

agency wvs

Adam Okulicz-Kozaryn*
Rutgers - Camden

Thursday 19th February, 2026 18:30

1 feb19 playing with wvs

1.1 vars

first looking at what we have here that can use

obviously we use

A173 How much freedom of choice and control

Some people feel they have completely free choice and control over their lives, while other people feel that what they do has no real effect on what happens to them. Please use this scale where 1 means "none at all" and 10 means "a great deal" to indicate how much freedom of choice and control you feel you have over the way your life turns out.

Tabulation: Freq.	Numeric	Label
15,177	1	None at all
9,141	2	
16,011	3	
20,756	4	
56,829	5	
48,677	6	
64,361	7	
77,430	8	
44,136	9	
77,016	10	A great deal
21,335	.	

But maybe also?:

Autonomy-4 item Index=(a029 +A039)-(a040 + a042) Only questions with answers to the 4 items are considered. -2 Obedience/Religious Faith to 2 Determination, perseverance/Independence

*EMAIL: adam.okulicz.kozaryn@gmail.com

I thank XXX. All mistakes are mine.

Important child qualities: [0 Not mentioned; 1 Important]

A029 independence

A039 determination, perseverance

A040 religious faith

A042 obedience

131,200	0	
115,286	1	
49,393	2	Determination, perseverance/Independence

vars from leonie's slide: TODO add others from there <https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1YpGP1VmirIAtTRtKqrpcIOef7xSedit?slide=id.p6#slide=id.p6>

gov_res			government more responsibility
42,106	1	1.people	
20,335	2		
33,474	3		
32,308	4		
34,692	5		
58,427	6		
33,254	7		
38,927	8		
33,937	9		
91,298	10	10.government	
32,111	.		
comBad			Competition good or harmful
110,388	1	Competition is good	
44,382	2		
51,413	3		
41,108	4		
57,666	5		
23,598	6		
17,349	7		
15,122	8		
8,568	9		
18,572	10	Competition is harmful	

and for preferences for redistribution maybe

C038 People who don't work turn lazy [1 disagree - 5 agree]

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
poo_laz	62,905	.298768	.4577215	0	1
esc_pov	65,755	.3996198	.4898239	0	1
sub_poo	249,129	6.423403	2.988314	0	10

1.2 first results yay

	a1	a1cc	a1satFin	a2	a3
freedom	-0.13***	-0.11***	-0.07***	-0.10***	-0.10***
financial satisfaction			-0.16***		-0.17***
age				0.00	-0.00
age2				-0.00	0.00
male				-0.12***	-0.12***
married or living together as married				-0.05*	-0.01
N	92557	92557	92244	85727	85517

+ 0.10 * 0.05 ** 0.01 *** 0.001; robust
std err

Table 1: OLS regressions of gov more responsibility (v ppl take care of themselves).

a1: ok more autonomy by 1 on 1-10, want less redistrib by .13 on 1-10 scale

a1cc: adding country dummies doesn't change anything

a1satFin: reduced by almost half!, note satFin correlates with agency at .33

a2: basic sociodemographics, and effect size still large at .1

a3: interesting so more satFin the more preRed

```
. tabstat ls, by(lwd7) stat(mean sd) format(%9.1f)
```

Summary for variables: ls
Group variable: lwd7 (RECODE of lwd (do what you like))

lwd7	Mean	SD
0-4	5.6	2.7
2	7.6	2.3
3	7.6	1.8
4	8.0	1.5
5	7.8	1.9
6	8.2	1.5
7	8.9	1.5
Total	8.3	1.9