the replication principle

adam okulicz-kozaryn
adam.okulicz.kozaryn@gmail.com

this version: Thursday 5th September, 2019 20:46

<u>outline</u>

the idea

replication + stata = dofile

<u>outline</u>

the idea

replication+stata=dofile

get code from others!

the idea 3/13

bad excel

- never trust numbers that come from excel
 - no way to find out what happened, there's no code! [*]http://www.statisticalengineering.com/Weibull/excel.html
 - [*]http://www.texasoft.com/excel/Should_You_Use_Excel_for_Statistics.pdf
 - [*]http://andrewgelman.com/2013/04/17/excel-bashing/
- tell a story about excel when I learned it hard way:
- · my first paper for ecological economics, done in excel
- · reviewers got back after 6mo, i had dozens of excel files
- · couldn't replicate my own results!

the idea 4/13

replication, replication

- replication=write computer code that will do *everything*
- · from raw data (eg FED, IMF) to results (eg regression)
- necessary for science
- otherwise we don't know what happened
- how was it calculated? is there a mistake? who knows?
- o pol sci perspective
 - [*]http://gking.harvard.edu/files/gking/files/replication.pdf
- ♦ IT perspective

[*]http://journals.plos.org/plosbiology/article?id=10.1371/journal.pbio.1001745

the idea 5/13

humans and mistakes

- a part of human nature is that we make mistakes
- \cdot can't avoid it no mater what's your skills, experience, etc.
- · same pertains to academic research
- computers, on the other hand, never make mistakes
- · they just do whatever humans tell them to do
- · sometimes they execute our mistakes

the idea 6/1

implications for every day practice once you have coded everything, double/triple-check it

- · leave it aside and check again
- · show it to other people, post on your website
- the more times it is checked, the fewer mistakes

cross-check end output with raw data—e.g. are there the

check with alternative data sources? do they tell the same

same numbers for randomly chosen data points

does it make sense?

- story?
- i always google tables and graphs of what i study
 everything has been already studied by others
- everything has been already studied to the and so it's good to cross-check

7/13

outline

the idea

replication+stata=dofile

dofile

- GUI and command window OK for playing around
- sometimes handy to use command window or GUI
- but in the end, everything must be in dofile
- ⋄ can write in dofile and run from there: highlight+Ctrl-d
- dofile must do *everything*:
- · produce final output (usually descr and inferential stats)
- · from the very raw data (data someone gave you)
- so always first load raw data, manage, organize, manipulate
 - · and only then produce some results

dofile

- just a text file (.do)
- click "new do-file editor" icon: new window pops up
- file-open...and open dofile for today
- it has all the code we will use today
- highlight code you want to run and press Ctrl-d
- can have many dofiles opened at the same time
- can copy-paste between dofile and:
- · command window, review window, and results window
- don't forget to save your dofile: file-save as

replication+stata=dofile 10/13

<u>outline</u>

the idea

replication+stata=dofile

get code from others!

get code from others!

11/13

examples: dofiles

- examples for intl, country level, comparative:
 - https://www.prio.org/JPR/Datasets/
 - http://www.isanet.org/Publications/ISQ/Replication-Data
 - https://huber.research.yale.edu/writings.html

the easiest way to do research in 21st century

- start with code others wrote, and build on their work
- this is the fastest, most efficient way to do research
- any research very close to yours, just email author and ask her to share code with you
- even if it sas or spss etc—you'll be able to figure it out quickly what is going on there and then implement something similar in stata
- o don't reinvent the wheel: almost as if you were to start research without reading literature and had to come up with all theories and ideas on your own!