Unhappy Metros: US Panel Data Evidence

Adam Okulicz-Kozaryn*
Rutgers - Camden

Wednesday 3rd November, 2021 19:42

We study the effect of living in a metropolitan area on life satisfaction (subjective wellbeing). The literature agrees that inhabitants of metropolitan areas tend to be less satisfied with their lives than inhabitants of smaller settlements in the developed world. This is the first study using longitudinal dataset to test the unhappy metro hypothesis. Using the 2009-2019 US Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID), we find support for the corss-sectional findings: metros are less happy than nonmetros.

SUBJECTIVE WELLBEING (SWB), LIFE SATISFACTION, HAPPINESS, PSID, XXX TODO ADD TO EBIB AS KEYWORD PAPER-CODE-NAME AND TAG WITH EBIB KEYWORDS

Urbanism is not just built environment but a way of life (Wirth 1938) affecting in humans in multiple and profound ways, indeed urbanism is arguably the most significant disruption of human habitat in our species history MY CITY BOOK. World is urbanizing at an astonishing pace –that recent stat from .6 to 6b in 100 years or sth from recent paper! At the same time an agreement has emerged that aside from, or even instead of, traditional development measures such as GDP and HDI, it is useful to measure human development with Subjective Wellbeing Measures SEN AND DIENER BOOK FOR PUB POLICY MAKINg

The evidence of urban-rural happiness gradient is overwhelming. urban unhappiness is common and some morrison stuff and couple others like 10 from that boilerplate in recent cities article about least happy places around the world speicific cities but guess. The urban-rural happiness gradient states that happiness raises from its lowest in largest cities to highest in smallest places, little towns, villages, and open country.

Yet all studies to date are cross sectional and panel evidence is missing. Few studies that use panel data do not actually test the gradient. Hoogerbrugge and Burger (????) use inadequate measurement, the urban-rural cutoff is at extremely low population size of 10,000 people or even 3,000 people for Scottland. Large villages and small towns are not really "urban" lacking defining features of urbanness: size, density, and heterogeneity Wirth (1938). The build envirnment in villages or small towns lacks tall bildings, urban transit, airports, etc. Way of life in such places is not urban, lacking shaloowness transitriness, etc The way of life lacks transitoriness, etc these words from city book. cite when metropolis is too big and urgan unhappiness is common—ideally it should be a gradient, and if necessarily a binary disctinction then it is several hundred thousand, not 3 or 10 thoudsand as in Hoogerbrugge and Burger (?????).

White et al. (2013b) and White et al. (2013a) use British panel (BHPS) but test green space (such as gardens, parks, and proximity to coast) not size of a place. Similarly, Alcock et al. (2014) is a panel (BHPS) but also examining green space, not size of a place. Rehdanz and Maddison (2008) uses a German panel dataset (GSOEP), but without panel modelling techniques such as fixed or random effects.

1 Data and model

We use 2009-2019 psid from psidonline.isr.umich.edu, the reason being that swb question only started in 2009. from the family files we only retain household reference person (or head as it used to be called). This is the same practice as in (Brown and Gathergood 0).

^{*}EMAIL: adam.okulicz.kozaryn@gmail.com

the swb question reads: Please think about your life as a whole. How satisfied are you with it? Are you completely satisfied, very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied? on scale from 1 (low) to 5 (high).

and the key independent variable is metro as defined in table 1.

metro	beale rural-urban code	description
1	1	Metro: Counties in metro areas of 1 million population or more
1	2	Metro: Counties in metro areas of 250,000 to 1 million population
1	3	Metro: Counties in metro areas of fewer than 250,000 population
0	4	Nonmetro: Urban population of 20,000 or more, adjacent to a metro area
0	5	Nonmetro: Urban population of 20,000 or more, not adjacent to a metro area
0	6	Nonmetro: Urban population of 2,500 to 19,999, adjacent to a metro area
0	7	Nonmetro: Urban population of 2,500 to 19,999, not adjacent to a metro area
0	8	Nonmetro: Completely rural or less than 2,500 urban population, adjacent to a metro area
0	9	Nonmetro: Completely rural or less than 2,500 urban population, not adjacent to a metro area

Table 1: metro variable: Metropolitan/Non-metropolitan Indicator: This indicator is derived from the 2013 Beale-Ross Rural-Urban Continuum Codes published by USDA based on matches to the FIPS state and county codes: 1. Metropolitan area (Beale-Ross Code ER775923= 1-3); 0. Non-metropolitan area (Beale-Ross Code ER775923= 4-9). Each county in the U.S. is assigned one of the 9 codes.

Summary statistics are shown in Supplementary Online Material (SOM).

There are 3 vars that not only predict SWB, but also are likely to be confounded with metro: race, political views, and religiosity—yet, they are irrelevant in fixed effects model as they are constant over time.

panel structure description of metro variable is shown in the appendix yes one problem is that tehre is little variability in urb-rur controls are set in table ?? var_des in SOM

in controls we follow Brown and Gathergood (0)

it is impostrant to control for employment status—it both predicts swb, especially unmeployment has lasting negative effect on swb, and it also correlates with urbanicity as cities have more employment opportunities (e.g., O'Sullivan 2009).

boilerplate on linear models, no need for categorical dependent variable modeling, elaborate a standard fixed effects model is given by:

$$SWB_{it} = \gamma METRO_{it}X_{it}\beta + \alpha_i + u_{it} \tag{1}$$

Where, $METRO_{it}$ is a metro dummy for person i at time t. γ is the main coefficient of interest. α_i (i=1...n) is the unknown intercept for each person(n person-specific intercepts). SWB is the dependent variable, where i = person and t = wave. X_{it} is a vector of control variables. β is the vector of coefficients for control variables. u_{it} is the error term.

A limitation of fixed effects model is that there are just 6 waves and tehre is limited variablility across time and hence time-invariant variables cannot be estimated. metro which is not that often changed does change but not very often—discuss that des sta from som.

2 Resuklts

by wave each cross section just have in app and refer briefly, and re; in body only fe

Table 2: FE regressions of SWB.

	a1	a2	a3	a4	a5
metro	0.01	-0.04*	-0.03*	-0.04**	-0.04*
age		0.02***	0.02***	0.01***	0.00
age sq		-0.00**	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00
last year total family income		0.00*	0.00	0.00	0.00
unemployed		-0.18***	-0.18***	-0.16***	-0.16***
male		0.27	0.21	0.07	0.08
health		0.13***	0.13***	0.10***	0.10***
kids			-0.01	-0.01	-0.01
college			-0.08*	-0.07	-0.07
married			0.18***	0.17***	0.17***
family unit size			0.04***	0.03***	0.03***
distress				-0.05***	-0.05***
constant	3.71***	2.37***	2.45***	2.90***	3.60***
state and year dummies	no	no	no	no	yes
N	37567	37489	36285	36142	36142
*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1					

nice size effect like a third or half of step in 1-5 hea, like going third or half way from poor health to fair health for instance

3 Conclusion and discussion

This is the first panel data investigation of metro-nonmetro happiness gap. The results confirm crosssectional evidence of urban unhappiness.

Future research can improve in a number of ways. Use finer classification than binary metro-nonmetro. As more waves become available, it will be possible to estimate SWB from moving across urbanicity.

Supplementary Online Material (SOM)

[note: this section will NOT be a part of the final version of the manuscript, but will be available online instead]

3.1 Variables' Definitions

 Table 3:
 Variable definitions.

name	description					
swb	"Please think about your life as a whole. How satisfied are you with it? Are you completely					
	satisfied, very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied?" 1 (lo)					
	- 5 (hi)					
metro	"Metropolitan/Non-metropolitan Indicator. This indicator is derived from the 2013 Beale-					
	Ross Rural-Urban Continuum Codes published by USDA based on matches to the FIPS					
	state and county codes." 1 Metropolitan area (Beale-Ross Code ER775923= 1-3) 0 Non-					
	metropolitan area (Beale-Ross Code ER775923= 4-9)					
age	age					
age sq	age squared					
last year total family income	last year total family income					
unemployed	EMPLOYMENT STATUS-1ST MENTION; We would like to know about what you do –					
	are you working now, looking for work, retired, keeping house, a student, or what?-FIRST					
	MENTION; 1="Looking for work, unemployed", 0 otherwhise					
male	gender					
health	"Now I have a few questions about your health. Would you say your health in general is					
	excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor?" 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent)					
kids	"Number of Persons Now in the FU Under 18 Years of Age"					
college	"Did (you/he/she) attend college?" 1='yes', 0='no'					
married	"Are you married, widowed, divorced, separated, or have you never been married?" $1=$ 'mar-					
	ried'; 0 otherwhise					
family unit size	Number of Persons in FU at the Time of the Interview					
white	"What is (your/his/her) race? (Are you/Is [he/she]) white, black, American Indian, Alaska					
	Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander?-FIRST MENTION" 1='white', 0					
	otherwhise					
distress	The K-6 Non-Specific Psychological Distress Scale					

3.2 Summary statistics

	2, 3, 2009, 201 Delta(yr) Span(yr) (id*yr un		10	108 6				
Distributi	on of T i:	min	5%	25%	50%	75%	95%	max
	_	1			4	6		6
-	Percent			1* - -				
3179	31.45	31.45	111111					
723	7.15	38.60	11					
672	6.65	45.25	1					
548	5.42	50.67	111					
505	5.00	55.67	1111					
502	4.97	60.64	1					
481	4.76	65.39	.11111					
480	4.75	70.14	111					
450	4.45	74.59	11					
2568	25.41	100.00	(other p	atterns)				

^{*}Each column represents 2 periods.

10108 100.00

Variable		Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max	Observations
	•					
swb	overall	3.718723	.8759134	1	5 l	N = 37767
	between		.7136601	1	5	n = 10091

| XXXXXX

	within		.5603667	.5187227	6.552056	T-bar = 3.74264
met	overall between within		.4141335 .385049 .1878218	0 0 0531584	1	
age	overall between within		16.82858 17.23457 2.911229	17	99	
age2	overall between within		1728.178	289	9801	
inc	between	61242.84	81095.25 66126.72 39658.52	0	3316000 1883797 2052160	
une	overall between within		.2872754 .2197753 .2116276	0 0 7425705	1 1 .9240962	N = 37923 n = 10108 T-bar = 3.75178
male	overall between within		.4975856 .4994373 .0058544	0		
hea	overall between within		.92175	1	5	
kid	overall between within		1.119852 1.061457 .4720193	0		
col	overall between within		.4837605 .4803487 .0744979	0	1	N = 36608 n = 9674 T-bar = 3.78416
mar	overall between within	.2802942	.4491489 .412416 .1586708	0	_	
nFU	overall between within		1.317328	1	13	
whi	overall between within		.4993489 .4985538 .0213918		1	
k	overall between within		4.151942 3.629813 2.339743		24	

(obs=5.00 ,55 8.00)

	1	swb	met	age	age2	inc	une	male	hea	kid	l col	L mar	nFl	U wh	i
s	+- wb	1.00													
m	et İ	-0.08	1.00												
a	ge İ	0.09	-0.05	1.00											
	e2	0.09	-0.06	0.98	1.00										
_	nc	0.13	0.06	0.10	0.06	1.00									
u	ne	-0.12	0.02	-0.19	-0.18	-0.13	1.00								
ma	le İ	0.09	-0.06	-0.01	-0.03	0.29	-0.02	1.00							
h	ea	0.27	0.02	-0.24	-0.23	0.20	-0.01	0.15	1.00						
k	id	-0.01	0.02	-0.29	-0.30	0.01	0.09	-0.10	0.05	1.00					
С	ol İ	0.04	0.08	-0.08	-0.09	0.24	-0.12	0.06	0.17	-0.04	1.00				
m	ar	0.20	-0.06	0.17	0.14	0.43	-0.12	0.55	0.13	0.10	0.14	1.00			
n	FU	0.04	0.01	-0.16	-0.19	0.16	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.86	-0.03	0.35	1.00		
	hi İ	0.09	-0.19	0.16	0.17	0.26	-0.16	0.26	0.12	-0.16	0.19	0.29	-0.09	1.00	
	k	-0.37	-0.00	-0.12	-0.12	-0.17	0.12	-0.14	-0.30	0.04	-0.10	-0.18	-0.02	-0.09	1.00

(obs=6,294)

| swb met age age2 inc kid col mar nFU whi une male hea

 swb | 1.0000

 met | -0.0233 1.0000

 age | 0.0701 -0.0482 1.0000

```
age2
         0.0704
                  -0.0482
                             0.9850
                                      1,0000
                   0.0793
                             0.0604
                                                1,0000
         0.1675
                                      0.0308
inc
une
        -0.0931
                   0.0118
                            -0.1420
                                     -0.1345
                                               -0.1376
                                                          1.0000
         0.0619
                  -0.0174
                            -0.0316
                                      -0.0407
                                                0.2897
                                                         -0.0217
                                                                    1.0000
male
         0.3035
                   0.0486
                            -0.1854
                                      -0.1776
                                                0.2135
                                                         -0.0393
                                                                   0.1189
                                                                             1.0000
hea
                  -0.0036
                                                                             0.0553
                                                                                       1.0000
                                                0.0566
                                                          0.0246
                                                                   -0.0676
kid
         0.0501
                            -0.2768
                                     -0.2929
col
        -0.0072
                   0.1033
                            -0.0532
                                     -0.0633
                                                0.2552
                                                         -0.1330
                                                                   0.0317
                                                                             0.1209
                                                                                      -0.0449
                                                                                                 1.0000
mar
         0.2059
                  -0.0181
                             0.1509
                                      0.1293
                                                0.4559
                                                         -0.1102
                                                                    0.5009
                                                                             0.1282
                                                                                       0.1246
                                                                                                 0.1368
                                                                                                           1.0000
                                                                    0.0708
nFU
         0.1095
                  -0.0064
                            -0.1650
                                      -0.1919
                                                0.2014
                                                         -0.0005
                                                                             0.0593
                                                                                       0.8656
                                                                                                -0.0266
                                                                                                          0.3526
                                                                                                                    1.0000
                  -0.1388
                                                0.2572
                                                                             0.0756
                                                                                      -0.0782
                                                                                                          0.2623
                                                                                                                   -0.0181
                                                                                                                              1.0000
whi |
         0.0585
                            0.1392
                                      0.1496
                                                         -0.1132
                                                                   0.2024
                                                                                                 0.1494
        -0.3863
                                               -0.1506
                                                                            -0.3022
                  -0.0110
                            -0.1877
                                     -0.1813
                                                                  -0.1076
                                                                                       0.0164
                                                                                                -0.0556
                                                                                                          -0.1855
                                                                                                                   -0.0381
                                                                                                                             -0.0161
                                                                                                                                        1.00
  kΙ
                                                          0.1098
```

3.3 Panel Structure of Metro Variable

xttab met

met		erall Percent		ween Percent	Within Percent
Inap.: Metropol	8294 29436	21.98 78.02	2947 8362	29.26 83.01	77.39 93.19
Total	37730	100.00	11309 = 10073)	112.27	89.07

xtsum met

Variabl	e 	1	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max	Obse	ervations
met	overall between	 	.7801749	.4141335 .385049	0	1 1		= 37730 = 10073
	within	İ		.1878218	0531584	1.613508	T-bar =	= 3.74566

References

- ALCOCK, I., M. P. WHITE, B. W. WHEELER, L. E. FLEMING, AND M. H. DEPLEDGE (2014): "Longitudinal effects on mental health of moving to greener and less green urban areas," *Environmental science & technology*, 48, 1247–1255.
- Brown, G. D. A. and J. Gathergood (0): "Consumption Changes, Not Income Changes, Predict Changes in Subjective Well-Being," *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 0, 1948550619835215.
- HOOGERBRUGGE, M. AND M. BURGER (????): "Selective Migration and Urban-Rural Differences in Subjective Well-being: Evidence from the United Kingdom'," *Urban Studies*.
- O'SULLIVAN, A. (2009): Urban economics, McGraw-Hill.
- REHDANZ, K. AND D. MADDISON (2008): "Local environmental quality and life-satisfaction in Germany," *Ecological economics*, 64, 787–797.
- WHITE, M. P., I. ALCOCK, B. W. WHEELER, AND M. H. DEPLEDGE (2013a): "Coastal proximity, health and well-being: Results from a longitudinal panel survey," *Health & Place*.
- ——— (2013b): "Would You Be Happier Living in a Greener Urban Area? A Fixed-Effects Analysis of Panel Data," *Psychological science*, 24, 920–928.
- WIRTH, L. (1938): "Urbanism as a Way of Life," American Journal of Sociology, 44, 1–24.