Community SWB

Adam Okulicz-Kozaryn

adam.okulicz.kozaryn@gmail.com

<u>outline</u>

Campbell ch7,ch12-14

>>>start nov1 class here: 10min presentations of ps2, and discussion

Specific studies about communities/neighborhoods

if time: review/wrap-up what we did so far, especially the happiness theories and key points in public policy

Happy Housing: Steve Balin's Wonderland in Philadelphia

presentation by guest speaker Steve Balin at 8pm

NECESSARY readings

- Cloutier et al. (2014)
- Cloutier et al. (2017)
- Ma et al. (2017)
- Pfeiffer and Cloutier (2016)
- Helliwell et al. (2018) NOTE: data are publicly available!!
- Musikanski et al. (2017)
- Mouratidis (2017)
- o see next slide for explanation

lots of readings

- not necessarily that you have to read everything
- but do skim through everything, at least abstracts
- and do read those that are close to what you are doing
- there will be few slides
- o and most of class will be discussion of these readings
- oso please be prepared to discuss them!
- reference list is on the last slide

Jupyter now works better and off-campus

•https://theaok.github.io/lab/#jupyter

<u>outline</u>

Campbell ch7,ch12-14

>>>start nov1 class here: 10min presentations of ps2, and discussion

Specific studies about communities/neighborhoods

if time: review/wrap-up what we did so far, especially the happiness theories and key points in public policy

presentation by quest speaker Steve Ralin at 8pm

Campbell ch7,ch12-14 6/3

ecology matters

- SWB is not just a function of genes (about 50perc)
- or personal characteristics, a big chunk of the remainingbut also or ecology/environment
- o not just country (PCGDP, freedom, governance, etc)
- but also a function of community,
- o notably residential environment (campbell ch7)

o but also "substandard environment" (campbell ch7), ie

- o and notably we're isolated there (see Steve Balin above)
- one culprit is suburbs (Duany et al., 2001)
- American cities

 ophysical stuff, safety, transportation, social fabric

cambpell ch7

- especially see fig 7-1 p220
- objective: community, neighb, dwelling unit
- oaffect each subjective domains
- o and everything affects overall QOL experience
- oand could add at the very end SWB

Campbell ch7,ch12-14 8/30

cambpell ch7

- community satisfaction is further broken down into
- osatisfactions listed in tab 7-2
- onote that schools and climate are the key
- o among personal stuff in 7-3 most important are:
- olife cycle/age; race; educ; more important than income!
- o (for community satisfaction, not necessarily SWB)
- fig on p236: not just SWB, all satisfactions worst in largest areas!
- obut then there is an interesting quadratic flip
- o small towns and suburbs are often the best (not SWB)

Campbell ch7,ch12-14

cambpell ch7

- neighborhood satisfaction p 240
- o neighbors are more important than housing
- o and the two are more important than other stuff

Campbell ch7,ch12-14 10/30

cambpell ch12: women

- remember it is 1976
- a lot of data...
- oany discussion?
- olet's have a look at graphs from
- ohttps://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/ s11482-017-9547-2.pdf

Campbell ch7,ch12-14 11/30

cambpell ch13: blacks v whites

- again, remember, it is 1976
- interesting trends in tab13-1 on p447
- owhites became less happy
- o fewer blacks very happy, and fewer not too happy
- p455: blacks trust much less than whites
- p461: very interesting differences by domain!

Campbell ch7,ch12-14 12/30

cambpell ch14:

- objective v subjective
- osee fig 1,2,3 https:

```
//link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11205-011-9939-x
```

o and fig1, tab1 (very important! discuss a lot) and tab2
https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/

s11482-017-9587-7.pdf

Campbell ch7,ch12-14 13/30

<u>outline</u>

Campbell ch7,ch12-14

>>>start nov1 class here: 10min presentations of ps2, and discussion

Specific studies about communities/neighborhoods

if time: review/wrap-up what we did so far, especially the happiness theories and key points in public policy

Happy Housing: Steve Balin's Wonderland in Philadelp

<u>outline</u>

Campbell ch7,ch12-14

>>>start nov1 class here: 10min presentations of ps2, and discussion

Specific studies about communities/neighborhoods

if time: review/wrap-up what we did so far, especially the happiness theories and key points in public policy

presentation by guest speaker Steve Balin at 8pm

Mouratidis (2017)

higher in compact areas

- http:
 - //journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0042098017729109
- neighborhood satisfaction in Oslo
- o again, not SWB, but neighb sat, and makes sense:
- outside of Oslo, there's nothing there!
- and remember this is Norway!
- oit has 5m people; Oslo is 600k
- oit is much richer than US
- oway more welfare oriented, equal, trusting, homogeneous
- o and very natural, like Alaska!

 Specific studies about communities/neighborhoods

Ma et al. (2017)

- https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0264275117308570
- Beijing, China: 22m! 15 Philadelphias!
- again, as per campbell: domain satisfactions ->SWB
- so neighborhood satisfaction ->SWB
- and as found earlier, relative income is important (Luttmer, 2005), (Firebaugh and Schroeder, 2009)
- o here, more important than absolute income
- residential level data, nice maps

Ala-Mantila et al. (2017)

- https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00343404. 2017.1360485?needAccess=true
- zip-codes in southmost Finnland, 50k, just urban
- interesting!
- QOL highest in walkable central city
- SWB highest in car dependent places
- kind of makes sense, central cities have the best amenities and many objective QOL indicators
- obut as per my research, are most miseable
- and remember, as per my research, that QOL is only moderately related to SWB

Helliwell et al. (2018)

- https://www.nber.org/papers/w24592.pdf
- NOTE: data are publicly available!!
 http://lifesatisfaction.ca/NBER2018/
- the advantage is resolution (neighborhood) and size (1200 of them; .5m obs)
- o also, Helliwell is one of the SWB grandfathers

Pfeiffer and Cloutier (2016)

- https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944363. 2016.1166347?needAccess=true
- just a literature review for planning happy neighborhoods
- okind of like Ballas (2013) for cities
- usual stuff: have good transportation, social connection, engagement, security, and green stuff

Cloutier et al. (2017) and Cloutier et al. (2014)

- https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/9/7/1214/htm
- https:
 //link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10668-013-9499-0
- sustainability and commute
- uses bad Gallup data (impossible to use, very pricey!)
- sustainability and public transportation related to greater SWB

Musikanski et al. (2017)

- •https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/cgi/
 viewcontent.cgi?referer=https://www.google.
 com/&httpsredir=1&article=1151&context=jsc
- like most Cloutier's papers, mostly conceptual
- just about the initiatives to measure and study SWB
- oin several cities
- ouseful, if you want to pull off something similar
- oin your city

outline

Campbell ch7,ch12-14

>>>start nov1 class here: 10min presentations of ps2, and discussion

Specific studies about communities/neighborhoods

if time: review/wrap-up what we did so far, especially the happiness theories and key points in public policy

Happy Housing: Steve Balin's Wonderland in Philadelphia

outline

Campbell ch7,ch12-14

>>>start nov1 class here: 10min presentations of ps2, and discussion

Specific studies about communities/neighborhoods

if time: review/wrap-up what we did so far, especially the happiness theories and key points in public policy

Happy Housing: Steve Balin's Wonderland in Philadelphia

Wonderland

- Steve is a real estate developer
- o eg https://www.bizjournals.com/philadelphia/blog/ real-estate/2015/01/ keswick-village-sells-for-6-2gets-new-owner.html
- and a new project is https://wonderlandfishtown.slack.com
- and it is a part of the Lynx project

Lynx: draft

- Americans, including Millenials, are lonely.
- And they can't change it-
- othey simply don't have time for social engagement
- oin today's fast paced living.
- The only time they have is at their home,
- owhere they are isolated.

Lynx: draft

o is changing it.

- Volumes have been written about this problem,
- obut little have been done.
- Steve's approach of "convenient connection"
- This is an idea of co-living or co-housing but better.
- Unlike most other developers,
- Steve is personally committed to the idea.
- And he has assembled a team of academics,
- ocommunity leaders, and businesspeople
- o to carry out his visionary project.
- Steve here some details about specifics, like instigators

Lynx: draft

- Notably, social connection is key for happiness.
- You cannot be happy if you are socially disconnected.
- o Again, Americans, including Millenials, are disconnected.
- Social media do not help,
- o and actually in many ways make us more disconnected.
- Social disconnection is a primary reason
- o for Americans unhappiness.
- Initial evidence shows that Lynx
- oresidents are connected and happy.
- At Lynx, you will be connected and happy.

outline

Campbell ch7,ch12-14

>>>start nov1 class here: 10min presentations of ps2, and discussion

Specific studies about communities/neighborhoods

if time: review/wrap-up what we did so far, especially the happiness theories and key points in public policy

Happy Housing: Steve Balin's Wonderland in Philadelphia

presentation by guest speaker Steve Balin at 8pm

outline

- presentation by Steve
- Q & A
- becoming a part of the Wonderland Happiness project
- Steve may be looking for volunteers

well-being," Regional Studies, 1–15.

Ballas, D. (2013): "What makes a 'happy city'?" <u>Cities</u>, 32, S39–S50.

Cloutier, S., A. Karner, H. L. Breetz, P. Toufani, N. Onat, S. Patel, S. Paralkar,

ALA-MANTILA, S., J. HEINONEN, S. JUNNILA, AND P. SAARSALMI (2017): "Spatial nature of urban

- E. Berejnoi, B. A. Morrison, J. Papenfuss, et al. (2017): "Measures of a Sustainable Commute as a Predictor of Happiness," <u>Sustainability</u>, 9, 1214.

 CLOUTIER, S., L. LARSON, AND J. JAMBECK (2014): "Are sustainable cities" happy" cities?

 Associations between sustainable development and human well-being in urban areas of the
- United States," Environment, development and sustainability, 16, 633–647.

 DUANY, A., E. PLATER-ZYBERK, AND J. SPECK (2001): Suburban nation: The rise of sprawl and the decline of the American dream, North Point Press, New York NY.
- HELLIWELL, J. F., H. SHIPLETT, AND C. P. BARRINGTON-LEIGH (2018): "How Happy are Your Neighbours? Variation in Life Satisfaction among 1200 Canadian Neighbourhoods and Communities," Tech. rep., National Bureau of Economic Research.
 LUTTMER, E. F. P. (2005): "Neighbors as Negatives: Relative Earnings and Well-Being," Quarterly Journal of Economics, 120, 963–02.

FIREBAUGH, G. AND M. B. SCHROEDER (2009): "Does Your Neighbor's Income Affect Your

Happiness?" American Journal of Sociology, 115, 805-831.

References

- MA, J., G. DONG, Y. CHEN, AND W. ZHANG (2017): "Does satisfactory neighbourhood environment lead to a satisfying life? An investigation of the association between
- environment lead to a satisfying life? An investigation of the association between neighbourhood environment and life satisfaction in Beijing," <u>Cities.</u>

 MOURATIDIS, K. (2017): "Is compact city livable? The impact of compact versus sprawled
 - neighbourhoods on neighbourhood satisfaction," <u>Urban Studies</u>, 0042098017729109. Musikanski, L., C. Polley, S. Cloutier, E. Berejnoi, and J. Colbert (2017): "Hapi
- MUSIKANSKI, L., C. POLLEY, S. CLOUTIER, E. BEREJNOI, AND J. COLBERT (2017): "Happiness in Communities: How Neighborhoods, Cities and States Use Subjective Well-Being Metrics," Journal of Social Change, 9, 3.

PFEIFFER, D. AND S. CLOUTIER (2016): "Planning for happy neighborhoods," <u>Journal of the</u> American Planning Association, 1–13.