



UNIVERSITY OF PASSAU

FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS

Chair of Functional Analysis

Dual Operators

Seminar Functional Analysis

Erik Stern

January 2026

Abstract: This proseminar paper provides a foundational overview of dual-/adjoint operators in a general Banach space setting.

1 Motivation

The goal of dual- or adjoint operators is to generalize the notion of an adjoint matrix (often denoted as A^T over \mathbb{R} or A^H over \mathbb{C}) to operators on normed \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} vector spaces:

We will cover the following topics:

- The operator \cdot^H is linear and isometric wrt. the spectral norm.
- The fundamental theorem of linear algebra (Gilbert Strang) or rank-nullity theorem:
 $(\text{Im } A)^\perp = \text{Ker } A^H$.
- The Lagrange duality from nonlinear optimisation theory.
- TODO Add more

2 The adjoint operator

2.1 The basic definitions and conventions

The terms [adjoint](#) and [dual](#) are often used interchangeably. We will standardize on [adjoint](#), to avoid unnecessary confusion. Following [Wer18], we write $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ when the field is unspecified.

Definition 1. We remind ourselves of the following concepts from the lecture [KP25]: Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$, $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ and $(Z, \|\cdot\|_Z)$ be normed \mathbb{F} -vector spaces

i) Let

$$T : (X, \|\cdot\|_X) \rightarrow (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$$

be a linear mapping. Then we call T a [linear operator](#). We call T [bounded](#), if

$$\exists C > 0, \forall x \in X : \|Tx\|_Y \leq C\|x\|_X$$

▷ For brevity, we will use the notation $T : X \rightarrow Y$ for linear operators rather than $T : (X, \|\cdot\|_X) \rightarrow (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$.

ii) The (topological) dual space is defined as

$$X' := \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{F})$$

iii) The closed unit ball in $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is abbreviated with \overline{B}_X .

Revision 1. The following statements are foundational for this topic:

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be normed \mathbb{F} -vector spaces.

- i) The set of continuous, linear operators $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is a Banach space if and only if Y is a Banach space. In particular, the topological dual space $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{F})$ is a Banach space.
- ii) Let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear operator. Then T is bounded if and only if T is continuous.
- iii) Let $(Z, \|\cdot\|_Z)$ be a normed \mathbb{F} -vector space, let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear, bounded operator and let $S : Y \rightarrow Z$ be a linear, bounded operator. Then $S \circ T$ is a linear, bounded operator.
- iv) Let $(Z, \|\cdot\|_Z)$ be a normed \mathbb{F} -vector space, let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear operator and let $S : Y \rightarrow Z$ be a linear operator. If T or S is compact, $S \circ T$ is compact.

Proof. Please refer to the functional analysis lecture notes [KP25] from SS/2025. □

Definition 2. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be normed \mathbb{F} -vector spaces and $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. Then $T' : Y' \rightarrow X', y' \mapsto y' \circ T$ is called the adjoint operator. From now on, we will implicitly refer to the normed \mathbb{F} vector spaces X, Y and the topological dual spaces X', Y' when talking about the dual operator T' .

Remark 1. In comparison to the operators we have previously worked with, the adjoint operator takes and outputs linear, bounded operators. It's one more level of abstraction removed from \mathbb{F} . For $y' \in Y' = \mathcal{L}(Y, \mathbb{F})$, the adjoint operator evaluates to $T'y' \in \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{F}) = X'$.

Example 1.

2.2 The basic properties

The proofs will use the Hahn-Banach corollaries a couple of times. So first, we need to recall Hahn-Banach related theorems:

Revision 2 (Hahn-Banach). *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space and $0 \neq x \in X$. Then we have*

$$\exists f \in X' : \|f\| = 1 \wedge |f(x)| = \|x\|$$

Proof. Please refer to the functional analysis lecture notes [KP25] from SS/2025. □

Revision 3. *TODO (Explain):*

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed \mathbb{F} vector space and $x \in X$.

Then we have

$$\|x\|_X = \sup_{f \in X', \|f\| \leq 1} |f(x)|$$

Proof. We will distinguish two cases:

- Case 1 ($x = 0$): Since X' contains linear operators,

$$\|x\|_X = 0 = \sup_{f \in X', \|f\| \leq 1} |f(0)|$$

- Case 2 $x \neq 0$: We have

$$\sup_{f \in X', \|f\| \leq 1} |f(x)| \leq \sup_{f \in X', \|f\| \leq 1} \|f\| \|x\|_X \leq 1 \|x\|_X = \|x\|_X$$

Using Hahn-Banach from revision 2 we get

$$\exists f \in X' : \|f\| = 1 \wedge |f(x)| = \|x\|_X$$

So we get

$$\sup_{f \in X', \|f\| \leq 1} |f(x)| = \|x\|_X$$

□

Theorem 1. *The adjoint operator has the following properties:*

i) $T' \in \mathcal{L}(Y', X')$, so T' is linear and bounded.

▷ This implies $\forall y' \in \mathcal{L}(Y', X') : T'y' \in X'$.

ii) $T \mapsto T'$ is linear and isometric.

Proof. "i)": Let $y' \in Y'$. Plugging it into the adjoint operator, we get $T'y' = y' \circ T$ with signature $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. We can now see that $\text{Im } T' \subset X'$.

Let $y'_1, y'_2 \in Y', \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$. We then prove linearity:

$$\begin{aligned} T'(\alpha y'_1 + y'_2) &= (\alpha y'_1 + y'_2) \circ T && \text{(apply def. of adjoint operator)} \\ &= \alpha y'_1 \circ T + y'_2 \circ T && \text{(expand expression)} \\ &= \alpha T'y'_1 + T'y'_2 && \text{(apply def. of adjoint operator)} \end{aligned}$$

Let $y' \in Y'$. We then prove boundedness of the operator norm:

$$\begin{aligned} \|T'y'\|_{X'} &= \|y' \circ T\|_{X'} && \text{(apply def. of adjoint operator)} \\ &\leq \|y'\|_{Y'} \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} && \text{(apply def. of op. norm)} \\ &:= C \|y'\|_{Y'} && \text{(def. the constant)} \end{aligned}$$

"ii)": Let $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y), y' \in Y', x \in X, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$. We first prove linearity:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha T_1 + T_2)'(y')(x) &= y'(\alpha T_1 x + T_2 x) && \text{(apply def. of adjoint operator)} \\ &= y'(\alpha T_1 x + T_2 x) && \text{(pull } x \text{ into the eq.)} \\ &= \alpha y'(T_1 x) + y'(T_2 x) && \text{(y' is linear)} \end{aligned}$$

We then prove isometry:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T\| &= \sup_{\|x\|_X \leq 1} \|Tx\|_Y && \text{(use supremum char. of op. norm)} \\
&= \sup_{\|x\|_X \leq 1} \sup_{\|y'\| \leq 1} |y'(Tx)| && \text{(apply theorem of Hahn-Banach 2)} \\
&= \sup_{\|y'\| \leq 1} \sup_{\|x\|_X \leq 1} |y'(Tx)| && \text{(supremum order can be switched)} \\
&= \sup_{\|y'\| \leq 1} \|T'y'\| && \text{(apply def. of adjoint operator and op. norm)} \\
&= \|T'\| && \text{(apply def. of op. norm)}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Example 2.

Example 3. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ with $p \neq 2$. This makes l_p a Banach space according to the lecture, but not a Hilbert space as the parallelogram rule is not satisfied. We know that the dual space of l_p is isometrically isomorph to l_p^* where p^* is the [Hölder conjugate](#) with $1/p + 1/p^* = 1$. As a reminder, the general idea of the proof of $l_p' \cong l_p^*$ goes as follows:

- Define the isometric isomorphism as

$$T : l_p^* \rightarrow l_p', s \mapsto (x \mapsto \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} x_k s_k)$$

- Verify $(Ts)x$ converges as

$$|(Ts)x| \leq \|x\|_p \|s\|_{p^*} < \infty$$

and absolute convergence implies convergence.

- Verify T is injective using the linearity.
- Verify T is surjective and isometric through todo (the annoying part).

To illustrate the adjoint operator, we now work through an example. Consider the [left shift](#) operator

$$T : l_p \rightarrow l_p, (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (x_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

It is well-defined since

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |x_{k+1}|^p \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |x_k|^p < \infty$$

We can now compute the adjoint operator T' :

- The adjoint T' must have the signature $l_p' \cong l_p^* \rightarrow l_p' \cong l_p^*$.
- Let $y' \in l_p' \cong l_p^*$. Then we can write $y' : l_p \rightarrow \mathbb{F}, x \mapsto \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} x_k s_k$ with $s \in l_p^*$. Now for $x \in l_p$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(T'y')(x) &= (y' \circ T)(x) = y'(Tx) && \text{(apply def. of } T') \\
&= y'((x_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}) && \text{(apply def. of } T) \\
&= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} x_{k+1} s_k && \text{(apply def. of } y') \\
&= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} x_k s'_k && \text{(with } s'_1 = 0 \text{ and } s'_k = s_{k-1} \text{ for } k > 1)
\end{aligned}$$

This tells us that the adjoint operator T' acts as a [right shift](#) (up to isomorphism):

$$T' : l_p^* \rightarrow l_p^*, (s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (0, s_1, s_2, \dots)$$

Theorem 2. Let X, Y, Z be normed \mathbb{F} vector spaces.
Then the adjoint operator reverses composition:

$$\forall T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y), \forall S \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Z) : (S \circ T)' = T' \circ S'$$

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$.

We know $ST = S \circ T$ is still a linear, bounded operator from X to Z . So $(ST)'$ is well-defined. Let $z' \in Z' = \mathcal{L}(Z, \mathbb{F})$ and set $y' = z' \circ S \in \mathcal{L}(Y, \mathbb{F})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (ST)'(z') &= z' \circ (ST) && \text{(apply def. of adjoint operator)} \\
 &= (z' \circ S) \circ T && \text{(write out chain explicitly)} \\
 &= y' \circ T && \text{(subst. } y') \\
 &= T' y' && \text{(apply def. of adjoint operator)} \\
 &= T'(z' \circ S) && \text{(subst. } y') \\
 &= T' S' z' && \text{(apply def. of adjoint operator)}
 \end{aligned}$$

So in total $(ST)' = T' S'$. □

Example 4.

2.3 The dual space of the dual space

For starters, we need to recall some concepts from the lecture.

Definition 3. Let X be a normed \mathbb{F} vector space.

- i) X'' is called the bidual space.
- ii) Let $J_X : X \rightarrow X'', p \mapsto (x' \mapsto x'(p))$. J_X is called the **canonical embedding** from X into X'' .

So J_X returns a function that evaluates dual space elements at $p \in X$.




Figure 1: An illustration of the main concepts in the dual of duals chapter. The operator and bidual operator are in **red**. The canonical embeddings are in **blue**. Isomorphisms are in **green**.

Theorem 3. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be normed \mathbb{F} vector spaces. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ be a bounded linear operator. Then we have: $J_Y \circ T = T'' \circ J_X$. This concept is illustrated in figure 1.

Proof. Before the proof, we can avoid confusion by typing out what T' and T'' evaluate to:

- $T' : Y' \rightarrow X', y' \mapsto y' \circ T$ is the adjoint operator.
- $T'' : X'' \rightarrow Y'', x'' \mapsto x'' \circ T'$ is the biadjoint operator.

Now first of all, we need to check that the signatures of both sides of the equation match:

- $J_Y : Y \rightarrow Y''$ and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ means $(J_Y \circ T) : X \rightarrow Y''$.
- $T'' : X'' \rightarrow Y''$ and $J_X : X \rightarrow X''$ means $(T'' \circ J_X) : X \rightarrow Y''$.

Finally, for $p \in X$ and $y' \in Y'$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
((J_Y \circ T)(p))(y') &= J_Y(Tp)(y') = y'(Tp) && \text{(subst. } p \text{ in and apply def. of } J_Y) \\
&= (y'T)(p) && \text{(use associativity)} \\
&= (T'y')(p) && \text{(apply def. of } T') \\
&= (x' \mapsto x'(p))(T'y') && \text{(pull out subst. function)} \\
&= J_X(p)(T'y') && \text{(recognize this is just } J_X) \\
&= T''(J_X(p))(y') && \text{(apply def. use } T'') \\
&= ((T'' \circ J_X)(p))(y') && \text{(use } \circ \text{ notation)}
\end{aligned}$$

□

We will now characterize when a continuous operator between Y' and X' is an adjoint operator. We need to revise an important corollary from the lecture first.

Revision 4. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed \mathbb{F} vector space. Then we have*

- i) *The canonical embedding J_X is an isometric injective function.*
- ii) *$J : X \rightarrow J_X(X), x \mapsto J_X(x)$ is an isometric isomorphism.*
- iii) *J_X is a bounded, linear operator.*
- iv) *$(J_X|_{X \rightarrow J_X(X)})^{-1}$ is a bounded, linear operator.*

This concept is illustrated in figure 1.

Proof Idea.

"i)": Please refer to the functional analysis lecture notes [KP25] from SS/2025.

"ii)": Follows by definition of J and from "i)".

"iii)": Since $J : X \rightarrow J_X(X), x \mapsto J_X(x)$ is an isometric isomorphism, we have

- J_X is linear.
- $\|J_X\| = 1$. This means J_X is bounded.

"iv)": Since $J : X \rightarrow J_X(X), x \mapsto J_X(x)$ is an isometric isomorphism, we have

- $(J_X|_{X \rightarrow J_X(X)})^{-1}$ inherits linearity from J .
- $\|J_X\| = 1$ and therefore $\|(J_X|_{X \rightarrow J_X(X)})^{-1}\| = 1$. This means $(J_X|_{X \rightarrow J_X(X)})^{-1}$ is bounded.

□

Corollary 1. *We can strengthen theorem 3 using the isomorphism from revision 4:*

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be normed \mathbb{F} vector spaces. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ be a bounded linear operator. Then we have

- i) $J_Y \circ T = T'' \circ J_X$.
- ii) $T''|_{X \rightarrow J_X(X)} = J_Y \circ T \circ (J_X|_{X \rightarrow J_X(X)})^{-1}$
- iii) $T = (J_Y|_{Y \rightarrow J_Y(Y)})^{-1} \circ T'' \circ J_X$.

Proof. "i)": This is the statement of theorem 3.

"ii)": The isomorphism from revision 4 gives us the result.

"iii)": The isomorphism from revision 4 gives us the result.

□

Theorem 4. *Let $S \in \mathcal{L}(Y', X')$ be a continuous, linear operator. Then we have*

$$\exists T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y) : T' = S \text{ if and only if } S'(J_X(X)) \subset J_Y(Y)$$

Proof. " \Rightarrow ": We have

$$\begin{aligned} S'(J_X(X)) &= T''(J_X(X)) && \text{(substitute in } S = T') \\ &= J_Y(T(X)) && \text{(use theorem 3)} \\ &\subset J_Y(Y) && \text{(use } T(X) \subset Y) \end{aligned}$$

" \Leftarrow ": We have $S'(J_X(X)) \subset J_Y(Y)$ and revision 4 gives us $J_Y(Y) \cong Y$.

So for $x \in X$ and $y''_x = S'(J_X(x))$ there is a (unique) $y_x \in Y$ with $y''_x = J_Y(y_x)$.

Choose

$$T : X \rightarrow Y, x \mapsto y_x$$

We know T exists (and is unique) due to the previous argument.

We know T is linear and continuous, as

$$T = y. = (J_Y|_{Y \rightarrow J_Y(Y)})^{-1} \circ S' \circ J_X$$

and all elements in the chain are bounded, linear operators.

Let $y' \in Y'$ and $x \in X$. Lastly, we need to prove that $S = T'$:

$$\begin{aligned} (Sy')(x) &= J_X(x)(Sy') && \text{(express using } J_X) \\ &= (S'J_X(x))(y') = (S' \circ J_X)(x)(y') && \text{(apply def. of adjoint op.)} \\ &= J_Y(((J_Y|_{Y \rightarrow J_Y(Y)})^{-1} \circ S' \circ J_X)(x))(y') && \text{(use } J_Y \circ (J_Y|_{Y \rightarrow J_Y(Y)})^{-1} = \text{Id}) \\ &= y'(((J_Y|_{Y \rightarrow J_Y(Y)})^{-1} \circ S' \circ J_X)(x)) && \text{(evaluate } J_Y \text{ at the vector)} \\ &= y'(Tx) = (y' \circ T)(x) && \text{(subst. in } T = J_Y^{-1} \circ S' \circ J_X) \\ &= (T'y')(x) && \text{(apply def. of adjoint op.)} \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 5. We have already seen an adjoint operator. Using the last theorem, we can find an example for an operator $S \in \mathcal{L}(Y', X')$ that is not an adjoint operator (i.e. with $\nexists T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y) : T' = S$).

TODO.

Theorem 5. Lastly, we get one more property of the adjoint: $T \mapsto T'$ is not always surjective.

▷ This is not the case with \cdot^H e.g. between $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. The operator and the adjoint operator have the following signatures:

- $T : X \rightarrow Y$
- $T' : Y' = \mathcal{L}(Y, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow X' = \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{F})$

So the "not always" refers to a particular choice of X and Y we need to find. Fortunately in example 5 we already found a counterexample! □

2.4 Compact (adjoint) operators

For starters, we need to recall and revise some concepts from the lecture.

Definition 4. The following definitions are revisions from the lecture:

Let X, Y be normed \mathbb{F} vector spaces.

i) X is relatively compact, if

$$\forall (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X \text{ bounded} : (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ has a converging subsequence in } Y$$

ii) $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is compact, if $T(\overline{B}_X)$ is relatively compact.

iii) The rank of T is $\text{rk } T = \dim T(X)$.

As a reminder, in metric spaces a subset is compact if and only if all sequences contain a converging subsequence in that set. So relatively compact is a relaxed version of compactness: it disposes of the closed requirement. An important property of the closed unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{C} is that it is compact. Therefore, a linear operator between $\mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ always maps the closed unit ball to a compact set. But the domain might not be a finite-dimensional normed space and the unit ball of the domain might not be compact either.

Even when we only know that a bounded operator has finite rank, we can see that it is always compact: In finite dimensions, all norms are equivalent. So $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and $(X, \|\cdot\|_1)$ have the same open sets. In finite dimensions, linear algebra tells us that $(X, \|\cdot\|_1)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^{\dim X}, \|\cdot\|_1)$ have the same open sets. So all finite-dimensional normed spaces are topologically equivalent to some space \mathbb{R}^n . In \mathbb{R}^n , the theorem of Heine-Borel tells us that all bounded subsets are relatively compact.

Since relatively compact is a topological property, the theorem transfers to $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. Finally, a finite rank causes the bounded image of the operator to be finite-dimensional, which then causes it to be relatively compact. This is a very strong statement, considering that the domain unit ball might not be compact at all.

This finally breaks down when the rank is infinite. So a compact operator simply ensures that we still can enjoy this finite-dimensional behavior between general Banach spaces.

Definition 5. The following definitions are critical for the theorem of [Arzelà-Ascoli](#): Let X, Y be metric spaces and $M \subset \{f : X \rightarrow Y\}$.

i) M is uniformly equicontinuous, if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall T \in M, \forall x, y \in X : d_X(x, y) < \delta \Rightarrow d_Y(Tx, Ty) < \epsilon$$

ii) M is pointwise bounded, if

$$\forall x \in X : \{f(x) \mid f \in M\} \text{ is bounded}$$

The theorem of [Arzelà-Ascoli](#) from the lecture gives us a characterisation of relative compactness for the set of continuous functions on a compact metric space using the two previous concepts. This is interesting, because uniform equicontinuity and pointwise boundedness are (relatively) simple properties of the functions [evaluations](#) rather than the functions themselves. They can be easily verified to be true. Note that $C(D) = \{f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \text{ continuous}\}$.

Revision 5 (Arzelà-Ascoli). *Let D be a compact metric space and $M \subset C(D)$ with the supremum norm. Then we have M is uniformly equicontinuous and pointwise bounded implies M is relatively compact.*

Proof Idea.

- D is separable, i.e. $\exists D_0 \subset D : \overline{D_0} = D$. Simply set $D_0 = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n$ where D_n is a finite $\frac{1}{n}$ -covers of D .
- For all $x \in D$ we can use the pointwise boundedness to invoke Bolzano-Weierstraß to find converging subsequences $(f_{n(x,k)}(x))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{F}$.
- Specifically, we have $\forall x \in D_0 : (f_{n(x,k)}(x))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges.
- Using the commonly used diagonal argument from the lecture, we can find a unified subsequence with no dependence on x : $\forall x \in D_0 : (f_{n(k)}(x))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges.
- We already have $f_{n(k)}|_{D_0} \rightarrow f|_{D_0}$. And it seems sensible to assume that $(f_{n(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a convergent subsequence. But how can you extend this to the entire set D ?
- For each $x \in D$, we can choose an arbitrarily close $x_0 \in D_0$. Using two triangle inequalities, uniform equicontinuity allows us to extend the result to D .
As $C(D)$ is complete, the Cauchy sequence converges.

□

Using the revision, we can now prove the theorem of Schauder and then trace the arguments through both proofs to get a better understanding of the ideas.

Theorem 6 (Schauder). *Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bounded, linear operator. Then we have T is compact if and only if T' is compact.*

Proof. " \Rightarrow ": Let $(y'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Y' = \mathcal{L}(Y, \mathbb{F}) \subset C(Y)$ be bounded.

Our goal is to show that there is a convergent subsequence in $(T'y'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with respect to $(X', \|\cdot\|)$ where $\|\cdot\|$ is the operator norm. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ set $f_n = y'_n|_{T(\overline{B}_X)}$.

Using the definitions of f_n and f_m we get

$$\|f_n - f_m\|_\infty = \sup_{\|x\|_X=1} ((y'_n \circ T) - (y'_m \circ T))x$$

The convergence of f happens if and only if is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $(\mathcal{L}(Y, \mathbb{F}), \|\cdot\|)$. Therefore the convergence of f in the operator norm is only dependent on the behaviour on the closed unit ball.

We now set

$$D = \overline{T(\overline{B}_X)}$$

and pack the sequence into

$$M := \{f_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and examine them for

- D is compact: We have
 - \overline{B}_X is bounded.
 - T is a compact operator.

So $T(\overline{B}_X)$ is relatively compact and D is compact.

- M is pointwise bounded: For $x \in \overline{B}_X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(Tx)| &= |y'_n(Tx)| := |y'_n(d)| \quad (\text{apply def. of } f_n \text{ and define } d) \\ &\leq C_1 \|d\|_Y \leq C_1 C_2 \quad (\text{apply op. norm ineq. and } D \text{ bounded means } \|d\|_Y \leq C_2) \end{aligned}$$

For $d \in D$ we can choose $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \overline{B}_X$ with $Tx_k \rightarrow d$ and $f_n(Tx_k) \leq C_1 C_2$.

Using continuity we get $|f_n(d)| \leq C_1 C_2$.

- M is uniformly equicontinuous: For $n \in \mathbb{N}, \epsilon > 0, \delta = \epsilon/C_1, \forall d_1, d_2 \in D, \|x - y\|_X < \delta$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(d_1) - f_n(d_2)| &\leq \|y'_n\| \cdot \|d_1 - d_2\|_Y && (\text{factor out and apply op. norm ineq.}) \\ &\leq C_1 \cdot \|T\| \cdot \|d_1 - d_2\|_X && (\text{use the upper bound } \|y'_n\| \leq C_1) \\ &< C_1 \delta = \epsilon && (\text{substitute in } \delta) \end{aligned}$$

The theorem of Arzelà-Ascoli now tells us that M is relatively compact. So every sequence in M has a convergent subsequence. In particular for the convergent subsequence $(f_{n(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f_{n(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} &= (y'_n \circ T)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} && (\text{apply def. of } f_{n(k)}) \\ &= (T'y'_{n(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} && (\text{apply def. of adjoint operator}) \end{aligned}$$

So finally, $(T'y'_{n(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a convergent subsequence of $(T'y'_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.

" \Leftarrow ": The other proof direction tells us that

$$T \text{ compact} \Rightarrow T' \text{ compact}$$

So in extension this also yields

$$T' \text{ compact} \Rightarrow T'' \text{ compact}$$

Corollary 1 tells us that

$$T = (J_Y|_{Y \rightarrow J_Y(Y)})^{-1} \circ T'' \circ J_X$$

Lastly, the operator T'' is compact, theorem 4 tells us J_X and $(J_Y|_{Y \rightarrow J_Y(Y)})^{-1}$ are bounded, linear operators and therefore T is a composition of bounded, linear operators.

Using the revision theorem 1 we can conclude that T is compact. □

Example 6.

2.5 The rank-nullity theorem for operators

Definition 6. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed \mathbb{F} vector space, $U \subset X$ and $V \subset X'$. Then define

- i) $U^\perp = \{x' \in X' \mid \forall x \in U : x'(x) = 0\}$ as the annihilator of U in X' .
- ii) $V_\perp = \{x \in X \mid \forall x' \in V : x'(x) = 0\}$ as the annihilator of V in X .

In linear algebra, the annihilator is isomorphic to the orthogonal complement of a set. Similarly we generalize the idea of a dual structure isomorphic to the image or kernel to idk... todo

To prove properties about these sets, we need another corollary of the theorem of Hahn-Banach:

Revision 6. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed \mathbb{F} vector space, $U \subset X$ a closed subspace and $x \in X \setminus U$. Then we have $\exists x' \in X' : x'|_U = 0 \wedge x'(x) \neq 0$.

Proof. TODO □

Theorem 7. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed \mathbb{F} vector space, $U \subset X$ and $V \subset X'$. Then we have

$$U^\perp \subset X' \text{ is a closed linear subspace } \wedge V_\perp \subset X \text{ is a closed linear subspace}$$

Proof. We will focus on $U^\perp \subset X'$. The other statement works analogously.

We first prove U^\perp is not empty: TODO.

Let $x'_1, x'_2 \in X'$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. We first prove $U^\perp \subset X'$ is a linear subspace:

$$\begin{aligned} (x'_1 + \lambda x'_2)(x) &= x'_1(x) + \lambda x'_2(x) && \text{(substitute } x \text{ in)} \\ &= 0 + \lambda 0 = 0 && \text{(use } x'_1, x'_2 \in X') \end{aligned}$$

Let $(x'_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset U^\perp$ be a converging sequence.

We now prove that x' converges in U^\perp :

todo

□

In summary, TODO.

Theorem 8. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ be a bounded, linear operator. Then we have

$$\overline{\text{Im } T} = (\text{Ker } T')_\perp$$

▷ In linear algebra this is usually presented for finite-dimensional vector spaces (see Satz 6.1.5 [Wer18])

Proof. "⊂": Let $Tx \in \text{Im } T$ with $x \in X$ and $y' \in \text{Ker } T'$.

We first prove $Tx \in (\text{Ker } T')_\perp$:

$$\begin{aligned} y'(Tx) &= (y' \circ T)(x) && \text{(associativity and use } \circ \text{ notation)} \\ &= (T'y')(x) && \text{(apply adjoint op. definition)} \\ &= 0(x) = 0 && \text{(apply } y' \in \text{Ker } T') \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all choices of Tx we get

$$\text{Im } T \subset (\text{Ker } T')_\perp$$

Since $(\text{Ker } T')_\perp$ is closed, we also get

$$\overline{\text{Im } T} \subset (\text{Ker } T')_\perp$$

"⊃": We can prove the contraposition

$$(Y \setminus \overline{\text{Im } T}) \subset (Y \setminus (\text{Ker } T')_\perp)$$

Set $U = \overline{\text{Im } T}$ and let $y \in Y \setminus U$. We know U that a closed linear subspace. The corollary of the theorem of Hahn-Banach 6 tells us that

$$\exists y' \in Y' : y'|_U = 0 \wedge y'(y) \neq 0$$

Since $\text{Ker } T' \subset Y'$ and

$$\forall y' \in \text{Ker } T' : y'(y) \neq 0$$

we get

$$y \in Y \setminus (\text{Ker } T')_\perp$$

□

Corollary 2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ be a linear, continuous operator with $\text{Im } T$ closed. Then we have*

$$y \in \text{Im } T \text{ if and only if } T'y' = 0 \Rightarrow y'(y) = 0$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} y \in \text{Im } T &= \overline{\text{Im } T} && (\text{Im } T \text{ is closed}) \\ &= (\text{Ker } T')^\perp && (\text{apply theorem 8}) \\ \iff \forall y' \in \text{Ker } T' : y'(y) = 0 &&& (\text{apply def. of annihilator}) \\ \iff \forall y' \in Y' : T'y' = 0 \Rightarrow y'(y) = 0 &&& (\text{write in equivalent way}) \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 7.

References

- [Ara+19] Francisco J. Aragón et al. *Nonlinear Optimization*. Springer Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics and Technology. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2019. ISBN: 9783030111830 9783030111847. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-11184-7. URL: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-030-11184-7> (visited on 01/26/2026).
- [FS25] Gerd Fischer and Boris Springborn. “Bilinearformen und Skalarprodukte”. In: *Lineare Algebra*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2025, pp. 309–372. ISBN: 9783662712603 9783662712610. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-71261-0_7. URL: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-662-71261-0_7 (visited on 01/26/2026).
- [KP25] David Krieg and Joscha Prochno. *Functional Analysis – Lecture Notes UoP*. July 22, 2025.
- [Wer18] Dirk Werner. *Funktionalanalysis*. Springer-Lehrbuch. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2018. ISBN: 9783662554067 9783662554074. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-55407-4. URL: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-662-55407-4> (visited on 01/20/2026).