

# Community (development)

Adam Okulicz-Kozaryn

this version: Tuesday 7<sup>th</sup> October, 2025 13:28

[adam.okulicz.kozaryn@gmail.com](mailto:adam.okulicz.kozaryn@gmail.com)

## outline

levels

“hard” community development: \$ amounts

“soft” development: “community development” (Green and Haines, 2012)

Campbell chapter on community

Cloutier (2nd week) and other specific studies about neighbourhoods

# outline

## levels

“hard” community development: \$ amounts

“soft” development: “community development” (Green and Haines, 2012)

Campbell chapter on community

Cloutier (2nd week) and other specific studies about neighbourhoods

## levels of theorizing and analysis

- SWB field mostly about persons and nations, but new trend: com dev; eg R Phillips, J Sirgy, S Cloutier
- societies and regions are made of localities
- but not always the whole is a simple sum of parts  
atomistic and ecological fallacies:
- RU is a good univ, hence you guys are good students
- you are good students, hence RU is a good univ

## community development $\approx$ city/neighborhood development

- most of community development is about cities
- over 80 % of the US population is urban
- even more of production, R&D and innovation is urban

# outline

levels

“hard” community development: \$ amounts

“soft” development: “community development” (Green and Haines, 2012)

Campbell chapter on community

Cloutier (2nd week) and other specific studies about neighbourhoods

## “soft” v “hard” development

- again, as per previous (policy) class
  - now dev is becoming about SWB, and related:
  - social capital, engagement, community
  - freedom, self-expression, self-realization
  - equality (income, gender, race, etc)
- but dev used to be, and still largely is
  - about GDP (and jobs, consumption, etc), \$ amounts
  - this thinking comes from M Friedman, G Becker
  - neoclassical capitalistic free market laissez fair econs

## A Smith: “invisible market hand” and specialization

- division of labor: just do one thing:
  - you get better at it, and can do it faster
  - pin factory
  - but less creativity, numbness, alienation, anomie
- like an automated machine not getting the whole picture
- in research, too
- eg in med res people work on very specific specialized tasks
- see “loss of happiness in market democracies” (Lane, 2000)



# outline

levels

“hard” community development: \$ amounts

“soft” development: “community development” (Green and Haines, 2012)

Campbell chapter on community

Cloutier (2nd week) and other specific studies about neighbourhoods

## what is social capital?

- social capital not only civic engagement (voting etc);  
broader: any social connection: family, friends, etc
- christakis/fowler: network property is connections:
  - graphite and diamond defined not by atoms but connections
- soc cap key to com dev; indeed  $\text{com dev} \approx \text{soc cap dev}$ 
  - or not? discussion?
- soc cap also key part (but not the same!) of QOL
  - and soc cap key predictor of SWB
- QOL can be objective or subjective and they are different

(Okulicz-Kozaryn, 2013)

# what is community development

- “planned effort to build assets that increase the capacity of residents to improve their quality of life” (Green and Haines, 2012)
  - the effort is organized/planned
  - assets: capacities of persons, associations and institutions
  - “capacity” is similar to Sen’s “capabilities”

## definitions from Michael D'Italia dissertation

- <https://www.proquest.com/docview/3108455864>
- intentional endeavor to cultivate assets and resources that enhance the well-being of community members (Green & Haines, 2015).
- means to transform communities by providing them with local control over revitalization strategies (Lewis et al., 2019; Pothier et al., 2019).
- “the development of such social relations as are increasingly characterized by solidarity and agency” (Bhattacharyya, 1995, p. 61).

## freedom/capabilities: measure of dev (Sen, 2000):

- political freedom (civil liberties)
- economic facilities (household resources)
- social opportunities (educ, healthcare, etc)
- transparency guarantees (citizens-govt trust)
- protective security (social safety net)
- like QOL!


## freedom data: WVS, Freedom House

- freedom from (negative)[objective]: be no slave, live in a free country, have no coercion, free from restrictions/impediments; lack of obstacles FH
- freedom to (positive)[subjective]: be able to choose, control and direct one's own life; presence of control WVS
- eg US more objectively free in than MEX
  - but MEX actually feeling more free than US!!
- Okulicz-Kozaryn (2014, 2015)

## assets classification by Green and Haines (2012)


- physical (laptop, chair)
- human (education, skills)
- social (connections with others)
- financial (\$\$\$)
- environmental (forest, lake, beach)
- political (uncle in Congress)
- cultural (arts, diversity, creativity; creative class)
- asset building: much boils down to social capital
- asset building similar to participation in local orgs, assocs, building soc rel and trust
- soc cap basis for other assets such as financial capital
- again these assets are like QOL

# Arnstein (1969) Ladder of citizen participation





# A Ladder of Citizen Participation, Arnstein, 1969



**1 Manipulation and 2 Therapy.** non participative, cure or educate the participants. achieve public support by PR.

**3 Informing.** one way flow of information

**4 Consultation.** attitude surveys, neighbourhood meetings and public enquiries. Window dressing ritual

**5 Placation.** Allows citizens to advise but retains for power holders the right to judge the legitimacy or feasibility of the advice.

**6 Partnership.** Power is redistributed through negotiation between citizens and power holders. Shared decision-making responsibilities.

**7 Delegated power** to make decisions. Public now has the power to assure accountability.

**8 Citizen Control.** Participants handle the entire job of planning, policy making and managing a programme.

<http://lithgow-schmidt.dk/sherry-arnstein/ladder-of-citizen-participation.html>

# outline

levels

“hard” community development: \$ amounts

“soft” development: “community development” (Green and Haines, 2012)

Campbell chapter on community

Cloutier (2nd week) and other specific studies about neighbourhoods

# outline

levels

“hard” community development: \$ amounts

“soft” development: “community development” (Green and Haines, 2012)

Campbell chapter on community

Cloutier (2nd week) and other specific studies about neighbourhoods

- GREEN, G. AND A. HAINES (2012): Asset building & community development, Sage Publications, Beverly Hills CA.
- LANE, R. E. (2000): The loss of happiness in market democracies, New Haven CT: Yale University Press.
- OKULICZ-KOZARYN, A. (2013): "City Life: Rankings (Livability) Versus Perceptions (Satisfaction)," Social Indicators Research, 110, 433–451.
- (2014): "'Freedom from' and 'freedom to' across countries," Social Indicators Research, 118, 1009–1029.
- (2015): "Freedom and Life Satisfaction in Transition," Society and Economy in Central and Eastern Europe, 37, 143–164.
- SEN, A. (2000): Development as Freedom, Anchor Books.