The Energy Paradox: Energy Use And Happiness*

Draft: Wednesday 5th September, 2018

aSUPPLEMENTARY ONLINE MATERIAL and first graph with past version in paper and interpret interrelationships among vars as per reviewer; drop that one inksacpe graph where there is todo below; fix captions; TODO have first graph, one for the paper—drop extra space in first panel; label figures like S1 S2 and refer from body!

Contents

1	Country-level	
2	Census division-level	12
3	US energy use descriptive statistics	14

1 Country-level

Table S1: Key variables for each country."

"Country Code	"Country Name"	"happiness	"energy use, pc"	"PCGDP"	"co2 emissions,	"female life ex- ""
(ISO 2 digits)"		(WDH)"			pc"	pectancy"
ÁD	Andorra	6.8		45,030	7.1	
AE	United Arab Emirates	7.3	9,742	54,113	26.3	77
AF	Afghanistan	4.1		413	0.1	59
AL	Albania	4.6	675	3,127	1.3	78
AM	Armenia	5.0	790	2,462	1.4	75
AO	Angola	4.3	462	2,484	0.9	55
AR	Argentina	7.3	1,720	8,501	4.0	78
AT	Austria	7.4	3,910	44,652	8.4	82
AU	Australia	7.7	5,680	48,171	17.4	83
AZ	Azerbaijan	5.3	1,467	3,251	3.8	72
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	5.8	1,325	3,820	4.3	78
BD	Bangladesh	5.3	162	601	0.3	68
BE	Belgium	7.3	5,489	42,509	10.4	82
BF	Burkina Faso	4.4		501	0.1	54
BG	Bulgaria	4.4	2,500	5,428	6.1	76
BI	Burundi	2.9		226	0.0	54
BJ	Benin	3.0	335	731	0.4	59
ВО	Bolivia	6.3	566	1,732	1.3	65
BR	Brazil	7.5	1,154	9,540	1.9	76
BW	Botswana	4.7	1,027	5,576	2.2	54
BY	Belarus	5.2	2,727	4,099	5.9	75
BZ	Belize	6.6	579	4,216	1.6	72
CA	Canada	7.8	8,190	46,272	16.9	83
CD	Congo, Dem. Rep.	4.4	303	299	0.0	55
CF	Central African Republic	4.6		421	0.1	46
CG	Congo, Rep.	3.7	291	2,458	0.3	56
CH	Switzerland	8.0	3,528	70,752	5.5	84
CI	Cote d'Ivoire	4.4	464	1,242	0.4	49
CL	Chile	6.7	1,724	11,011	3.9	80
			'	tinued on next par		

Continued on next page

^{*}We thank anonymous reviewer for pointing that the relation between energy use and happiness is very similar to the relation between economic growth and happiness (i.e., the Happiness Paradox).

Table S1 – continued from previous page

"Country Code	"Country Name"	"happiness	"energy use, pc"	"PCGDP"	"co2 emissions,	"female life ex- ""
(ISO 2 digits)"	Cameroon	(WDH)" 3.9	387	1,249	pc" 0.3	pectancy" 53
CN	China	6.3	1,319	2,772	4.3	75
CO CR	Colombia Costa Rica	7.7 8.5	634 888	5,340 7,055	1.4 1.6	76 80
CY	Cyprus	7.1	2,252	30,090	7.4	81
CZ DE	Czech Republic Germany	6.5	4,281	17,605	11.7	79 82
DJ	Germany Djibouti	7.1 5.7	4,072 177	39,569	9.8 0.5	60
DK	Denmark	8.3	3,560	58,061	9.4	80
DO DZ	Dominican Republic Algeria	7.5 5.4	774 982	4,481 4,065	2.2 3.0	75 74
EC	Ecuador	6.4	705	4,162	2.1	77
EE EG	Estonia	6.0	3,764	13,789	12.0 2.1	78 72
ES	Egypt, Arab Rep. Spain	5.7 7.2	752 3,098	2,164 30,648	7.5	72 84
ET	Ethiopia	4.2	482	238	0.1	57
FI FR	Finland France	7.9 6.6	6,720 4,180	44,688 39,984	11.5 5.9	82 84
GB	United Kingdom	7.2	3,592	38,623	8.8	81
GE	Georgia	4.3	686	2,117	1.2 0.4	76
GH GN	Ghana Guinea	5.2 4.5	293	1,087 618	0.4	59 54
GR	Greece	6.4	2,669	27,164	8.7	82
GT GY	Guatemala Guyana	7.2 6.5	605 658	2,675 2,565	0.9 2.1	73 68
HK	Hong Kong SAR, China	6.6	1,993	26,963	6.1	85
HN	Honduras	7.0	533	1,781	1.0	74
HR HT	Croatia Haiti	6.0 3.9	2,121 312	12,748 716	4.9 0.2	79 61
HU	Hungary	5.5	2,586	12,466	5.5	77
ID IE	Indonesia Ireland	6.3 7.6	779 3,486	2,508 48,906	1.5 10.4	69 81
IL	Israel	7.0	2,895	27,962	9.0	82
IN	India	5.5	458	964	1.1	65
IQ IR	Iraq Iran, Islamic Rep.	4.7 5.9	1,021 2,341	3,958 5,594	3.5 6.6	71 73
IS	Iceland	8.2	12,501	41,289	7.3	83
IT JM	Italy Jamaica	6.7 6.7	3,091 1,364	36,992 4,867	7.9 3.7	84 76
JO	Jordan	5.9	1,059	3,330	3.3	76 74
JP	Japan	6.5	3,978	43,598	9.5	86
KE KG	Kenya Kyrgyz Republic	3.7 5.5	445 508	870 759	0.3 1.1	58 72
KH	Cambodia	4.9	283	594	0.2	65
KR KW	Korea, Rep.	6.0	4,339	18,258	9.9 29.2	81 75
KZ	Kuwait Kazakhstan	6.6 6.1	10,366 3,371	42,898 6,890	29.2 11.4	75 72
LA	Lao PDR	6.2		844	0.2	63
LB LK	Lebanon Sri Lanka	4.7 5.1	1,374 450	7,005 2,139	4.3 0.6	78 77
LR	Liberia	4.3		324	0.2	56
LT	Lithuania	5.5	2,649	10,087	4.1	78
LU LV	Luxembourg Latvia	7.7 5.4	8,574 1,947	101,171 10,269	22.2 3.3	82 77
MA	Morocco	5.4	454	2,362	1.4	73
MD ME	Moldova Montenegro	4.9 5.2	906 1,860	1,305 5,714	1.2 3.7	72 76
MG	Madagascar	3.7		420	0.1	62
MK ML	Macedonia, FYR Mali	4.7 4.7	1,357	3,788 649	5.2 0.1	76 52
MN	Mongolia	4.7 5.7	1,177	549 2,055	3.8	52 69
MR	Mauritania	4.9		1,097	0.5	62
MT MW	Malta Malawi	7.1 6.2	2,005	19,496 385	6.2 0.1	82 52
MX	Mexico	7.9	1,567	9,014	4.2	78
MY MZ	Malaysia Mozambique	6.5 3.8	2,432 406	7,850 334	6.4 0.1	76 53
NA	Namibia	5.2	627	4,382	1.1	57
NE	Niger	3.8	129	332	0.1	54
NG NI	Nigeria Nicaragua	5.7 7.1	737 516	1,746 1,413	0.7 0.8	49 75
VL.	Netherlands	7.6	4,895	48,431	11.0	82
NO NP	Norway	7.9 5.3	5,972 353	86,844 503	9.7 0.1	82 66
NZ	Nepal New Zealand	5.3 7.5	353 4,197	32,122	0.1 8.3	82
PA	Panama	7.8	874	6,336	2.1	79
PE PH	Peru Philippines	6.2 5.9	481 458	3,885 1,803	1.3 0.9	75 71
PK	Pakistan	5.0	487	947	0.9	65
PL	Poland	6.4	2,424	10,050	8.0	79
PS PT	West Bank and Gaza Portugal	4.9 5.7	2,408	2,259 22,062	0.5 5.8	73 81
PY	Paraguay	6.8	712	2,756	0.7	73
QA BO	Qatar	6.8	19,050	63,770	57.1	78
RO RS	Romania Serbia	5.7 5.4	1,791 2,166	6,794 4,486	4.5 6.9	76 76
RU	Russian Federation	5.5	4,505	8,714	11.2	73
RW SA	Rwanda Saudi Arabia	4.3 6.5	5,315	426 18,565	0.1 15.8	56 75
CD.	Sudan	5.0	381	1,215	0.3	62
SD SE						

Continued on next page

Table S1 – continued from previous page

"Country Code	"Country Name"	"happiness	"energy use, pc"	"PCGDP"	"co2 emissions,	"female life ex- ""
(ISO 2 digits)"		(WDH)"			pc''	pectancy"
SG	Singapore	6.9	5,278	38,239	8.7	82
SI	Slovenia	6.9	3,532	21,762	7.8	81
SK	Slovak Republic	5.9	3,392	13,220	7.1	78
SL	Sierra Leone	3.5		346	0.1	44
SN	Senegal	4.5	254	941	0.4	62
SV	El Salvador	6.7	725	2,829	1.1	75
SY	Syrian Arab Republic	5.9	1,037		3.0	76
TD	Chad	5.4		687	0.0	49
TG	Togo	2.6	424	486	0.3	55
TH	Thailand	6.6	1,434	4,204	3.6	76
TJ	Tajikistan	5.1	336	574	0.4	71
TM	Turkmenistan	7.2	3,902	3,002	9.8	69
TN	Tunisia	5.9	832	3,483	2.2	77
TR	Turkey	5.6	1,262	9,237	3.6	76
TT	Trinidad and Tobago	7.0	11,620	13,646	27.7	73
TZ	Tanzania	2.8	426	591	0.1	57
UA	Ukraine	5.0	2,871	2,604	6.8	74
UG	Uganda	4.8		487	0.1	54
US	United States	7.4	7,725	47,470	19.2	80
UY	Uruguay	6.7	939	9,240	1.8	79
UZ	Uzbekistan	6.0	1,901	1,007	4.7	71
VE	Venezuela, RB	7.5	2,176	12,371	6.5	77
VN	Vietnam	6.1	472	991	1.1	79
YE	Yemen, Rep.	4.8	307	1,194	0.9	63
ZA	South Africa	5.8	2,595	6,544	8.8	57
ZM	Zambia	5.0	598	1,111	0.2	51
ZW	Zimbabwe	3.0	741	904	0.8	47

Figure S4 shows Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita against energy use per capita. It confirms earlier argument that there is some minimum threshold for energy consumption below which, more increase is desirable. That is developing countries should increase their energy consumption. Here, it is clear that at low levels, say below 2,000, no country reaches 20k in gdp, and at higher levels of energy use, there is wide variability in gdp. On the other hand, at low levels of GDP, there is quite a bit of variability in energy use. While moderate or even high happiness is possible at low level of energy consumption (figure ??), moderate wealth is not possible, and moderate wealth in turn is important for happiness.




Figure S1: Repeated figure 1 from the body of the paper.¿




Figure S2: Repeated figure 1 from the body of the paper without dropping outliers.




Figure S3






Figure S4: energy use per capita against GDP (GDP) per capita. Linear fit shown with 95% confidence intervals. Energy use refers to use of primary energy before transformation to other end-use fuels. All data were averaged over 2000-2009 period. Several outliers were dropped: "IS","UZ","ET","LU".



 $\textbf{Figure S5:} \ \, \mathsf{GDP} \ \, \mathsf{per \ capita} \ \, \mathsf{and \ energy \ per \ } \mathsf{GDP}. \ \, \mathsf{Several \ outliers} \ \, \mathsf{were \ dropped:} \ \, "\mathsf{IS"}, "\mathsf{UZ"}, "\mathsf{ET"}, "\mathsf{LU"}.$



 $\textbf{Figure S6:} \ \, \textbf{SWB and GDP.} \ \, \textbf{Several outliers were dropped: "IS","UZ","ET","LU".}$




Figure S7: GDP and Energy, no outliers dropped.




Figure S8: GDP and Energy, no outliers dropped.




Figure S9: SWB and GDP, no outliers dropped.

2 Census division-level

Figure S10 shows relationship between GDP and residential energy use across US census divisions. There is not much relationship: some census divisions display positive correlations and some negative. Weak relationship is not due to use of residential energy (total energy use is similarly related to GDP). It is rather, that in developed countries, energy has lower relationship with GDP. While there is clear positive relationship across countries as shown in previous section, there is not much relationship over time in the US.




Figure S10: Real Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita and residential energy use per capita across census regions.

Figure S11 shows relationship between GDP and happiness across US census divisions. Here, unexpectedly, the relationship is moderately negative, or even strongly negative in Pacific and Mountain. It is only weakly positive in Middle Atlantic.




Figure S11: Happiness and Real Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita across census regions.

3 US energy use descriptive statistics

How do we use energy in the US? Energy use in the US has been fairly flat over past 40 years at 70m btu pc.(http://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.cfm?id=3590), and coasts consume less than inland middle (http://energy.gov/maps/2009-energy-consumption page=0%2C1). Use by sector in the US is following: 22% residential, 18% commercial, 32% industrial, and 28% transportation.(http://www.eia.gov/consumption/). Total energy consumption by end use is shown in table S2.

Table S2: Total energy consumption by end use; quadrillion Btu, 2011.

Space Heating	5.6
Space Cooling	2.6
Water Heating	2.7
Refrigeration	1.2
Cooking	0.6
Clothes Dryers	0.7
Freezers	0.2
Lighting	2
Clothes Washers	0.1
Dishwashers 1/0.307437 Televisions and Related Equipment	1
Computers and Related Equipment	0.4
Furnace Fans and Boiler Circulation Pumps	0.4
Other Uses	3.7

How is electricity used in US homes? Data are shown in table S3. It is important to note that end uses of energy changed over time, for instance from 1993 to 2009: appliances share increased from 24% to 35% and space heating dropped from 53% to 41% (http://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.cfm?id=10271&src=%E2%80%B9%20Consumption%20%20%20%20%20%20Residential%20Energy%20Consumption%20%urvey%20%28RECS%29-b1).

Table S3: Estimated US residential electricity consumption by end use, 2012 (www.eia.gov/tools/faqs/faq.cfm?id=96&t=3).

End Use	Quadrillion Btu	Billion kilowatthours	% Share of total
Space cooling	0.85	250	18.00%
Lighting	0.64	186	14.00%
Water heating	0.45	130	9.00%
Refrigeration	0.38	111	8.00%
Televisions and related equipment	0.33	98	7.00%
Space heating	0.29	84	6.00%
Clothes dryers	0.2	59	4.00%
Computers and related equipment	0.12	37	3.00%
Cooking	0.11	31	2.00%
Dishwashers	0.1	29	2.00%
Furnace fans and boiler circulation pumps	0.09	28	2.00%
Freezers	0.08	24	2.00%
Clothes washers3	0.03	9	1.00%
Other uses	1.02	299	22.00%
Total consumption	4.69	1375	