

data management theory

adam okulicz-kozaryn

`adam.okulicz.kozaryn@gmail.com`

this version: Thursday 10th March, 2022 17:42

outline

the golden rule

basic theory

programming principles by computer scientists

the zen of Python

outline

the golden rule

basic theory

programming principles by computer scientists

the zen of Python

Know thyself

- ◇ old proverb; can google, see wiki at home
 - *<https://www.google.com/search?q=Know+thyself>*
 - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Know_thyself
- ◇ fascinating book <http://www.hup.harvard.edu/catalog.php?isbn=9780674013827>
- ◇ but in this class, something else is even more important

Know Your Data

- ◇ simply cannot manage it well if you don't know it well
- ◇ again, be prepared to invest a lot of time into your data
 - use data that either is of your interest
 - or that can make \$ (say use in future career)
 - or ideally both!
- ◇ and use descriptive stats
 - `des sum tab edit list inspect`, and especially graphs!
- ◇ think about it! don't be mindless!
 - ask questions, be investigative
- ◇ double check, cross check, give to others to check

the silver rule

- ◇ keep it as simple as possible
 - especially if overwhelmed or struggling
- ◇ say retain only 10var and 100obs
 - much easier to manage such data!

the three key rules

- ◇ simplicity transparency clarity:
 - use fancy code: macros, loops and ados iff they simplify
- ◇ have chunks of code only once
 - use root .do, macros, loops, ados to accomplish that
- ◇ code it all from raw to final (replication principle)

all rules in simple words

- ◇ the fancier the code, the more time/effort to write it
- ◇ don't do fancy things unless they save time in the long run
- ◇ it's all about managing complexity
- ◇ automate as much as you can
- ◇ simplify and be clear
- ◇ have general modules (sections or separate dofiles)
 - that can be reused for different projects
- ◇ don't reinvent the wheel—google often

things usually overlooked

- ◇ have chunks that you do not use but may be useful (commented out)
- ◇ clarity and logical organization; clear sections

outline

the golden rule

basic theory

programming principles by computer scientists

the zen of Python

accuracy or correctness

- ◇ it's fundamental and obvious: code cannot be wrong
- ◇ we'll cover some commands/tricks (eg `assert`)
 - to make sure stata did what you think it did
- ◇ the bottom line and best advice:
 - double check (if not 100% sure or always for rookies)
 - especially at the beginning do not assume things
 - double/triple check the whole dofile once finished
 - use as much `des stats` as possible

efficiency: few lines of code do many things

- ◇ efficiency==programming (macros, loops, ados)
- ◇ but also think how you can optimize your code
 - do more in fewer lines, drop unnecessary things
- ◇ reorganize and rewrite!
 - just like your papers: you print them out
 - and move paragraphs and words around
 - and you simplify and strike out unnecessary words
- ◇ do the same with code! drop everything you can!
- ◇ code should be “tight”
 - as few lines as possible to perform given task

efficiency: on the other hand

- ◇ but you also want to be extensive in a way
- ◇ in a good way...
- ◇ like with free writing, so with code
 - do “free writing”
- ◇ be expressive and dump your ideas into dofile
- ◇ just be organized so that you know what is going on!
- ◇ yes, by all means, be efficient—drop unnecessary things
- ◇ but do not drop things that may be useful
 - say in the future or other projects
 - may comment them out (useful!)

rewrite/revise

- ◇ do “free writing” with code, too (i often come up with some idea out of sudden, and then just write it down...)
- ◇ start simple and keep on adding things
- ◇ rewrite/revise your code
- ◇ improve, add, modify, optimize
 - (there is often a tendency to over optimize, i.e. spending weeks on small chunk of code that does not really matter that much)

simplicity: different, often opposite, from efficiency

- ◇ people don't realize this!
- ◇ be as simple as possible in writing the code (papers, too)
- ◇ the more code you have and the more complicated it is:
 - the more likely you have mistakes
 - and the more difficult it is to find them
- ◇ do not complicate your code for the sake of fanciness
 - yes, we do it all the time! don't do it! simpler is better

standardization (see my template organize.do)

- ◇ standardization helps to make fewer mistakes
 - and make your code more transparent
- ◇ whole research process should be standardized; eg:
 - have the same style for graphs, tables (more later)
 - have the same tables of descriptive statistics
- ◇ you should have a template for a dofile (and for a paper)!
 - why waste time on tedious boring sections and parts
 - you could use your time on creative and fun parts instead!
 - research production is like car production
 - don't do everything by hand every time!

modularity

- ◇ break large tasks into small (manageable) blocks/components
 - (like in dissertation—don't overwhelm yourself doing everything at once)
- ◇ the components are like sections in a paper, step-by-step
- ◇ it is easy then to reuse these components

automation (closely related to standardization)

- ◇ everything should be coded
- ◇ no copy-paste, point-and-click, etc
- ◇ automate as much as possible!
- ◇ practical reason: much faster!
- ◇ technical reason: computers **never** make mistakes
- ◇ programming (macros, loops) help a great deal

documentation

- ◇ you may want to have notes...but mostly:
- ◇ documentation is just about having a commented dofile
- ◇ difficult to overestimate the dofile comments
- ◇ note, typically, i undercomment, too

singularity

- ◇ as discussed in organization and documentation class:
 - have only one chunk of code and one file in one place
- ◇ this principle is often overlooked
- ◇ LaTeX (now even ms word) and html with css do it:
 - take out the (common) formatting
- ◇ do a similar thing in dofile: take out the common code
- ◇ otherwise, it's inefficient, and leads to errors
- ◇ take out the common code and put into common
 - (root or parent) dofile
- ◇ make programs (.ado) (more later)

singularity example

```
<font size=2 face="Helvetica" color=red>formatted text  
</font> regular text <font size=2 face="Helvetica"  
color=red> formatted text again</font>
```

```
aokTag1{font size=2; face="Helvetica";color=red;}
```

```
<aokTag1>formatted text<aokTag1> regular text  
<aokTag1>formatted text again</aokTag1>
```

%% then you can just change tag definition and all
instances in 150 files are changed automatically !

portability

- ◇ your code should run easily on other computers
- ◇ say **version 14**
- ◇ use macros for paths
- ◇ always install needed packages
- ◇ say where data come from and load from url
- ◇ usually repost on your site, say goog drive
(data at source may change)

tradeoffs: life is not so simple

- ◇ simplicity is sometimes inversely related to efficiency
 - say in programming (loops, macros, ados)
- ◇ simplicity is usually inversely related to automation
- ◇ so make some choices
- ◇ the more serious you are about coding
 - the more you should care for automation and efficiency
- ◇ the more data management you do
 - the more automation/efficiency actually simplifies
- ◇ like stata v excel: excel simpler for simple tasks
 - but stata is simpler for complicated tasks

a matter of style

- ◇ apart from all these rules, different people have different styles of programming
- ◇ just use whatever you like—a matter of taste
 - eg i do not use global macros (i work on linux), you may find them useful on windows
 - i use `foreach` loops, but not `while` loops
 - i have few big dofiles, but why not have many small ones ?
- ◇ still, all dofiles must be clear and replicable

outline

the golden rule

basic theory

programming principles by computer scientists

the zen of Python

intuition

- ◇ it occurs to me that this class really is more like computer science than social science
 - CS have classes about c, python, etc.
- ◇ we have a class about stata
- ◇ but we still do programming, just in different language
 - so i've read actual computer science lit
 - and what i found useful is in this section
 - great reference!
 - `essp Box 1 Summary of Best Practices`—let's see it!

<http://journals.plos.org/plosbiology/article?id=10.1371/journal.pbio.1001745>

more principles

- ◇ some more programming principles follow
- ◇ these are rather general programming principles
- ◇ they are applicable to any programming, not only stat software; e.g. c, python, php, etc.
- ◇ yes, there is some repetition/reformulation of the earlier rules
 - but these are really important, so doesn't hurt to repeat
- ◇ these principles come from 2 books about general programming (classics and free!)

<http://catb.org/esr/writings/taoup/>

<http://www.htdp.org/2003-09-26/Book/curriculum-Z-H-1.html>

and free mit courses <http://ocw.mit.edu/courses/>

clarity

- ◇ “design for transparency and discoverability”
 - write clean code
 - avoid fancy code
 - fancy code is buggier
 - clarity is better than cleverness
- ◇ eg:
 - group logical chunks together
 - more than twice nested loops gets confusing
 - if your code is mostly loops and macros, consider ado file

modularity

- ◇ “write simple parts that are cleanly connected”
- ◇ “controlling complexity is the essence of computer programming”
 - debugging dominates development
- ◇ eg:
 - better many small loops that each do one thing than one huge (>100 lines) loop that does everything
 - clear sections of one dofile
 - or many dofiles instead of one dofile without sections

modularity

- ◇ code should be organized logically not chronologically
 - do free writing, but then reorganize
 - like with papers, code should be rewritten, eg:
 - no data management in data analysis part
 - move "generate, recode" to the beginning

composition

- ◇ “design programs to be connected to other programs”
- ◇ dofile will produce output for another dofile
- ◇ eg: you clean up data in one dofile to make data ready for another dofile to analyze it
 - or just have one big file
- ◇ but the workflow needs to be logically organized
 - use master dofile if many dofiles

optimization (fancier, fewer lines)

- ◇ yes, but “get it working before optimizing” !
- ◇ eg:
 - recode data using simple commands
 - then make it into macros
 - then into loops
 - then into ado
- ◇ if you are advanced you may skip some steps
 - but make sure it is time efficient
 - do not spend hours on fancy loops for sake of fanciness
 - (hours spent on ado files are fine because you will reuse them in the future)

extensibility

- ◇ “design for the future because it will be sooner than you think”
 - you will reuse your code in the near future
 - so write it clean
 - have sections, etc
 - use lots of comments
 - reorganize, rewrite
 - optimize

silence

- ◇ “when a program has nothing surprising to say, it should say nothing”
- ◇ drop unnecessary code
 - if you think it may be useful in the future comment it out, or better yet commit in git and delete
- ◇ do not generate unnecessary output, do not lose your reader in unnecessary clutter, eg use **silently**
 - eg: do not present all the descriptive statistics that stata produced
 - only the meaningful output
 - if the output has nothing to say it should be dropped
 - (or commented out)

automation (again)

- ◇ “rule of generation: avoid hand-hacking”
- ◇ because humans make mistakes and computers don't, computers should replace humans wherever possible
- ◇ automate anything that you can
- ◇ your data management/analysis is repetitive and involves few if...then...
 - write a program that can do it and do more creative tasks instead
- ◇ don't assume things... use **confirm** and **assert**
- ◇ write ado programs – they are not that difficult
- ◇ write other programs – start with python or bash

save time: reuse, don't reinvent the wheel

- ◇ if someone has already solved a problem once, reuse it !
- ◇ it is very unlikely you are doing something completely new
- ◇ if anything, the problem is that people do not share their code
- ◇ usually all you need to do is to adjust somebody else's code or your old code

save time: reuse, don't reinvent the wheel

- ◇ ask people for code:
 - your supervisor
 - journal article authors
 - your colleagues, friends, etc
- ◇ share your code
 - you may want to protect some parts of it
 - (critical, innovative research ideas, etc)
 - but share as much as possible
- ◇ acknowledge others' work—then they will be happier to share

defensive programming

- ◇ “people are dumb-make program bullet-proof”
 - you will find negative income, age over 200, people change gender over time etc...
 - numbers saved as strings, etc
- ◇ think of all possibilities/instances; especially if you suspect some specific problems...
and make your program bullet-proof, e.g.:
 - confirm numeric variable price
 - `assert sex == 0 | sex == 1`

construct functions

- ◇ construct your own functions
in stata these are called ados
- ◇ especially if you have lots of code ($>1k$ lines)
 - write functions (new primitives) to perform common tasks
- ◇ then a bunch of your code will be your functions
- ◇ and you will be calling (using) them to manipulate your data

outline

the golden rule

basic theory

programming principles by computer scientists

the zen of Python

- ◇ Beautiful is better than ugly.
- ◇ Explicit is better than implicit.
- ◇ Simple is better than complex.
- ◇ Complex is better than complicated.
- ◇ Flat is better than nested.
- ◇ Sparse is better than dense.
- ◇ Readability counts.
- ◇ Special cases aren't special enough to break the rules.
- ◇ Although practicality beats purity.

- ◇ Errors should never pass silently.
- ◇ Unless explicitly silenced.
- ◇ In the face of ambiguity, refuse the temptation to guess.
- ◇ There should be one— and preferably only one —obvious way to do it.
- ◇ Although that way may not be obvious at first unless you're Dutch.
- ◇ Now is better than never.
- ◇ Although never is often better than **right** now.
- ◇ If the implementation is hard to explain, it's a bad idea.
- ◇ If the implementation is easy to explain, it may be a good idea.