

Community SWB

Adam Okulicz-Kozaryn

this version: Wednesday 8th October, 2025 05:52

adam.okulicz.kozaryn@gmail.com

outline

Campbell ch7,ch12-14

Specific studies about communities/neighborhoods

if time: review/wrap-up what we did so far, especially the happiness theories and key points in public policy

outline

Campbell ch7,ch12-14

Specific studies about communities/neighborhoods

if time: review/wrap-up what we did so far, especially the happiness theories and key points in public policy

cambpell ch7

- especially see fig 7-1 p220
- objective: community, neighb, dwelling unit
- affect each subjective domains
- and everything affects overall QOL experience
- and then overall SWB

cambpell ch7

- community satisfaction is further broken down into
 - satisfactions listed in tab 7-2
 - note that schools and climate are the key
 - among personal stuff in 7-3 most important are:
 - life cycle/age; race; educ; more important than income!
 - (for community satisfaction, not necessarily SWB)
- fig p236: not just SWB, all satis worst in cities!
 - but then there is an interesting quadratic flip
 - small towns and suburbs are often the best (not SWB)

cambpell ch7

- neighborhood satisfaction p 240
 - neighbors are more important than housing
 - and the two are more important than other stuff

cambpell ch12: women

- remember it is 1976
- a lot of data...
- any discussion?
- let's have a look at graphs from
- <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s11482-017-9547-2.pdf>

cambpell ch13: blacks v whites

- again, remember, it is 1976
- interesting trends in tab13-1 on p447
 - whites became less happy
 - fewer blacks very happy, and fewer not too happy
- p455: blacks trust much less than whites
- p461: very interesting differences by domain!

cambpell ch14:

- objective v subjective
- see fig 1,2,3 <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11205-011-9939-x>
- and fig1, tab1 (very important! discuss a lot) and tab2
<https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s11482-017-9587-7.pdf>

outline

Campbell ch7,ch12-14

Specific studies about communities/neighborhoods

if time: review/wrap-up what we did so far, especially the happiness theories and key points in public policy

(do read carefully those that fit you)

- Cloutier et al. (2014)
- Cloutier et al. (2017)
- Ma et al. (2017)
- Zhao et al. (2018)
- Pfeiffer and Cloutier (2016)
- Helliwell et al. (2018) NOTE: data are publicly available!!
- Musikanski et al. (2017)
- Mouratidis (2017)
- Ciorici and Dantzler (2019)
- per Cali, Colombia see Lina Martinez

<https://scholar.google.com/citations?hl=en&user=c4gNDDEAAAAJ>

Mouratidis (2017)

- http:
//journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0042098017729109
- neighborhood satisfaction in Oslo
- higher in compact areas
 - again, not SWB, but neighb sat, and makes sense:
 - outside of Oslo, there's nothing there!
 -
- and remember this is Norway!
 - it has 5m people; Oslo is 600k
 - it is much richer than US
 - way more welfare oriented, equal, trusting, homogeneous
 - and very natural, like Alaska!

Ma et al. (2017)

- <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0264275117308570>
- Beijing, China: 22m! 15 Philadelphias!
- again, as per campbell: domain satisfactions – >SWB
- so neighborhood satisfaction – >SWB
- and as found earlier, relative income is important (Luttmer, 2005), (Firebaugh and Schroeder, 2009)
- here, more important than absolute income
- residential level data, nice maps

Zhao et al. (2018)

- <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11205-018-2015-z>
- not really neighb, but city
- but could be neighb: they use twitter data (Chn equivalent)
- points to the fact that largest cities are less happy
- (but so are smallest ones in China)
- and green stuff like parks bring happiness

Ala-Mantila et al. (2017)

- <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00343404.2017.1360485?needAccess=true>
- zip-codes in southmost Finland, 50k, just urban
- interesting!
 - QOL highest in walkable central city
 - SWB highest in car dependent places
- kind of makes sense, central cities have the best amenities and many objective QOL indicators
 - but as per my research, are most miserable
- and remember, as per my research, that QOL is only moderately related to SWB

Helliwell et al. (2018)

- <https://www.nber.org/papers/w24592.pdf>
- NOTE: data are publicly available!!
<https://data.nber.org/data-appendix/w24592/>
- the advantage is resolution (neighborhood) and size (1200 of them; .5m obs)

Pfeiffer and Cloutier (2016)

- <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944363.2016.1166347?needAccess=true>
- just a literature review for planning happy neighborhoods
 - kind of like Ballas (2013) for cities
- usual stuff: have good transportation, social connection, engagement, security, and green stuff

Cloutier et al. (2017) and Cloutier et al. (2014)

- <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/9/7/1214/htm>
- <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10668-013-9499-0>
- sustainability and commute
- uses bad Gallup data (impossible to use, very pricey!)
- sustainability and public transpo— > greater SWB

Musikanski et al. (2017)

- <https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?referer=https://www.google.com/&httpsredir=1&article=1151&context=jsc>
- like most Cloutier's papers, mostly conceptual
- just about the initiatives to measure and study SWB
 - in several cities
 - useful, if you want to pull off something similar
 - in your city

Ciorici and Dantzler (2019)

- go DPPA! our own scholars!
- neighb satisfaction in Camden!

outline

Campbell ch7,ch12-14

Specific studies about communities/neighborhoods

if time: review/wrap-up what we did so far, especially the happiness theories and key points in public policy

- ALA-MANTILA, S., J. HEINONEN, S. JUNNILA, AND P. SAARSALMI (2017): "Spatial nature of urban well-being," Regional Studies, 1–15.
- BALLAS, D. (2013): "What makes a 'happy city'?" Cities, 32, S39–S50.
- CIORICI, P. AND P. DANTZLER (2019): "Neighborhood satisfaction: A study of a low-income urban community," Urban affairs review, 55, 1702–1730.
- CLOUTIER, S., A. KARNER, H. L. BREETZ, P. TOUFANI, N. ONAT, S. PATEL, S. PARALKAR, E. BEREJNOI, B. A. MORRISON, J. PAPENFUSS, ET AL. (2017): "Measures of a Sustainable Commute as a Predictor of Happiness," Sustainability, 9, 1214.
- CLOUTIER, S., L. LARSON, AND J. JAMBECK (2014): "Are sustainable cities "happy" cities? Associations between sustainable development and human well-being in urban areas of the United States," Environment, development and sustainability, 16, 633–647.
- FIREBAUGH, G. AND M. B. SCHROEDER (2009): "Does Your Neighbor's Income Affect Your Happiness?" American Journal of Sociology, 115, 805–831.
- HELLIWELL, J. F., H. SHIPLETT, AND C. P. BARRINGTON-LEIGH (2018): "How Happy are Your Neighbours? Variation in Life Satisfaction among 1200 Canadian Neighbourhoods and Communities," Tech. rep., National Bureau of Economic Research.
- LUTTMER, E. F. P. (2005): "Neighbors as Negatives: Relative Earnings and Well-Being," Quarterly Journal of Economics, 120, 963–02.
- MA, J., G. DONG, Y. CHEN, AND W. ZHANG (2017): "Does satisfactory neighbourhood environment lead to a satisfying life? An investigation of the association between neighbourhood environment and life satisfaction in Beijing," Cities.
- MOURATIDIS, K. (2017): "Is compact city livable? The impact of compact versus sprawled neighbourhoods on neighbourhood satisfaction," Urban Studies, 0042098017729109.
- MUSIKANSKI, L., C. POLLEY, S. CLOUTIER, E. BEREJNOI, AND J. COLBERT (2017): "Happiness in Communities: How Neighborhoods, Cities and States Use Subjective Well-Being Metrics," Journal of Social Change, 9, 3.

- PFEIFFER, D. AND S. CLOUTIER (2016): "Planning for happy neighborhoods," Journal of the American Planning Association, 1–13.
- ZHAO, Y., F. YU, B. JING, X. HU, A. LUO, AND K. PENG (2018): "An Analysis of Well-Being Determinants at the City Level in China Using Big Data," Social Indicators Research, 1–22.