

Community (development)

Adam Okulicz-Kozaryn

this version: Saturday 12th March, 2022 15:06

adam.okulicz.kozaryn@gmail.com

outline

levels

“hard” community development: \$ amounts

“soft” development: “community development” (Green and Haines, 2012)

Campbell chapter on community

Cloutier (2nd week) and other specific studies about neighbourhoods

outline

levels

“hard” community development: \$ amounts

“soft” development: “community development” (Green and Haines, 2012)

Campbell chapter on community

Cloutier (2nd week) and other specific studies about neighbourhoods

levels of theorizing and analysis

- SWB field mostly about persons and nations, but new trend: com dev; eg R Phillips, J Sirgy, S Cloutier
- societies and regions are made of localities
- but not always the whole is a simple sum of parts
atomistic and ecological fallacies:
- RU is a good univ, hence you guys are good students
- you are good students, hence RU is a good univ

community development \approx city/neighborhood development

- most of community development is about cities
- over 80 % of the US population is urban
- even more of production, R&D and innovation is urban

outline

levels

“hard” community development: \$ amounts

“soft” development: “community development” (Green and Haines, 2012)

Campbell chapter on community

Cloutier (2nd week) and other specific studies about neighbourhoods

“soft” v “hard” development

- again, as per previous (policy) class
- now dev is becoming about SWB, and related:
- social capital, engagement, community
- freedom, self-expression, self-realization
- equality (income, gender, race, etc)
- but dev used to be, and still largely is
- about GDP (and jobs, consumption, etc), \$ amounts
- this thinking comes from M Friedman, G Becker
- and other neoclassical capitalistic free market laissez fairecons

A Smith: “invisible market hand” and specialization

- division of labor: just do one thing:
 - you get better at it, and can do it faster
 - pin factory
 - but less creativity, numbness, alienation, anomie
- like an automated machine not getting the whole picture
- in research, too
- eg in med res people work on very specific specialized tasks
 - or physics, eg see authors on this higgs boson

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269319302576>

outline

levels

“hard” community development: \$ amounts

“soft” development: “community development” (Green and Haines, 2012)

Campbell chapter on community

Cloutier (2nd week) and other specific studies about neighbourhoods

what is social capital?

- you probably understand social capital as civic engagement (voting etc) while I define it broadly: time spent with other people, marriage, church attendance, etc etc
- christakis/fowler: network property is connections: like graphite and diamond defined not by stuff but connections
- soc cap is key to com dev; indeed $\text{com dev} \approx \text{soc cap dev}$
 - or not? discussion?
- soc cap is also a key component (but not the same!) of QOL
 - and soc cap is a key predictor of SWB
- QOL can be objective or subjective and they are different

(Okulicz-Kozaryn, 2013)

what is community development

- “planned effort to built assets that increase the capacity of residents to improve their quality of life” (Green and Haines, 2012)
 - the effort is organized/planned
 - assets are gifts, skills, capacities of persons, associations and institutions
 - “capacity” is similar to Sen’s “capabilities”

freedom/capabilities: measure of dev (Sen, 2000):


- political freedom (civil liberties)
- economic facilities (household resources)
- social opportunities (educ, healthcare, etc)
- transparency guarantees (citizens-govt trust)
- protective security (social safety net)
- like QOL!


freedom data: WVS, Freedom House

- freedom from (negative)[objective]: be no slave, live in a free country, have no coercion, free from restrictions/impediments; lack of obstacles FH
- freedom to (positive)[subjective]: be able to choose, control and direct one's own life; presence of control WVS
- eg US more objectively free in than MEX
 - but MEX actually feeling more free than US!!
- Okulicz-Kozaryn (2014, 2015)

assets classification by Green and Haines (2012)

- physical (laptop, chair)
- human (education, skills)
- social (connections with others)
- financial (\$\$\$)
- environmental (forest, lake, beach)
- political (uncle in Congress)
- cultural (arts, diversity, creativity; creative class)
- asset building: much boils down to social capital
- asset building similar to participation in local orgs, assocs, building soc rel and trust
- soc cap basis for other assets such as financial capital
- again these assets are like QOL





1 Manipulation and 2 Therapy. non participative, cure or educate the participants. achieve public support by PR.

3 Informing. one way flow of information

4 Consultation. attitude surveys, neighbourhood meetings and public enquiries. Window dressing ritual

5 Placation. Allows citizens to advise but retains for power holders the right to judge the legitimacy or feasibility of the advice.

6 Partnership. Power is redistributed through negotiation between citizens and power holders. Shared decision-making responsibilities.

7 Delegated power to make decisions. Public now has the power to assure accountability.

8 Citizen Control. Participants handle the entire job of planning, policy making and managing a programme.

<http://lithgow-schmidt.dk/sherry-arnstein/ladder-of-citizen-participation.html>

outline

levels

“hard” community development: \$ amounts

“soft” development: “community development” (Green and Haines, 2012)

Campbell chapter on community

Cloutier (2nd week) and other specific studies about neighbourhoods

outline

levels

“hard” community development: \$ amounts

“soft” development: “community development” (Green and Haines, 2012)

Campbell chapter on community

Cloutier (2nd week) and other specific studies about neighbourhoods

- GREEN, G. AND A. HAINES (2012): Asset building & community development, Sage Publications, Beverly Hills CA.
- OKULICZ-KOZARYN, A. (2013): "City Life: Rankings (Livability) Versus Perceptions (Satisfaction)," Social Indicators Research, 110, 433–451.
- (2014): "'Freedom from' and 'freedom to' across countries," Social Indicators Research, 118, 1009–1029.
- (2015): "Freedom and Life Satisfaction in Transition," Society and Economy in Central and Eastern Europe, 37, 143–164.
- SEN, A. (2000): Development as Freedom, Anchor Books.