

Dive Into SWB: history and philosophy major theories measurement

Adam Okulicz-Kozaryn

this version: Tuesday 25th January, 2022 11:48

adam.okulicz.kozaryn@gmail.com

outline

why study happiness ?

common and ancient wisdom (related to the five theories)

history and philosophy

can we study it scientifically?

five major theories [just quick, properly next week!]

the classics, the pioneers: Campbell, etc

today

- we'll properly motivate “why happiness?”
- review some of the common and ancient wisdom
- have a snapshot of history and philosophy
- “prove” it is science: validity, reliability
- and start with the 5 theories, which we will dig deep into next week

outline

why study happiness ?

common and ancient wisdom (related to the five theories)

history and philosophy

can we study it scientifically?

five major theories [just quick, properly next week!]

the classics, the pioneers: Campbell, etc


why?

- "a prince who will not undergo the difficulty of understanding must undergo the danger of trusting"
- [everything, eg happiness experts, car mechanics, physicians]
-
- a number of fascinating research questions to answer
eg: can money buy happiness?


why?

- end in itself
- a measure of utility/well-being/QOL/standard of living
- a better, more comprehensive and precise measure than individual or national income/consumption
- the ultimate outcome in public policy/adm process>

the outcome line (not easy)



the outcome line (not easy!)



outline

why study happiness ?

common and ancient wisdom (related to the five theories)

history and philosophy

can we study it scientifically?

five major theories [just quick, properly next week!]

the classics, the pioneers: Campbell, etc

carpe diem

- “Happiness, not in another place but this place...not for another hour, but this hour.” Walt Whitman
- mindfulness: feeling/being without constructs and concepts
- being present, being now; no past or future, no TODOs etc

money

- Life is a progress from want to want, not from enjoyment to enjoyment. Samuel Johnson, 1776 [treadmill]
- People who claim that money can't buy happiness just don't know where to shop. Anonymous [livability v consumerism]
- A wealthy man is one who earns \$ 100 a year more than his wife's sister's husband. H.L. Mencken [MDT]
- If thou wilt make a man happy, add not unto his riches but take away from his desires. Epicurus
[aspirations/expectations; Carver/swb as motivator; human as center of universe/Jung/look inside, not outside]

civilization

- “Happiness,” said Freud, “is no cultural value.”
 - (civilization is based on suppressed or delayed gratification/happiness)
- Freud defined happiness as the “subsequent fulfillment of a prehistoric wish. That is why wealth brings so little happiness: money was not a wish in childhood”
 - com dev: suppressed/delayed gratification; culture of poverty; banfield unheavenly city

work

- "Success is not the key to happiness. Happiness is the key to success. If you love what you are doing, you will be successful" Herman Cain
- "It is better to be a human being dissatisfied than a pig satisfied; better to be Socrates dissatisfied than a fool satisfied." ?

outline

why study happiness ?

common and ancient wisdom (related to the five theories)

history and philosophy

can we study it scientifically?

five major theories [just quick, properly next week!]

the classics, the pioneers: Campbell, etc

history: McMahon D "Happiness: A history"

- From beginnings we were interested in happiness:
- essentially two ideas, "good life" v "happy life/pleasure"
- kind of like Apollo v Dionysus:
- Apollo: rational thinking and order, and appeals to logic, prudence and purity.
- Dionysus, the god of wine and dance: irrationality and chaos, and appeals to emotions and instincts.
- but more precisely:
- Aristotelian Eudaimonia (good life, living well)
- Benthamite Greatest Happiness for the Greatest Number

Aristotelian Eudaimonia

- Eudaimonia=good life: virtue, good spirit
- Eudaimonia (happiness) = active, complete life inclusive of all that has intrinsic value
- perfection in respect of virtue; resources sufficient for a living creature
- <https://positivepsychologyprogram.com/eudaimonia/>
- <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eudaimonia>

Benthamite Greatest SWB for the Greatest Num

- more pleasure than pain for as many as possible
- hedonic calculus: seek pleasure, avoid pain:
 - $\text{happiness} = \text{pleasure} - \text{pain}$
- have political and legal reforms to produce
 - Greatest Happiness for the Greatest Number
- this is what we're witnessing today!
 - early political advocates: (Stiglitz et al., 2009), now even Sachs!
 - UK, New Zealand, UAE/Dubai, OECD, Bhutan, etc

Epicurus

- all pleasure is good! (Annas, 1987)
- but not unnecessary desires (consumerism!), rather simple and frugal life; desire only the very basics, otherwise setting up yourself for hedonic treadmill (Weijers, 2011)
- overindulgence often leads to pain
- achieve inner tranquility
- <http://www.pursuit-of-happiness.org/history-of-happiness/epicurus/>
- (Brooks, 2021)
- be frugal and social, ie like a commune/hippie! (School of Life-Epicurus) https://youtu.be/Kg_47J6sy3A (fun; and others by school of life)

Dionysus aka Bacchus

- god of the grape-harvest, wine making and wine, of fertility, ritual madness, religious ecstasy and theater
- <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dionysus>
- on hedonism, pleasure,
- eg see doctoral thesis by Dan Weijers: <http://researcharchive.vuw.ac.nz/bitstream/handle/10063/2370/thesis.pdf?sequence=2>

and the winner is...

- which one do you like? Bentham or Aristotle?
(a fascinating philosophical debate ([Nussbaum, 2005](#)))

outline

why study happiness ?

common and ancient wisdom (related to the five theories)

history and philosophy

can we study it scientifically?

five major theories [just quick, properly next week!]

the classics, the pioneers: Campbell, etc

“but it is in the clouds!”

- “happiness is something different for everyone”
- “one moment i am happy, another moment i am unhappy”
- “it doesn’t really matter; it’s just a silly fleeting emotion”
- nope! it’s solid science!

definition

- self-reported
- subjective
- sometimes surprising
- life satisfaction \approx utility
- $\max(\text{life satisfaction}) \approx \text{utilitarianism}$
- life satisfaction \neq happiness
affect \neq cognition
- here, use interchangeably but remember distinction
- SWB (subjective wellbeing) is another popular term

measurement

- wvs question: “all things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?” (1 to 10)
- gss question: “taken all together, how would you say things are these days - would you say that you are very happy, pretty happy, or not too happy?” (1-3)
- these measures were cross-validated using other measures: suicide, PET scans , other people ratings
(Layard, 2005, Di Tella and MacCulloch, 2006)

validity (Diener et al., 2013)

- self-reported and subjective, but valid (people know whether they are happy) (Myers, 2000) and some reliability (precision varies)
- correlates with objective measures eg brain activity (PET scans) (Layard, 2005)
- correlates with suicide and mental health problems (Bray and Gunnell, 2006)
- not only correlates highly with other non-self reported measures, but also does not correlate with measures that are not theoretically related to it: happiness has discriminant validity (Sandvik et al., 1993)

outline

why study happiness ?

common and ancient wisdom (related to the five theories)

history and philosophy

can we study it scientifically?

five major theories [just quick, properly next week!]

the classics, the pioneers: Campbell, etc

five major theories

- genes/set point (eg Schnittker, 2008)
- adaptation/adjustment; hedonic treadmill (Brickman et al., 1978)
- needs/livability (Veenhoven and Ehrhardt, 1995)
- comparison/discrepancies (Michalos, 1985)
- happiness just a motivator (Carver and Scheier, 1990)

genes/set point theory

- genes explain about half of everything, incl swb (eg [Schnittker, 2008](#))
- genes: bad rep in soc sci bc of Eugenics, Nazi, etc
- but shouldn't downplay the genes ([Pinker, 2003](#), [Haidt, 2012](#))
- indeed, some are just happy/unhappy no matter what!
- genes aka 'set point theory': you win lottery, lose a limb, doesn't matter, your swb comes back to its set point

genes/set point theory

- Personality matters, ala Cuddy's fake it till you become
- fake yourself into an extrovert

`https:`

`//amp.businessinsider.com/your-personality-could-affect-your-happiness-2018-9`

genes/set point theory

- a see homeostasis, eg ch4 by cummins in “wealth(s) and swb”
- swb, like any physiological system requires homeostasis, a stable narrow range to function properly
- about 70-90 on 0-100 scale; still some people are chronically low say due to arthritis or caring for an elderly; and some over time change is still possible
- not that set point is set in stone

adaptation theory (Brickman et al., 1978)

- [related to genes/set point]
- adjustment to external circumstances
- 'hedonic treadmill'
- *the more one has the more one wants, since satisfactions received only stimulate instead of filling needs* (Durkheim, [1895] 1950)
- human adaptation is astonishing:
 - winning millions in a lottery, losing limbs, etc
 - about 1b people live in poverty and they somehow manage and don't commit suicide; people can adapt to just about anything

needs/livability theory (Veenhoven and Ehrhardt, 1995)

- humans, like other animals have certain needs
- physiological needs like water, food, sleep; and other needs:
 - eg contact with other living organisms (biophilia, social capital, nature, etc)
 - and higher (human) needs eg belonging, selfactualization
- kind of like Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs
- if needs are satisfied, then happiness follows
- then there are attr of places, environment, or ecology
- if it is "livable" then happiness follows
- this is optimistic for public policy—we can change needs and livability provision

comparisons/discrepancies

- multiple discrepancy theory (Michalos, 1985)
- social comparison, eg co-workers, high school friends, relatives
- comparison to various standards, eg clothes, car expected in a given profession
- over-time: your swb now is determined by how you were doing in the past and what you expect in the future
- eg losing may be more felt than gaining (Kahneman and Tversky, 1979)
- “it is better to be a big frog in a small pond than a small frog in a big pond” (Davis, 1966)

happiness just a motivator (Carver and Scheier, 1990)

- “Happiness is the feeling that power increases—that resistance is being overcome.” Nietzsche
- SWB merely signals that task at hand has been accomplished
 - then it dissipates and pain settles in so that the signal is that I can move to another task!
- Earth is not for comfort (or happiness)
- it's for struggle, and pain has its motivating place
- happiness-seeking/pain avoidance is complacency
- “The word 'happiness' would lose its meaning if it were not balanced by sadness” Jung

happiness just a motivator (Carver and Scheier, 1990)

- no pain, no gain!
- one must struggle to succeed
- one must even struggle to discover oneself!
- see Nietzsche, eg: <https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2018/10/nietzsches-guide-to-better-living/568375/>
- people who are very emotionally stable may be too happy to feel the need to create
- “One must have chaos in oneself to be able to give birth to a dancing star.” Nietzsche cited in Chamorro-Premuzic (2015)

happiness just a motivator (Carver and Scheier, 1990)

- also like the earlier equation (Carver, 2003):
$$swb = \text{achievement/experience} - \text{expectations/aspirations}$$
 - if rate of progress below the reference rate: negative affect
 - if above: positive affect
- also if doing well: become satisfied, comfortable, possibly complacent (Carver, 2003)
- until you fall below the reference line and it goes over again
- note: winner-take-all capitalism raises the reference line
(Frank, 2012)

happiness just a motivator (Carver and Scheier, 1990)

- we're not designed to be happy but to survive and reproduce!
- (Euba, 2019)

various models (Carver, 2003)

- cruise control: going over the hill
 - gets tough, increase velocity and fuel use
 - reach plateau and going down the hill: decrease fuel
- coasting: positive affect leads to coasting
- opportunistic shifting: positive feelings promote play
 - also see Marcuse

dark side of happiness

- it follows from happiness just a motivator
- that too much of happiness is not a good thing
- or perhaps even that there's a dark side to happiness
- Gruber et al. (2011) and <https://positivepsychology.com/dark-side-of-happiness/>
- (also Gilbert's "Stumbling on happiness")
- "too much of a good thing is a bad thing"
- food makes us thrive physically, happiness makes us thrive psychologically—but just like food, happiness is not always good
- (like fame, success or even money—to get them—pursue other stuff like curiosity)

dark side of happiness

- always being very happy may result from being out of touch with reality; and this may lead dysfunction
- life is not for happiness! it is for survival and reproduction (Dawkins, 2006) (also see Shopenhauer, eg <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q0zmfNx70M4>)
- moderate happiness allows room for unpleasant emotions, which enable us to learn and grow and move forward
- if you're constantly very happy, why would you do anything?
- being too happy can make you:
 - pay less attention to details
 - less creative
 - take more risks [binging food and alc, drugs etc, sex]

dark side of happiness

- “Getting angry ... is easy and everyone can do it; but doing it ... in the right amount, at the right time, and for the right end, and in the right way is no longer easy, nor can everyone do it.” Aristotle, Nicomachean Ethics
- too much happiness can be dysfunctional and maladaptive
- extreme positive emotion is like mania; during mania can't experience negative emotions (that may be necessary, even for survival, such as fear)
- (btw flow seems similar to mania)

dark side of happiness

- you set some goal, say academic achievement
 - and then you fail
- disappointment/discontent/unhappiness is relatively fine for most goals
- but if your goal is happiness, then failure kills the goal!
- so the more you strive for happiness, the more likely it is that you will be unhappy
- say you are in a positive situation, a birthday party, and your goal is happiness, but you don't feel happy, then you fail your goal, and feel disappointed and even less happy!!
- instead, accept or not try to decrease negative feelings—be mindful and accept

outline

why study happiness ?

common and ancient wisdom (related to the five theories)

history and philosophy

can we study it scientifically?

five major theories [just quick, properly next week!]

the classics, the pioneers: Campbell, etc

a note

- this is somewhat subjective
- “the classics/pioneers” could be approached in many ways
- here, i just subjectively list those that i consider the most important ones
- most of these writings, especially by Fromm, Marcuse, and Freud are available online for free
- also, one purpose of this section is to briefly summarize and introduce you to each of them
- and you should pick at least one and use him in your project!

Veenhoven

- aka the Godfather of Happiness
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ruut_Veenhoven
- i am lucky to know Ruut well :)
- he is a sociologist and main person in social indicators strand of the literature (there is also positive psychology and economics)
- and he is a creator of the World Database of Happiness:
 - <https://worlddatabaseofhappiness.eur.nl/>
 - if that's something that you're into, have a good look
 - and if you'd like to contribute, i can introduce you

other key people by the field

- Diener (and Seligman) are main in psychology
- Easterlin (and Oswald) are main in economics
- Radcliff is main in political science
- Cantril came up with the famous ladder
- it is important because it is self-anchoring:
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK189562>

Campbell

- he is probably the leading pioneer, and hence we use his book as the textbook
- not just an early scholar, a pioneer
- but also comprehensive and indepth
- indeed, his book is not only relevant
- but many of the findings remain cutting-edge!
- also, his research is on the US

Maslow

- a humanist, and into spirituality
- (like Fromm, Marcuse, and me)
- really great books:
- Toward a Psychology of Being

https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=jcKbDAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT15&dq=maslow&ots=eTsQFrXdED&sig=UlvB9X_8k3NCfVa-Nc08Zvw0zeo#v=onepage&q=maslow&f=false

- and Peak Experience (kind of like flow) [https:](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Religions,_Values,_and_Peak_Experiences)

[//en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Religions,_Values,_and_Peak_Experiences](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Religions,_Values,_and_Peak_Experiences)

Freud

- eg see his “Civilization and its discontents”
- https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=AW3z38T3u7YC&oi=fnd&pg=PP13&dq=freud+civilization+and+its+discontents&ots=6emidLE-nd&sig=6sA07tnq7Rk8_i1R2G4hLg4sq9o#v=onepage&q=freud%20civilization%20and%20its%20discontents&f=false
- note, i am doing some psychoanalysis, too!
- <https://rucore.libraries.rutgers.edu/rutgers-lib/52123/PDF/1/play/>

Fromm and Marcuse

- they have political economy and psychoanalytic/Freudian and Marxist leanings
 - (like me)
 - and have created some of the greatest writings in the field
 - in general and short: joy, fun, spontaneity, no harm, positive attitude, kindness, equality, freedom, etc

Fromm and Marcuse

- just see google scholar for their writings, eg:

- “Escape from freedom”

https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=d2g8L1sLykwC&oi=fnd&pg=PR9&dq=info:WPqc-LXi3BUJ:scholar.google.com&ots=JnDgRDoiLn&sig=dX7ipJr_fK8R6sxnrytdUYkIkMg#v=onepage&q&f=false


- “One dimensional man” [https://books.google.com/books?id=](https://books.google.com/books?id=eXlTAQAAQBAJ&printsec=frontcover&dq=One-dimensional+man:+Studies+in+the+ideology+of+advanced+industrial+society&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwiImdSzn83dAhVNGt8KHShSBI8Q6AEIKTAA#v=onepage&q=One-dimensional%20man%3A%20Studies%20in%20the%20ideology%20of%20advanced%20industrial%20society&f=false)

[eXlTAQAAQBAJ&printsec=frontcover&dq=One-dimensional+man:
+Studies+in+the+ideology+of+advanced+industrial+society&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwiImdSzn83dAhVNGt8KHShSBI8Q6AEIKTAA#v=onepage&q=
One-dimensional%20man%3A%20Studies%20in%20the%20ideology%20of%
20advanced%20industrial%20society&f=false](https://books.google.com/books?id=eXlTAQAAQBAJ&printsec=frontcover&dq=One-dimensional+man:+Studies+in+the+ideology+of+advanced+industrial+society&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwiImdSzn83dAhVNGt8KHShSBI8Q6AEIKTAA#v=onepage&q=One-dimensional%20man%3A%20Studies%20in%20the%20ideology%20of%20advanced%20industrial%20society&f=false)

- “Eros and civilization” [https://books.google.com/books?id=](https://books.google.com/books?id=eX2UQgAAGBAJ&printsec=frontcover&dq=Eros+and+civilization&hl=en&)

[eX2UQgAAGBAJ&printsec=frontcover&dq=Eros+and+civilization&hl=en&](https://books.google.com/books?id=eX2UQgAAGBAJ&printsec=frontcover&dq=Eros+and+civilization&hl=en&)

Flow: the psychology of optimal experience (Csikszentmihalyi, 1991)



happiness equation

- happiness =
achievement or experience — expectations or aspirations
-
- that's one reason why educ doesn't help with happiness

more key studies (in addition to the above)

- describe in few sentences
- (the need to belong) (Baumeister and Leary, 1995, Maslow, [1954] 1987)
-
- evolution/genes:
- Birds of a feather: Homophily in social networks (McPherson et al., 2001)
- The social cage: Human nature and the evolution of society (Maryanski and Turner, 1992)
- The Compass of Pleasure: How Our Brains Make Fatty Foods, Orgasm, Exercise, Marijuana, Generosity, Vodka, Learning, and Gambling Feel So Good (Linden, 2011)

- ALESINA, A. AND E. L. FERRARA (2000): "Participation in Heterogeneous Communities," National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper.
- ANNAS, J. (1987): "Epicurus on pleasure and happiness," Philosophical Topics, 15, 5–21.
- BAUMEISTER, R. AND M. LEARY (1995): "The need to belong: Desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation," Psychological bulletin, 117, 497–529.
- BRAY, I. AND D. GUNNELL (2006): "Suicide rates, life satisfaction and happiness as markers for population mental health," Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 41, 333–337.
- BRICKMAN, P., D. COATES, AND R. JANOFF-BUMAN (1978): "Lottery winners and accident victims: Is happiness relative?" Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 36, 917–927.
- BROOKS, A. C. (2021): "HOW TO BUILD A LIFE. There Are Two Kinds of Happy People. Some of us strive for a virtuous life. Others strive for a pleasant one. We could all use a better balance." The Atlantic.
- CARVER, C. (2003): "Pleasure as a sign you can attend to something else: Placing positive feelings within a general model of affect," Cognition and Emotion, 17, 241–261.
- CARVER, C. S. AND M. F. SCHEIER (1990): "Origins and functions of positive and negative affect: a control-process view." Psychological review, 97, 19.
- CHAMORRO-PREMUZIC, T. (2015): "The Dark Side of Creativity," Harvard Business Review.
- CSIKSZENTMIHALYI, M. (1991): Flow: The Psychology of Optimal Experience, Harper Perennial.
- DAVIS, J. A. (1966): "The Campus as a Frog Pond: An Application of the Theory of Relative Deprivation to Career Decisions of College Men," American Journal of Sociology, 72, 17–31.
- DAWKINS, R. (2006): The selfish gene, Oxford university press, New York NY.
- DI TELLA, R. AND R. MACCULLOCH (2005): "Partisan Social Happiness," Review of Economic Studies, 72, 367–393.

- DI TELLA, R. AND R. MACCULLOCH (2006): "Some Uses of Happiness Data in Economics," The Journal of Economic Perspectives, 20, 25–46.
- DI TELLA, R., R. J. MACCULLOCH, AND A. J. OSWALD (2001): "Preferences over inflation and unemployment: Evidence from surveys of happiness," American Economic Review, 91, 335–341.
- DIENER, E. AND R. BISWAS-DIENER (2002): "Will money increase subjective well-being? A literature review and guide to needed research," Social Indicators Research, 57, 119–169.
- DIENER, E., R. INGLEHART, AND L. TAY (2013): "Theory and validity of life satisfaction scales," Social Indicators Research, 112, 497–527.
- DIENER, E., E. SANDVIK, L. SEIDLITZ, AND M. DIENER (1993): "The relationship between income and subjective well-being: relative or absolute?" Social Indicators Research, 28, 195–223.
- DIENER, E. AND M. E. P. SELIGMAN (2004): "Beyond Money: Toward an Economy of Well-being," Psychological Science, 5, 1–31.
- DIENER, E., E. M. SUH, AND R. E. LUCAS (1999): "Subjective Well-being: Three Decades of Progress," Psychological Bulletin, 125, 276–302.
- DURKHEIM, E. ([1895] 1950): The Rules of Sociological Method, The Free Press, New York NY.
- EUBA, R. (2019): "Humans aren't designed to be happy," medicalxpress.
- FOWLER, J. H. AND N. A. CHRISTAKIS (2008): "Dynamic Spread of Happiness in a Large Social Network: Longitudinal Analysis Over 20 Years in the Framingham Heart Study," British Medical Journal, Vol. 3, January 09.
- FRANK, R. (2012): The Darwin economy: Liberty, competition, and the common good, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ.
- FREY, B. S. AND A. STUTZER (2000): "Happiness, economy and institutions," Economic Journal, 110, 918–938.

- GRUBER, J., I. MAUSS, AND M. TAMIR (2011): "A dark side of happiness? How, when, and why happiness is not always good," Perspectives on Psychological Science, 6, 222.
- HAIDT, J. (2012): The righteous mind: Why good people are divided by politics and religion, Vintage.
- HELLIWELL, J. F. (2006): "Well-being, social capital and public policy: what's new?" The Economic Journal, 116, C34–C45.
- KAHNEMAN, D. AND A. TVERSKY (1979): "Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk," Econometrica, 47, 263–291.
- KAHNEMAN, D., P. P. WAKKER, AND R. SARIN (1997): "Back to Bentham? Explorations of Experienced Utility," The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 112, 375–405.
- LAYARD, R. (2005): Happiness. Lessons from a new science., The Penguin Press, New York NY.
- LINDEN, D. (2011): The Compass of Pleasure: How Our Brains Make Fatty Foods, Orgasm, Exercise, Marijuana, Generosity, Vodka, Learning, and Gambling Feel So Good, Viking Press.
- MARYANSKI, A. AND J. H. TURNER (1992): The social cage: Human nature and the evolution of society, Stanford University Press.
- MASLOW, A. ([1954] 1987): Motivation and personality, Longman, 3 ed.
- MCPHERSON, M., L. SMITH-LOVIN, AND J. M. COOK (2001): "Birds of a feather: Homophily in social networks," Annual Review of Sociology, 415–444.
- MICHALOS, A. (1985): "Multiple discrepancies theory (MDT)," Social Indicators Research, 16, 347–413.
- MYERS, D. G. (2000): "The Funds, Friends, and Faith of Happy People," American Psychologist, 55, 56–67.
- NUSSBAUM, M. C. (2005): "Mill between Aristotle and Bentham," in Economics and Happiness, ed. by L. Bruni and P. L. Porta, Oxford University Press, New York NY, 170–184.

- PINKER, S. (2003): The blank slate: The modern denial of human nature, Penguin, New York NY.
- SANDVIK, E., E. DIENER, AND L. SEIDLITZ (1993): "Subjective Well-Being: The Convergence and Stability of Self-Report and Non-Self-Report Measures." Journal of Personality, 61, 317–342.
- SCHNITTKER, J. (2008): "Happiness and Success: Genes, Families, and the Psychological Effects of Socioeconomic Position and Social Support," American Journal of Sociology, 114, S233–S259.
- STIGLITZ, J., A. SEN, AND J. FITOUSSI (2009): "Report by the Commission on the measurement of economic performance and social progress," Available at www.stiglitz-sen-fitoussi.fr.
- VEENHOVEN, R. (2000): "Freedom and Happiness. A comparative study in 46 nations in the early 90's," in Culture and subjective wellbeing, ed. by E. Diener and E. Suh, MIT press, Cambridge MA, 257–288.
- VEENHOVEN, R. AND J. EHRHARDT (1995): "The Cross-National Pattern of Happiness: Test of Predictions Implied in Three Theories of Happiness," Social Indicators Research, 34, 33–68.
- WEIJERS, D. (2011): "Hedonism," Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy.