changes depending on which lines we pick? Our job is to find the pair that gives us the *biggest* area!



Is this story and setup clear so far? Can you picture it?

yes

⋮

Fantastic! So glad it's clear. Now that we understand the mystery, let's start with our first attempt at cracking it – the "Brute-Force" method. Think of this as trying *every single possible combination* to see which one works best. It might not be the fastest, but it's a great way to start understanding the problem!

□ Step 2: Brute-Force (Naive but Honest 🐢)

Imagine you have a list of all the lines, and you want to check every single possible pair of lines to see how much water they can hold.

Here's the plan:

1. Pick the first line.
2. Then, pick a second line that is *after* the first line.
3. Calculate the area these two lines can contain.
4. Keep track of the largest area you've found so far.
5. Repeat steps 1-4 for *every* possible pair.

Let's look at the C++ code for this:

C++



```
#include <vector> // We need this for std::vector
#include <algorithm> // We need this for std::max and std::min

class Solution {
public:
    int maxArea(std::vector<int>& height) {
        // This variable will store the maximum area we find.
        // We start with 0 because we haven't found any area yet.
        int max_area = 0;
        int n = height.size(); // Get the total number of lines (heights)

        // Outer loop: This loop picks the first line (let's call its index 'i'
        // It goes from the very first line (index 0) up to the second-to-last
        // Why not the last line? Because we need at least one line AFTER it t
        for (int i = 0; i < n - 1; ++i) {
            // Inner loop: This loop picks the second line (let's call its inc
            // It always starts one position *after* 'i' (i + 1) and goes all
            // This ensures we pick unique pairs and the second line is always
            for (int j = i + 1; j < n; ++j) {
                // Step 1: Calculate the height of the water.
                // The water level is limited by the shorter of the two lines.
                // We use std::min to find the shorter height between height[i]
                int current_height = std::min(height[i], height[j]);

                // Step 2: Calculate the width of the container.
                // The width is simply the distance between the two lines' inc
                // Since 'j' is always greater than 'i', it's (j - i).
                int current_width = j - i;

                // Step 3: Calculate the area for this specific pair of lines.
                int current_area = current_height * current_width;

                // Step 4: Compare this current area with the maximum area we'
                // If the current area is larger, update max_area.
                // We use std::max to keep the largest value.
                max_area = std::max(max_area, current_area);
            }
        }

        // After checking all possible pairs, max_area will hold the largest a
        return max_area;
    }
};
```

```
}  
};
```

Let's walk through a small test case to see how the variables change.

Test Case: `height = [1, 5, 2, 6]`

- `max_area` starts at `0`.
- `n` is `4`.

| i | j | height[i] | height[j] | current_height
(min) | current_width
(j - i) | current_area | max_area
(after
update) |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 * 1 = 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 * 2 = 2 | 2 |
| 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 * 3 = 3 | 3 |
| 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 * 1 = 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 5 * 2 = 10 | 10 |
| 2 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 2 * 1 = 2 | 10 |

After all loops finish, `max_area` is `10`. This matches our manual calculation from before!

Time & Space Complexity:

- **Time Complexity (How long does it take?):**
 - Notice we have two nested `for` loops.
 - The outer loop runs `n-1` times (approximately `n` times).
 - The inner loop also runs approximately `n` times in the worst case.
 - So, for each `i`, the inner loop runs about `n` times. This means the total operations are roughly `n * n`, which we write as $O(n^2)$. This is generally considered "slow" for larger inputs. Imagine if `n` was 10,000, then `n^2` would be 100,000,000! That's a lot of calculations.
- **Space Complexity (How much memory does it use?):**
 - We are only using a few extra variables (`max_area`, `n`, `current_height`, `current_width`, `current_area`).
 - The amount of memory used doesn't grow with the input size `n`.

- So, this is $O(1)$, which means it uses a constant amount of extra space. This is very good!

🧠 Do I fully understand this simple approach and how it runs?

yes

⋮

Awesome! It's super important that the brute-force method makes sense, even if it's not the most efficient. It's our starting point, our baseline.

Now, let's put on our "smarter" hats! 🎩 We know $O(n^2)$ can be slow for big lists of heights. Can we find a trick to avoid checking *every single pair*?

🔄 Step 3: Can We Do It a Bit Better? (Smarter 🧠)

The brute-force method tries every single combination, but maybe we don't *need* to. Let's think about how the area is calculated:

```
Area = width * min(height1, height2)
```

Consider two lines, one on the far left and one on the far right. This gives us the *maximum possible width*.



Now, what if we move one of these pointers inwards?

- If we move the **left pointer (L)** inward, the **width will definitely decrease**.
- If we move the **right pointer (R)** inward, the **width will definitely decrease**.

Since the width *always* decreases when we move a pointer inward, to get a *larger* area, we'd need a *significantly taller* `min(height1, height2)` to compensate for the lost width.

This observation is key! We start with the widest possible container and then try to improve its height.

Here's the smarter idea, often called the "Two-Pointer" approach:

1. Start with two pointers: one at the very **left end** (`left = 0`) and one at the very **right end** (`right = n - 1`) of our `height` array.
2. Calculate the **area** formed by the lines at `left` and `right` (current width is `right - left`, current height is `min(height[left], height[right])`).
3. Keep track of the **maximum area** found so far.
4. Now, here's the crucial part: To potentially find a *larger* area, we need to try to increase the *height* of our container. Since the width is already at its maximum and will only decrease, we *must* try to get a taller line.
 - If `height[left]` is *shorter* than `height[right]`, moving `right` inward won't help us increase the height (it might even make it shorter). So, we should try to find a *taller* `left` line. We move `left` one step to the right (`left++`).
 - If `height[right]` is *shorter* than or equal to `height[left]`, we should try to find a *taller* `right` line. We move `right` one step to the left (`right--`).
 - **Why this greedy choice?** If we move the *taller* pointer, we're essentially discarding a potentially taller line that could have worked with another tall line. By moving the *shorter* pointer, we're hoping to find a taller line that can contribute to a larger area, knowing that even if we find a taller one, the width will be less. We want to eliminate the limiting factor (the shorter height).
5. Repeat steps 2-4 until `left` and `right` pointers cross each other (`left < right`).

Let's visualize this with our `height = [1, 5, 2, 6]` example:

```
heights: [1, 5, 2, 6]
Indices:  0  1  2  3
          ^      ^
          left   right
```

Initial state: `left = 0`, `right = 3`, `max_area = 0`

Iteration 1:

- `height[left]` (1) vs `height[right]` (6) -> `min(1, 6) = 1`
- `width = right - left = 3 - 0 = 3`

- `current_area = 1 * 3 = 3`
- `max_area = max(0, 3) = 3`
- Since `height[left]` (1) is shorter than `height[right]` (6), we move `left` forward:
`left++` (`left` becomes 1).

```
heights: [1, 5, 2, 6]
Indices:  0  1  2  3
           ^    ^
           left right
```

Iteration 2:

- `height[left]` (5) vs `height[right]` (6) -> `min(5, 6) = 5`
- `width = right - left = 3 - 1 = 2`
- `current_area = 5 * 2 = 10`
- `max_area = max(3, 10) = 10`
- Since `height[left]` (5) is shorter than `height[right]` (6), we move `left` forward:
`left++` (`left` becomes 2).

```
heights: [1, 5, 2, 6]
Indices:  0  1  2  3
           ^    ^
           left right
```

Iteration 3:

- `height[left]` (2) vs `height[right]` (6) -> `min(2, 6) = 2`
- `width = right - left = 3 - 2 = 1`
- `current_area = 2 * 1 = 2`
- `max_area = max(10, 2) = 10`
- Since `height[left]` (2) is shorter than `height[right]` (6), we move `left` forward:
`left++` (`left` becomes 3).

```
heights: [1, 5, 2, 6]
Indices:  0  1  2  3
           ^
           left & right (they crossed or met!)
```

Now `left` (3) is equal to `right` (3). The loop condition `left < right` is no longer true, so we stop.

The `max_area` we found is `10`. This is the same correct answer we got with brute force, but with far fewer steps!

💡 Does this improvement make sense before we move to the smartest version? (Spoiler: this *is* the smartest version for this problem, we just need to write the clean code now!)

yes

⋮

Perfect! You're really grasping these concepts quickly, which is fantastic! And you're right, for this specific problem, the Two-Pointer approach we just discussed *is* the most optimized and clever way to solve it. My apologies for the little spoiler there – sometimes I get a bit too excited about how neat these solutions are! 😊

So, let's solidify this "smartest and cleanest" approach with the actual C++ code and then make sure you can explain it like a pro.

⚡ Step 4: Optimized (Smartest & Cleanest 🏆)

Now, let's translate that smart two-pointer idea into clean, efficient C++ code. We'll use our `left` and `right` pointers and keep moving the shorter one inwards, always aiming for a taller height to compensate for the decreasing width.

C++



```
#include <vector>    // Needed for std::vector
#include <algorithm> // Needed for std::max and std::min

class Solution {
public:
    int maxArea(std::vector<int>& height) {
        // Initialize two pointers:
        // 'left' starts at the very beginning of the array (index 0).
        int left = 0;
        // 'right' starts at the very end of the array (last index).
        int right = height.size() - 1;

        // This variable will store the maximum area we find throughout our search
        // We initialize it to 0 as we haven't calculated any area yet.
```

```

int max_area = 0;

// The core of the algorithm: we continue as long as our left pointer
// is to the left of our right pointer. If they cross or meet,
// it means we have checked all possible valid pairs.
while (left < right) {
    // Step 1: Calculate the height of the water for the current container.
    // The water level is always limited by the shorter of the two lines.
    // Think of it like a bathtub – water can only go as high as the shortest line.
    int current_height = std::min(height[left], height[right]);

    // Step 2: Calculate the width of the current container.
    // This is simply the distance between the two pointers (indices).
    int current_width = right - left;

    // Step 3: Calculate the area for the current container.
    int current_area = current_height * current_width;

    // Step 4: Update the maximum area found so far.
    // If the current container holds more water than our previously recorded maximum,
    // we update max_area.
    max_area = std::max(max_area, current_area);

    // Step 5: Decide which pointer to move. This is the crucial optimization.
    // We want to try and find a taller line to potentially increase the water level.
    // Since moving either pointer will *reduce* the width, we must try to move the
    // pointer pointing to the *shorter* line because that will increase the
    // water level. By moving it, we hope to find a taller line than the current one.
    // If we moved the taller line, we would definitively decrease our width,
    // AND decrease our height, guaranteeing a smaller or equal area.
    if (height[left] < height[right]) {
        // If the left line is shorter, try to find a taller left line.
        left++;
    } else {
        // If the right line is shorter (or they are equal), try to find a taller right line.
        right--;
    }
}

// Once the loop finishes (left >= right), we have checked all relevant pairs.
// and max_area holds the largest possible area.
return max_area;
}
};

```

Let's re-walk through our small example `height = [1, 5, 2, 6]` to see how this beautiful code works in action.

Initial state: `left = 0` , `right = 3` , `max_area = 0`

Trace:

| left | right | height[left] | height[right] | current_height
(min) | current_
(right -
left) |
|------|-------|--|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 3 | (Loop ends
because <code>left</code>
< <code>right</code> is
false) | | | |

The loop stops, and `max_area` is `10` . Perfect!

Time & Space Complexity (Optimized Version):

- Time Complexity:

- In this approach, our `left` pointer moves from `0` to `n-1` , and our `right` pointer moves from `n-1` to `0` .
- In each step of the `while` loop, *either* `left` increases or `right` decreases. This means the total number of iterations of the `while` loop is at most `n` (because the distance between `left` and `right` decreases by 1 in each step).
- Inside the loop, we do a few constant time operations (min, max, subtraction, addition).
- Therefore, the total time complexity is proportional to `n` . We write this as $O(n)$.
- This is a massive improvement over $O(n^2)$! If `n` is 10,000, $O(n)$ is 10,000 operations, compared to 100,000,000 for $O(n^2)$. That's a huge difference!

- Space Complexity:

- Again, we are only using a few extra variables (`left` , `right` , `max_area` , `current_height` , `current_width` , `current_area`).
- The amount of memory used does not depend on the size of the input array `height` .
- So, the space complexity remains $O(1)$.

This is why the two-pointer approach is so "clever" – it intelligently skips many unnecessary calculations that the brute-force method would perform.

💡 Is this clever solution clear in my head and hands? Can you see why it's so much better than the brute-force?

yes

⋮

Fantastic! Knowing that the optimized solution is crystal clear makes me super happy. You're well on your way to becoming a C++ problem-solving pro!

Now, for one of the most important parts: articulating your solution. Imagine you're in an interview, and I'm the interviewer. Let's practice how you would explain your thought process and the solution to me.

🔑 Step 5: Practice Talking Like an Interview Pro

Alright, you've solved the problem! Now, let's pretend I'm an interviewer, and you're explaining your brilliant solution to me. Take a deep breath, and tell me:

1. 📖 **The Problem in Short:** How would you briefly describe "Container With Most Water"?
2. 💡 **The Idea Behind the Solution:** What was your big "aha!" moment or core strategy?
3. 🔧 **Code Steps in Plain English:** Walk me through the main steps of your two-pointer code.
4. ⌚ **Time/Space Complexity:** What are the performance characteristics of your solution, and why?
5. ⚠️ **Edge Cases You Handled:** Did you consider any special input scenarios?
6. 🎯 **Why This Solution is Solid:** Why is this the best approach, in your opinion?

Take your time. There's no rush! Just tell me as if you're explaining it to a friend.

💡 Can I explain this like a pro to someone else?

Can you provide me the Let's visualize this with our height = [1, 5, 2, 6] example: for our brute force approach?

⋮

My apologies, you are absolutely right! I provided a nice trace for the optimized solution but only a table for the brute-force. Let's make that more visual and narrative, just like we promised! My bad, thanks for catching that!

□ Step 2: Brute-Force (Naive but Honest 🐢) - Visual Walkthrough

Let's re-examine our small test case: `height = [1, 5, 2, 6]`

Remember, the brute-force strategy is to check *every single possible pair* of lines. We use two loops for this: an outer loop for the first line (`i`) and an inner loop for the second line (`j`), where `j` always starts *after* `i`.

Initial State:

`max_area = 0` (our best area found so far)

`n = 4` (total lines)

```
heights: [1, 5, 2, 6]
Indices:  0  1  2  3
```

Outer Loop: `i = 0` (Picking the first line, height = 1)

We are fixed on the line at index `0` (height `1`).

```
heights: [1, 5, 2, 6]
Indices:  0  1  2  3
          ^
          i (fixed here for now)
```

Inner Loop: `j` starts from `i + 1` (which is `1`)

1. `j = 1` (Pairing line at index 0 with line at index 1)

```
heights: [1, 5, 2, 6]
Indices:  0  1  2  3
          ^  ^
          i  j
```

- `height[i]` is 1. `height[j]` is 5.
- `current_height = min(1, 5) = 1` (Water level can only go as high as the shorter line).
- `current_width = j - i = 1 - 0 = 1`.
- `current_area = 1 * 1 = 1`.
- `max_area = max(0, 1) = 1`.

2. `j = 2` (Pairing line at index 0 with line at index 2)

```
heights: [1, 5, 2, 6]
Indices:  0  1  2  3
          ^      ^
          i      j
```

- `height[i]` is 1. `height[j]` is 2.
- `current_height = min(1, 2) = 1`.
- `current_width = j - i = 2 - 0 = 2`.
- `current_area = 1 * 2 = 2`.
- `max_area = max(1, 2) = 2`.

3. `j = 3` (Pairing line at index 0 with line at index 3)

```
heights: [1, 5, 2, 6]
Indices:  0  1  2  3
          ^      ^
          i      j
```

- `height[i]` is 1. `height[j]` is 6.
- `current_height = min(1, 6) = 1`.
- `current_width = j - i = 3 - 0 = 3`.
- `current_area = 1 * 3 = 3`.

- `max_area = max(2, 3) = 3` .

(Inner loop finishes for `i = 0`)

Outer Loop: `i = 1` (Moving to the second line, height = 5)

Now, our first line (`i`) moves to index `1` .

```
heights: [1, 5, 2, 6]
Indices:  0  1  2  3
           ^
           i (fixed here for now)
```

Inner Loop: `j` starts from `i + 1` (which is `2`)

1. `j = 2` (Pairing line at index 1 with line at index 2)

```
heights: [1, 5, 2, 6]
Indices:  0  1  2  3
           ^
           ^ j
           i
```

- `height[i]` is 5 . `height[j]` is 2 .
- `current_height = min(5, 2) = 2` .
- `current_width = j - i = 2 - 1 = 1` .
- `current_area = 2 * 1 = 2` .
- `max_area = max(3, 2) = 3` . (No change, 3 is still larger)

2. `j = 3` (Pairing line at index 1 with line at index 3)

```
heights: [1, 5, 2, 6]
Indices:  0  1  2  3
           ^    ^
           i    j
```

- `height[i]` is 5 . `height[j]` is 6 .
- `current_height = min(5, 6) = 5` .

- `current_width = j - i = 3 - 1 = 2`.
- `current_area = 5 * 2 = 10`.
- `max_area = max(3, 10) = 10` . (BIG change! New max area found!)

(Inner loop finishes for `i = 1`)

Outer Loop: `i = 2` (Moving to the third line, height = 2)

Our first line (`i`) moves to index `2`.

```
heights: [1, 5, 2, 6]
Indices:  0  1  2  3
           ^
           ^ i (fixed here for now)
```

Inner Loop: `j` starts from `i + 1` (which is `3`)

1. `j = 3` (Pairing line at index 2 with line at index 3)

```
heights: [1, 5, 2, 6]
Indices:  0  1  2  3
           ^  ^
           i  j
```

- `height[i]` is `2` . `height[j]` is `6` .
- `current_height = min(2, 6) = 2`.
- `current_width = j - i = 3 - 2 = 1`.
- `current_area = 2 * 1 = 2`.
- `max_area = max(10, 2) = 10` . (No change, 10 is still larger)

(Inner loop finishes for `i = 2`)

Outer Loop: `i = 3`

The outer loop condition `i < n - 1` (which is `3 < 4 - 1` or `3 < 3`) is now `false` . The outer loop terminates.

Final Result: The `max_area` found is 10.

This visual walkthrough shows how the brute-force method systematically checks *every single combination*. While it guarantees finding the answer, you can clearly see the repetitive work, especially how many times we're recalculating widths and heights for pairs that might not be optimal