Community SWB

Adam Okulicz-Kozaryn

this version: Tuesday 22nd February, 2022 10:06

adam.okulicz.kozaryn@gmail.com

<u>outline</u>

Campbell ch7,ch12-14

if time: review/wrap-up what we did so far, especially the happiness theories and key points in public policy

Specific studies about communities/neighborhoods

DO skim all through (and do read carefully those that

- Cloutier et al. (2014)
- Cloutier et al. (2017)
- Ma et al. (2017)
- Zhao et al. (2018)
- Pfeiffer and Cloutier (2016)
- Helliwell et al. (2018) NOTE: data are publicly available!!
- Musikanski et al. (2017)
- Mouratidis (2017)
- Ciorici and Dantzler (2019)
- see next slide for explanation

lots of readings

- not necessarily that you have to read everything
- but do skim through everything, at least abstracts
- and do read those that are close to what you are doing
- there will be few slides
- o and most of class will be discussion of these readings
- o so please be prepared to discuss them!
- reference list is on the last slide

<u>outline</u>

Campbell ch7,ch12-14

Specific studies about communities/neighborhoods

if time: review/wrap-up what we did so far, especially the happiness theories and key points in public policy

Campbell ch7,ch12-14 5/22

cambpell ch7

- especially see fig 7-1 p220
- o objective: community, neighb, dwelling unit
- o affect each subjective domains
- and everything affects overall QOL experience
- and could add at the very end SWB

Campbell ch7,ch12-14 6/2

cambpell ch7

- community satisfaction is further broken down into
- o satisfactions listed in tab 7-2
- o note that schools and climate are the key
- o among personal stuff in 7-3 most important are:
- o life cycle/age; race; educ; more important than income!
- o (for community satisfaction, not necessarily SWB)
- fig on p236: not just SWB, all satisfactions worst in largest areas!
- o but then there is an interesting quadratic flip
- o small towns and suburbs are often the best (not SWB)

Campbell ch7,ch12-14 7/22

cambpell ch7

- neighborhood satisfaction p 240
- o neighbors are more important than housing
- o and the two are more important than other stuff

Campbell ch7,ch12-14 8/2

cambpell ch12: women

- remember it is 1976
- a lot of data...
- o any discussion?
- o let's have a look at graphs from
- o https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/ s11482-017-9547-2.pdf

Campbell ch7,ch12-14 9/22

cambpell ch13: blacks v whites

- again, remember, it is 1976
- interesting trends in tab13-1 on p447
- o whites became less happy
- o fewer blacks very happy, and fewer not too happy
- p455: blacks trust much less than whites
- p461: very interesting differences by domain!

Campbell ch7,ch12-14 10/22

cambpell ch14:

- objective v subjective
- o see fig 1,2,3 https: //link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11205-011-9939-x
- o and fig1, tab1 (very important! discuss a lot) and tab2
 https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/
 s11482-017-9587-7.pdf

Campbell ch7,ch12-14 11/22

outline

Campbell ch7,ch12-14

Specific studies about communities/neighborhoods

if time: review/wrap-up what we did so far, especially the happiness theories and key points in public policy

Mouratidis (2017)

higher in compact areas

- http:
- //journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0042098017729109

way more welfare oriented, equal, trusting, homogeneous

- neighborhood satisfaction in Oslo
- o again, not SWB, but neighb sat, and makes sense:
- o outside of Oslo, there's nothing there!
- and remember this is Norway!it has 5m people; Oslo is 600k
- it is an aboviole at the a HC
- o it is much richer than US
- o and very natural, like Alaska!

Ma et al. (2017)

- https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0264275117308570
- Beijing, China: 22m! 15 Philadelphias!
- again, as per campbell: domain satisfactions ->SWB
- so neighborhood satisfaction ->SWB
- and as found earlier, relative income is important (Luttmer, 2005), (Firebaugh and Schroeder, 2009)
- o here, more important than absolute income
- residential level data, nice maps

Zhao et al. (2018)

- https:
 //link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11205-018-2015-z
- not really neighb, but city
- but could be neighb: they use twitter data (Chn equivalent)
- points to the fact that largest cities are less happy
- (but so are smallest ones in China)
- and green stuff like parks bring happiness

Ala-Mantila et al. (2017)

- https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00343404. 2017.1360485?needAccess=true
- zip-codes in southmost Finnland, 50k, just urban
- interesting!
- QOL highest in walkable central city
- SWB highest in car dependent places
- kind of makes sense, central cities have the best amenities and many objective QOL indicators
- o but as per my research, are most miseable
- and remember, as per my research, that QOL is only moderately related to SWB

Helliwell et al. (2018)

- https://www.nber.org/papers/w24592.pdf
- NOTE: data are publicly available!! http://lifesatisfaction.ca/NBER2018/
- the advantage is resolution (neighborhood) and size (1200 of them; .5m obs)
- o also, Helliwell is one of the SWB grandfathers

Pfeiffer and Cloutier (2016)

- https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944363. 2016.1166347?needAccess=true
- just a literature review for planning happy neighborhoods
- o kind of like Ballas (2013) for cities
- usual stuff: have good transportation, social connection, engagement, security, and green stuff

Cloutier et al. (2017) and Cloutier et al. (2014)

- https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/9/7/1214/htm
- https: //link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10668-013-9499-0
- sustainability and commute
- uses bad Gallup data (impossible to use, very pricey!)
- sustainability and public transportation related to greater SWB

Musikanski et al. (2017)

- https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/cgi/ viewcontent.cgi?referer=https://www.google. com/&httpsredir=1&article=1151&context=jsc
- like most Cloutier's papers, mostly conceptual
- just about the initiatives to measure and study SWB
- o in several cities
- o useful, if you want to pull off something similar
- in your city

Ciorici and Dantzler (2019)

- go DPPA! our own scholars!
- neighb satisfaction in Camden!

<u>outline</u>

Campbell ch7,ch12-14

Specific studies about communities/neighborhoods

if time: review/wrap-up what we did so far, especially the happiness theories and key points in public policy

BALLAS, D. (2013): "What makes a 'happy city'?" Cities, 32, S39-S50. CIORICI, P. AND P. DANTZLER (2019): "Neighborhood satisfaction: A study of a low-income urban community," Urban affairs review, 55, 1702-1730.

Ala-Mantila, S., J. Heinonen, S. Junnila, and P. Saarsalmi (2017): "Spatial nature of urban

well-being," Regional Studies, 1-15.

References

CLOUTIER, S., A. KARNER, H. L. BREETZ, P. TOUFANI, N. ONAT, S. PATEL, S. PARALKAR, E. BEREJNOI, B. A. MORRISON, J. PAPENFUSS, ET AL. (2017): "Measures of a Sustainable Commute as a Predictor of Happiness," Sustainability, 9, 1214.

CLOUTIER, S., L. LARSON, AND J. JAMBECK (2014): "Are sustainable cities" happy" cities? Associations between sustainable development and human well-being in urban areas of the United States," Environment, development and sustainability, 16, 633-647. FIREBAUGH, G. AND M. B. SCHROEDER (2009): "Does Your Neighbor's Income Affect Your

Happiness?" American Journal of Sociology, 115, 805-831.

HELLIWELL, J. F., H. SHIPLETT, AND C. P. BARRINGTON-LEIGH (2018): "How Happy are Your Neighbours? Variation in Life Satisfaction among 1200 Canadian Neighbourhoods and Communities," Tech. rep., National Bureau of Economic Research.

LUTTMER, E. F. P. (2005): "Neighbors as Negatives: Relative Earnings and Well-Being," Quarterly Journal of Economics, 120, 963-02. MA, J., G. DONG, Y. CHEN, AND W. ZHANG (2017): "Does satisfactory neighbourhood environment lead to a satisfying life? An investigation of the association between neighbourhood

environment and life satisfaction in Beijing," Cities. MOURATIDIS, K. (2017): "Is compact city livable? The impact of compact versus sprawled neighbourhoods on neighbourhood satisfaction," Urban Studies, 0042098017729109.

Musikanski, L., C. Polley, S. Cloutier, E. Berejnoi, and J. Colbert (2017): "Happiness in Communities: How Neighborhoods, Cities and States Use Subjective Well-Being Metrics," Journal of Social Change, 9, 3.

American Planning Association, 1–13.

ZHAO, Y., F. YU, B. JING, X. HU, A. LUO, AND K. PENG (2018): "An Analysis of Well-Being Determinants at the City Level in China Using Big Data," Social Indicators Research, 1–22.

PFEIFFER, D. AND S. CLOUTIER (2016): "Planning for happy neighborhoods," Journal of the