XXX

Adam Okulicz-Kozaryn* Rutgers - Camden

Wednesday 3rd November, 2021 17:41

XXX TODO ADD TO EBIB AS KEYWORD PAPER-CODE-NAME AND TAG WITH EBIB KEYWORDS

The evidence of urban-rural happiness gradient is mounting. urban unhappiness is common and some morrison stuff and couple others like 10 from that boilerplate in recent cities article about least happy places around the world speicific cities but guess The urban-rural happiness gradient states that happiness raises from its lowest in largest cities to highest in smallest places, little towns, villages, and open country.

Yet all studies to date are cross sectional and panel evidence is missing. Few studies that use panel data do not actually test the gradient. Hoogerbrugge and Burger (????) use inadequate measurement, the urban-rural cutoff is at extremely low population size of 10,000 people or even 3,000 people for Scottland. Large villages and small towns are not really "urban" lacking defining features of urbanness: size, density, and heterogeneity Wirth (1938). The build envirnment in small towns lacks. The way of life lacks transitoriness, etc these words from city book. cite when metropolis is too big and urgan unhappiness is common—ideally it should be a gradient, and if necessarily a binary disctinction then it is several hundred thousand, not 3 or 10 thoudsand as in Hoogerbrugge and Burger (????).

White et al. (2013b) and White et al. (2013a) use British panel (BHPS) but test green space (such as gardens, parks, and proximity to coast) not size of a place. Similarly, Alcock et al. (2014) is a panel (BHPS) but also examining green space, not size of a place. Rehdanz and Maddison (2008) uses a German panel dataset (GSOEP), but without panel modelling techniques such as fixed or random effects.

1 Data and model

We use 2009-2019 psid from psidonline.isr.umich.edu, the reason being that swb question only started in 2009. from the family files we only retain household reference person (or head as it used to be called). This is the same practice as in (Brown and Gathergood 0).

the swb question reads: Please think about your life as a whole. How satisfied are you with it? Are you completely satisfied, very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied? on scale from 1 (low) to 5 (high).

and the key independent variable is metro as defined in table 1.

TODO have cross corr here!!!! and summary stats (at least in app)

Race is important to control for-it is predicting SWB, and confounded with urbanicity; race-minorities are less happy and more in urban areas (Berry and Okulicz-Kozaryn 2011)

panel structure description of metro variable is shown in the appendix yes one problem is that tehre is little variability in urb-rur

*EMAIL: adam.okulicz.kozaryn@gmail.com

I thank Gordon D. A. Brown for sharing STATA code. All mistakes are mine.

metro	BEALE RURAL-URBAN CODE	
1	1	Metro - Counties in metro areas of 1 million population or more
1	2	Metro: Counties in metro areas of 250,000 to 1 million population
1	3	Metro : Counties in metro areas of fewer than 250,000 population
0	4	Nonmetro: Urban population of 20,000 or more, adjacent to a metro area
0	5	Nonmetro: Urban population of 20,000 or more, not adjacent to a metro area
0	6	Nonmetro: Urban population of 2,500 to 19,999, adjacent to a metro area
0	7	Nonmetro: Urban population of 2,500 to 19,999, not adjacent to a metro area
0	8	Nonmetro : Completely rural or less than 2,500 urban population, adjacent to a metro area
0	9	Nonmetro: Completely rural or less than 2,500 urban population, not adjacent to a metro area

Table 1: metro variable: Metropolitan/Non-metropolitan Indicator: This indicator is derived from the 2013 Beale-Ross Rural-Urban Continuum Codes published by USDA based on matches to the FIPS state and county codes: 1. Metropolitan area (Beale-Ross Code ER775923= 1-3); 0. Non-metropolitan area (Beale-Ross Code ER775923= 4-9). Each county in the U.S. is assigned one of the 9 codes.

controls are set in table ?? var_des in SOM

in controls we follow my cities when metropolis is too big (make sure we do):

race! cities more minorities and minorities less happy (berry US swb paper)

liberal democrat, rep con-cities more liberal/democract and democrats less happy my jap paper

it is impostrant to control for employment status—it both predicts swb, especially unmeployment has lasting negative effect on swb, and it also correlates with urbanicity as cities have more employment opportunities (e.g., O'Sullivan 2009).

boilerplate on ols

a standard fixed effects model is given by:

$$SWB_{it} = \gamma METRO_{it}X_{it}\beta + \alpha_i + u_{it} \tag{1}$$

Where

 $METRO_{it}$ is a metro dummy for person i at time t

 γ is the main coefficient of interest

 α_i (i=1...n) is the unknown intercept for each person (n person-specific intercepts). SWB is the dependent variable (DV) where i = person and t = wave.

 X_{it} is a vector of control variables

 β is the vector of coefficients for control variables,

 u_{it} is the error term

a standard random effects model is given by:

$$SWB_{it} = \gamma METRO_{it}X_{it}\beta + \alpha + u_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$
(2)

 u_{it} is between-person error ϵ_{it} is within-person error

a limitation of fixed effects model is that there are just 6 waves and tehre is limited variablility across time and hence time-invariant variables cannot be estimated, notably on metro which is not that often changed

2 Resuklts

by wave each cross section just have in app and refer briefly, and re; in body only fe nice size effect like a third or half of hea

3 Conclusion and discussion

Supplementary Online Material (SOM)

[note: this section will NOT be a part of the final version of the manuscript, but will be available online instead]

3.1 Variables' Definitions

Table 2: Variable definitions.

name	description				
swb	"Please think about your life as a whole. How satisfied are you with it? Are you completely				
	satisfied, very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied?" 1 (lo)				
	- 5 (hi)				
metro	"Metropolitan/Non-metropolitan Indicator. This indicator is derived from the 2013 Beale-				
	Ross Rural-Urban Continuum Codes published by USDA based on matches to the FIPS				
	state and county codes." 1 Metropolitan area (Beale-Ross Code ER775923= 1-3) 0 Non-				
	metropolitan area (Beale-Ross Code ER775923= 4-9)				
age	age				
age sq	age squared				
last year total family income	last year total family income				
unemployed	EMPLOYMENT STATUS-1ST MENTION; We would like to know about what you do -				
	are you working now, looking for work, retired, keeping house, a student, or what?-FIRST				
	MENTION; 1="Looking for work, unemployed", 0 otherwhise				
male	gender				
health	"Now I have a few questions about your health. Would you say your health in general is				
	excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor?" 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent)				
kids	"Number of Persons Now in the FU Under 18 Years of Age"				
college	"Did (you/he/she) attend college?" 1='yes', 0='no'				
married	"Are you married, widowed, divorced, separated, or have you never been married?" $1=$ 'mar-				
	ried'; 0 otherwhise				
family unit size	Number of Persons in FU at the Time of the Interview				
white	"What is (your/his/her) race? (Are you/Is [he/she]) white, black, American Indian, Alaska				
	Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander?–FIRST MENTION" $1=$ 'white', 0				
	otherwhise				
distress	The K-6 Non-Specific Psychological Distress Scale				

3.2 Summary statistics

4.75

70.14 | 111...

```
id: 2, 3, ..., 14365
yr: 2009, 2011, ..., 2019
                                                                             10108
            Delta(yr) = 1 unit
Span(yr) = 11 periods
            (id*yr uniquely identifies each observation)
Distribution of T_i: min
                                                                 75%
                                                                         95%
                                                                                  {\tt max}
                          1
     Freq. Percent
                       Cum. | Pattern*
     3179
               31.45
                        31.45 | 111111
                7.15
                        38.60 |
                                 ....11
      672
                6.65
                        45.25 |
                5.42
                        50.67 |
      548
                                 ...111
                        55.67 |
      505
                5.00
                                 ..1111
       502
                4.97
                        60.64 | 1.....
                4.76
                        65.39
                                 .11111
      481
```

450 2568		İ	(other patterns)
10108			XXXXXX

^{*}Each column represents 2 periods.

3.3 Panel Structure of Metro Variable

xttab met

	Ove	erall	Bet	Within	
met	Freq.	Percent	Freq.	Percent	Percent
+					
Inap.:	8294	21.98	2947	29.26	77.39
Metropol	29436	78.02	8362	83.01	93.19
+					
Total	37730	100.00	11309	112.27	89.07
		(n	= 10073)		

xtsum met

Variable			Mean			Max			rvations
met				.4141335		1	•		
	between	l		.385049	0	1	I	n =	10073
	within	I		. 1878218	0531584	1.613508	ı	T-bar =	3.74566

References

ALCOCK, I., M. P. WHITE, B. W. WHEELER, L. E. FLEMING, AND M. H. DEPLEDGE (2014): "Longitudinal effects on mental health of moving to greener and less green urban areas," *Environmental science & technology*, 48, 1247–1255.

BERRY, B. J. AND A. OKULICZ-KOZARYN (2011): "An Urban-Rural Happiness Gradient," Urban Geography, 32, 871-883.

Brown, G. D. A. and J. Gathergood (0): "Consumption Changes, Not Income Changes, Predict Changes in Subjective Well-Being," Social Psychological and Personality Science, 0, 1948550619835215.

HOOGERBRUGGE, M. AND M. BURGER (????): "Selective Migration and Urban-Rural Differences in Subjective Well-being: Evidence from the United Kingdom'," *Urban Studies*.

O'SULLIVAN, A. (2009): Urban economics, McGraw-Hill.

REHDANZ, K. AND D. MADDISON (2008): "Local environmental quality and life-satisfaction in Germany," *Ecological economics*, 64, 787–797.

WHITE, M. P., I. ALCOCK, B. W. WHEELER, AND M. H. DEPLEDGE (2013a): "Coastal proximity, health and well-being: Results from a longitudinal panel survey," *Health & Place*.

——— (2013b): "Would You Be Happier Living in a Greener Urban Area? A Fixed-Effects Analysis of Panel Data," *Psychological science*, 24, 920–928.

WIRTH, L. (1938): "Urbanism as a Way of Life," American Journal of Sociology, 44, 1–24.