Dive Into SWB: history and philosopy major theories measurment some illustrative findings

Adam Okulicz-Kozaryn

## <u>outline</u>

why study happiness?

common and ancient wisdom (related to the five theories)

history and philosophy

can we study it scientifically?

five major theories [just quick, properly over next weeks!]

bonus: the scientific literature and illustrative findings

## today

- we'll properly motivate "why happiness?"
- review some of the common and ancient wisdom
- have a snapshot of history and philosophy
- "prove" it is science
- and start with five theories, which we will dig deep into over next weeks
- if we have time, we'll do the bonus sections

## **outline**

why study happiness?

common and ancient wisdom (related to the five theories)

history and philosophy

can we study it scientifically?

five major theories [just quick, properly over next weeks!]

bonus: the scientific literature and illustrative findings

why study happiness ?

# why?

- "a prince who will not undergo the difficulty of understanding must undergo the danger of trusting"
- so if you want to be happy, better understand what makes people happy

реоріс парр

a number of fascinating research questions to answer eg: can money buy happiness?

why study happiness ? 5/58

can money buy happiness?




"Researchers say I'm not happier for being richer, but do you know how much researchers make?"

# why?

- end in itself
- a measure of utility/well-being/quality of life/standard of living
- a better, more comprehensive and precise measure than individual or national income/consumption
- the ultimate outcome in public policy/adm process>


why study happiness?

# the outcome line (easy)



why study happiness ?

# the outcome line (not easy!)



why study happiness?

## **outline**

why study happiness?

common and ancient wisdom (related to the five theories)

history and philosophy

can we study it scientifically?

five major theories [just quick, properly over next weeks!]

bonus: the scientific literature and illustrative findings

## carpe diem

• "Happiness, not in another place but this place...not for another hour, but this hour." Walt Whitman

#### money

- Life is a progress from want to want, not from enjoyment to enjoyment. Samuel Johnson, 1776
- People who claim that money can't buy happiness just don't know where to shop. Anonymous
- A wealthy man is one who earns \$ 100 a year more than his wife's sister's husband. H.L. Mencken
- If thou wilt make a man happy, add not unto his riches but take away from his desires. Epicurus

#### civilization

- "Happiness," said Freud, "is no cultural value."
- (civilization is based on suppressed or delayed gratification/happiness)
- Freud defined happiness as the "subsequent fulfillment of a prehistoric wish. That is why wealth brings so little happiness: money was not a wish in childhood"

#### work

- "Success is not the key to happiness. Happiness is the key to success. If you love what you are doing, you will be successful" Herman Cain
- •"It is better to be a human being dissatisfied than a pig satisfied; better to be Socrates dissatisfied than a fool satisfied."

### welfare/redistribution

• No society can surely be flourishing and happy, of which the far greater part of the members are poor and miserable. It is but equity, besides, that they who feed, clothe, and lodge the whole body of the people, should have such a share of the produce of their own labor as to be themselves tolerably well fed, clothed, and lodged.

Adam Smith, The Wealth of Nations, Bk. 1, Ch. 8.

# bad capitalism (more on capital later)

- "Greed, envy, sloth, pride and gluttony: these are not vices anymore. No, these are marketing tools. Lust is our way of life. Envy is just a nudge towards another sale. Even in our relationships we consume each other, each of us looking for what we can get out of the other. Our appetites are often satisfied at the expense of those around us. In a dog-eat-dog world we lose part of our humanity." Jon Foreman
- "If you're not a leftist or socialist before you're 25, you
  have no heart; if you are one after 25 you have no head"

# <u>outline</u>

why study happiness ?

common and ancient wisdom (related to the five theories)

# history and philosophy

can we study it scientifically?

five major theories [just quick, properly over next weeks!]

bonus: the scientific literature and illustrative findings

history and philosophy 17/5

# history

- SWB in history: McMahon D "Happiness: A history"
- From beginnings we were interested in happiness:
- essentially two ideas, "good life" v "happy life/pleasure"
- kind of like Apollo v Dionysus:
- Apollo: rational thinking and order, and appeals to logic, prudence and purity.
- Dionysus, the god of wine and dance: irrationality and chaos, and appeals to emotions and instincts.
- obut more precisely:
- Aristotelian Eudaimonia (good life, living well)
- Benthamite Greatest Happiness for the Greatest Number

history and philosophy 18/58

#### Aristotelian Eudaimonia

- Eudaimonia=good life: virtue, good spirit Aristotle's
   Nicomachean Ethics:
  - Eudaimonia (happiness) = active, complete life inclusive of all that has intrinsic value
- perfection in respect of virtue; resources sufficient for a living creature
- Ohttps://positivepsychologyprogram.com/eudaimonia/
- Ohttps://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eudaimonia

history and philosophy 19/58

#### Benthamite Greatest SWB for the Greatest Num

- more pleasure than pain for as many as possible
- hedonic calculus: seek pleasure, avoid pain:
- ∘ happiness = pleasure pain
- have political and legal reforms to produce
- Greatest Happiness for the Greatest Number
- this is what we're witnessing today!
- o early political advocates: (Stiglitz et al., 2009), now even Sachs!
- o UK, New Zealand, UAE/Dubai, OECD, Bhutan, etc.

history and philosophy 20/58

## **Epicurus**

- all pleasure is good! (Annas, 1987)
- but not unnecessary desires (consumerism!), rather simple and frugal life; desire only the very basics, otherwise setting up yourself for hedonic treadmill (Weijers, 2011)
- overindulgence often leads to pain
- oachieve inner tranquility
- http://www.pursuit-of-happiness.org/history-of-happiness/epicurus/

history and philosophy 21/58

# Dionysus aka Bacchus

- god of the grape-harvest, wine making and wine, of fertility, ritual madness, religious ecstasy and theater
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dionysus
- and on hedonism, pleasure
- o eg see doctoral thesis by Dan Weijers: http:

//researcharchive.vuw.ac.nz/bitstream/handle/10063/2370/thesis.pdf?sequence=2

history and philosophy 22/58

#### and the winner is...

which one do you like? Bentham or Aristotle?
 (a fascinating philosophical debate (Nussbaum, 2005))

history and philosophy 23/58

# <u>outline</u>

why study happiness?

common and ancient wisdom (related to the five theories)

history and philosophy

can we study it scientifically?

five major theories [just quick, properly over next weeks!]

bonus: the scientific literature and illustrative findings

#### but it is in the clouds

- the first question or criticism i always get
- owhen i say i study happiness
- o is that we cannot really measure it
- specifically:
- o happiness is something different for everyone
- $\circ\,\text{one}$  moment i am happy, another moment i am unhappy
- o happiness doesn't really matter; it's just a silly emotion

#### in the clouds?

- seems like intangible concept
- everybody seems to be happy with something else
- not really! (few exceptions, eg diff cultures)
- a new field economics of happiness recently developed
- o (earlier psychology, soc ind res: 50s, 60s; took off in 90s)
- now, we can define, measure and study life satisfaction
- let's define it first

#### definition

- self-reported
- subjective
- sometimes surprising
- life satisfaction≈ utility
- max(life satisfaction)  $\approx$  utilitarianism
- life satisfaction≠happiness affect≠cognition
- here, use interchangeably but remember distinction
- SWB (subjective wellbeing) is another popular term

#### measurement

- wvs question: "all things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?" (1 to 10)
- gss question: "taken all together, how would you say things are these days - would you say that you are very happy, pretty happy, or not too happy?" (1-3)
- these measures were cross-validated using other measures: suicide, PET scans, other people ratings (Layard, 2005, Di Tella and MacCulloch, 2006)

#### validity (Diener et al., 2013)

- even though self reported and subjective, valid (people know whether they are happy) (Myers, 2000) and some reliability (precision varies)
- closely correlated with similar objective measures such as brain waves (Layard, 2005)
- unhappiness strongly correlates with suicide incidence and mental health problems (Bray and Gunnell, 2006)
- not only correlates highly with other non-self reported measures, but also does not correlate with measures that are not theoretically related to it: happiness has discriminant validity (Sandvik et al., 1993)

#### how?

- quantitative data analysis; eg correlation, regression.
- we have quantitative (numerical) data on happiness, so we have data on income, marital status, age, and so forth.
- then we investigate who the happy people are:
- othe rich? educated? females?
- oguess who? ideas?

## <u>outline</u>

why study happiness?

common and ancient wisdom (related to the five theories)

history and philosophy

can we study it scientifically?

five major theories [just quick, properly over next weeks!]

bonus: the scientific literature and illustrative findings

## five major theories

- genes/set point (eg Schnittker, 2008)
- adaptation/adjustment; hedonic treadmill (Brickman et al., 1978)
- needs/livability (Veenhoven and Ehrhardt, 1995)
- comparison/discrepancies (Michalos, 1985)
- happiness just a motivator (Carver and Scheier, 1990)

# genes/set point theory

incl happiness!

- genes explain about half of everything (eg Schnittker, 2008)
- but have bad rep in soc sci bc of Eugenics, Nazi, etc
- some people are just happy no matter what and some miserable!
- this is also called a set point theory: you win lottery, lose a limb, doesn't matter, your swb comes back to its set point
- but the pendulum seem to have swung too far in the other direction (Pinker, 2003, Haidt, 2012) we downplay the genes, and some would outright deny they exist!
- one reviewer told me that race is purely socially

five constructed, may genetic component to it!

# genes/set point theory

- Personality matters, ala Cuddy's fake it till you become
- fake yourself into an extrovert

```
https:
```

```
//amp.businessinsider.com/your-personality-could-affect-your-happiness-2018-9
```

- adaptation theory (Brickman et al., 1978)
- [related to genes/set point]
- adjustment to external circumstances
- 'hedonic treadmill'
- the more one has the more one wants, since satisfactions received only stimulate instead of filling needs (Durkheim, [1895]
- 1950)
- human adaptation is astonishing:
- owinning millions in a lottery, losing limbs, etc
- about 1b people live in poverty and they somehow manage and don't commit suicide; people can adapt to just about anything

#### needs/livability theory (Veenhoven and Ehrhardt, 1995)

- humans, like other animals have certain needs
- physiological needs like water, food, sleep; and other needs:
  eg contact with other living organisms (biophilia, social
  - capital, nature, etc)
- and higher (human) needs eg belonging, selfactualization
  kind of like Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs
- if needs are satisfied, then happiness follows
- then there are attr of places, environment, or ecology
- if it is "livable" then happiness follows
- this is optimistic for public policy—we can change needs and livability provision

# comparisons/discrepancies

- multiple discrepancy theory (Michalos, 1985)
- social comparison, eg co-workers, high school friends, relatives
- comparison to various standards, eg clothes, car expected in a given profession
- over-time: your swb now is determined by how you were doing in the past and what you expect in the future
- $\circ$  eg losing may be more felt than gaining (Kahneman and Tversky, 1979)
- "it is better to be a big frog in a small pond than a small frog in a big pond" (Davis, 1966)

#### happiness just a motivator (Carver and Scheier, 1990)

- SWB merely signals that task at hand has been accomplished
- then it dissipates and pain settles in so that the signal is that I can move to another task!
- Earth is not for comfort (or happiness)
- it's for struggle, and pain has its motivating place
- happiness-seeking/pain avoidance is complacence

#### happiness just a motivator (Carver and Scheier, 1990)

- no pain, no gain!
- one must struggle to succeed
- one must even struggle to discover oneself!
- O see Nietzsche, eg: https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2018/10/
  nietzsches-guide-to-better-living/568375/
- people who are very emotionally stable may be too happy to feel the need to create
- "One must have chaos in oneself to be able to give birth to a dancing star." Nietzsche cited in Chamorro-Premuzic (2015)

#### happiness just a motivator (Carver and Scheier, 1990)

- also like the earlier equation (Carver, 2003):
   swb=achievement/experience-expectations/aspirations
   if rate of progress below the reference rate: negative affect
- oif above: positive affect
- also if doing well: become satisfied, comfortable, possibly complacent (Carver, 2003)
- $\circ\,\mbox{until}$  you fall below the reference line and it goes over again
- note: winner-take-all capitalism raises the reference line

  (Frank, 2012)

#### various models (Carver, 2003)

- cruise control: going over the hill
- ogets tough, increase velocity and fuel use
- o reach plateau and going down the hill: decrease fuel
- coasting: positive affect leads to coasting
- opportunistic shifting: positive feelings promote play
- o also see Marcuse

#### happiness equation

happiness =
 achievement or experience — expectations or aspirations

•

• that's one reason why educ doesn't help with happiness

## <u>outline</u>

why study happiness?

common and ancient wisdom (related to the five theories)

history and philosophy

can we study it scientifically?

five major theories [just quick, properly over next weeks!]

bonus: the scientific literature and illustrative findings


#### what predicts happiness

- biological needs (Diener and Biswas-Diener, 2002)
- personal income (and personal income change) (Diener et al., 1999)
- goals vs. needs (Diener and Seligman, 2004)
- leisure (Diener et al., 1999)
- personal characteristics (Diener et al., 1999)
  - personality
  - education
- social capital ('the need to belong') (Myers, 2000, Diener and Seligman,
  - 2004)
    - religion
    - marriage

#### what predicts happiness cont'd


- pcgdp, ppp (Alesina and Ferrara, 2000)
- unemployment and inflation rates (Di Tella et al., 2001)
- life expectancy at birth (Di Tella and MacCulloch, 2005)
- income inequality (?)
- political freedom (Veenhoven, 2000)
- political stability and security (Helliwell, 2006)
- gender equality (ratio of average male and female earnings)
- family life (divorce rate) (Di Tella and MacCulloch, 2005)

easterlin's paradox income in the us, 1947-98 (Diener and Seligman, 2004)




bonus: the scientific literature and illustrative findings

easterlin's paradox happiness in the us, 1947-98 (Diener and Seligman, 2004)




47/58 bonus: the scientific literature and illustrative findings


## income increases happiness, but...



## income increases happiness, but...




### income increases aspirations, too




# utility (Kahneman et al., 1997)

- decision utility ≈ expected happiness "weight of an outcome in a decision"
- experienced utility ≈ happiness
  "hadonic quality"
  - "hedonic quality"
- decision utility  $\neq$  experienced utility


### expected vs. experienced utility




# expected vs. experienced utility




## income v happiness in the us, 1981-4 (Diener et al., 1993)



## income v happiness in the world, (wvs) 1996-2004





# income v happiness across countries



Source: R Ingelhart, 1997


#### world happiness





Cartographic Unit . University of Leicester

# happiness is contagious (Fowler and Christakis,



Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper. ANNAS, J. (1987): "Epicurus on pleasure and happiness," Philosophical Topics, 15, 5-21. BRAY, I. AND D. GUNNELL (2006): "Suicide rates, life satisfaction and happiness as markers for

ALESINA, A. AND E. L. FERRARA (2000): "Participation in Heterogeneous Communities," National

population mental health," Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 41, 333-337. BRICKMAN, P., D. COATES, AND R. JANOFF-BUMAN (1978): "Lottery winners and accident

victims: Is happiness relative?" Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 36, 917-927. CARVER, C. (2003): "Pleasure as a sign you can attend to something else: Placing positive feelings

within a general model of affect," Cognition and Emotion, 17, 241-261. CARVER, C. S. AND M. F. SCHEIER (1990): "Origins and functions of positive and negative affect:

a control-process view." Psychological review, 97, 19. CHAMORRO-PREMUZIC, T. (2015): "The Dark Side of Creativity," Harvard Business Review.

Deprivation to Career Decisions of College Men," American Journal of Sociology, 72, 17-31. DI TELLA, R. AND R. MACCULLOCH (2005): "Partisan Social Happiness," Review of Economic Studies, 72, 367-393.

DAVIS, J. A. (1966): "The Campus as a Frog Pond: An Application of the Theory of Relative

DI TELLA, R. AND R. MACCULLOCH (2006): "Some Uses of Happiness Data in Economics," The Journal of Economic Perspectives, 20, 25-46.

DI TELLA, R., R. J. MACCULLOCH, AND A. J. OSWALD (2001): "Preferences over inflation and unemployment: Evidence from surveys of happiness," American Economic Review, 91, 335-341. DIENER, E. AND R. BISWAS-DIENER (2002): "Will money increase subjective well-being? A

literature review and guide to needed research," Social Indicators Research, 57, 119-169.

Social Indicators Research, 112, 497-527.

References

DIENER, E., R. INGLEHART, AND L. TAY (2013): "Theory and validity of life satisfaction scales,"

58/58

DIENER, E., E. SANDVIK, L. SEIDLITZ, AND M. DIENER (1993): "The relationship between income and subjective well-being: relative or absolute?" Social Indicators Research, 28, 195–223.
 DIENER, E. AND M. E. P. SELIGMAN (2004): "Beyond Money: Toward an Economy of Well-being," Psychological Science, 5, 1–31.

DIENER, E., E. M. SUH, AND R. E. LUCAS (1999): "Subjective Well-being: Three Decades of

DURKHEIM, E. ([1895] 1950): The Rules of Sociological Method, The Free Press, New York NY. FOWLER, J. H. AND N. A. CHRISTAKIS (2008): "Dynamic Spread of Happiness in a Large Social

Progress," Psychological Bulletin, 125, 276-302.

347–413.

- Network: Longitudinal Analysis Over 20 Years in the Framingham Heart Study," <a href="Millshedge-British"><u>British Medical Journal, Vol. 3, January 09.</u></a>
  Frank, R. (2012): The Darwin economy: Liberty, competition, and the common good, Princeton
- University Press, Princeton NJ.

  HAIDT, J. (2012): The righteous mind: Why good people are divided by politics and religion,
  Vintage.

HELLIWELL, J. F. (2006): "Well-being, social capital and public policy: what's new?" The

- Economic Journal, 116, C34–C45.

  KAHNEMAN, D. AND A. TVERSKY (1979): "Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk,"
  Econometrica. 47, 263–291.
- KAHNEMAN, D., P. P. WAKKER, AND R. SARIN (1997): "Back to Bentham? Explorations of Experienced Utility," The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 112, 375–405.
- LAYARD, R. (2005): Happiness. Lessons from a new science., The Penguin Press, New York NY.
- MICHALOS, A. (1985): "Multiple discrepancies theory (MDT)," Social Indicators Research, 16,

55. 56-67. NUSSBAUM, M. C. (2005): "Mill between Aristotle and Bentham," in Economics and Happiness, ed.

MYERS, D. G. (2000): "The Funds, Friends, and Faith of Happy People," American Psychologist,

- by L. Bruni and P. L. Porta, Oxford University Press, New York NY, 170-184. PINKER, S. (2003): The blank slate: The modern denial of human nature, Penguin, New York NY. SANDVIK, E., E. DIENER, AND L. SEIDLITZ (1993): "Subjective Well-Being: The Convergence and
- SCHNITTKER, J. (2008): "Happiness and Success: Genes, Families, and the Psychological Effects of Socioeconomic Position and Social Support," American Journal of Sociology, 114, S233-S259.

Stability of Self-Report and Non-Self-Report Measures." Journal of Personality, 61, 317-342.

- STIGLITZ, J., A. SEN, AND J. FITOUSSI (2009): "Report by the Commission on the measurement of economic performance and social progress," Available at www.stiglitz-sen-fitoussi.fr. VEENHOVEN, R. (2000): "Freedom and Happiness. A comparative study in 46 nations in the early 90's," in Culture and subjective wellbeing, ed. by E. Diener and E. Suh, MIT press, Cambridge
- MA, 257-288. VEENHOVEN, R. AND J. EHRHARDT (1995): "The Cross-National Pattern of Happiness: Test of
- Predictions Implied in Three Theories of Happiness," Social Indicators Research, 34, 33-68.
- Weijers, D. (2011): "Hedonism," Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy.