agency wvs

Adam Okulicz-Kozaryn*

Rutgers - Camden

Thursday 19th February, 2026   18:41

1   feb19 playing with wvs

1.1   vars

first looking at what we have here that can use

obviously we use

A173 How much freedom of choice and control

Some people feel they have completely free choice and control over their lives, while other people feel that what they do has no real effect on what happens to them. Please use this scale where 1 means "none at all" and 10 means "a great deal" to indicate how much freedom of choice and control you feel you have over the way your life turns out.

Tabulation: Freq.	Numeric	Label
15,177	1	None at all
9,141	2	
16,011	3	
20,756	4	
56,829	5	
48,677	6	
64,361	7	
77,430	8	
44,136	9	
77,016	10	A great deal
21,335	.	

But maybe also?:

Autonomy-4 item Index=(a029 +A039)-(a040 + a042) Only questions with answers to the 4 items are considered. -2 Obedience/Religious Faith to 2 Determination, perseverance/Independence

---

I thank XXX. All mistakes are mine.

Important child qualities: [0 Not mentioned; 1 Important]

A029 independence

A039 determination, perseverance

A040 religious faith

A042 obedience

```
131,200        0
115,286        1
 49,393        2  Determination,
                  perseverance/Independence
```

vars from leonie's slide: TODO add others from there https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1YpGP1VmirIAtTRtKqrpcI0ef7xS
edit?slide=id.p6#slide=id.p6

```
gov_res                                        government more responsibility
                42,106        1  1.people
                20,335        2
                33,474        3
                32,308        4
                34,692        5
                58,427        6
                33,254        7
                38,927        8
                33,937        9
                91,298       10  10.government
                32,111        .
comBad                                          Competition good or harmful
               110,388        1  Competition is good
                44,382        2
                51,413        3
                41,108        4
                57,666        5
                23,598        6
                17,349        7
                15,122        8
                 8,568        9
                18,572       10  Competition is harmful
```

and for preferences for redistribution maybe

C038 People who don't work turn lazy [1 disagree - 5 agree]

```
      Variable |        Obs        Mean    Std. dev.        Min        Max
-------------+-----------------------------------------------------------
     poo_laz |     62,905     .298768    .4577215          0          1
     esc_pov |     65,755    .3996198    .4898239          0          1
     sub_poo |    249,129    6.423403    2.988314          0         10
```

1.2   first results yay

	a1	a1cc	a1satFin	a2	a3
freedom	-0.13***	-0.11***	-0.07***	-0.10***	-0.10***
financial satisfaction			-0.16***		-0.17***
age				0.00	-0.00
age2				-0.00	0.00
male				-0.12***	-0.12***
class				-0.10***	-0.07***
married or living together as married				-0.05*	-0.01
freedom × financial satisfaction					0.01**
constant	6.90***	7.33***	7.47***	7.63***	8.20***
N	92557	92557	92244	85727	85517
+ 0.10 * 0.05 ** 0.01 *** 0.001; robust std err					

Table 1: OLS regressions of gov more responsibility (v ppl take care of themselves).

a1: ok more autonomy by 1 on 1-10, want less redistr by .13 on 1-10 scale

a1cc: adding country dummies doesnt change anything

a1satFin: reduced by almost half!, note satFin correlates with agency at .33

a2: basic sociodemographics, and effect size still large at .1

a3: interesting so more satFin the more preRed

	b1	b2	b3
None at all	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	-0.04	0.00	-0.04
3	-0.17+	-0.10	-0.11
4	-0.55***	-0.43***	-0.41***
5	-0.76***	-0.60***	-0.53***
6	-1.00***	-0.81***	-0.69***
7	-1.12***	-0.89***	-0.73***
8	-1.24***	-0.98***	-0.76***
9	-1.37***	-1.08***	-0.83***
A great deal	-1.17***	-0.96***	-0.70***
age		0.00	-0.00
age2		-0.00	0.00
male		-0.12***	-0.12***
class		-0.09***	-0.06***
married or living together as married		-0.05*	-0.01
financial satisfaction			-0.12***
constant	7.02***	7.67***	8.10***
N	92557	85727	85517
+ 0.10 * 0.05 ** 0.01 *** 0.001; robust std err			

Table 2: OLS regressions of gov more responsibility (v ppl take care of themselves).

one contribution to dummy out like in my papers :)

easy to see big effects by 1 on over 5 on free; shows nonlinearity and i guess also makes leonie's point of "double barreled" ie can split in half agency var, and here this shows that it splits about in half at 5

b2: still around 1

b3: lower, but .7 is sizeable


```
. tabstat ls, by(lwd7) stat(mean sd) format(%9.1f)

Summary for variables: ls
Group variable: lwd7 (RECODE of lwd (do what you like))

   lwd7 |      Mean         SD
-------+--------------------
   0-4 |       5.6        2.7
     2 |       7.6        2.3
     3 |       7.6        1.8
     4 |       8.0        1.5
     5 |       7.8        1.9
     6 |       8.2        1.5
     7 |       8.9        1.5
-------+--------------------
 Total |       8.3        1.9
-------------------------
```

.9