## CS 228 Minor Autumn 2022 Quiz 1

- 1. Is the formula  $p \to (q \to \neg p)$  satisfiable? If yes, give a satisfying assignment. If not, say why.
- 2. Is the formula  $(p \vee \neg (p \wedge q))$  valid? Why?
- 3. Using the proof rules seen in class, can you give a proof for the sequent  $p \lor q, r \vdash (p \land r) \lor (q \land r)$
- 4. What is the size of the formula  $\neg(p \to \neg(q \lor (\neg r \land \neg(s \to p))))$ ? Draw the parse tree and explain.
- 5. Suppose we add to the proof rules of propositional logic, two new proof rules

(a)  $\frac{\varphi \lor \psi}{\varphi}$  called  $\lor \texttt{elim}_1$ (b)  $\frac{\varphi \lor \psi}{\psi}$  called  $\lor \texttt{elim}_2$  resulting in a new proof engine. Then the new proof engine is

- (a) Sound and complete
- (b) Sound but not complete
- (c) Complete but not sound
- (d) Neither sound nor complete

Explain you answer.

- 6. Consider the formula  $\varphi = (p \to (q \to p))$ . Which of the following apply? Check all those which apply, and explain your answer.
  - (a)  $p, \neg q \vdash \varphi$
  - (b)  $\neg p, q \vdash \varphi$
  - (c)  $\neg p, \neg q \nvdash \varphi$
  - (d)  $p, q \vdash \varphi$
  - (e)  $\models \varphi$
- 7. Suppose we remove LEM from the proof rules of propositional logic. Then the resultant proof system is
  - (a) Unsound and not complete
  - (b) Sound but not complete since we removed a proof rule
  - (c) Sound and complete, since we do not need LEM as a proof rule anyway!
  - (d) Unsound but complete

Explain your answer.

- 8. The rule  $\perp$  elimination indicates that
  - (a) We do not need  $\perp$  in any proof, hence can be eliminated

- (b) If we obtain  $\bot \to \psi$  as part of a proof, we can eliminate  $\bot$  and conclude  $\psi$
- (c) If we obtain  $\bot$  in a proof, then we can conclude any formula  $\psi$  after that, since  $\bot \to \psi$  holds good always
- (d) If we obtain  $\bot$  in a proof, then we can conclude any formula  $\psi$  after that, since anything can be concluded in an inconsistent system

Check all those which apply, and explain your answer.

- 9. Which among these is a Horn formula? Check all those which apply.
  - (a)  $(\neg p \lor (q \to s))$
  - (b)  $\neg (p \rightarrow q)$
  - (c)  $\neg p \land \neg r \land (r \lor s)$
  - (d)  $p \lor q \land s$
- 10. Consider the formula  $\varphi = \neg p \lor (r \to (s \land p))$ . What is the smallest n for which  $Res^n(\varphi) = Res^{n+1}(\varphi)$ ?
  - (a) 2
  - (b) 3
  - (c) 1
  - (d) None of the above

Explain your answer.

- 11. Compute  $Res^*(\varphi)$  for  $\varphi$  in the above question. Check all those which apply.
  - (a)  $\emptyset \in Res^*(\varphi)$ , but not in  $Res^2(\varphi)$ , hence  $\varphi$  is satisfiable
  - (b)  $\emptyset \notin Res^*(\varphi)$ , hence  $\varphi$  is satisfiable.
  - (c) To check for validity of  $\varphi$  it is enough to check if  $\emptyset \notin Res^*(\neg \varphi)$
  - (d) To check for validity of  $\varphi$  it is enough to check if  $\emptyset \in Res^*(\neg \varphi)$
- 12. Consider the formula  $\varphi = (x \vee y) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y)$ . In DNF, what is the size of  $\varphi$ ? Explain why.
- 13. Given  $\varphi$  in DNF, which of the following is equivalent to the validity of  $\varphi$ ?
  - (a) At least one clause of  $\varphi$  is satisfiable
  - (b) At least one clause of  $\varphi$  is valid
  - (c) All clauses of  $\varphi$  are satisfiable
  - (d) All clauses of  $\varphi$  are valid
  - (e) None of the above

Explain why.