

Community (development)

Adam Okulicz-Kozaryn

this version: Wednesday 8th October, 2025 05:48

adam.okulicz.kozaryn@gmail.com

outline

levels

“hard” community development: \$ amounts

“soft” development: “community development” (Green and Haines, 2012)

outline

levels

“hard” community development: \$ amounts

“soft” development: “community development” (Green and Haines, 2012)

levels of theorizing and analysis

- SWB field mostly about persons and nations, but new trend: com dev; eg R Phillips, J Sirgy, S Cloutier
- societies and regions are made of localities
- but not always the whole is a simple sum of parts (atomistic and ecological fallacies:)
- RU is a good univ, hence you guys are good students
- you are good students, hence RU is a good univ

outline

levels

“hard” community development: \$ amounts

“soft” development: “community development” (Green and Haines, 2012)

“soft” v “hard” development

- again, as per previous (policy) class
 - now dev is becoming about SWB, and related:
 - social capital, engagement, community
 - freedom, self-expression, self-realization
 - equality (income, gender, race, etc)
- but dev used to be, and still largely is
 - about GDP (and jobs, consumption, etc), \$ amounts
 - this thinking comes from M Friedman, G Becker
 - neoclassical capitalistic free market laissez fair econs

A Smith: “invisible market hand” and specialization

- division of labor: just do one thing:
 - you get better at it, and can do it faster
 - pin factory
 - but less creativity, numbness, alienation, anomie
- like an automated machine not getting the whole picture
- in research, too
- eg in med res people work on very specific specialized tasks
- see “loss of happiness in market democracies” (Lane, 2000)

outline

levels

“hard” community development: \$ amounts

“soft” development: “community development” (Green and Haines, 2012)

what is social capital?

- social capital not only civic engagement (voting etc);
broader: any social connection: family, friends, etc
- christakis/fowler: network property is connections:
 - graphite and diamond defined not by atoms but connections
- soc cap key to com dev; indeed $\text{com dev} \approx \text{soc cap dev}$
 - or not? discussion?
- soc cap also key part (but not the same!) of QOL
 - and soc cap key predictor of SWB
- QOL can be objective or subjective and they are different

(Okulicz-Kozaryn, 2013)

what is community development

- “planned effort to build assets that increase the capacity of residents to improve their quality of life” (Green and Haines, 2012)
 - the effort is organized/planned
 - assets: capacities of persons, associations and institutions
 - “capacity” is similar to Sen’s “capabilities”

definitions from Michael D'Italia dissertation

- <https://www.proquest.com/docview/3108455864>
- intentional endeavor to cultivate assets and resources that enhance the well-being of community members (Green & Haines, 2015).
- means to transform communities by providing them with local control over revitalization strategies (Lewis et al., 2019; Pothier et al., 2019).
- “the development of such social relations as are increasingly characterized by solidarity and agency” (Bhattacharyya, 1995, p. 61).

freedom/capabilities: measure of dev (Sen, 2000):

- political freedom (civil liberties)
- economic facilities (household resources)
- social opportunities (educ, healthcare, etc)
- transparency guarantees (citizens-govt trust)
- protective security (social safety net)
- like QOL!


freedom data: WVS, Freedom House

- freedom from (negative)[objective]: be no slave, live in a free country, have no coercion, free from restrictions/impediments; lack of obstacles FH
- freedom to (positive)[subjective]: be able to choose, control and direct one's own life; presence of control WVS
- eg US more objectively free in than MEX
 - but MEX actually feeling more free than US!!
- Okulicz-Kozaryn (2014, 2015)


assets classification by Green and Haines (2012)

- social (connections with others)
- physical (laptop, chair)
- human (education, skills)
- financial (\$\$\$)
- environmental (forest, lake, beach)
- political (uncle in Congress)
- cultural (arts, diversity, creativity; creative class)
- asset building (boils down to social capital!) \approx
participation in local orgs, assocs, building soc rel and trust
- soc cap basis for other assets such as financial capital
- again these assets are like (objective) QOL

Arnstein (1969) Ladder of citizen participation



A Ladder of Citizen Participation, Arnstein, 1969



1 Manipulation and 2 Therapy. non participative, cure or educate the participants. achieve public support by PR.

3 Informing. one way flow of information

4 Consultation. attitude surveys, neighbourhood meetings and public enquiries. Window dressing ritual

5 Placation. Allows citizens to advise but retains for power holders the right to judge the legitimacy or feasibility of the advice.

6 Partnership. Power is redistributed through negotiation between citizens and power holders. Shared decision-making responsibilities.

7 Delegated power to make decisions. Public now has the power to assure accountability.

8 Citizen Control. Participants handle the entire job of planning, policy making and managing a programme.

<http://lithgow-schmidt.dk/sherry-arnstein/ladder-of-citizen-participation.html>

- GREEN, G. AND A. HAINES (2012): Asset building & community development, Sage Publications, Beverly Hills CA.
- LANE, R. E. (2000): The loss of happiness in market democracies, New Haven CT: Yale University Press.
- OKULICZ-KOZARYN, A. (2013): "City Life: Rankings (Livability) Versus Perceptions (Satisfaction)," Social Indicators Research, 110, 433–451.
- (2014): "'Freedom from' and 'freedom to' across countries," Social Indicators Research, 118, 1009–1029.
- (2015): "Freedom and Life Satisfaction in Transition," Society and Economy in Central and Eastern Europe, 37, 143–164.
- SEN, A. (2000): Development as Freedom, Anchor Books.