

## Tutorial

### WEEK 5

#### 1. K-ALGEBRAS

**Definition 1.0.1.** A vector space over a field  $K$  together with binary operation  $\cdot$  such that for any  $\alpha \in K$  and  $a, a', b, b' \in A$  we have the following

- (1)  $(\alpha a) \cdot b = \alpha(a \cdot b)$
- (2)  $(a + a') \cdot b = ab + a'b$
- (3)  $a(b + b') = ab + a'b$

If the multiplication is associative or commutative we say that the algebra is associative or commutative. A unit in an algebra is a unit wrt the multiplication.

**Remark 1.0.1.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unit, and let  $\varphi : K \rightarrow R$  be a morphism of rings (with unit) - this gives us a structure of a associative commutative unital algebra in  $R$ .

**Example 1.0.1.** Ring of matrices - what will be the map from  $\mathbb{C}$ ?  
Ring of polynomials

**Definition 1.0.2.** A algebra  $A$  (over a field  $K$ ) is called finitely generated if there exists a finite set of elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  of  $A$  such that every element of  $A$  can be expressed as a polynomial in  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  with coefficients in  $K$ .

**Exercise 1.0.1.**  $\mathbb{C}(x)$  is not finitely generated  $\mathbb{C}$  algebra.

#### 2. MODULES

**Definition 2.0.1.** Let  $R$  be a ring, an abelian group  $M$  is a module over  $R$  if the ring "acts on  $M$ " in a respectful way.  
i.e. there is a function  $\cdot : R \times M \rightarrow M$  with

$$\begin{aligned}(r_1 + r_2) \cdot m &= r_1 \cdot m + r_2 \cdot m \\ (r_1 r_2) \cdot m &= r_1 \cdot (r_2 \cdot m) \\ r \cdot (m_1 + m_2) &= r \cdot m_1 + r \cdot m_2 \\ 1 \cdot m &= m\end{aligned}$$

**Example 2.0.1.** A Module over a field is a vector space

**Example 2.0.2.** Any abelian group is a  $\mathbb{Z}$  Module over the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$

**Example 2.0.3.** For the ring  $(R, \cdot, +)$  the additive group of the ring is a module over the ring.

Actually any Ideal  $I \triangleleft R$  is a module over  $R$ .

Any quotient ring is a module... (Quotient of modules is module)

**Definition 2.0.2.** A module  $M$  is said to be finitely generated, if there exist finite amount of elements  $m_0, \dots, m_n$  such that any  $m \in M$  is a sum of these elements with coefficients in  $R$

**Example 2.0.4.** A basis for a vector space is a (minimal) generating set.

**Example 2.0.5.** We saw HBT that the ideals in  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  are finite generated as modules over  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

What about thinking at  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  a  $\mathbb{C}$  module, is it finitely generated?

### 3. NOTHERIAN MODULES

**Definition 3.0.1.**  $M$  is Notherian  $R$  module iff any sub module has the ascending chain condition for submodules. (or equiv is f.g.)

**Definition 3.0.2.** A ring  $R$  is called Notherian if any asending chain of ideals in  $R$  stabilizes.

i.e. if  $I_1 \leq I_2 \leq \dots \leq I_i \leq \dots$  then there is  $k$  s.t  $I_k = I_{k+1}$

i.e.  $R$  is Notherian as a module over itself

**Exercise 3.0.1** (trivial). Show that any filed in Notherian...

**Lemma 3.0.1.** Let  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$  be a exact chain of  $R$  modules then  $M$  is Nother iff  $L$  and  $N$  are

*Proof.* If  $M$  is then clearly any submodule of  $N$  is submodule of  $M$  and same for quotient...

In the other direction let  $P$  be a submodule then  $P \cap N$  is finitly generated say by  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  we have that  $P/P \cap N \cong P + N/N$  thus is a submodule of  $L$  so is finitly generated by  $l_1 + N, \dots, l_j + N$

See that  $l_i, n_j$  generate  $P$ . □

**Theorem 3.0.1** (HBT). Let  $R$  be a Notherian ring, then the ring of polynomials over  $R$ :  $R[x]$  is a Notherian ring.

**Exercise 3.0.2** (for home). Prove HBT *mutatis mutandis* from proof in class.

### 4. NOTHERIAN IN TOPOLOGY

**Definition 4.0.1.** A topological space is called Notherian if any descending chain of closed subsets stabilizes.

**Exercise 4.0.1.** Any Notherian space is compact...

**Lemma 4.0.1.** Let  $X$  be a Noetherian space- any closed subset of  $X$  can be presented a union of irreducible sets, and moreover if we assume the irreducible sets are disjoint this presentation is unique.

*Proof.* Assume by contradiction that there exist a minimal closed set  $Y$  such that  $Y$  can not be represented as above. In particular  $Y$  is reducible then  $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$  by minimality of  $Y$ ,  $Y_i$  can be decomposed  $Y_1 = \bigcup_{j=0}^l Y_1^j$  and  $Y_2 = \bigcup_{j=0}^k Y_1^j$

$$Y = \bigcup_{i=1,2, \quad j \leq k \wedge l} Y_i^j$$

For uniqueness assume  $Y = \bigcup_{j=0}^l Y_j = \bigcup_{i=k}^l Z_i$  then  $Z_i = \bigcup_j (Z_i \cap Y_j)$ . But  $Z_i$  is irreducible! hence  $Z_i \subset Y_j$  and similarly  $Y_j \subset Z_k$  this means  $Z_i \subset Z_k$  is contradiction...  $\square$

So lets see what this gives us for algebraic varieties...

## 5. IRREDUCIBLE TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

**Definition 5.0.1.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. TFAE:

- If there exist  $Z_1, Z_2$  closed in  $X$  such that  $X = Z_1 \cup Z_2 \Rightarrow Z_1 = X$  or  $Z_2 = X$
- For any  $U \subset X$  open  $\bar{U} = X$

**Definition 5.0.2.** An ideal  $I \triangleleft R$  is called irreducible if it cannot be written as the intersection of two strictly larger ideals.

**Lemma 5.0.1.** Let  $X$  be a algebraic set with  $I = \mathcal{I}(X)$ , then  $X$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (Zariski) iff  $\mathcal{I}(X)$  is prime in  $\mathbb{C}[x_2, \dots, x_n]$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $I$  not prime, then there is  $f, g \notin I$  such that  $fg \in I$  this means  $X = \mathcal{Z}(I) \subset \mathcal{Z}fg = \mathcal{Z}g \cup \mathcal{Z}f$  but  $X \not\subset \mathcal{Z}(f)$  or  $\mathcal{Z}(g)$ .

If we assume  $X$  to be non irreducible  $X = \mathcal{Z}(J_1) \cup \mathcal{Z}(J_2) = \mathcal{Z}(J_1 \cdot J_2)$  then there is a  $f_i \in J_i$ ,  $f_i \notin I$  with  $f_1 f_2 \in I$   $\square$

**Lemma 5.0.2.** Let  $I \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , if  $I$  is prime Ideal then it is irreducible.

*Proof.* In general Prime implies irreducible since if not irreducible then  $I = J_1 \cap J_2$  then there is  $a_i \in J_i$  not in  $I$  with  $a_1 a_2 \in J_1 \cap J_2$  (since are ideals) hence  $a_1 a_2 \in I$ .  $\square$