

descriptive statistics 2: graphs

Adam Okulicz-Kozaryn

`adam.okulicz.kozaryn@gmail.com`

this version: Wednesday 23rd September, 2020 15:58

outline

misc

intuition

howto: some theory (Tufte)

the good, the bad, and the ugly

outline

misc

intuition

howto: some theory (Tufte)

the good, the bad, and the ugly

outline

misc

intuition

howto: some theory (Tufte)

the good, the bad, and the ugly


graphics and descriptive statistics

- i was emphasizing importance of understanding your data
- to visualize/understand data, graphics are the best!
- data are numbers, usually many and in a matrix
 - use graphics to comprehend those many numbers
 - (if few numbers, like 3 or so, just say them or have table)
 -
- have graphs in final presentation
- again, quality matters not quantity!
- eg for final presentation: several great graphs would do!

tell a story

- can tell a whole story with a graph
 - just make it little complex and sophisticated
 - the key is idea, not statistics!
 - tell us something surprising,
something overlooked
 - examples follow


wh/bl lexp: 1918 dip, dropping swings, closing gap




monotonic. but sped up in 05!

Steady Trend On Gay Marriage Views

Percentage opposing and supporting in national, live-interviewer polls since 1988



whites killing themselves recently




<http://www.pnas.org/content/112/49/15078.full>

red state, blue state, rich state, poor state

- even the whole book can be based on a single graph!
- <http://amzn.com/0691143935>
- can tell a complicated story with a graph!
- the richer you are, the more likely you are to vote ...
- but the richer the area, the weaker the relationship
- in other words, poor folks just vote Democrat everywhere
- rich, on the other hand, vary depending on area's income

McCain vote by income in a poor, middle-income, and rich state



interested in inequality ?

- a book using many graphs
- most of the argument rests on graphs

<http://amzn.com/1608193411>

outline

misc


intuition

howto: some theory (Tufte)


the good, the bad, and the ugly

avoid visual clutter

- all parts of graph should be meaningful
- good practices:
 - do not use shades
 - do not use fancy colors
 - do not use any decoration
- Tufte (multiple) <http://www.edwardtufte.com/tufte/>
- Kosslyn “Clear and to The Point” <http://www.amazon.com/Clear-Point-Psychological-Principles-Presentations/dp/0195320697>



Exploded Pie Slice Chart



other chartjunk examples

- <https://www.google.com/search?q=fancy+charts&tbm=isch>
- it's all over the place—eg popular media showing oil production as barells instead of bars in a chart
- is the height of barrel meaningful or its volume or what?

not chartjunk (the economist)

Extra leisure time enjoyed by men compared with women
2006*, minutes per day



Source: OECD

*Or latest available

not chartjunk (the economist)

Time spent eating and sleeping

2006, minutes per day



Source: OECD

outline

misc

intuition


howto: some theory (Tufte)

the good, the bad, and the ugly

intuition

- there are bad graphs: chart junk
- yet there are ugly graphs: graphs that not only confuse but also lie

the ugly 1




problems

- 3d
- scale not at 0 (it depends how you describe it in words, too)
- what is Y axis' measurement
- caption exactly explaining data used: eg part-time, when, etc
- data source

the chart junk



the good (there is virtue insimplicity, but)



the good (it is revealing to dig deeper)

Controlling for Age Changes the Picture



other misleading examples

- you have time series data and you only show a period of time that supports your story
- most things can be measured in many ways...
 - you just present one measure that supports your story, eg:
 - Obama's state of the union address: unempl is down
 - but did not mention that lab force participation is down
 - and underemployment is up
 - not just Obama, most politicians present only data supporting their story

don't be misleading !

- at the very minimum you should discuss contradicting results in a footnote/appendix
- mention also major limitations somewhere

conclusion

- know your data
- you can understand it by producing descriptive statistics
- again for more see Tufte and Kosslyn

if time: discuss alcohol consumption

- but first discuss 2 assigned economist articles
- opinion on how US educational system produces inequality: <https://www.theatlantic.com/business/archive/2017/08/universities-inequality-fighters/538566/>



- vast majority of Americans do not drink much
- but those that do drink much, they drink a lot!

<https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/wp/2014/09/25/>


think-you-drink-a-lot-this-chart-will-tell-you

Time for a stiff drink

Average number of drinks per capita consumed in the past week, by decile, among adults aged 18 and over.

30 percent of American adults don't drink at all. Another 30 percent consume, on average, less than one drink per week.

On the other hand, the top 10 percent of American adults - 24 million of them - consume an average of 74 drinks per week, or a little more than 10 drinks per day.



bibliography I

OKULICZ-KOZARYN, A. (2011a): "City Life: Rankings (Livability) Versus Perceptions (Satisfaction)," Social Indicators Research, 110, 433–451.

——— (2011b): "Europeans Work to Live and Americans Live to Work (Who is Happy to Work More: Americans or Europeans?)," Journal of Happiness Studies, 12, 225–243.

——— (2013): "Cluttered writing: adjectives and adverbs in academia," Scientometrics, 1–3.

——— (2016): "Unhappy metropolis (when American city is too big)," Cities.

OKULICZ-KOZARYN, A. AND J. M. MAZELIS (2016): "More Unequal In Income, More Unequal in Wellbeing," Social Indicators Research.

WHEELAN, C. (2013): Naked statistics: stripping the dread from the data, WW Norton & Company.