

# the replication principle

adam okulicz-kozaryn

`adam.okulicz.kozaryn@gmail.com`

this version: Tuesday 16<sup>th</sup> January, 2018    15:15

## outline

bad excel

the idea

replication+stata=dofile

get code from others!

## outline

bad excel

the idea

replication+stata=dofile

get code from others!

## bad excel

- ◇ better teach nothing than excel
- ◇ take this from the class:
- ◇ even if you do not use statistical software:
- ◇ never trust numbers that come from excel
- ◇ in your future careers, do not trust people working with excel
- ◇ simply, it's very likely there are mistakes
  - and worse, there is no way to find out what happened
  - there's no code !

## more elaboration

### ◇ Friends don't let Friends use Excel for Statistics

[\*]<https://oit.utk.edu/research/documentation/Documents/ExcelStatProbs.pdf>

### ◇ Excel's Checkered Statistical Past

[\*]<http://www.statisticalengineering.com/Weibull/excel.html>

### ◇ "Should you use Excel to teach statistics?"

[\*][http://www.texasoft.com/excel/Should\\_You\\_Use\\_Excel\\_for\\_Statistics.pdf](http://www.texasoft.com/excel/Should_You_Use_Excel_for_Statistics.pdf)

### ◇ See Andrew Gelman's blog. Funny.

[\*]<http://andrewgelman.com/2013/04/17/excel-bashing/>

### ◇ tell a story about excel when I learned it hard way:

- my first paper for ecological economics, done in excel
- reviewers got back after 6mo, i had dozens of excel file
- couldn't replicate my own results!

## outline

bad excel

the idea

replication+stata=dofile

get code from others!

## replication, replication

- ◇ replication=write computer code that will do \*everything\*
  - from raw data (eg FED, IMF) to results (eg regression)
- ◇ necessary for science
- ◇ otherwise we don't know what happened
- ◇ how was it calculated? is there a mistake? who knows?
- ◇ pol sci perspective
  - [\*]<http://gking.harvard.edu/files/gking/files/replication.pdf>
- ◇ IT perspective
  - [\*]<http://journals.plos.org/plosbiology/article?id=10.1371/journal.pbio.1001745>

## humans and mistakes

- ◇ a part of human nature is that we make mistakes
- ◇ can't avoid it no matter what is your knowledge, skills, experience, etc.
- ◇ same pertains to academic research
- ◇ computers, on the other hand, never make mistakes
- ◇ they just do whatever humans tell them to do
- ◇ sometimes they execute our mistakes



## implications for every day practice

- ◇ once you have coded everything, double/triple-check it
  - leave it aside and check again
  - show it to other people, post on your website
- ◇ the more times it is checked, the fewer mistakes
- ◇ cross-check end output with raw data—e.g. are there the same numbers for randomly chosen data points
- ◇ does it make sense?
- ◇ check with alternative data sources? do they tell the same story?
  - i always google tables and graphs of what i study
- ◇ everything has been studied by others and it is good to cross-check

## outline

bad excel

the idea

replication+stata=dofile

get code from others!

## dofile

- ◇ we follow replication principle by writing dofiles
- ◇ GUI and command window OK for playing around
- ◇ sometimes handy to use command window or GUI
- ◇ but in the end, everything must be in dofile
- ◇ and can write in dofile and run from there:  
highlight+Ctrl-d
- ◇ dofile must do \*everything\*:
  - produce final output (usually descr and inferential stats)
  - from the very raw data (data someone gave you)
- ◇ so always first load raw data, manage, organize, manipulate
- and then produce some results

## dofile

- ◇ just a text file (.do)
- ◇ click “new do-file editor” icon: new window pops up
- ◇ file-open...and open dofile for today
- ◇ it has all the code we will use today
- ◇ highlight code you want to run and press Ctrl-d
- ◇ can have many dofiles opened at the same time
- ◇ can copy-paste between dofile and:
  - command window, review window, and results window
- ◇ don't forget to save your dofile: file-save as

## outline

bad excel

the idea

replication+stata=dofile

get code from others!

## examples: dofiles

◇ examples for intl, country level, comparative:

- <https://www.prio.org/JPR/Datasets/>
- <http://www.isanet.org/Publications/ISQ/Replication-Data>
- <https://huber.research.yale.edu/writings.html>

## the best way to do research in 21st century

- ◇ start with code others wrote, and build on their work
- ◇ this is the best, most efficient way to do research
- ◇ any research very close to yours, just email author and ask her to share code with you
- ◇ even if it sas or spss etc—you'll be able to figure it out quickly what is going on there and then implement something similar in stata
- ◇ don't reinvent the wheel: almost as if you were to start research without reading literature and had to come up with all theories and ideas on your own!